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Dear Provider,

This document provides detailed descriptions of eviCore’s basic criteria for laboratory services. These criteria are used for the certification of requests and administration of laboratory benefits for our clients for a range of laboratory tests some of which are represented by one CPT or HCPCS code and others represented by several codes. They have been carefully researched and are continually updated in order to be consistent with the most current evidence-based guidelines and recommendations for laboratory testing from national and international medical societies and evidence-based medicine research centers. In addition, the criteria are supplemented by information published in peer reviewed literature. If you believe that our criteria require modification, please send suggested changes with supporting references to the Laboratory Management Program at the address listed below.

Our health plan clients review the development and application of these criteria. Every eviCore health plan client develops a unique list of CPT codes that are part of their utilization management programs. Health Plan medical policy supersedes eviCore when there is conflict with the eviCore criteria and the health plan medical policy. If you are unsure of whether or not a specific health plan has made modifications to these basic criteria in their medical policy please contact the plan or access the plan’s website for additional information.

eviCore works hard to make your clinical review experience a pleasant one. For that reason, we have peer reviewers available to assist you should you have specific questions about a procedure. For your convenience, eviCore’s Customer Service support is available from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Our toll-free number is 1-800-918-8924.

Gregg P. Allen, M. D. FAAFP
EVP and Chief Medical Officer
General Information about This Policy Manual

Description
The eviCore healthcare (eviCore) policy manual contains medical and reimbursement policies that are created and approved by eviCore’s Laboratory Management Program personnel and policy advisors, internal Medical Advisory Committee, and external Medical Advisory Board. eviCore’s polices are created using evidence-based medicine including, but not limited to, professional society guidelines, consensus statements, and peer-reviewed literature. eviCore’s policies are intended to provide a library for adoption or a basis for development of tailored coverage criteria for a Health Plan.

Purpose
To establish evidence-based definitions, decision support, medical necessity criteria, coverage limitations, and payment rules for molecular and genetic testing.

This manual is organized into the following sections:

Molecular and Genetic Clinical Use Policies
The policies in this section are intended to provide general policy guidance for the common settings and scenarios in which genetic testing is used (e.g. prenatal, diagnostic, cancer). These policies address the overarching coverage principles that broadly apply based on the purpose of the test. They also address specific use situations that may apply to many different tests (e.g. predictive testing for a known familial mutation).

Each of these overarching policies includes an inventory of all available test-specific policies that apply to that use. For example, the Pharmacogenomic Testing policy includes a list of all policies for tests that may be used to assess drug response or toxicity risk. Because tests can be used for multiple purposes, the same test-specific policy may be referenced by more than one Clinical Use Policy. However, when a test specific policy is not available, the overarching coverage principles found in these Clinical Use Policies may be applied.

Molecular and Genetic Test Specific Policies
The policies in this section address a test or group of tests that are used to assess some health condition. The purpose of these policies is to provide a framework for determining medical necessity and coverage determinations for a specific test, including where more limited testing may be supported by the medical evidence when broader testing is not. These policies provide background about each condition, the available tests, the scenarios in which the test may be used, and the evidence used to determine medical necessity criteria.
Glossary
This glossary contains definitions for common genetics, medical and laboratory terminology

Administrative Policies
If applicable for this plan, administrative policies are included that define coding and reimbursement criteria and requirements.

Limitations and Restrictions
When using this manual in electronic or printed form, the following restrictions apply:

- Evidence-based genetic testing is defined as the identification of targeted genetic sequences within the genome of an individual with clinically-identified risk factors or traits suspected of being specific to the genetic disorder, condition, or trait under investigation.
- The medical policies contained in this manual are the proprietary property of eviCore, for use by its clients only. These medical policies may not be posted, shared, altered, cited or reproduced without the express written consent of eviCore. Commercial use of these policies is prohibited.
- Medical policies are not to be considered medical advice for a specific patient. Policies are used in the process of determining whether a service may be medically necessary and eligible for coverage.
- Medical Policies are interpreted and applied at the sole discretion of the Health Plan.
- Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) codes and descriptions are the property of the American Medical Association with all rights reserved.
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Molecular and Genetic Clinical Use Policies
Genetic testing for cancer susceptibility and hereditary cancer syndromes is performed in people with known risk factors for an inherited form of cancer. Testing may be used in people diagnosed with cancer when there are “red flags” in the person’s personal medical and/or family history for a hereditary form. Predictive genetic testing may also be performed for this group of conditions, in people known to be at increased risk of developing an inherited condition based on their family history. A positive genetic test result increases the risk for cancer (types vary by the gene involved) and, therefore, impacts medical management decisions around screening, prevention, and treatment.

- Tests used to screen for or make a diagnosis of cancer are covered separately as Genetic Testing for the Screening, Diagnosis, and Monitoring of Cancer.
- This policy does not address diagnostic or predictive testing for conditions other than hereditary cancer. Refer to Genetic Testing to Diagnose Non-Cancer Conditions and Genetic Testing to Predict Disease Risk for those purposes.

**Criteria: General Coverage Guidance**

Individuals may be considered for genetic testing for hereditary cancer syndromes when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- **Technical and clinical validity**: The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.
- **Clinical utility**: Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care for the individual.
- **Reasonable use**: The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

**Limits:**

- Testing will be considered only for the number of genes or tests necessary to establish carrier status. A tiered approach to testing, with reflex to more detailed testing and/or different genes, will be required when clinically possible.
- Genetic testing is indicated once per lifetime per condition. Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.
Criteria: Special Circumstances

The following policies address a group of tests that are used for similar purposes. Because a variety of tests may be used, but the circumstances that justify testing are the same, individual test-specific policies are not necessary.

Predictive testing for at-risk people with known familial mutations

The genetic mutation(s) associated with a hereditary cancer syndrome can often be defined in an affected family member, allowing for testing of at-risk relatives for those specific mutations. Testing for known familial mutations is reasonable when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- The mutation(s) in the family have been clearly defined by previous genetic testing and information about those mutations can be provided to the testing lab.
- Technical and clinical validity: The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific to the familial mutations.
- Clinical utility: Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care for the individual.
- Reasonable use: The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

Limits:

- Testing will be considered only for the known familial mutations when clinically possible.
- Predictive genetic testing is indicated once per lifetime per condition.
- Predictive genetic testing will be considered only for adult individuals (age 18 and over). Exceptions may be considered if there are medical management and/or significant psychosocial benefits to testing prior to adulthood.¹,²

Criteria: Test-specific Policies

Test-specific policies are available for some hereditary cancer syndrome tests. Please see the policy manual for a list of test-specific policies. For tests without a specific policy, use the General Coverage Guidance in Section 1.
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Genetic Testing for Carrier Status

Description
Carrier screening is performed to identify genetic risks that could impact reproductive decision-making for parents or prospective parents. Carriers are generally not affected but have an increased risk to have a child with a genetic condition. Carrier screening may be available for autosomal recessive conditions, X-linked conditions, and certain chromosome abnormalities. Ideally, carrier screening is performed prior to pregnancy so that a full range of reproductive options are available to an at-risk couple. However, in practice, it is often performed early in pregnancy when prenatal care is established.

- This policy does not include prenatal or preimplantation genetic testing. Refer to policies on Genetic Testing for Prenatal Screening and Diagnostic Testing and Preimplantation Genetic Screening and Diagnosis for those purposes.
- In addition, testing that may identify carriers who have clinical signs and symptoms (e.g., cystic fibrosis testing for men with congenital absence of the vas deferens, fragile X genetic testing for women with premature ovarian failure) is addressed as Genetic Testing to Diagnose Non-Cancer Conditions.

Criteria: General Coverage Guidance
Individuals may be considered for genetic testing for carrier screening when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- **Technical and clinical validity**: The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.
- **Clinical utility**: Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care and/or assist individuals with reproductive planning.
- **Reasonable use**: The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

Limits:

- Testing will only be considered for the number of genes or tests necessary to establish carrier status. A tiered approach to testing, with reflex to more detailed testing and/or different genes, will be required when clinically possible.
- Carrier testing will be allowed once per lifetime. Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.
- Carrier testing is indicated only in adults. Carrier screening in minor children is not indicated, except in the case of a pregnancy of the minor child.
Routine Carrier Screening

Individuals may be considered for routine carrier screening when testing is supported by evidence-based guidelines from governmental organizations and/or well-recognized professional societies in the United States.

Carrier Screening Based on Family History

Individuals may be considered for carrier screening based on a family history of a genetic condition when ALL of the following conditions are met in addition to the general criteria above:

- The diagnosis of a genetic condition in a family member is known.
- The parent(s) or prospective parent(s) are at-risk to be carriers of that condition based on the pattern of inheritance.
- The genetic condition is associated with potentially severe disability or has a lethal natural history.

Partner Testing of Known Carrier or Affected Individuals

Individuals may be considered for carrier screening if their partners are known carrier or affected individuals when all of the following conditions are met in addition to the general criteria above:

- The diagnosis of a genetic condition or carrier status in the partner is known.
- The genetic condition is associated with potentially severe disability or has a lethal natural history.

Criteria: Special Situations

Exclusions

Multiplex Carrier Screening

Multiplex carrier screening tests are designed to identify carrier status or predict risk for many genetic diseases (70 or more) in a single test. Several multiplex carrier screening tests are available now. Others are known to be in development and will come to market in the next few years. Each test has a unique set of diseases included in novel and proprietary genetic testing platforms.

Of the genetic conditions included in the currently available multiplex carrier screening tests, 12 of them are recommended for at least some people based on ethnicity by either the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) and/or the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG). However, mutation analysis is not the preferred initial screening test for some.

These tests do not meet the criteria above for technical and clinical validity and clinical utility:
• The technologies used by the multiplex carrier screening tests are novel. Information about the test's performance, if available, is often provided completely by the laboratory marketing the test, which could be subject to bias.

• Some of the commonly included tests, such as beta-thalassemia and Tay-Sachs disease, have inexpensive and reliable screening tests available (CBC with RBC indices and hexosaminidase A enzyme activity, respectively) that are superior to genetic testing.

• Multiplex carrier screening tests typically include carrier screening for many diseases that have not been identified as appropriate for population-based carrier screening. They may also include disorders, such as hereditary hemochromatosis and factor V Leiden, which affect primarily adults and are generally manageable. These kinds of conditions do not meet the requirements for reproductive carrier screening programs.

Criteria: Test-specific Policies

Test-specific policies are available for some tests designed to predict carrier status. Please see the policy manual for a list of test-specific policies. For tests without a specific policy, use the General Coverage Guidance in Section 1.
Genetic Testing for Non-Medical Purposes

Description
While most traditional genetic tests are used for clear medical purposes, advances in gene discovery and genetic testing technology allow laboratories to offer genetic testing for other uses. Testing for paternity, ancestry, and non-disease traits such as baldness and eye color may be highly accurate and interesting. However, because these kinds of tests are not useful for medical management in the vast majority of cases, they are typically excluded from consideration.

Criteria: General Coverage Guidance
Any genetic test that DOES NOT meet the following criteria is excluded from consideration:

- **Technical and clinical validity**: The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.
- **Clinical utility**: Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care for the individual.
- **Reasonable use**: The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

Criteria: Test-specific Policies
The following types of testing are specifically excluded from consideration:

- **Genome-wide association studies (GWAs)**: testing a large number of genetic variations spread across the whole genome for disease associations, generally done for information outside of a specific clinical need or context
  - **Common** trade names: 23andMe, Navigenics, Pathway Genomics, deCODEme
- **Paternity testing**: testing to establish biological relationships, often between a father and child(ren) but sometimes to determine other kinds of relationships (siblings, grandparents, etc.)
- **Ancestry testing**: testing that helps people discover more about the genetic make-up of their ancestors, generally used by genealogists and those interested in family history
  - **Common** trade names: Ancestry.com, 23andMe, Pathway Genomics, Family Tree DNA, deCODEme
• **Non-disease trait testing**: testing for physical traits (e.g., eye color, hair color, male pattern baldness, and cellulite) that do not have associated health problems, or can be deemed cosmetic in nature.

• **Nutritional testing**: for variations in metabolism pathways that may suggest vitamin or other nutritional supplements.
  - Common trade names: MyDNAVitamins, GeneWise

• **Athletic ability**: Testing to predict athletic performance types.
  - Common trade names: Sports Gene, Athleticode

• Genetic testing related to dating services
  - Common trade names: Scientific Match, GenePartner
Genetic Testing for Prenatal Screening and Diagnostic Testing

Description
Prenatal screening and diagnostic testing is performed during pregnancy to identify fetuses at increased risk for or affected with genetic conditions and birth defects. Screening with ultrasound and maternal serum markers is routinely offered. Prenatal diagnosis by chorionic villus sampling or amniocentesis for chromosome abnormalities is available to all women. However, it is usually offered specifically to those at higher risk because of maternal age, a positive screen result, abnormal ultrasound findings, or known risk of a genetic condition based on family history. Investigations for fetal infection and blood antigen incompatibility may also be performed in the prenatal period. Results of testing are used to guide reproductive decision-making, pregnancy management and anticipatory management of the infant at birth.

Note: This policy does not include prenatal or preconception carrier screening or preimplantation genetic testing. Please refer to Genetic Testing for Carrier Status and Preimplantation Genetic Screening and Diagnosis for those purposes.

Criteria: General Coverage Guidance
Individuals may be considered for genetic testing for prenatal screening and diagnostic testing when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- **Technical and clinical validity:** The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.
- **Clinical utility:** Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care and/or assist patients with reproductive planning.
- **Reasonable use:** The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

Limits:
- Testing will only be covered for the number of genes or tests necessary to establish a prenatal diagnosis. A tiered approach to testing, with reflex to more detailed testing and/or different genes, will be required when clinically possible.
- Prenatal diagnostic testing will be allowed once per pregnancy. Exceptions may be considered if ambiguous results require retesting for clarification.
- If prenatal samples are studied concurrently with a maternal DNA sample to rule out prenatal analytic errors due to maternal cell contamination, a single unit of CPT code 81265 may be approved.
Criteria: Special Prenatal Diagnosis Circumstances

Each of the following policies addresses a group of tests that are used for similar purposes in pregnancy. Because a variety of tests may be used, but the circumstances that justify testing are the same, individual test-specific policies are not necessary.

Prenatal Diagnostic Testing Based on Family History

Prenatal genetic testing, generally by amniocentesis or CVS, for the diagnosis of a genetic condition is reasonable when the following conditions are met:

- The pregnancy is at an increased risk for a genetic disease because of ANY of the following:
  - At least one parent is known or suspected to be a carrier of a genetic condition based on the family history and/or previous carrier testing results; or
  - One or both parent(s) are affected with a genetic condition; or
  - A sibling is affected with a genetic condition; AND
- The genetic condition is associated with potentially severe disability or has a lethal natural history.

Fetal Infectious Disease Testing

Genetic testing may be used for the diagnosis of an infectious disease (e.g., cytomegalovirus, toxoplasmosis, parvovirus B19, and varicella zoster) in a fetus according to current guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Prenatal testing, generally by amniocentesis or CVS, is reasonable when ANY of the following conditions are met:

- Clinical signs and symptoms of a current infection in the mother; OR
- Serologic evidence of a current or recent infection in the mother (with or without clinical signs); OR
- Fetal abnormalities identified on ultrasound indicating an increased risk for a congenital infection

References


Blood Antigen Incompatibility Testing

Prenatal genetic testing, generally by amniocentesis, for the determination of blood antigen genotype is supported by current evidence-based recommendations from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Fetal antigen genotyping is reasonable when the following conditions are met:

- A positive erythrocyte antibody screen in the mother; AND EITHER
The father’s blood antigen genotype is known and indicates a risk for the fetus to be positive; OR
The father’s blood antigen genotype is not known and unavailable

Criteria: Test-specific Policies
Test-specific policies are available for some prenatal screening tests and diagnostic tests. Please see the policy manual for a list of test-specific policies. For tests without a specific policy, use the General Coverage Guidance in Section 1.
Genetic Testing for the Screening, Diagnosis, and Monitoring of Cancer

Description
Genetic testing for screening, diagnosis and monitoring of cancer refers to molecular diagnostic tests whose purposes include identifying the possible presence of cancer in asymptomatic, average risk individuals; confirming the absence or presence of cancer; and monitoring the absence or presence of cancer after a prior diagnosis and treatment.

Screening
The goal of cancer screening is to identify the possible presence of cancer before symptoms appear. Screening tests cannot diagnose cancer, but typically determine if there is an increased chance cancer is present, and triages individuals for more invasive, diagnostic testing. Most cancer screening does not include genetic testing, but instead relies on physical exam, radiological exams, or non-genetic laboratory tests. Advances in human genetics, however, have identified several molecular diagnostic tests that may provide clues for early cancer detection.

Diagnosis
When cancer is suspected because of an abnormal screening test or symptoms, blood tests for tumor markers or molecular testing on tissue samples can aid in confirming a diagnosis of cancer. These tests may contribute information to helping the clinician understand prognosis and treatment options.

Monitoring
During treatment, or after an apparently successful treatment, active monitoring is often recommended to identify if the cancer is responding to treatment or has returned or spread, before any symptoms appear. Monitoring may include increased surveillance or routine blood tests for tumor markers, and increasingly, molecular genetic tests.

- Tests used to determine hereditary cancer risk are covered separately as Genetic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility and Hereditary Cancer Syndromes.
- This policy does not address drug response to cancer, or testing to determine which therapies to use. Please refer to Pharmacogenomic Testing for Drug Toxicity and Response for that purpose.
- This policy does not address molecular tumor marker testing in solid tumors. Please refer to Tumor Marker Testing – Solid Tumors and Liquid Biopsy Testing – Solid Tumors for that purpose.
This policy does not address diagnostic or predictive testing for conditions other than non-inherited cancer. Refer to Genetic Testing to Diagnose Non-Cancer Conditions and Genetic Testing to Predict Disease Risk for those purposes.

Criteria: General Coverage Guidance

Individuals may be considered for genetic testing for screening, diagnosing, or monitoring cancer when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- **Technical and clinical validity**: The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.
- **Clinical utility**: Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care for the individual.
- **Reasonable use**: The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

Limits:

- Testing will be considered only for the number of genes or tests necessary. A tiered approach to testing, with reflex to more detailed testing and/or different genes, will be required when clinically possible.
- For tests that look for changes in germline DNA (i.e., not tumor DNA or viral DNA), testing will be allowed once per lifetime per gene. Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.

Criteria: Test-specific Policies

Test-specific policies are available for some tests designed to screen for, diagnose, or monitor cancer. Please see the policy manual for a list of test-specific policies. For tests without a specific policy, use the General Coverage Guidance in Section 1.
Genetic Testing to Diagnose Non-Cancer Conditions

Description
Diagnostic testing is performed in patients with clinical signs or symptoms of a non-cancer genetic condition. The genetic test may confirm or rule out a clinical diagnosis. In some cases, genetic testing is the gold standard for making a diagnosis based on evidence- or consensus-based guidelines. In others, it may be used to confirm a clinical diagnosis, offer prognostic information that impacts management, or rule out a diagnosis in the differential. Often, diagnostic testing of an affected individual will offer results that are relevant to the testing of other family members.

- This policy does not include risk assessment or predictive testing for at-risk, asymptomatic individuals. Please refer to Genetic Testing to Predict Disease Risk for that purpose.
- Diagnostic testing of a pregnancy or an embryo is covered by policies on Genetic Testing for Prenatal Screening and Diagnostic Testing and Preimplantation Genetic Screening and Diagnosis, respectively.
- In addition, testing for hereditary cancer syndromes is addressed separately under Genetic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility and Hereditary Cancer Syndromes.

Criteria: General Coverage Guidance
Individuals may be considered for diagnostic genetic testing when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- **Clinical signs and symptoms**: in the individual are consistent with the diagnosis in question.
- **Technical and clinical validity**: The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.
- **Clinical utility**: Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care for the individual.
- **Reasonable use**: The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

Limits:

- Testing will be considered only for the number of genes or tests necessary to establish carrier status. A tiered approach to testing, with reflex to more detailed testing and/or different genes, will be required when clinically possible.
• Diagnostic genetic testing will be allowed once per lifetime per condition. Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.

**Criteria: Special Circumstances:**

**Diagnostic testing of a child to inform reproductive planning and testing for parents or testing for siblings**

Diagnostic genetic testing may be requested in a symptomatic child with a known genetic condition. While diagnostic testing may not impact management of the affected child, the information gained from genetic testing may be needed to perform accurate carrier testing in the child’s parent(s) and/or genetic diagnosis in a sibling. *

In these circumstances, diagnostic genetic testing in the child may be considered when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- The diagnosis of the disease in the affected child is **certain or highly probable** based on clinical signs and symptoms, history, imaging, and/or results of other laboratory testing.
- The results of the genetic test in the symptomatic child must be **required** in order to perform accurate carrier testing in the child’s parent(s) and/or genetic diagnosis in a sibling.
- **Technical and clinical validity:** The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.
- **Clinical utility:** Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide informative genetic testing for the child’s parents and/or for a current or future at-risk pregnancy.
- **Reasonable use:** The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

**Limits:**

- Testing will be indicated only for the number of genes or tests necessary to establish the familial mutation(s). A tiered approach to testing, with reflex to more detailed testing and/or different genes, will be required when clinically possible.
- Diagnostic genetic testing will be allowed once per lifetime per condition. Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.

* Parent or sibling must also be a covered member under the same health plan.

**Criteria: Test-specific Policies**

Test-specific policies are available for some tests designed to diagnosis non-cancer conditions. Please see the policy manual for a list of test-specific policies. For tests without a specific policy, use the General Coverage Guidance in Section 1.
Genetic Testing to Predict Disease Risk

Description

Predictive genetic testing is performed in people known to be at increased risk of developing an inherited non-cancer condition (for the purposes of this policy) based on their family history. For some conditions, a positive genetic test predicts with certainty that the person will eventually develop signs and symptoms of a condition. For other conditions, a positive genetic test result indicates an increased risk (susceptibility) for a condition. A negative result may rule out a condition, or lower the risk significantly. Having test results may improve medical management through improved screening, preventive measures, prophylactic medication, and other means.

- This policy does not include testing of a symptomatic individual. Please refer to Genetic Testing to Diagnose Non-Cancer Conditions for that purpose.
- Predictive testing for hereditary cancer syndromes is addressed separately under Genetic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility and Hereditary Cancer Syndromes.

Criteria: General Coverage Guidance

Individuals may be considered for predictive genetic testing when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- The individual is **known to be at-risk** for developing inherited condition because a parent, sibling, or child is affected by or known to be a carrier of a genetic disease.
- **Technical and clinical validity:** The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.
- **Clinical utility:** Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care for the individual.
- **Reasonable use:** The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

Limits:

- Testing will be considered only for the number of genes or tests necessary to establish carrier status. A tiered approach to testing, with reflex to more detailed testing and/or different genes, will be required when clinically possible.
- Predictive genetic testing will be allowed once per lifetime per condition. Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.
- Predictive testing will be considered only for adult individuals (age 18 and over). Exceptions may be considered if there are medical management and/or significant psychosocial benefits to testing prior to adulthood.1,2
Criteria: Special circumstances

Testing for Known Familial Mutations

The genetic mutation(s) associated with a genetic disease can often be defined in an affected family member, allowing for testing of at-risk relatives for those specific mutations. Testing for known familial mutations may be considered when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- The mutations in the family have been **clearly defined** by previous genetic testing and **information about those mutations can be provided** to the testing lab.
- **Technical and clinical validity**: The test must be accurate, sensitive and specific to the familial mutations.
- **Clinical utility**: Healthcare providers can use the test results to provide significantly better medical care for the individual.
- **Reasonable use**: The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social or ethical challenges.

Limits:

- Testing will be considered only for the known familial mutations when clinically possible.
- Predictive genetic testing will be allowed once per lifetime per condition.
- Predictive testing will be considered only for adult individuals (age 18 and over). Exceptions may be considered if there are medical management and/or significant psychosocial benefits to testing prior to adulthood.1,2

Criteria: Test-specific Policies

Test-specific policies are available for some tests designed to predict disease risk. Please see the policy manual for a list of test-specific policies. For tests without a specific policy, use the General Coverage Guidance.
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Genetic Testing by Multigene Panels

### Procedure Code(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s)</th>
<th>Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genomic Sequencing Procedures</td>
<td>81410-81471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1 Molecular Pathology Procedures</td>
<td>81161-81383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2 Molecular Pathology Procedures</td>
<td>81400-81408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlisted Molecular Pathology Procedure</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What Are Multi-Gene Panels?

- Various methodologies can be used to identify potential disease-causing gene mutations. Gene sequencing involves evaluating each DNA nucleotide along the length of a gene. Full gene sequencing is the best approach when many different mutations in the same gene can cause the disorder.

- There are two main ways to sequence a gene:
  - Until recently, most sequencing tests used the Sanger sequencing methodology that was originally developed in the 1970s. Sanger sequencing is labor intensive and did not lend itself to high-throughput applications.¹
  - Next generation sequencing (NGS), also called massively parallel sequencing, has been developing since about 2005 to allow larger scale and more efficient gene sequencing. NGS relies on sequencing many copies of small pieces of DNA simultaneously and using bioinformatics to assemble the sequence.¹

- The efficiency of NGS has led to an increasing number of large, multi-gene testing panels:
  - NGS panels are particularly well-suited to conditions caused by more than one gene or where there is considerable clinical overlap between conditions making it difficult to reliably narrow down likely causes.
  - Panels including genes associated with a high risk of a condition are of greatest value since these mutation-positive results often lead to changes in medical management.
  - Panels may also include genes believed to be associated with a particular condition, but with a more modest impact on risk. Results for such genes are of less clear value because there often are not clear management recommendation for mutation-positive individuals.
Laboratories offer panel testing for multiple genes at the same time in an effort to increase the likelihood of finding a causative gene mutation in a more efficient manner. Such testing may be performed for diagnostic or predictive purposes.

- Diagnostic testing is performed in patients with clinical signs or symptoms of a genetic condition. The genetic test may confirm or rule out a clinical diagnosis. However, many genetic conditions have overlapping features, which can make determining appropriate genetic testing difficult. The use of clinical and family history information may not always lead to a likely diagnosis for an individual. In some cases, many genes may be candidates for a person’s symptoms. In these cases, testing one gene at a time may be time-consuming and costly. It may also lead to a situation where a mutation is missed in another gene that was not tested.²

- Predictive genetic testing is performed in people known to be at increased risk of developing an inherited condition based on their family history. For some conditions, a positive genetic test predicts with certainty that the person will eventually develop signs and symptoms of a condition. For other conditions, a positive genetic test result indicates an increased risk (susceptibility) for a condition. Without a specific known mutation running in the family, a negative result rarely rules out a condition. Having test results may improve medical management through improved screening, preventive measures (e.g. prophylactic medication, surgery) and other means. In order to better define a person’s risk, it is preferable to first test someone in the family who is affected.

Test Information

- Multi-gene panel tests, even for similar clinical scenarios, vary considerably in the genes that are included and in technical specifications (e.g. depth of coverage, extent of intron/exon boundary analysis, methodology of large deletion/duplication analysis). Therefore, technologies used in multi-gene testing may fail to identify mutations that might be identifiable through single-gene testing.

- If high clinical suspicion remains for a particular syndrome after negative multi-gene test results, consultation with the testing lab and/or additional targeted genetic testing may be warranted.

- Results may be obtained that cannot be adequately interpreted based on the current knowledgebase. When a sequence variation is identified that has not been previously characterized or shown to cause the disorder in question, it is called a variant of uncertain significance (VUS). VUSs are relatively common findings when sequencing large amounts of DNA with NGS.³

- Since genes can be easily added or removed from multi-gene tests over time by a given lab, medical records must document which genes were included in the specific multi-gene test used from each patient, and in which labs they were performed.

- Tests should be chosen that maximize the likelihood of identifying mutations in the genes of interest.
Guidelines and Evidence

- The American College of Medical Genetics has a policy statement that offers general guidance on the clinical application of large-scale sequencing focusing primarily on whole exome and whole genome testing. However, some of the recommendations regarding counseling around unexpected results and variants of unknown significance and minimum requirements for reporting apply to many applications of NGS sequencing applications.4

Criteria

- This guideline applies to multi-gene panel testing, which is defined as any assay that simultaneously tests for more than one gene associated with a condition. The testing may focus on sequence variants and/or deletions/duplications of those genes. Panels vary in scope, such as:
  - Panels consisting of multiple genes that are associated with one specific genetic condition (e.g. Noonan syndrome,5 Stickler syndrome,6 etc.)
  - Panels consisting of multiple genes that are associated with a symptom or non-specific presentation (e.g. epilepsy, intellectual disability,7 hearing loss, retinal disorders, etc.)
- Coverage determinations generally rely on the medical necessity of the components of a panel. A panel approach to testing is most compelling when:
  - Multiple genes are known to cause the same condition and a limited subset of genes does not account for the majority of disease-causing mutations.
  - The clinical presentation is highly suspicious for a genetic disorder, but the constellation of findings in the personal or family history does not suggest a specific diagnosis or limited set of conditions.
- Multiple policies may apply, including test-specific policies where they exist or the following clinical use policies:
  - Genetic Testing to Diagnose Non-Cancer Conditions
  - Genetic Testing to Predict Disease Risk
- Panel coding and billing should reflect the efficiency gains for the laboratory in testing multiple candidate genes simultaneously. Currently, laboratories are billing for panels in a variety of ways. When a panel approach to testing is determined to be medically necessary, the following billing guidelines will apply:
  - Panel is to billed with a single panel-specific code (e.g., Genomic Sequencing Procedure or GSP) or single unit of the unlisted molecular pathology code 81479:
    - The billed amount should not exceed the list price of the test.
  - Panel is to be billed with multiple procedure codes representing individual genes analyzed:
    - If a more specific code exists that adequately describes the requested panel, the panel will be redirected to the more specific code (e.g., a genomic sequencing procedure code), or
If no more specific code exists, the panel will be redirected to a single unit of the unlisted molecular pathology code 81479, which can be used to represent a panel in total, or

If the laboratory will not accept redirection to a single code, the medical necessity of each billed component procedure will be assessed independently. Only the individual panel components that meet medical necessity criteria as a first tier of testing will be reimbursed. The remaining individual components will not be reimbursable, and

The billed amount should not exceed the list price of the test.

The following general principles apply:

- Broad symptom-based panels (e.g. comprehensive ataxia panel) are not medically necessary when a narrower panel is available and more appropriate based on the clinical findings (e.g. autosomal dominant ataxia panel).
- More than one multi-gene panel should not be necessary at the same time. Multi-gene panel testing should be performed in a tiered fashion with independent justification for each panel requested.
- If more than ten units of any combination of procedure codes will be billed as part of a panel with no stated differential, the panel will be deemed excessive and not medically necessary.
- Genetic testing is only necessary once per lifetime. Therefore, a single gene included in a panel or a multi-gene panel may not be reimbursed if testing has been performed previously. Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.
- Whole exome/genome sequencing is considered to be experimental/investigational even when billed as a panel.

This guideline may not apply to multi-gene panel testing for indications that are addressed in test-specific guidelines. Please see the test-specific list of guidelines for a complete list of test-specific panel guidelines.

Other Considerations:

- If a panel was previously performed and an updated, larger panel is being requested, only testing for the medically necessary, previously untested genes will be reimbursable. Therefore, only the most appropriate procedure codes for those additional genes will be considered for reimbursement.
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Investigational and Experimental Molecular/Genomic Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investigational and experimental tests that make use of molecular and/or genomic technologies</td>
<td>81479, 84999, 81599, Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

Molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests are routinely released to market that make use of novel technologies or have a novel clinical application. These tests are often available on a clinical basis long before the evidence base required to support clinical validity and utility is established. Because these tests are often proprietary, there may be no independent test evaluation data available in the early stages to support the laboratory's claims regarding test performance and utility.

An experimental or investigational procedure is generally defined as the use of a service, supply, drug or device that is not recognized as standard medical care for the condition, disease, illness or injury being treated as determined by the health plan based on independent review of peer reviewed literature and scientific data. Investigational and experimental (I&E) MolGen tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition. In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.

As new MolGen tests become commercially available, the evidence base is reviewed. Tests determined to be investigational/experimental by the Health Plan are catalogued in this policy. When the evidence base for any test becomes significant enough, a separate, test-specific policy will be created. MolGen tests determined to be investigational and/or experimental are excluded from coverage. Note that a single CPT/HCPCS code may describe more than one MolGen test. Some tests under a single code may be covered while others are determined to be I&E.
Criteria
This section catalogues some, but not all, molecular and genomic tests that have been determined to be investigational or experimental. I&E tests may also be addressed in test-specific policies and the reader is referred to those documents for additional information. Given the rate of new tests becoming clinically available, tests that will be I&E may not yet be addressed in this policy but such decisions will be made upon individual case review.

Novel Oncology Molecular/Genomic Tests

The following tests used in the screening, diagnosis, prognostication, and/or treatment decision-making for various neoplasms are not covered.

Gene Expression Assays:

- **BluePrint Molecular Subtyping Profile** [Proprietary 80-gene expression signature to classify Basal-type, Luminal-type and ERBB2-type breast cancers by Agendia]
- **Breast Cancer Index(SM) (BCI)** [Proprietary biomarker profile to assess distant breast cancer recurrence from BioTheranostics]
- **ColonSentry** [Proprietary 7-gene signature to detect colorectal cancer from Innovative Diagnostic Laboratory]
- **ColoPrint** [Proprietary 18-gene signature to assess colon cancer recurrence risk from Agendia]
- **DecisionDx- Cutaneous Melanoma assay** [Proprietary 31-gene signature to assess melanoma metastatic risk from Castle Biosciences]
- **DecisionDx-UM assay** [Proprietary 15-gene signature to assess uveal/ocular melanoma metastatic risk from Castle Biosciences]
- **NETest** [Proprietary 51-gene expression assay for neuroendocrine tumors by Wren Laboratories] CPT code 0007M
- **OncotypeDX Breast Cancer Assay DCIS** [Proprietary 12-gene expression assay to predict the risk of DCIS local recurrence from Genomic Health]
- **Pervenio Lung NGSTest** [Proprietary 25-gene expression assay for risk stratification of early stage NSCLC from Life Technologies]
- **ThyGenX** [Proprietary 8-gene expression assay to identify thyroid nodule malignancy from Interpace Diagnostics]
- **ThyraMIR** [Proprietary 10miRNA gene expression classifier used in combination with ThyGenX to identify thyroid nodule malignancy from Interpace Diagnostics]

Other Novel Assays:

- **ChemoFX** [Proprietary test from Helomics to assess chemosensitivity] CPT 81535 and 81536
- **HeproDX** [Proprietary test of 161 genes to detect hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) recurrence, metastasis, and risk stratification by Gopath Laboratories] CPT code 0006M
• **Knowerror** [Proprietary test for DNA based specimen provenance confirmation by Strand Diagnostics]

• **miRInform Pancreas Test** [Proprietary score based on expression levels of seven microRNAs to differentiate pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma from chronic pancreatitis provided by Asuragen]

• **NADiA ProsVue** [Proprietary nucleic acid detection immunoassay designed to determine the rate of change of serum total prostate specific antigen over time to predict prostate cancer recurrence risk from Iris Personalized Medicine]

• **Ova1** [Proprietary five biomarker panel to predict malignancy risk of gynecological mass from Vermillion] CPT code 81503

• **PancraGen (previously PathFinderTG)** [Proprietary topographic genotyping assay to be used when a definitive pathologic diagnosis cannot be made from RedPath Integrated Pathology]

• **PAULA** [Proprietary panel of four proteins designed to detect lung cancer in asymptomatic individuals at high risk from Genesys Biolabs]

• **Prostate Core Mitomic Test** [Proprietary test using mitochondrial DNA to detect prostate cancer not identified by standard biopsy pathology from MDNA Life Sciences]

• **ProstaVysion** [Proprietary panel of two biomarkers designed to predict prostate cancer prognosis from Bostwick Laboratories]

• **ROMA Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm** [Proprietary test using the combination of CA125 + HE4 antigens to assess the likelihood of malignancy before surgery; test kit from Fujirebio Diagnostics, Inc. and offered by several reference laboratories] CPT code 81500

• **mi-KIDNEYCancer Test** [Proprietary microRNA-based assay that differentiates 4 main histological types of primary kidney tumors from Rosetta Genomics]

• **mi-LUNG Cancer Test** [Proprietary microRNA-based assay that identifies four main subtypes of lung cancer from Rosetta Genomics]

---

**Cardiovascular Molecular/Genomic Tests**

The following tests used to predict cardiovascular disease and/or direct therapy are not covered.

• **4q25-AF Risk Genotype Test** (rs2200733 allele)

• **9p21 Genotype Test** (rs10757278 and rs1333049 alleles)

• **Apolipoprotein E Genotype** (APOE)

• **C-GAAP** (Clopidogrel Genetic Absorption Activation Panel) [Proprietary test from Transgenomic Lab, includes ABCB1 and CYP2C19 gene variants]

• **KIF6 Genotype Test**

• **LPA-Aspirin Genotype Test** (4399Met allele)

• **LPA-Intron 25 Genotype Test**

• **Statin Induced Myopathy Genotype** (SLCO1B1)
Gene Variant or Marker Risk Assessment Tests

The following tests that make use of inherited genomic information to assess disease risk, prognosis, or subtyping are not covered.

- **ARISk Autism Risk Assessment Test** [Proprietary test from IntegraGen]
- **BREVAGen** [Proprietary sporadic breast cancer risk based on genetic markers by Phenogen Sciences]
- **Cardiac DNAInsight** [Proprietary test from Pathway Genomics that assesses genetic markers for a cardiac-related conditions]
- **Crohn’s prognostic test** [NOD2/CARD15 gene variant testing]
- **IBD sgi Diagnostic** [Proprietary test from Prometheus with genomic components including ATG16L1, STAT3, NKKX2-3, and ECM1 gene variants.]
- **Lacto** [Proprietary test from Prometheus that assesses the hypolactasia C/T genetic variant]
- **Macula Risk** [Proprietary test from ArcticDx to predict risk of age-related macular degeneration progression]
- **Pathway Fit** [Proprietary test from Pathway Genomics that focuses on metabolism, diet, and exercise traits]
- **RetnaGene AMD** [Proprietary test from Sequenom CMM to predict risk of wet AMD progression]
- **ScoliScore** [Proprietary test for progressive and protective genes designed to estimate the risk for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis progression from Transgenomic] – CPT code 0004M

Pharmacogenomic Panels

- **5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) Toxicity and Chemotherapeutic Response** [Proprietary panel of DPYD and TYMS gene variants to assess risk of 5-fluorouracil toxicity from ARUP Laboratory]
- **Genecept Assay** [Proprietary panel of biomarker tests to predict response to different psychiatric treatments from Genomind]
- **GeneSightRx ADHD** [Proprietary test from AssureRx assessing three genes]
- **GeneSightRx Analgesic** [Proprietary test from AssureRx assessing two genes]
- **GeneSightRx Psychotropic** [Proprietary test from AssureRx assessing six genes]
- **Mental Health DNA Insight** [Proprietary test from Pathway Genomics]
- **Pain Medication DNA Insight** [Proprietary test from Pathway Genomics]

Non-cancer Gene Expression Assays

- **Renal Transplant Monitoring (FOXP3, Granzyme B, Perforin, IP10)** [Gene expression panel that is an indirect indicator of immune response designed to detect or monitor renal transplant rejection from Quest Diagnostics]
- **VectraDA** [Proprietary panel of 12 biomarkers that yields a rheumatoid arthritis disease activity score from Crescendo Bioscience] CPT 81490
Pharmacogenomic Testing for Drug Toxicity and Response

Description
For the purposes of this policy, pharmacogenomic tests are performed to assess a person’s response to therapy or risk for toxicity from drug treatment. Testing may be performed prior to treatment, in order to determine if the individual has genetic differences that could affect drug response and/or increase the risk for adverse drug reactions. Testing may also be performed during treatment, to assess whether an individual is having an adequate response or to investigate the cause of an unusual or adverse reaction.

Criteria: General Coverage Guidance
Pharmacogenomic tests may be indicated when ALL of the following conditions are met:

- The individual is currently taking or considering treatment with a drug that has an associated pharmacogenomic test.

- Technical and clinical validity: The test must be accurate, sensitive, and specific, based on sufficient, quality scientific evidence to support the claims of the test.

- Clinical utility: Healthcare providers can use the test results to guide changes in drug therapy management.

- Reasonable use: The usefulness of the test is not significantly offset by negative factors, such as expense, clinical risk, or social, or ethical challenges.

Limits:
- Testing will be covered only for the number of genes or tests necessary to establish drug response. When available and cost-efficient, a tiered approach to testing, with reflex to more detailed testing and/or different genes, is recommended.

- For pharmacogenomic tests that look for changes in germline DNA (i.e., not tumor DNA or viral DNA), testing will be allowed once per lifetime per gene. Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.

Criteria: Special Circumstances
Exclusions: Coverage for some tests may be excluded from the plan's benefit. These tests may be considered investigational or are not supported by existing evidence, professional
The following pharmacogenomic tests are typically not a covered benefit.\textsuperscript{1-8} This list is not intended to be all inclusive.

- 5HT2C (Serotonin Receptor) gene variants
- Ankyrin G gene variants
- COMT (Catechol Methyl Transferase) gene variants
- CYP450 gene variants (including, but not limited to CYP1A2, CYP2D6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP3A4, CYP3A5) for psychotherapeutic, cardiovascular, or general drug response
- CYP2C19 testing for the management of *H. pylori*
- DRD2 (Dopamine Receptor) gene variants
- KIF6 gene variants
- MTHFR gene variants
- NAT2 gene variants
- OPRM1 gene variants
- SLC6A4 (5-HTTLPR) serotonin transporter variants

**Criteria: Test-specific Policies**

Test-specific policies are available for some pharmacogenomic tests. Please see the policy manual for a list of test-specific policies. For tests without a specific policy, use the General Coverage Guidance provided in Section 1.

**References**


Molecular and Genetic Test-Specific Policies
4Kscore for Prostate Cancer Risk Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4Kscore for Prostate Cancer</td>
<td>81539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What Is 4Kscore Testing for Prostate Cancer?**

- Prostate cancer is the most common cancer among men, with over 200,000 new cases identified each year in the United States. The median age at diagnosis is 66 years. Older men are more likely to be affected than younger men, and African American men have higher rates compared to men of other ethnic backgrounds.

- Screening programs for prostate cancer allow for its early detection. Screening is typically performed by prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test and digital rectal examination (DRE).

- Diagnosis is confirmed by prostate biopsy. Biopsy is typically performed by a collection approximately 12 needle biopsy cores.

- Initial biopsies only detect 65-77% of prostate cancers, and repeat biopsies are frequently performed. The false negative rate of biopsy may be as high as 25%.

- The 4Kscore Test (OPKO Lab) is an assay that uses total PSA, free PSA, intact PSA, and Human Kallikrein 2 in the blood and clinical factors to come up with a risk score that is associated with a person's risk of aggressive prostate cancer.

**Test Information**

- 4Kscore uses a blood sample to measure total PSA, free PSA, intact PSA, and Human Kallikrein 2. These measurements in combination with patient age, digital rectal exam, and negative previous biopsy status are used to come up with a risk score.

- The 4Kscore test is reported as a percent between <1% to >95%. This corresponds to the chance of having aggressive cancer in a prospective biopsy.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines for Prostate Cancer Early Detection state the following:
“Those patients with negative prostate biopsies should be followed with DRE and PSA. Tests that improve specificity in the post-biopsy state-including 4Kscore, PHI, percent free PSA, PCA3, and ConfirmMDx—should be considered in patients thought to be higher risk despite a negative prostate biopsy.”

“Biomarkers that improve the specificity of detection are not recommended as first line screening tests. However, there may be some patients who meet PSA standard for consideration of prostate biopsy, but for whom the patient and/or physician wish to further define the probability of high-grade cancer. A percent free PSA <10%, PHI >35 or 4K score (which provides an estimate of the probability of high-grade prostate cancer) are potentially informative in patients who have never undergone biopsy or after a negative biopsy; a PCA3 score >35 is potentially informative after a negative biopsy.”

**Criteria**

Coverage for 4Kscore will be granted when the following criteria are met:

- No previous 4Kscore testing performed after the most recent negative biopsy when a result was successfully obtained, AND
- No previous ConfirmMDx testing on the most recent negative biopsy when a result was successfully obtained, AND
- Member is not under active surveillance for low stage prostate cancer, AND
- Negative prostate biopsy within the past 24 months, AND
- Member is considered at higher risk for prostate cancer by one or more of the following:
  - Family history of 1st degree relative with prostate cancer diagnosed younger than age 65 years,6,11,12,13 and/or
  - African American race,6,11,12,13 and/or
  - Known mutation in a gene associated with increased risk of prostate cancer (e.g. BRCA1/2, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, EPCAM)6,11

**References**


10. 4Kscore website. Available at: [http://4kscore.opko.com/](http://4kscore.opko.com/)


What Are CML and BCR-ABL?

- Chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) is a hematopoietic stem cell disease that results in overgrowth of white blood cells in the bone marrow. It is defined by the presence of the Philadelphia chromosome (Ph), a translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22 that results in the fusion of two genes known as BCR and ABL.\(^1\)\(^2\)
- Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is a different form of leukemia, but may also be positive for the Philadelphia chromosome (Ph\(^+\)). About 3% of pediatric ALL and 25% of adult ALL is Ph\(^+\).\(^3\)
- Detection of the BCR-ABL fusion gene is diagnostic for CML and Ph\(^+\) ALL and can be established by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) or quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).\(^2\)
- The three phases of CML are chronic, accelerated and blastic. In the chronic phase, there are few symptoms and most people are diagnosed after a routine blood test reveals the characteristic blood count and differential. If not treated, the disease will progress to the accelerated and blastic phases, symptoms of which include fever, bone pain, splenomegaly, fatigue and weakness.\(^1\)
- First line treatment for CML and some Ph\(^+\) ALL is with a class of drugs called tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), which block the production of the BCR-ABL fusion gene protein product. Three TKI therapies are available as first-line therapies: imatinib (Gleevec\textsuperscript{®}), nilotinib (Tasigna\textsuperscript{®}), and dasatinib (Sprycel\textsuperscript{®}). These TKI therapies have all demonstrated proven benefit, and median survival is expected to approach normal life expectancy for most patients with CML.\(^1\)\(^2\)
- Monitoring of patients for treatment response to TKIs includes routine measurement of the BCR-ABL fusion gene protein product via qPCR prior to initiation of treatment and during treatment every 3 months.\(^2\)
- For individuals who display apparent treatment resistance, consideration of alternative treatment options may be appropriate.\(^2\) Treatment resistance in both CML and ALL can be caused by mutations in the BCR-ABL kinase domain.\(^2\)\(^3\)
Test Information

- ABL1 tyrosine kinase domain mutation analysis is performed on a blood or bone marrow aspirate sample.
- Testing is performed by either:
  - Targeted mutation analysis for specific resistance variants, such as T315I
  - Sequencing of the entire ABL1 tyrosine kinase domain

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016)\(^2\) for CML states:
  - BCR-ABL kinase domain analysis should be performed when:
  - "Chronic phase:
    - Inadequate initial response to TKI therapy (lack of PCyR or BCR-ABL1 > 10% (IS) at 3 and 6 months or less than a CCyR or BCR-ABL1 > 1% (IS) at 12 months).
    - Any sign of loss of response (defined as hematologic or cytogenetic relapse)
    - 1-log increase in BCR-ABL1 transcript levels and loss of MMR
    - Disease progression to accelerated or blast phase."
  - Mutational analysis is helpful in the selection of subsequent TKI therapy for patients with inadequate initial response to first-line or second-line TKI therapy. Mutational analysis would also be helpful to identify a subgroup of patients who demand careful monitoring (as these patients are at a higher risk of progression) and the subset of patients who will be eligible for allogeneic HSCT.
  - These recommendations are category 2A: "based on lower-level evidence and there is non-uniform NCCN consensus (but no major disagreement)"

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016)\(^3\) for ALL states:
  - ABL gene mutation testing should be considered for all Ph+ ALL in adolescents, young adults, and adults (AYA).
  - These recommendations are category 2A: "based on lower-level evidence and there is non-uniform NCCN consensus (but no major disagreement)"

Criteria

BCR-ABL kinase domain mutation analysis is indicated in:

- Individuals with CML who have:
  - Inadequate initial response to TKI therapy (lack of PCyR or BCR-ABL1 > 10% (IS) at 3 and 6 months or less than a CCyR or BCR-ABL1 > 1% (IS) at 12 months), or
  - Any sign of loss of response (hematologic or cytogenetic relapse), or
  - A 1-log increase in BCR-ABL1 transcript levels and loss of MMR, or
  - Disease progression to accelerated or blast phase, OR
- Individuals with Ph+ ALL.
• Note that BCR-ABL kinase domain mutation analysis is not indicated in other cancer types for which tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy may be considered
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Afirma Gene Expression Classifier for Thyroid Cancer

MOL.TS.122.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afirma Gene Expression Classifier</td>
<td>81545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF V600 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is the Afirma® Gene Expression Classifier for Thyroid Cancer?

- The Afirma GEC is based on a gene expression classifier that uses FNA samples for determining the risk of malignancy in thyroid nodules previously diagnosed as cytologically indeterminate (i.e. not clearly benign or malignant) that would otherwise be recommended for diagnostic thyroid surgery.¹
- Palpable thyroid nodules are often evaluated using fine needle aspiration (FNA) to rule out malignancy. In 15-30% of cases, the result is indeterminate.² Cytologically indeterminate nodules may then be referred for diagnostic surgery; however, 70-80% have benign results.³ ⁴
- In order to help avoid unnecessary diagnostic surgeries, gene expression testing may be used to further characterize these nodules as benign or suspicious for cancer.
- The Afirma GEC is intended for cytologically indeterminate FNA biopsy samples including atypia of undetermined significance/follicular lesion of undetermined significance (AUS/FLUS), and follicular or Hürthle Cell Neoplasms.⁵
- When indicated, the Afirma GEC must be used in conjunction with cytopathology, ultrasound assessment, and other clinical factors to determine a patient’s risk of thyroid cancer and the necessity of thyroid surgery.⁵
- Afirma GEC results correlate with the postoperative surgical pathology,⁵ which may guide the decision to observe the patient’s nodule in lieu of surgical resection.⁶
- Based on the results of the Afirma GEC, additional testing may be requested or performed, such as the Afirma Malignancy Classifiers, BRAF and MTC. See the Test Information section for more information regarding these tests.

Test Information

- Full Afirma GEC testing may include a combination of cytopathology and gene expression testing. This policy addresses only the gene expression testing component.
  - An FNA sample can be submitted for cytopathology assessment.
  - If the cytopathology diagnosis is benign or malignant, the analysis is complete.
If the cytopathology diagnosis is indeterminate, the Gene Expression Classifier is performed.

- The Afirma GEC measures the gene expression levels of 142 genes from FNA biopsy specimens. These 142 genes are correlated with histologically benign thyroid nodules that were previously diagnosed as cytologically indeterminate in two prospective multicenter clinical validation studies. A retrospective multicenter study confirmed originally published Afirma Gene Expression Classifier test performance.

- The Afirma GEC result is reported as benign or suspicious for malignancy.
  - An Afirma GEC benign result has a negative predictive value of 95% (i.e. a risk of malignancy of 5% or less).
  - Afirma GEC Suspicious for Malignancy results have a positive predictive value for malignancy of 38%.

- Afirma Malignancy Classifiers:
  - The Afirma Malignancy Classifiers, BRAF and MTC, are intended to help guide surgical decisions when the cytopathology or Afirma GEC result suggests the patient should be considered for surgery.
  - The Afirma BRAF test is intended to detect the BRAF V600E mutation. This test is done either on malignant or suspicious for malignancy cytopathology or if the GEC result is suspicious.
  - The Afirma Medullary Thyroid Cancer (MTC) test is intended to help identify medullary thyroid carcinoma before surgery. This test is done either on malignant or suspicious for malignancy cytopathology or in conjunction with the GEC at the time of an indeterminate results.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) Thyroid Carcinoma Guidelines incorporate the use of molecular tests in the evaluation of indeterminate thyroid nodules (category 2B). For FNA results consistent with Follicular or Hürthle Cell Neoplasms, or atypia of undetermined significance/follicular lesion of undetermined significance (AUS/FLUS) with a "High clinical suspicion of malignancy", they state:
  - "The diagnosis of follicular carcinoma or Hürthle cell carcinoma requires evidence of either vascular or capsular invasion, which cannot be determined by FNA. Molecular diagnostics may be useful to allow reclassification of follicular lesions (i.e., follicular neoplasm, atypia of undetermined significance (AUS), follicular lesions of undetermined significance (FLUS)) as either more or less likely to be benign or malignant based on the genetic profile….If molecular testing, in conjunction with clinical and ultrasound features, predicts a risk of malignancy comparable to the risk of malignancy seen with a benign FNA cytology (approximately 5% or less), consider observation."
Criteria

Afirma Gene Expression Classifier (GEC)

- Testing Multiple Samples:
  - The Afirma GEC is reimbursed only once per date of service regardless of the number of nodules submitted for testing, and
  - The Afirma GEC is indicated only once per thyroid nodule per lifetime.

- Required Clinical Characteristics:
  - Afirma GEC is indicated for thyroid nodules with indeterminate FNA results that are included in the following cytopathology categories:
    - Atypia of undetermined significance/follicular lesion of undetermined significance (AUS/FLUS), or
    - Follicular or Hürthle cell neoplasm, and
  - The patient is not undergoing thyroid surgery for diagnostic confirmation.

- Required Testing Process:
  - If FNA of a nodule is indicated to evaluate for malignancy, and the sample is sent to Veracyte for cytopathology, the gene expression classifier is only indicated when the result is indeterminate, and
  - Supporting documentation of an appropriate indeterminate cytology result will be required for payment.

Afirma Malignancy Classifiers

- Afirma MTC
  - Afirma MTC testing will be covered if it is performed as part of the GEC as outlined above, and
  - The Afirma MTC testing must be billed as part of the Afirma GEC. The Afirma MTC may not be billed separately using an additional unit or procedure code.

- Afirma BRAF V600E
  - Afirma BRAF testing may be considered for either GEC or FNA suspicious or malignant results. See Tumor Marker Testing – Solid Tumors policy for criteria.
  - Afirma BRAF testing in conjunction with a GEC Indeterminate result will not be covered.
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AlloMap Gene Expression Profiling
For Heart Transplant Rejection

MOL.TS.123.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiology (heart transplant), mRNA,</td>
<td>81595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene expression profiling by real-time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantitative PCR of 20 genes (11 content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and 9 housekeeping)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is AlloMap?
- AlloMap is a non-invasive blood test that is designed to help identify heart transplant recipients with stable allograft function who have a low probability of moderate/severe acute cellular rejection at the time of testing. AlloMap is designed to help providers obtain this information without the use of endomyocardial biopsy. While endomyocardial biopsy is currently the standard of care for heart transplant recipients, it is an invasive procedure with associated risks.
- AlloMap is a panel of 20 genes. The assay uses gene expression of RNA isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells.
- Using data from the gene expression of these genes, an AlloMap score is calculated. The lower the score, the lower the probability of acute cellular rejection at the time of testing.
- AlloMap is intended for use in heart transplant recipients 15 years of age or older who are at least 2 months post heart transplant.

Test Information
- The AlloMap assay measures the gene expression of RNA of 20 genes. 11 of these genes are thought to be informative for the assay, while the remaining 9 are used for quality control.
- The data collected from these genes is translated into a risk score. Scores range from 0-40 and are compared to post-transplant patients in the same post-transplant period. The lower the score, the lower the probability of acute cellular rejection at the time of testing.

Guidelines and Evidence
- The International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation (2010) stated the following:
  - “Gene Expression Profiling (AlloMap) can be used to rule out of the presence of acute cellular rejection (ACR) of grade 2R or greater in appropriate low risk patients, between 6 months and 5 years after HT.”
In 2008, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) cleared AlloMap as a Class II Medical Device.³

The EIMAGE (Early Invasive Monitoring Attenuation through Gene Expression) study (2015)⁴ was conducted as a single-center randomized parallel 2-arm interventional study (n=60). This study compared AlloMap with heart biopsy in the first year post transplant.

- Study population consisted of patients at > 55 days post-transplant.
- Incidence of composite primary outcome in both groups was not statistically significant.
- The need for biopsy was reduced in the AlloMap monitoring group: 42 biopsies were performed in the AlloMap group vs. 253 in the biopsy group. 29/42 of the biopsies performed in the AlloMap group were a direct result of the elevated AlloMap score.

The IMAGE (Invasive Monitoring Attenuation through Gene Expression) study (2010)⁵ serves as the first randomized, prospective trial (n=602) comparing AlloMap head-to-head with rejection monitoring by endomyocardial biopsy, the current standard of care. The study included patients who were clinically stable, 18 years of age or older, and at least 6 months post-transplant.

Results of this study indicated:
- Rates of adverse events (primary outcome: rejection, graft dysfunction, death) were the same in low-risk patients monitored with AlloMap vs. traditional graft biopsy.
- The need for biopsy was reduced in the AlloMap monitoring group (since those with low scores did not get biopsies): 409 biopsies were performed in the AlloMap group vs. 1249 in the biopsy group.
- However, limitations of this study were acknowledged by the authors. These limitations include:⁵
  - A study population that was likely significantly skewed toward patients at lower risk of rejection
  - Wide statistical margins for comparing AlloMap vs. biopsy
  - Primary endpoint measures that included events that may not have been due to rejection

The authors conclude that "gene expression profiling of peripheral blood specimens may offer a reasonable alternative to routine biopsies, for monitoring cardiac-transplant recipients for rejection if the interval since transplantation is at least 6 months and the patient is considered to be low risk for rejection."⁵

Crespo-Leiro et al (2015)⁶ conducted a study to examine the ability of AlloMap score variability to predict future events. They found that at a score variability of 0.6, the negative predictive value increased to 97% and the positive predictive value decreased to 23.3%. The authors concluded that “GEP score variability may be helpful in estimating probability of future adverse events”
Deng et al (2014) conducted a study to examine the use of AlloMap score variability to predict clinical events in heart transplant recipients. They found that AlloMap score variability had a predictive accuracy of 0.69. They concluded, “the variability of gene expression profiling scores from an individual may help predict the risk of clinically defined future allograft dysfunction or death in the individual.”

Limitations of the Crespo-Leiro and Deng studies: These studies attempt to demonstrate the value of AlloMap scores for prognostic purposes. However, the studies are retrospective analyses of prospective studies and have notable limitations which marginalize their ability to effectively demonstrate clinical utility.

Criteria
Coverage for AlloMap for acute cellular rejection monitoring will be granted when ALL of the following criteria are met:

- Member meets ALL of the following:
  - Member is not acutely symptomatic, and
  - Member does not have recurrent rejection, defined as having a documented prior rejection and currently having signs/symptoms of rejection, and
  - Member is not currently receiving >20 mg of daily oral prednisone, and
  - Member has not received high-dose intravenous corticosteroids or myeloablative therapy in the past 21 days, and
  - Member has not received blood products or hematopoietic growth factors in the past 30 days, and
  - Member is not pregnant, and
  - Member is > 2 months post-transplant, and
  - Member is < 5 years post-transplant, and
  - Member has not received high-dose intravenous corticosteroids or myeloablative therapy in the past 21 days, and
  - Member is not pregnant,
  - Member is >15 years of age

Exclusions and Other Considerations
- The use of AlloMap for prognostic purposes is specifically excluded by this policy. Studies on the ability of the test to predict future clinical events do not provide enough evidence to warrant coverage at this time.
- AlloMap may be used as a substitute for endomyocardial biopsy in surveillance of stable patients. Exceptions to the following testing frequencies may be considered as warranted by an individual patient’s clinical presentation, such as current signs/symptoms of rejection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months post-transplant</th>
<th>Frequency of AlloMap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-6 months</td>
<td>every 2-4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6-12 months</td>
<td>every 2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12-24 months</td>
<td>every 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;24-60 months</td>
<td>every 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60 months</td>
<td>every 12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Alpha-1-Antitrypsin Deficiency Testing

**What Is Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency?**

- Alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency (AATD) is inherited in an autosomal recessive manner. It results from mutations in the SERPINA1 gene, which codes for the enzyme alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT).\(^1\)
- It is estimated that 1 in 5000 to 1 in 7000 people in North America have AATD.\(^1\) However, AATD is an under recognized condition, with estimates that only about 10% of those affected are actually diagnosed.\(^3\)
- The most common clinical manifestation is chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), particularly emphysema.\(^1-3\) Smoking is a major environmental risk factor for lung disease in AATD, increasing the risk for emphysema by 1000-fold.\(^2\)
- AATD also increases the risk for neonatal/childhood liver disease (manifested by obstructive jaundice and hyperbilirubinemia) and early onset adult liver disease (usually cirrhosis and fibrosis).\(^1\)
- AATD may first be suspected based on reduced serum levels of alpha-1 antitrypsin. Confirmatory testing includes either protease inhibitor typing or genetic testing for common mutations.\(^1\)

**Test Information**

- **Protease Inhibitor (PI) typing** by isoelectric focusing to determine phenotype (PI*Z, PI*S).\(^1\) PI typing is considered the "gold standard" for diagnosing AATD, as it can detect normal as well as variant alleles, but cannot detect null alleles.\(^1,3\) If PI typing is ambiguous, mutation testing should be performed.\(^1\)
- **SERPINA1 targeted mutation analysis** tests for the two common mutations in the gene (Z and S), which make up greater than 95% of the mutations.\(^1\) The Z allele is by far the most common and more severe variant.\(^2\)
- **SERPINA1 sequencing** is available, but only appropriate in limited situations. Sequencing will identify 99% of mutations associated with AATD.\(^1\)
Guidelines and Evidence

- The American Thoracic Society and the European Respiratory Society (2003) statement on the Standards for the Diagnosis and Management of Individuals with Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency states that testing for AATD is recommended for the following indications (quoted directly):²
  - Symptomatic adults with emphysema, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), or asthma with airflow obstruction that is incompletely reversible after aggressive treatment with bronchodilators
  - Individuals with unexplained liver disease, including neonates, children, and adults, particularly the elderly
  - Asymptomatic individuals with persistent obstruction on pulmonary function tests with identifiable risk factors (e.g., cigarette smoking, occupational exposure)
  - Adults with necrotizing panniculitis
  - Siblings of an individual with AATD

- However, these guidelines do not specifically comment on the use of SERPINA1 sequencing in the diagnostic work-up. When ambiguous results are obtained between quantification, genotype or phenotype assays, gene sequencing can identify rare variants or null alleles that would otherwise be missed.
  - Prins et al. (2008) sequenced exons 2, 3, and 5 of the SERPINA1 gene from 66 patients with AAT concentration less than or equal to 1.0 g/L, and predicted that up to 22% of the disease-associated AAT deficiency alleles could be missed by S and Z genotyping or by phenotyping. They identified rare alleles Mprocida, Mpaiermo, M6passau, Mwurzburg, Mheerlen and the previously undescribed null alleles Q0soest and Q0amersfoort. They found pathogenic variants in 22% of those who had negative PI and targeted mutation testing. The authors recommend direct sequencing of the coding regions of the SERPINA1 gene for patients with suspected AATD based on a serum AAT concentration ≤1.0 g/L.⁴
  - Ferrarotti et al. (2007) described a protocol they developed to optimize AAT deficiency diagnosis from dried blood spot samples. The protocol has an initial screen using quantification of AAT and genotyping for the S and Z deficiency alleles. Discordant samples are then reflexed to PI typing. Sequencing is used for any samples in which the plasma AAT level is low (<70 mg/dL), and the genotype/phenotype results are PI*MS or PI*MZ. Specific testing for the Q0isola di procida allele is also performed, which results from a deletion and therefore cannot be detected by sequencing. While this report described the protocol used, it did not comment on the sensitivity or specificity of this approach.⁵
  - Graham, et al. (2015) found pathogenic variants with sequencing after PI and targeted mutation analysis were performed. They support full gene sequencing when there is discrepancies between clinical presentation and genotyping after PI and targeted mutation analysis.⁶
Criteria
Consideration for alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency (AATD) testing is determined according to diagnostic guidelines from the American Thoracic Society.2

Protease inhibitor (PI) typing or SERPINA1 common mutation analysis (S, Z) may be considered in individuals who meet the following criteria:1,2

- Abnormally low (<120mg/dL) or borderline (90-140mg/dL) alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) levels; AND
- At least one of the following:
  - Symptomatic adults with emphysema, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), or asthma with airflow obstruction that is incompletely reversible after aggressive treatment with bronchodilators; OR
  - Individuals of any age with unexplained liver disease; OR
  - Asymptomatic individuals with persistent obstruction on pulmonary function tests who have identifiable risk factors (e.g., cigarette smoking, occupational exposure); OR
  - Adults with necrotizing panniculitis; OR
  - Siblings of an individual with AATD

Sequencing of the SERPINA1 gene may be considered in individuals who meet the following criteria:1

- There are discrepancies between clinical presentation, serum alpha-1 antitrypsin quantification, targeted mutation analysis, and/or PI typing; OR
- The presence of rare variants or null alleles (which cannot be identified by other methods) is suspected.
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Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) Genetic Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C9orf72 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARDBP Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9orf72 Full Gene Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9orf72 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS Full Gene Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 Full Gene Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARDBP Full Gene Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARDBP Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic testing for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)</td>
<td>S3800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis?

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a disease caused by the progressive degradation of motor neurons (nerve cells that control muscle movement).\(^1\) ALS may initially present with muscle weakness, twitching, cramping, or slurred speech.\(^1\) Symptoms worsen over time and include muscle atrophy and difficulty swallowing.\(^1\)

- Most cases of suspected ALS are diagnosed based on a unique combination of symptoms and the exclusion of similar disorders. The Escorial Criteria were developed in 2000 to standardize the clinical diagnosis of ALS.\(^2\) These include:
  - The presence of upper and lower motor neuron deterioration.
  - The progressive spread of symptoms.
  - No clinical evidence of other diseases with similar symptoms.

- The average age of ALS onset is 56 years if the affected individual has no family history, and 46 years old if there is a family history of ALS.\(^1,2\) However, there are infantile and juvenile onset forms that should also prompt consideration of a genetic etiology.\(^1\)

- ALS is fatal. The average survival after diagnosis is 3 years, but can vary widely. Treatment focuses on slowing progression with medication and therapy.\(^1\)

- Between 4 and 8 out every 100,000 people develop ALS. About 90% of ALS cases are sporadic, and the remaining 10% of individuals have familial ALS (FALS).\(^1\)
Genetics

- There are more than 15 genes known to cause FALS, many of which have clinically available genetic testing (summarized in the table below\textsuperscript{1}). FALS subtypes are named based on the causative gene (e.g., ALS1 subtype is caused by SOD1 gene mutations).
- Most people with FALS have an autosomal dominant form, meaning only one mutation is required to cause disease. In this case, children of an affected person have a 50% chance of inheriting the disease-causing mutation.
- There are, however, rare autosomal recessive forms of ALS as well as one X-linked form. Two mutations are required to cause recessive types and usually only siblings are affected (no parent-to-child transmission).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>FALS Subtype</th>
<th>% of Individuals with FALS</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOD1</td>
<td>ALS1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9orf72</td>
<td>ALS/FTD</td>
<td>23%-30%</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS/TLS</td>
<td>ALS6</td>
<td>~4%</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARDBP</td>
<td>ALS10</td>
<td>1%-4%</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18q21</td>
<td>ALS3</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SETX</td>
<td>ALS4 (Motor neuropathy with pyramidal features)</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20p13</td>
<td>ALS7</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAPB</td>
<td>ALS8 (Finkel type SMA or SMA IV)</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIG4</td>
<td>ALS11</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17q</td>
<td>ALS/FTD</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANG</td>
<td>ALS9</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCP</td>
<td>ALS14</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS2</td>
<td>ALS2</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS7 (20p13)</td>
<td>FALS</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCHD10</td>
<td>ALS/FTD</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q15.1-q21.1</td>
<td>ALS5</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTN</td>
<td>ALS12</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPG20</td>
<td>SPG20</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBQLN2</td>
<td>ALS15</td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>X-linked dominant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test Information

- Genetic testing for FALS is usually done by gene sequencing because mutations are diverse. Sequencing is generally >99% accurate for identifying mutations in the coding region of a gene.
- Laboratories may offer individual gene sequencing or multi-gene panels for FALS.
- An expert-authored review makes the following suggestions when pursuing a sequential individual gene approach:¹
  - "SOD1 testing is appropriate in any individual with ALS who has another affected family member or an incomplete family history, including the early death of a close relative from any cause. Approximately 20% of individuals with FALS have ALS1 with an identified disease-causing mutation in SOD1. Interpretation of the significance of an SOD1 mutation regarding disease severity and progression depends on the specific mutation identified because of wide variability in genotype/phenotype correlations. Failure to detect an SOD1 mutation does not rule out FALS. Up to 3% of individuals with ALS with no family history of ALS have SOD1 mutations. Because data on penetrance of many mutations are limited, establishing the risk to other family members of developing clinical symptoms can be difficult.
  - SETX testing is appropriate in kindreds with adolescent-onset spinal muscular atrophy with pyramidal features.
  - VAPB testing should be pursued in the context of clinical symptoms of primarily adult-onset spinal muscular atrophy.
  - FUS/TLS, TARDBP, and ANG testing should be considered for SOD1-negative individuals with FALS.
  - ALS2 testing is appropriate for those with childhood-onset UMN-predominant ALS.
  - VCP testing should be considered for individuals with a family history of ALS with or without symptoms of inclusion body myopathy, Paget disease and/or frontotemporal dementia.
  - OPTN testing may be considered for individuals with a family history consistent with autosomal dominant or autosomal recessive inheritance, including simplex cases who do not have a mutation in more common ALS-related genes."
- Known familial mutation analysis can provide predictive information about the risk to develop ALS. It can also be used to diagnose ALS when the patient does not yet meet the full ALS diagnostic criteria.³
  - Once a mutation has been identified through sequencing in an affected family member, it is straightforward to test at-risk relatives for that one mutation. The involved gene and precise mutation name/location must be known.
  - The detection rate for a known familial mutation is greater than 99%.
Guidelines and Evidence

- No U.S. evidence-based guidelines have been identified.
- Guidelines from the European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS, 2012) address molecular testing of ALS:
  - “Clinical deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) analysis for gene mutations should only be performed in cases with a known family history of ALS, and in sporadic ALS cases with the characteristic phenotype of the recessive D90A mutation.”
  - “Clinical DNA analysis for gene mutations should not be performed in cases with sporadic ALS with a typical classical ALS phenotype.”
  - “In familial or sporadic cases where the diagnosis is uncertain, SMN, androgen receptor, or TARDBP, FUS, ANG, or SOD1 DNA analysis may accelerate the diagnostic process.”
  - “Before blood is drawn for DNA analysis, the patient should receive genetic counselling. Give the patient time for consideration. DNA analysis should be performed only with the patient's informed consent.”
- Guidelines from the European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS, 2011) address the molecular diagnosis of ALS and other neurogenetic disorders. They state:
  - "Currently, molecular diagnosis mainly has implications for genetic counseling rather than for therapy. However, when more directed causal therapies become available in the future, establishing a correct genetic diagnosis in a given patient will be essential. Despite the rather low prevalence sequencing of the small SOD1 gene should be considered in patients with ALS with dominant inheritance to offer presymptomatic or prenatal diagnosis, if this is requested by the family (Level B)."

For Diagnostic Purposes:

  - These revised criteria still do not specify when genetic testing should be done, but they do state "If a pathogenic mutation in a disease-causing gene is found in the patient and segregates with the disease the term hereditary or primary genetic ALS (HALS/GALS) should be used. The finding of a pathogenic mutation in a known gene can substitute for either lower or upper motor neuron signs, so that diagnosis of ALS can be made on the basis of UMN or LMN signs in one body region, associated with a positive genetic test.”
  - "ALS can be defined as Mendelian in inheritance if a disease-causing gene variant can be shown to segregate within a family. In such cases the genetic variant can serve as a substitute for upper motor neuron deficits or a second limb or region (rule of two)."
  - This group doesn't specify when genetic testing should be done, but they do state "The demonstration of the presence of a pathogenetically relevant gene mutation can assist in the diagnosis of ALS (such as SOD1)."
  - These criteria set a lower threshold for diagnosis when an ALS-causing mutation is known in the family. For example, a patient may be diagnosed as "Clinically Definite Familial ALS — Laboratory-supported" with evidence of only upper or lower motor neuron disease in one region; whereas a definite diagnosis without genetic test results requires upper and lower motor neuron disease in three regions.

For Predictive Purpose:
- A 2015 expert-authored review states: "Presymptomatic testing for a TARDBP mutation is complicated because the penetrance is unknown, the age of onset is not predictable, and preventative measures do not exist. Because of the individualized nature of predictive testing, consultation with a genetic counselor and a psychologist to obtain informed consent is recommended. At this time, no established testing protocol (e.g., as in Huntington disease) exists, although establishment of such protocols has been suggested. However, to err on the side of caution, testing centers often follow a similar protocol."7
- A European Federation of Neurological Societies Task Force (EFNS, 2012) addressed presymptomatic testing in its diagnosis and management guidelines:4
  - "Presymptomatic genetic testing should only be performed in first-degree adult blood relatives of patients with a known gene mutation. Testing should only be performed on a strictly voluntary basis as outlined (see Table 7 in the original guideline document) and should follow accepted ethical principles."8
- Identifying a SOD1 mutation in a pre-symptomatic individual can impact future management and overall prognosis of ALS, but is considered controversial because of reduced penetrance (not everyone with a mutation will necessarily develop symptoms), lack of overall intervention or prevention strategies, and inability to predict the age of onset.1,3

Criteria

Known Familial Mutation Testing

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre- and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous full gene sequencing and/or large rearrangement testing of the gene with the known familial mutation, AND
- Known familial mutation in a gene that causes amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (e.g., SOD1, C9orf72, FUS, TARDBP) identified in a 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree relative(s), AND
- Age 18 years or older, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**Full Sequence and Deletion/Duplication Analysis**

- Full gene sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis for ALS are not covered.

**References**

## Angelman Syndrome Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNRPN/UBE3A Methylation Analysis</td>
<td>81331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISH Analysis for 15q11-q13 Deletion</td>
<td>88271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome 15 Uniparental Disomy</td>
<td>81402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imprinting Center Defect Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE3A Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE3A Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE3A Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imprinting Center Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What Is Angelman Syndrome?

- Angelman syndrome (AS) is characterized by:
  - Developmental delay with intellectual disability
  - Severe speech impairment — usually with minimal or no word use
  - Gait ataxia and limb tremors
  - Seizures and microcephaly
  - Happy demeanor with hand flapping
  - Decreased need for sleep

- Features of Angelman syndrome are caused by a missing or defective UBE3A gene inherited from the patient’s mother.

- A missing or defective UBE3A gene can be caused by a gene deletion, gene mutation, uniparental disomy (two copies of paternal chromosome), imprinting defect, or a chromosome rearrangement.

### Test Information

- SNRPN/UBE3A Methylation Analysis: This test is typically the first test in the evaluation of both Angelman syndrome (AS) and Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS). It will detect about 80% of patients with AS and >99% of patients with PWS. However, DNA methylation analysis does not identify the underlying cause, which is important for determining the risk to future siblings. This risk ranges from...
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less than 1% to up to 50%, depending on the genetic mechanism. Follow-up testing for these causes may be appropriate.

- FISH Analysis for 15q11-q13 Deletion: If DNA methylation analysis for Angelman (AS) or Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) is abnormal, deletion analysis is typically the next step. Approximately 70% of cases of both AS and PWS have a deletion in one copy of chromosome 15 involving the 15q11.2-q13 region. When looking specifically for this deletion, FISH (fluorescence in situ hybridization) analysis is most commonly performed. However, chromosome microarray can also detect such deletions (see that policy for guidance). If chromosomal microarray (CMA, array CGH) has already been done, FISH is not likely to be necessary.

- Chromosome 15 Uniparental Disomy (UPD): If DNA methylation analysis is abnormal but deletion analysis is normal, UPD analysis next may be appropriate for evaluation of both Angelman (AS) and Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS). About 28% of PWS cases are due maternal UPD (both chromosome 15s are inherited from the mother). About 7% of cases of AS are due to paternal UPD (both chromosome 15s are inherited from the father). Both parents must be tested to diagnose UPD.

- Imprinting Center Defect Analysis: This test may be considered in the evaluation of Angelman syndrome (AS) and Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) when methylation is abnormal, but FISH (or array CGH) and UPD studies are normal. Individuals with such results are presumed to have an imprinting defect. An abnormality in the imprinting process has been described in a minority of cases. However, imprinting center deletions may be familial, and if familial, the recurrence risk can be up to 50%.

- UBE3A Sequencing: If DNA methylation analysis is normal, UBE3A gene mutations should be suspected. Such mutations are found in 11% of Angelman syndrome patients and can only be detected by sequencing the entire gene. These mutations can be carried by the mother of an affected individual and pose up to a 50% risk of recurrence in her other children, and an increased risk to other family members.

- UBE3A Known Familial Mutation Analysis: If a UBE3A gene mutation has been identified in an affected individual through sequencing, testing for just the known familial mutation in UBE3A can be performed for at-risk relatives, including at-risk pregnancies.

Guidelines and Evidence

- Consensus guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics and American Society of Human Genetics (2006) recommend two equally-accepted tiered approaches to testing.³
  - Approach one:
    - Start with UBE3A methylation analysis.
    - If abnormal, a diagnosis is confirmed.
Consider the following to identify the underlying cause for recurrence risk counseling.

- **FISH 15q11-q13** (deletion analysis)
  - If FISH testing is abnormal, FISH testing on the mother should be done to rule-out an inherited chromosome abnormality (rare)
  - If FISH testing is normal, consider UPD analysis.

- **Uniparental Disomy (UPD) analysis of chromosome 15** to determine whether the patient inherited both copies of chromosome 15 from the father.
  - If FISH and UPD analysis are normal, an **imprinting center mutation** is a likely cause and should be evaluated (which may carry a higher recurrence risk than other causes).

  - **Approach two:**
    - Start with **FISH 15q11-q13** (deletion analysis)
      - If abnormal, a diagnosis is confirmed
      - If normal then proceed to methylation analysis.
    - **UBE3A Methylation analysis**
      - If methylation analysis is abnormal, the diagnosis is confirmed, but UPD testing may be done to better estimate recurrence risk
    - **Uniparental Disomy (UPD) analysis of chromosome 15**
      - If methylation analysis is abnormal, but FISH and UPD analysis are normal, an **imprinting center mutation** is a likely cause and should be evaluated (which may carry a higher recurrence risk than other causes).

- An expert-authored review (2011) comments on the utility of familial mutation analysis:
  - "Individuals with an imprinting center (IC) deletion can have a phenotypically normal mother who also has an IC deletion. If a proband’s mother has a known IC deletion, the risk to the sibs is 50%.
  - "UBE3A mutations can be inherited or de novo. In addition, several cases of mosaicism for a UBE3A mutation have been noted. If a proband’s mother has a UBE3A mutation, the risk to the sibs is 50%.
  - "If a proband’s mother carries a known IC deletion or UBE3A mutation, the mother’s sisters are also at risk of carrying the IC deletion or the mutation. Each child of the unaffected sisters who are carriers is at a 50% risk of having AS. Unaffected maternal uncles of the proband who are carriers are not at risk of having affected children, but are at risk of having affected grandchildren through their unaffected daughters who have inherited the IC deletion or UBE3A mutation from them."
Criteria

SNRPN/UBE3A Methylation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous SNRPN/UBE3A methylation analysis, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Developmental delay, typically severe to profound, without loss of milestones, and
  - Some combination of the following:
    - Movement or balance disorder, typically with ataxia, or
    - Frequent laughter/smiling, apparent happy demeanor; easily excitable personality (often with uplifted hand-flapping, or waving movements), or hypermotoric behavior, or
    - Speech impairment with no or minimal number of words, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

FISH Analysis for 15q11-q13 Deletion

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous chromosomal microarray
  - No previous 15q11-q13 deletion analysis, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Developmental delay, typically severe to profound, without loss of milestones, and
  - Some combination of the following:
    - Movement or balance disorder, typically with ataxia, or
    - Frequent laughter/smiling, apparent happy demeanor; easily excitable personality (often with uplifted hand-flapping, or waving movements), or hypermotoric behavior, or
    - Speech impairment with no or minimal number of words, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Chromosome 15 Uniparental Disomy

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
• Previous Testing:
  o SNRPN/UBE3A methylation analysis results are abnormal, and
  o 15q11-q13 deletion analysis is negative, and
  o No previous chromosome 15 UPD studies, AND

• Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Developmental delay, typically severe to profound, without loss of milestones, and
  o Some combination of the following:
    ▪ Movement or balance disorder, typically with ataxia, or
    ▪ Frequent laughter/smiling, apparent happy demeanor; easily excitable personality (often with uplifted hand-flapping, or waving movements), or hypermotoric behavior, or
    ▪ Speech impairment with no or minimal number of words, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Imprinting Center Defect Analysis

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Testing:
  o SNRPN/UBE3A methylation analysis results are abnormal, and
  o 15q11-q13 deletion analysis is negative, and
  o Previous chromosome 15 UPD testing is negative, and
  o No previous imprinting center (IC) analysis, AND

• Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Developmental delay, typically severe to profound, without loss of milestones, and
  o Some combination of the following:
    ▪ Movement or balance disorder, typically with ataxia, or
    ▪ Frequent laughter/smiling, apparent happy demeanor; easily excitable personality (often with uplifted hand-flapping, or waving movements), or hypermotoric behavior, or
    ▪ Speech impairment with no or minimal number of words, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

UBE3A Sequencing

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Testing:
  o SNRPN/UBE3A methylation analysis results are normal, and
  o No previous sequencing of UBE3A, AND

• Personal History:
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- Developmental delay, typically severe to profound, without loss of milestones, and
- Movement or balance disorder, typically with ataxia, and
- Frequent laughter/smiling, apparent happy demeanor; easily excitable personality (often with uplifted hand-flapping, or waving movements), or hypermotoric behavior, and
- Speech impairment with no or minimal number of words, AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

UBE3A Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - SNRPN/UBE3A methylation analysis results are normal, and
  - Normal UBE3A sequencing, AND
- Personal History:
  - Developmental delay, typically severe to profound, without loss of milestones, and
  - Movement or balance disorder, typically with ataxia, and
  - Frequent laughter/smiling, apparent happy demeanor; easily excitable personality (often with uplifted hand-flapping, or waving movements), or hypermotoric behavior, and
  - Speech impairment with no or minimal number of words, AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Familial Mutation Analysis or Imprinting Center Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous UBE3A sequencing or imprinting center defect analysis testing, AND
- Family History:
  - Familial UBE3A or imprinting center defect mutation known in blood relative, AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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What Are Anser ADA And Anser IFX?

- Anser ADA and Anser IFX are designed to assist physicians in determining why a person may not be responding to either adalimumab (Humira) or infliximab (Remicade), respectively.\(^1,2\)
  - Adalimumab is a drug used to treat disorders such as Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and rheumatoid arthritis.\(^1\)
  - Infliximab is a drug used to treat disorders such as Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, plaque psoriasis, and rheumatoid arthritis.\(^2\)

- About 1/3 of patients do not respond to these drugs.\(^3,4\) Of those who do respond, approximately 20-60% lose response over time.\(^3-5\)
  - The reason for the loss of response is unclear. However, it has been hypothesized that it could be due to low serum levels of the medication, use of the drug in response to higher inflammatory disease burden, and development of immunogenicity.\(^3\)
  - Management options to loss of response include higher dosage of the drug, shorter intervals between drug doses, and/or switching drugs. It has also been shown that the production of antibodies to either adalimumab or infliximab is associated with an increased rate of infusion reaction.\(^1,2\)

Test Information

- The Prometheus Anser ADA test is designed to measure serum adalimumab levels and antibodies to adalimumab.\(^3\)
- The Prometheus Anser IFX test is designed to measure serum infliximab levels and antibodies to infliximab.\(^4\)
- Both assays can be performed from one serum sample.

Guidelines and Evidence

- There are currently no national guidelines that recommend measurement of anti-drug antibody levels in patients taking either adalimumab or infliximab.
The clinical validity and the clinical utility of these tests have not been well established.

- Data regarding the clinical validity of Anser ADA and Anser IFX is still emerging.6-8 There are no studies that indicate what antibody levels are needed to impact the activity of these drugs. Some studies show a correlation between antibody levels and loss of response while others do not. Meta-analyses seem to show that there may be a correlation.9-13 However, since the studies that are included in these analyses often used different assay methods and a lack of established thresholds to determine treatment responses, it is unknown what this correlation truly is.

- Data regarding the clinical utility of Anser ADA and Anser IFX is still emerging.14-16 There is no clear evidence at this time that these tests improve health outcomes over standard clinical management. It is also unclear what, if any, different decisions physicians would make for their patients based on anti-drug antibody levels as opposed to their current approach of managing the drug based on the patient’s clinical response. Some studies have shown changes to management after antibody measurement. However, no controls were used and there is no comparison to changes made when management is based on clinical findings alone.

Criteria

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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APOE Variant Analysis for Alzheimer Disease

MOL.TS.128.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APOE Genotyping</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Alzheimer Disease?

- Alzheimer disease (AD) is characterized by adult-onset, progressive dementia with cerebral cortical atrophy and beta amyloid plaque formation. Common findings include memory loss, confusion, speech issues, hallucinations, and personality and behavioral changes such as poor judgment, agitation, and withdrawal. Symptoms of Alzheimer disease usually start after 60-65 years old.

- The general population lifetime risk of Alzheimer disease is about 10%. First-degree relatives (siblings, offspring) of a single person in the family with Alzheimer disease have a 20-25% lifetime risk.

- Of all people with Alzheimer disease, about 25% have at least two affected people in the family (called "familial Alzheimer disease").
  - Most people (95%) with familial Alzheimer disease develop symptoms after 65. This is called "late-onset familial Alzheimer disease." Late-onset familial Alzheimer disease is believed to have complex inheritance with multiple susceptibility genes and environmental factors playing a role.
  - In about 5% of familial cases, symptoms consistently start before 65. This is called "early onset familial Alzheimer disease" (EOFAD). EOFAD is an autosomal dominant inherited disorder caused by different genes than those that may predispose to late-onset Alzheimer disease.

- There are three common versions of the APOE gene — e2, e3, and e4. The e4 variant is significantly associated with Alzheimer disease.
  - People with Alzheimer disease, and especially late-onset familial Alzheimer disease, are more likely to have one or two copies of APOE e4. For example, less than 1% of unaffected people have two copies of e4 (e4/e4), but nearly 19% of people with familial Alzheimer disease have two copies of e4.
  - However, e4 is not necessary to develop Alzheimer disease and having no copies of e4 does not rule out the disease.
APOE e4 appears to cause susceptibility to Alzheimer disease, but the reason is unclear.\textsuperscript{2,5}

Test Information
- Clinical testing is available to determine which two APOE gene versions a person has inherited.
- Many laboratories in the U.S. directly test for these three variants (e2, e3, e4) to assist diagnosis or predict risk of Alzheimer disease.

Guidelines and Evidence
- Diagnostic Testing:
  - European Federation of Neurological Societies (2010): “The ApoE e4 allele is the only genetic factor consistently implicated in late-onset AD, but it is neither necessary nor sufficient for development of the disease. Hence, there is no evidence to suggest ApoE testing is useful in a diagnostic setting.”\textsuperscript{4}
  - American College of Medical Genetics and The National Society of Genetic Counselors (2011):
    - "Genetic testing for susceptibility loci (e.g., APOE) is not clinically recommended due to limited clinical utility and poor predictive value.”\textsuperscript{5}
    - "Since genotyping cannot provide certainty about the presence or absence of AD, it should not be used as the sole diagnostic test.”\textsuperscript{6}
    - "Insofar as patients with AD are more likely to have an APOE-e4 allele than are patients with other forms of dementia or individuals without dementia, physicians may choose to use APOE genotyping as an adjunct to other diagnostic tests for AD.”\textsuperscript{6}

- Predictive Testing:
  - American College of Medical Genetics and The National Society of Genetic Counselors (2011): “Because the ε4 allele is neither necessary nor sufficient to cause AD, there have been numerous consensus statements and articles that have recommended against using APOE genotyping for predicting AD risk.”\textsuperscript{5}
  - National Institute of Aging/Alzheimer's Association Working Group (1997): "The use of APOE genotyping to predict future risk of AD in symptom-free individuals is not recommended at this time.”\textsuperscript{6}

Criteria
- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene
products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.

- In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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# Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bloom Syndrome: BLM Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic Fibrosis: CFTR Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canavan Disease: ASPA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial Dysautonomia: IKBKAP Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanconi Anemia, Type C: FANCC Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaucher Disease: GBA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycogen Storage Disease, Type 1a: G6PC Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Syrup Urine Disease Type 1A/B: BCKDHB Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucolipidosis IV: MCOLN1 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niemann-Pick Disease, Type A/B: SMPD1 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tay-Sachs Disease: HEXA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 1</td>
<td>81400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 2</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 3</td>
<td>81402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 4</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 5</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 6</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 7</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 8</td>
<td>81407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 9</td>
<td>81408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi Jewish Genetic Disorders Sequencing</td>
<td>81412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlisted molecular pathology procedure (Used for testing various less common disorders)</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Is Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening?

- Ashkenazi Jewish carrier screening is available for certain genetic conditions that are more common and/or have superior mutation detection rates in the Ashkenazi Jewish population.1-3 "Ashkenazi" refers to someone whose Jewish ancestors originally came from Central or Eastern Europe (e.g., Russia, Poland, Germany, Hungary, Lithuania, etc). Most Jewish people in the US are of Ashkenazi descent.

- Ashkenazi Jewish carrier screening is available for a large number of conditions (see the table below for most conditions). There are regional differences in the number and types of tests commonly offered. Patients and providers may choose all or a subset of these conditions.

- These Jewish genetic diseases are inherited in an autosomal recessive manner. An affected individual must inherit a gene mutation from both parents.1,2
  - Individuals who inherit only one mutation are called carriers. Carriers do not show symptoms of the disease, but have a 50% chance of passing on the mutation to their children.
  - Two carriers of the same disease have a 25% chance of having a child with the disorder.

- While these genetic diseases are individually rare, the overall chance for an individual of Ashkenazi Jewish descent to be a carrier for one of these genetic diseases is 1 in 4 to 1 in 5.2,3 An individual can also be a carrier of more than one condition.

- People from other ethnic backgrounds can be carriers of these conditions, but it is generally less common or the test is not as effective at identifying carrier status.

Test Information

- Ashkenazi Jewish carrier screening can be offered to couples or individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent when they are planning a pregnancy (preconceptional) or during a pregnancy (prenatal).1-3 If only one member of the couple is Jewish, carrier screening should start with the Jewish partner. Both parents must be carriers to have an affected child, so reproductive partners of known carriers should also be offered testing even if not Jewish. In some cases, full gene sequencing would be most appropriate for testing of a non-Jewish partner.

- Carrier screening generally looks for a small number of gene mutations that are particularly common in the Ashkenazi Jewish population, although an increasing number of full gene sequencing panels are becoming available. In addition, enzyme analysis is particularly effective for Tay-Sachs disease and is generally preferred to mutation testing. The carrier detection rate is >95% in the Ashkenazi Jewish population for most diseases.3 See the table below for more details.

- The detection rate for these tests in the non-Ashkenazi population is unknown for most conditions, but generally low. Exceptions include cystic fibrosis and Tay-
Sachs enzyme analysis, which each have good detection rates in non-Jewish populations.

- A negative test result in one or both partners significantly lowers the chance of an affected child, but does not eliminate it.\textsuperscript{2}
The genes included in carrier screening panels vary widely between laboratories, but the following table includes the most commonly tested conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ashkenazi Jewish Genetic Disease</th>
<th>Ashkenazi Carrier Frequency</th>
<th>What the Test Usually Looks For* (Mutation Names)</th>
<th>Chance the Test Will Correctly Find an Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bloom syndrome</td>
<td>1/107</td>
<td>1 mutation (2281del6ins7)</td>
<td>More than 99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canavan disease</td>
<td>1/41</td>
<td>2 mutations (E285A, Y231X)</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>1/29</td>
<td>23 most common mutations in several ethnic groups</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase deficiency</td>
<td>1/107</td>
<td>2 mutations (G229C and Y35X)</td>
<td>More than 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial dysautonomia</td>
<td>1/31</td>
<td>2 mutations (2507+6TtoC, R696P)</td>
<td>More than 99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial hyperinsulinism</td>
<td>1/68</td>
<td>2 mutations (c.3989-9G&gt;A and Phel1387del)</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanconi anemia group C</td>
<td>1/89</td>
<td>1 mutation (IVS4+4AtoT)</td>
<td>More than 99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaucher disease</td>
<td>1/18</td>
<td>4 mutations (N370S, 84GG, L444P, IVS2+1GtoA)</td>
<td>Up to 94.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycogen storage disease type 1A (GSD1A)</td>
<td>1/71</td>
<td>1 mutation (R83C)</td>
<td>93% to 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joubert syndrome</td>
<td>1/92</td>
<td>1 mutation (R12L)</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple syrup urine disease (MSUD)</td>
<td>1/80</td>
<td>3 mutations (R183P, G278S, E372X)</td>
<td>About 99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucolipidosis IV</td>
<td>1/127</td>
<td>2 mutations (IVS3–2AtoG, Del6.4kb)</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemaline myopathy</td>
<td>1/168</td>
<td>1 mutation (R2478_D2512del)</td>
<td>More than 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niemann-Pick disease type A</td>
<td>1/90</td>
<td>3 mutations (R496L, L302P, fsP330)</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tay-Sachs disease</td>
<td>1/90</td>
<td>Mutation analysis: 3 mutations (1278insTATC, 1421+1GtoC, G269S) OR Hexosaminidase A enzyme analysis</td>
<td>About 98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usher syndrome III</td>
<td>1/120</td>
<td>1 mutation (N48K)</td>
<td>More than 95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guidelines and Evidence

- The American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG, 2009) and the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2008) recommend carrier screening for a group of disorders when at least one member of a couple is Ashkenazi Jewish and that couple is pregnant or planning pregnancy.
Both organizations agree that testing should be offered for cystic fibrosis, Canavan disease, familial dysautonomia, and Tay-Sachs.

ACMG also recommends routine testing for Fanconi anemia, Niemann-Pick, Bloom syndrome, mucolipidosisIV, and Gaucher disease;² while ACOG states "individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent may inquire about the availability of carrier screening for other disorders" and educational materials may be provided to assist informed decision making about additional tests.²

Carrier screening for common Ashkenazi Jewish mutations that cause many other conditions is now clinically available, but these tests are not specifically addressed in current carrier screening guidelines. However, the 2008 ACMG guidelines outline the criteria for recommending additional carrier screening in the Ashkenazi Jewish population as new tests become available. These include:³

- The natural history must be well understood,
- People affected with the disorder must have significant morbidity and mortality, and
- The test must have greater than 90% detection OR the allele frequency must be at least 1%.

Dilipoamide dehydrogenase deficiency⁴, familial hyperinsulinism⁴, GSD1a⁵, Joubert syndrome ²⁶, MSUD⁷,⁸ nemaline myopathy⁴, and Usher syndrome type III⁴ meet these criteria.

Criteria

Ashkenazi Jewish Genetic Diseases Carrier Screening Panels

- Testing may be considered for carrier screening for all or any desired subset of the Ashkenazi Jewish genetic diseases eligible for coverage per Table 1 when the following criteria are met:
  - The individual is planning a pregnancy or currently pregnant; and
  - At least one partner of a couple is Ashkenazi Jewish (NOTE: Detection rates for testing are higher in people with Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry. If only one partner of a couple is Ashkenazi Jewish, testing should start in that person when possible.); AND

- Testing will be billed using the procedure code 81412 that will represent all tests performed for the assessment of carrier status based on Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry and no additional tests for this purpose will be separately billed by the same lab for the same test date; or

- Testing for separate genes will be billed because the panel code is not more appropriate (e.g., fewer than the 9 stated genes will be assessed or a different methodology is used), in which case individual gene test coverage will be assessed based on the guidance provided in Table 1.
Single Ashkenazi Jewish Genetic Diseases Carrier Screening Tests

- Testing may be considered for carrier screening of a single Ashkenazi Jewish disease, regardless of ethnicity or reproductive plans, if EITHER of the following are met:
  - The individual has a family history of one of these conditions; OR
  - The individual’s partner is a known carrier or affected with any of these conditions

Other Considerations:

- If an AJ carrier screening panel was previously performed and an updated, larger panel is being requested, only testing for the medically necessary, previously untested genes will be reimbursable. Therefore, only the most appropriate procedure codes for those additional genes will be considered for reimbursement.

Table 1: Coverage Guidance for Genes Included in Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>CPT</th>
<th>Required Claim Code</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bloom syndrome</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>81209</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canavan disease</td>
<td>ASPA</td>
<td>81200</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>CFTR</td>
<td>81220</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase deficiency</td>
<td>DLD</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>DLD</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial dysautonomia</td>
<td>IKBKAP</td>
<td>81260</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial hyperinsulinism</td>
<td>ABCC8</td>
<td>81401</td>
<td>ABCC8</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanconi anemia, type C</td>
<td>FANCC</td>
<td>81242</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaucher disease, type 1</td>
<td>GBA</td>
<td>81251</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycogen storage disease, type 1A</td>
<td>G6PC</td>
<td>81250</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joubert syndrome, type 2</td>
<td>TMEM216</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>TMEM216</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple syrup urine disease, type 1B</td>
<td>BCKDHB</td>
<td>81205</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucolipidosis, type IV</td>
<td>MCOLN1</td>
<td>81290</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemaline myopathy, type 2</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td>81400</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niemann-Pick disease, type A</td>
<td>SMPD1</td>
<td>81330</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tay-Sachs disease</td>
<td>HEXA</td>
<td>81255</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usher syndrome, type 1F</td>
<td>PCDH15</td>
<td>81400</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usher syndrome, type 3</td>
<td>CLRN1</td>
<td>81400</td>
<td>Above criteria apply</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Other tests may be eligible for coverage under the above criteria if the condition is associated with significant morbidity and mortality, the allele frequency is ≥1% in the Ashkenazi Jewish population, and the selected test method has >90% detection rate for disease-causing mutations.
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Ataxia-Telangiectasia
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATM Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM Sequencing</td>
<td>81408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Ataxia- Telangiectasia?

- Ataxia-telangiectasia (A-T) is a progressive neurological disorder caused by mutations in the ATM gene. Onset is typically between the ages of 1 and 4 years. Signs and symptoms include:
  - Truncal and gait ataxia
  - Ocular apraxia
  - Slurred speech
  - Head tilting (after the age of 6 months)
  - Conjunctival telangiectasias
  - Immunodeficiencies
  - Malignancies (especially leukemias and lymphomas)
  - Radiation sensitivity
- Although individuals with A-T live to adulthood, they are at an increased risk for early death. Currently, most individuals live beyond 25 years, with some surviving into their 50s. Cause of death is associated with A-T associated cancers, infection, and pulmonary failure.¹
- The prevalence of A-T is approximately 1 in 40,000 to 1 in 100,000 live US births. It is the most common cause of childhood progressive cerebellar ataxia in most countries.³
- A-T is inherited in an autosomal recessive inheritance pattern. Males and females are equally likely to be affected. If both parents are carriers of A-T, the risk for a pregnancy to be affected is 1 in 4 (25%). Preimplantation and prenatal diagnosis are available for couples known to be at-risk.
- ATM has been implicated as causing an increased risk for breast cancer, especially in women with a strong family history of breast cancer.⁴-⁷ Epidemiological data has also suggested an increased risk for cardiovascular disease in carriers as well.⁶,⁷ Therefore, carriers of ATM mutant alleles may need to be screened for breast cancer and cardiovascular disease.

Test Information

- **Sequence analysis** of the ATM gene can identify ~90-95% of A-T mutations in affected individuals.¹
• **Deletion/duplication analysis** of the ATM gene can identify another 1-2% of mutations.¹

• **Testing for known ATM familial mutations**: Once a deleterious mutation has been identified, relatives of affected individuals can be tested.
  - Detection of at-risk individuals affects medical management in the case of breast cancer screening and cardiovascular disease screening.
  - Prenatal testing is available to individuals with a known family mutation. Genetic testing can be performed on amniocytes obtained through amniocentesis or chorionic villi obtained through a chorionic villus sampling.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- The Eighth International Workshop on Ataxia-Telangiectasia was convened in 1999. The workshop described ATM mutations and cancer risk in heterozygotes, and potential therapeutic approaches. Genetic testing strategies were not described.⁸

- Genetic testing is indicated to confirm a diagnosis in anyone who meets clinical criteria for A-T. Individuals meeting clinical criteria for A-T testing will undergo sequence analysis. Deletion/duplication testing is offered to those meeting the criteria and have tested negative through sequence analysis. Additionally, genetic testing is approved to determine the carrier status in an at risk relative with a known family mutation. Individuals with a family member with a known A-T mutation(s) should be tested for that/those mutation(s).

**Criteria**

**ATM Known Familial Mutation Analysis**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of ATM, AND
- Carrier Screening Individuals:
  - Known family mutation in ATM in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative(s), OR
- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  - ATM mutations identified in both biologic parents.

**ATM Sequencing**

- Clinical Consultation & Genetic Counseling:
  - Examination by a geneticist, oncologist, or neurologist with experience in hereditary ataxias, and
Ataxia-Telangiectasia

- Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous ATM gene sequencing, and
  - No known ATM mutation in family, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Elevated Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels, or
  - Decreased ATM protein detected by immunoblotting, and
  - Progressive cerebellar ataxia, or
  - Truncal and gait ataxia, or
  - Oculomotor apraxia, OR
- Diagnostic Testing for Carriers:
  - One mutation detected by targeted mutation analysis, and
  - Elevated Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels, or
  - Decreased ATM protein detected by immunoblotting, OR
- Testing for Individuals with Family History or Partners of Carriers:
  - 1st, 2nd, or 3rd, degree relative diagnosed with Ataxia-Telangiectasia clinical diagnosis, family mutation unknown, and testing unavailable, or
  - Partner is monoallelic or biallelic for ATM mutation, and
  - Has living children with this partner, or
  - Has the potential and intention to reproduce

ATM Duplication/Deletion Analysis

- Clinical Consultation & Genetic Counseling:
  - Examination by a geneticist, oncologist, or neurologist family with hereditary ataxias, and
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous deletion/duplication analysis of ATM, and
  - No mutations detected in full sequencing, or
  - Heterozygous for mutation and elevated alpha-fetoprotein levels or decreased ATM protein detected by immunoblotting
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BCR-ABL Negative Myeloproliferative Neoplasm Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAK2 V617F Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK2 Exon 12 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALR Exon 9 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPL Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASXL1 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZH2 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET2 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH1 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH2 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRSF2 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF3B1 Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What are BCR-ABL Negative Myeloproliferative Neoplasms?

- Primary myelofibrosis (PMF), polycythemia vera (PV) and essential thrombocythemia (ET) are a group of heterogeneous disorders of the hematopoietic system collectively known as Philadelphia chromosome-negative MPN. The prevalence of MF, ET and PV in the US is approximately 13,000, 134,000 and 148,000, respectively.¹

- Symptoms vary among the subtypes, but generally include constitutional symptoms, fatigue, pruritus, weight loss, symptoms of splenomegaly and variable lab abnormalities, including erythrocytosis, thrombocytosis and leukocytosis.¹

- Individuals with MPNs are at risk of the condition transforming into acute myeloid leukemia (AML), which is associated with a poor response to therapy and short survival. These disorders are also associated with an increased risk of major bleeding and thrombosis/thromboembolism compared to the general population.¹

- The diagnosis and management of patients with MPN has evolved since the identification of mutations that activate the JAK pathway (JAK2, CALR, and MPL). The development of targeted therapies has resulted in significant improvements in disease-related symptoms and quality of life.¹

  - **JAK2 V617F mutations** account for the majority of patients with PV (greater than 90%), ET or MF (60%). Most of the mutations occur in exon 14 with rare insertions/deletions in exon 12.¹
o **JAK2 exon 12 mutations** have been seen in approximately 2-3% of patients with PV.\(^1\)

o **MPL mutations** have been reported in 5-8% of patients with MF and 1-4% of patients with ET. MPL mutations are associated with lower hemoglobin levels at diagnosis and increased risk of transfusion dependence in patients with MF.\(^1\)

o **CALR mutations** are reported in approximately 20-35% of patients with ET and MF (accounting for approximately 60-80% of patients with JAK2/MPL-negative ET and MF). CALR deletion mutations are more commonly seen in patients with MF and are associated with a significantly higher risk of myelofibrosis transformation in ET. CALR insertion mutations are associated with ET, low risk of thrombosis and an indolent course. CALR mutations are associated with a lower hemoglobin level, lower WBC count, higher platelet count and lower incidence of thrombosis than the JAK2 V617F mutation.\(^1\)

**Test Information**

- There are various methods used to test for the cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities associated with MPN:\(^1,2\)
  - Bone marrow cytogenetics (karyotype, with or without FISH)
  - Single gene mutation analysis for JAK2, MPL, and CALR
  - Panel testing using next generation sequencing

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- The **World Health Organization (WHO, 2016)** has established diagnostic criteria for PMF, PV, and ET:\(^2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre Primary Myelofibrosis (prePMF) [Diagnosis requires meeting all 3 major criteria, and at least 1 minor criterion]</th>
<th>Overt Primary Myelofibrosis (overt PMF) [Diagnosis requires meeting all 3 major criteria, and at least 1 minor criterion]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major criteria:</td>
<td>Major criteria:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Megakaryocytic proliferation and atypia, without reticulin fibrosis &gt;grade 1, accompanied by increased age-adjusted BM cellularity, granulocytic proliferation, and often decreased erythropoiesis</td>
<td>• Megakaryocytic proliferation and atypia, accompanied by either reticulin and/or collagen fibrosis grades 2 or 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not meeting WHO criteria for BCR-ABL1+ CML, PV, ET, myelodysplastic syndromes, or other myeloid neoplasms</td>
<td>• Not meeting WHO criteria for BCR-ABL1+ CML, PV, ET, myelodysplastic syndromes, or other myeloid neoplasms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of JAK2, CALR, or MPL mutation or in the absence of these mutations, presence of another clonal marker*, or absence of minor reactive BM reticulin fibrosis</td>
<td>• Presence of JAK2, CALR, or MPL mutation or in the absence of these mutations, presence of another clonal marker*, or absence of reactive BM myelofibrosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Myeloproliferative Neoplasms

Minor criteria:
Presence of at least one of the following, confirmed in 2 consecutive determinations:
- Anemia not attributed to a comorbid condition
- Leukocytosis ≥ 11 x 10^9/L
- Palpable splenomegaly
- LDH increased to above upper normal limit of institutional reference range

*In the absence of any of the 3 major clonal mutations, the search for the most frequent accompanying mutations (e.g., ASXL1, EZH2, TET2, IDH1, IDH2, SRSF2, SF3B1) are of help in determining the clonal nature of the disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polycythemia Vera (PV)</th>
<th>Essential Thrombocythemia (ET)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minor criteria:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Minor criteria:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia not attributed</td>
<td>Anemia not attributed to a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to a comorbid condition</td>
<td>comorbid condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytosis ≥ 11 x 10^9/L</td>
<td>Leukocytosis ≥ 11 x 10^9/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpable splenomegaly</td>
<td>Palpable splenomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH increased to above</td>
<td>LDH increased to above upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upper normal limit of</td>
<td>normal limit of institutional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>institutional reference</td>
<td>reference range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Polycythemia Vera (PV)
[Diagnosis requires meeting either all 3 major criteria, or the first 2 major criteria and the minor criterion]**

**Major criteria:**
- Hemoglobin > 16.5 g/dL in men, > 16.0 g/dL in women OR Hematocrit >49% in men, >48% in women OR Increased red cell mass (RCM), defined as >25% above the mean normal predicted value
- Bone marrow biopsy showing hypercellularity for age with trilineage growth (panmyelosis) including prominent erythroid, granulocytic, and megakaryocytic proliferation with pleomorphic, mature megakaryocytes (difference in size)
- Presence of JAK2 V617F or JAK2 exon 12 mutation

**Minor criteria:**
- Subnormal serum EPO level

**Essential Thrombocythemia (ET)
[Diagnosis requires meeting all 4 major criteria or the first 3 major criteria and the minor criterion]

**Major criteria:**
- Platelet count ≥ 450 x 10^9/L
- Bone marrow biopsy showing proliferation mainly of the megakaryocyte lineage with increased numbers of enlarged, mature megakaryocytes with hyperlobulated nuclei. No significant increase or left shift in neutrophil granulopoiesis or erythropoiesis and very rarely minor (grade 1) increase in reticulin fibers
- Not meeting WHO criteria for BCR-ABL1+ CML, PV, PMF, myelodysplastic syndromes, or other myeloid neoplasms
- Presence of JAK2, CALR, or MPL mutation

**Minor criteria:**
- Presence of a clonal marker or absence of evidence for reactive thrombocytosis

**Criterion number 2 (BM biopsy) may not be required in cases with sustained absolute erythrocytosis; hemoglobin levels >18.5 g/dL in men (hematocrit, 55.5%) or >16.5 g/dL in women (hematocrit, 49.5%) if major criterion 3 and the minor criterion are present. However, initial myelofibrosis (present in up to 20% of patients) can only be detected by performing a BM biopsy; this finding may predict a more rapid progression to overt myelofibrosis (post-PV MF).

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017) evidence and consensus-based guidelines recommend the following initial laboratory evaluations for individuals suspected to have MPN:

1. **The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017) evidence and consensus-based guidelines recommend the following initial laboratory evaluations for individuals suspected to have MPN:**
Laboratory evaluations should include complete blood count (CBC), microscopic examination of the peripheral smear, comprehensive metabolic panel with serum uric acid, serum LDH, liver function tests, serum EPO level and serum iron studies.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) or a reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) on a peripheral blood specimen to detect BCR-ABL1 transcripts and exclude the diagnosis of CML is recommended for all patients, especially those with left-shifted leukocytosis and/or thrombocytosis with basophilia.

Molecular testing for JAKV617F mutations should be performed in all patients. If JAKV617F mutation is negative, molecular testing for MPL and CALR mutations should be performed for patients with MF and ET; molecular testing for JAK2 exon 12 mutation should be done for those with PV.

In the absence of JAK2, CALR and MPL mutations, the presence of another clonal marker is included as one of the major diagnostic criteria for PMF. Additional mutations in ASXL1, EZH2, TET2, IDH1, IDH2, SRSF2, and SF3B1 genes are noted to be of help in determining the clonal nature of the disease.

Bone marrow aspirate and biopsy with trichrome and reticulin stain and bone marrow cytogenetics (karyotype, with or without FISH) is necessary to accurately distinguish the bone marrow morphological features between the disease subtypes (early/prefibrotic PMF, ET and masked PV).

Criteria

JAK2 V617F Mutation Analysis

- Member does not meet WHO criteria for BCR-ABL1+ CML, myelodysplastic syndromes, or other myeloid neoplasms, AND
- Member meets at least ONE of the following diagnostic criteria for MPN:
  - Bone marrow biopsy results that are consistent with WHO diagnostic criteria for prePMF, overt PMF, ET, or PV, or
  - Platelet count ≥ 450 x 10^9/L, or
  - Hemoglobin > 16.5 g/dL in men, > 16.0 g/dL in women, or
  - Hematocrit >49% in men, >48% in women, or
  - Increased red cell mass (RCM), defined as >25% above the mean normal predicted value, or
  - A combination of two of the following symptoms:
    - Anemia not attributed to a comorbid condition, or
    - Leukocytosis ≥ 11 x 10^9/L, or
    - Palpable splenomegaly, or
    - LDH increased to above upper normal limit of institutional reference range, or
    - Leukoerythroblastosis, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

JAK2 Exon 12 Analysis

• Member does not meet WHO criteria for BCR-ABL1+ CML, myelodysplastic syndromes, or other myeloid neoplasms, AND
• JAK2 V617F mutation analysis is negative, AND
• Member meets at least ONE of the following diagnostic criteria for PV:
  o Bone marrow biopsy results that are consistent with WHO diagnostic criteria for PV, or
  o Hemoglobin > 16.5 g/dL in men, > 16.0 g/dL in women, or
  o Hematocrit >49% in men, >48% in women, or
  o Increased red cell mass (RCM), defined as >25% above the mean normal predicted value, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

CALR Exon 9 and MPL Mutation Analysis

• Member does not meet WHO criteria for BCR-ABL1+ CML, PV, myelodysplastic syndromes, or other myeloid neoplasms, AND
• JAK2 V617F mutation analysis is negative, AND
• Member meets at least ONE of the following diagnostic criteria for ET or PMF:
  o Bone marrow biopsy results that are consistent with WHO diagnostic criteria for prePMF, overt PMF, or ET, or
  o Platelet count ≥ 450 x 10⁹/L, or
  o A combination of two of the following symptoms:
    ▪ Anemia not attributed to a comorbid condition, or
    ▪ Leukocytosis ≥ 11 x 10⁹/L, or
    ▪ Palpable splenomegaly, or
    ▪ LDH increased to above upper normal limit of institutional reference range, or
    ▪ Leukoerythroblastosis, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Analysis of ASXL1, EZH2, TET2, IDH1, IDH2, SRSF2, and/or SF3B1

• Member does not meet WHO criteria for BCR-ABL1+ CML, PV, ET, myelodysplastic syndromes, or other myeloid neoplasms, AND
• JAK2, CALR, and MPL mutation analyses are all negative, AND
• Member meets at least ONE of the following diagnostic criteria for PMF:
Bone marrow biopsy results that are consistent with WHO diagnostic criteria for prePMF or overt PMF, or

- A combination of two of the following symptoms:
  - Anemia not attributed to a comorbid condition, or
  - Leukocytosis ≥ 11 x 10^9/L, or
  - Palpable splenomegaly, or
  - LDH increased to above upper normal limit of institutional reference range, or
  - Leukoerythroblastosis, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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## BCR-ABL Testing for Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

### Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL1 Detection, Major Breakpoint</td>
<td>81206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL1 Detection, Minor Breakpoint</td>
<td>81207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL1 Detection, Other Breakpoint</td>
<td>81208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISH Analysis for t(9;22) BCR-ABL</td>
<td>88271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What Are CML and BCR-ABL?

- Chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) is a hematopoietic stem cell disease that results in overgrowth of white blood cells in the bone marrow. It is defined by the presence of the Philadelphia chromosome (Ph), a translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22 that results in the fusion of two genes known as BCR and ABL.\(^1,2\)

- Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is a different form of leukemia, but may also be positive for the Philadelphia chromosome (Ph+). About 3% of pediatric ALL and 25% of adult ALL is Ph+.\(^3\)

- Detection of the BCR-ABL fusion gene is diagnostic for CML and Ph+ ALL and can be established by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) or quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (QPCR).\(^2\)

- The three phases of CML are chronic, accelerated and blastic. In the chronic phase, there are few symptoms and most people are diagnosed after a routine blood test reveals the characteristic blood count and differential. If not treated, the disease will progress to the accelerated and blastic phases, symptoms of which include fever, bone pain, splenomegaly, fatigue and weakness.\(^1\)

- First line treatment for CML and some Ph+ ALL is with a class of drugs called tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), which block the production of the BCR-ABL fusion gene protein product. Three TKI therapies are available as first-line therapies: imatinib (Gleevec\(^\text{®}\)), nilotinib (Tasigna\(^\text{®}\)), and dasatinib (Sprycel\(^\text{®}\)). These TKI therapies have all demonstrated proven benefit, and median survival is expected to approach normal life expectancy for most patients with CML.\(^1,2\)

- Monitoring of patients for treatment response to TKIs includes routine measurement of the BCR-ABL fusion gene protein product via QPCR prior to initiation of treatment and during treatment every 3 months.\(^2\)

- For individuals who display apparent treatment resistance, consideration of alternative treatment options may be appropriate.\(^2\) Treatment resistance in both CML and ALL can be caused by mutations in the BCR-ABL kinase domain.\(^2,3\)
Test Information

- **qPCR for BCR-ABL transcript levels**: Bone marrow cytogenetics and measurement of BCR-ABL transcript levels by quantitative polymerase chain reaction is recommended before initiation of treatment as well as for assessing response to therapy.
- **FISH for t(9;22) BCR-ABL**: If collection of bone marrow is not feasible, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) on peripheral blood specimen using dual probes for the BCR and ABL genes is an acceptable method of confirming the diagnosis of CML.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) recommends bone marrow cytogenetics to confirm a diagnosis of CML. If bone marrow is not available, FISH on a peripheral blood specimen using probes for both BCR and ABL can confirm the diagnosis.
  - NCCN recommends BCR-ABL transcript levels be obtained by quantitative RT-PCR:
    - At diagnosis
    - Every three months after initiating treatment. After a patient reaches complete cytogenetic response, every 3 months for two years and every 3-6 months thereafter
    - If a patient has a rising level of BCR-ABL transcripts (1 log increase), repeat testing in 1–3 months.

These recommendations are category 2A: "based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate."

Criteria

BCR-ABL transcript level testing is indicated in individuals at the initiation of treatment and at regular intervals (ranges from every month to once every 3-6 months) during treatment with ANY of the following drug therapies:

- Imatinib (Gleevec®)
- Nilotinib (Tasigna®)
- Dasatinib (Sprycel®)
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Bloom Syndrome Testing

What Is Bloom Syndrome?

- Bloom syndrome is a genetic disorder in which an individual’s chromosomes contain large breaks, gaps, or rearrangements.\(^1\)
- Affected individuals are usually smaller than average and suffer from a variety of symptoms:\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\)
  - Intrauterine growth retardation that persists into childhood.
  - Long, narrow face, small lower jaw, and prominent nose and ears
  - Sensitivity to sunlight. Exposure to sunlight causes a characteristic butterfly-shaped rash on the face.
  - Chronic lung problems, diabetes, and immune deficiencies.
  - Cancer diagnosis at an early age.
  - Learning disabilities.
- Fewer than 300 cases of Bloom syndrome have been reported since the disease was first described over 50 years ago:\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\)
  - About 1 in 48,000 Ashkenazi Jews have Bloom syndrome, and 25% of all affected individuals have Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry.\(^2\)
- There is no cure for Bloom syndrome. Treatment involves continuous monitoring by multiple physicians and specialists.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^3\)
- Bloom syndrome is caused by a genetic mutation in the BLM gene.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)
  - BLM is essential to maintaining the stability of chromosomes during DNA replication and cell division.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)
  - Mutations in BLM lead to mistakes during cellular replication.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)
  - Individuals with Bloom syndrome have multiple breaks, gaps, and genetic rearrangements in their chromosomes, leading to a unique combination of signs and symptoms.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)
- A diagnosis of Bloom syndrome is suspected when the patient presents with the characteristic suite of signs and symptoms. This diagnosis can be confirmed by genetic testing and is needed to differentiate between other disorders with overlapping symptoms. There are several types of tests available for diagnostic purposes.
• Bloom syndrome is an autosomal recessive disorder, meaning that an affected individual must inherit BLM gene mutations from each parent.\textsuperscript{1,4}
  o Individuals who inherit only one mutation are called carriers. Carriers do not show symptoms of Bloom syndrome, but have a 50\% chance of passing on the mutation to their children.
  o Two carriers of Bloom syndrome have a 1 in 4 (25\%) chance for each pregnancy to be affected with Bloom syndrome.

Test Information

• **Sister Chromatid Exchange**: SCE is the standard analysis for diagnosis of Bloom syndrome. The method involves exposing an individual’s cells to bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU), a compound that helps identify which cells contain chromosomes with unusually large numbers of rearrangements, or ‘exchanges.’ Individuals with Bloom syndrome will have a substantially higher number of these exchanges compared with unaffected individuals.\textsuperscript{5} This test can be used for prenatal diagnosis of at-risk pregnancies on chorionic villi or amniocytes.\textsuperscript{4}

• **BLM Known Familial Mutation Analysis**: Once a deleterious mutation has been identified in an affected person, relatives and at-risk pregnancies can be tested.

• **BLM Targeted Mutation Analysis**: This test looks for the BLM gene mutation most often found in Ashkenazi Jewish patients, called BLM\textsuperscript{Ash}.\textsuperscript{4} The detection rate of this mutation in Ashkenazi Jewish individuals is >95\%.\textsuperscript{4}

• **BLM Sequencing**: This test looks for mutations across the entire gene, and can identify at least 90\% of disease-causing mutations.\textsuperscript{4} It is typically used only for diagnosis of an affected individual or carrier testing of a non-Ashkenazi Jewish individual when the partner is a known carrier.

• **BLM Deletion/Duplication Analysis**: This test looks for deletions and duplication in the gene that would not be detected by sequencing analysis.\textsuperscript{4} It is typically performed in reflex to sequencing analysis when there is a high suspicion for disease.\textsuperscript{4}

Guidelines and Evidence

• No evidence-based US guidelines have been identified for diagnostic testing.

• A 2016 expert-authored review suggests the following diagnostic testing strategy:\textsuperscript{4}
  o "The diagnosis of Bloom Syndrome (Bsyn) is established in a proband with identification of biallelic pathogenic variants in \textit{BLM} on molecular genetic testing or, if molecular genetic testing is inconclusive, with identification of increased frequency of sister-chromatid exchanges (SCEs) on specialized cytogenetic studies."

• The **American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2008)**\textsuperscript{6} and the **American College of Obstetrics and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2009)**\textsuperscript{7} support offering carrier testing for Bloom syndrome to individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent for the common blm\textsuperscript{Ash} mutation.
Guidelines support the testing of individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent, even when their partner is non-Ashkenazi Jewish. In this situation, testing would start with the individual who is Jewish and if blm$^{\text{Ash}}$ mutation is detected, sequencing of BLM in the non-Ashkenazi Jewish partner would follow.\textsuperscript{5} If the woman is pregnant, testing may need to be conducted on both partners simultaneously in order to receive results in a timely fashion.\textsuperscript{7}

- If one or both partners are found to be carriers of Bloom syndrome, genetic counseling should be provided and prenatal testing offered, if appropriate.

  - A 2016 expert-authored review states:\textsuperscript{4}
    - "Carrier testing for at-risk relatives requires prior identification of the BLM pathogenic mutations in the family."
  
  - A 2016 expert-authored review states:\textsuperscript{4}
    - "Prenatal diagnosis for pregnancies at increased risk is possible by sister-chromatid exchange (SCE) analysis of fetal cells obtained by amniocentesis usually performed at about 15 to 18 weeks’ gestation or chorionic villus sampling (CVS) at approximately ten to 12 weeks’ gestation."
    - "If the BLM pathogenic variants have been identified in an affected family member, prenatal testing for pregnancies at increased risk may be available from a clinical laboratory that offers either testing of this gene or custom prenatal testing."
    - "Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) has been successfully utilized for one couple [Bloom's Syndrome Registry, unpublished data], and may be an option for some families in which the BLM pathogenic variants have been identified."

Criteria

**Sister Chromatid Exchange (Chromosome Analysis for Breakage Syndromes)**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous sister chromatid exchange analysis performed, and
  - No previous BLM full sequencing, or BLM sequencing performed and only one mutation identified, and
  - No known BLM mutation in biologic relative, and
  - If Ashkenazi Jewish, targeted mutation analysis performed and no mutation detected or one mutation detected, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Unexplained severe intrauterine growth retardation that persists throughout infancy and childhood (< 5th percentile), or
o An unusually small individual (<5th percentile) who develops erythematous skin lesions in the "butterfly area" of the face after sun exposure, or
o An unusually small individual (<5th percentile) who develops a malignancy OR
- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  o Known increased risk due to affected first-degree relative, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**BLM Known Familial Mutation Analysis**

- Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous genetic testing of BLM, AND
- Carrier Screening:
  o Known family mutation in BLM identified in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative(s), OR
- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  o BLM mutation identified in both biologic parents, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**BLM Targeted Mutation Analysis**

- Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous BLM genetic testing, including AJ screening panels containing targeted mutation analysis for blmAsh, AND
- Carrier Screening:
  o Ashkenazi Jewish descent, and
  o Have the potential and intention to reproduce, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**BLM Sequencing**

- Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous BLM full sequencing, and
  o No known BLM mutation in biologic relative, and
  o If Ashkenazi Jewish, targeted mutation analysis performed and no mutation detected or one mutation detected, AND
Bloom Syndrome

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Unexplained severe intrauterine growth retardation that persists throughout infancy and childhood (< 5\textsuperscript{th} percentile), or
  - An unusually small individual (<5\textsuperscript{th} percentile) who develops erythematous skin lesions in the "butterfly area" of the face after sun exposure, or
  - An unusually small individual (<5\textsuperscript{th} percentile) who develops a malignancy, OR
- Testing for Individuals with Family History or Partners of Carriers:
  - 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree biologic relative with Bloom syndrome clinical diagnosis, family mutation unknown, and testing unavailable, or
  - Partner is monoallelic or biallelic for BLM mutation, and
  - Have the potential and intention to reproduce, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

BLM Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - Previous BLM full sequencing, and no mutations or only one mutation detected, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Unexplained severe intrauterine growth retardation that persists throughout infancy and childhood (< 5\textsuperscript{th} percentile), or
  - An unusually small individual (<5\textsuperscript{th} percentile) who develops erythematous skin lesions in the "butterfly area" of the face after sun exposure, or
  - An unusually small individual (<5\textsuperscript{th} percentile) who develops a malignancy, OR
- Testing for Individuals with Family History or Partners of Carriers:
  - 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree biologic relative with Bloom syndrome clinical diagnosis, family mutation unknown, and testing unavailable, or
  - Partner is monoallelic or biallelic for BLM mutation, and
  - Have the potential and intention to reproduce, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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BRAF Testing for Colorectal Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAF V600 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is BRAF?

- BRAF is part of a cell signaling pathway that helps control cell growth. Changes, or mutations, in the BRAF gene can cause out of control cell growth, which may lead to cancer.\(^1\) The most common BRAF mutation is called V600E (previously known as V599E).
- About 5-9% of colorectal cancer tumors have a V600E BRAF mutation.\(^1\)
- Patients with a V600E BRAF mutation appear to have a poorer prognosis. Tumors with BRAF mutations may have less response to anti-EGFR therapies like cetuximab (Erbitux\(^\text{®}\)) and panitumumab (Vectibix\(^\text{®}\)).\(^1\)

Test Information

- **Targeted mutation analysis:** Laboratories most commonly test for the BRAF V600E mutation, which accounts for about 90% of activating BRAF mutations.\(^2\) Mutation analysis requires relatively little tumor material for testing and has high sensitivity. It is also relatively inexpensive.\(^3,4\) BRAF mutation analysis is done on fresh, frozen, or paraffin-embedded tissue from either a primary tumor or metastasis.\(^1,3,4\) Some molecular diagnostic laboratories perform BRAF mutation analysis by laboratory-developed methods, while others use FDA-approved test kits. Laboratory-developed tests may vary in the specimen type required, methodology used, mutations tested, sensitivity, and other test-specific data.
- **Sequencing:** Some laboratories sequence all or part of the BRAF gene, which will find a broader spectrum of mutations than targeted mutation analysis. Laboratories that offer sequencing generally do so for a subset of exons where most BRAF activating mutations have been identified. Sequence analysis requires more and higher quality tumor material for testing than targeted mutation analysis. This method is typically less efficient and more expensive than targeted mutation analysis.\(^3,5\)
Note that BRAF mutation analysis has several other test applications with different criteria (such as melanoma therapeutic response, Lynch syndrome tumor screening, and Noonan syndrome diagnosis). Ensure you are reviewing the correct use of the test.

Guidelines and Evidence

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017) guidelines state:  
- "Limited data from unplanned retrospective subset analyses of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated in the first-line setting suggest that although BRAF V600E mutation confers a poor prognosis regardless of treatment, patients with disease characterized by this mutation may receive some benefit from the addition of cetuximab to front-line therapy."
- "Overall, the panel believes that evidence increasingly suggests that BRAF V600E mutation makes response to panitumumab or cetuximab, as single agents or in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy, highly unlikely. The panel recommends BRAF genotyping of tumor tissue (either primary tumor or metastasis) at diagnosis of stage VI disease."
- "Although BRAF genotyping can be considered for patients with tumors characterized by the wild-type KRAS/NRAS genes, this testing is currently optional and not a necessary part of deciding whether to use anti-EGFR agents."
- "Despite uncertainty over its role as a predictive marker, it is clear that mutations in BRAF are a strong prognostic marker."
- "The panel strongly recommends genotyping of tumor tissue (either primary tumor or metastasis) in all patients with metastatic colorectal cancer for RAS (KRAS exon 2 or non-exon 2; NRAS) and BRAF at diagnosis of stage IV disease."
- "Testing for KRAS, NRAS, and BRAF mutations should be performed only in laboratories that are certified under the clinical laboratory improvement amendments of 1988 (CLIA-88) as qualifies to perform high complexity clinical laboratory (molecular pathology) testing. No specific methodology is recommended (eg, sequencing, hybridization)."

Criteria

Testing may be considered in individuals who meet the following criteria:

- Individual has been diagnosed with stage IV, metastatic colorectal cancer, AND
- BRAF mutation testing is needed for prognostic purposes.

The following BRAF mutation testing application is specifically a NON-COVERED indication:

- BRAF mutation testing for the purpose of decision making regarding the use of anti-EGFR agents. Testing for this indication is considered investigational and experimental.
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### BRAF Testing for Melanoma Kinase Inhibitor Response

**MOL.TS.134.A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAF V600 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What Is BRAF Mutation Analysis?

- **BRAF** is part of a cell signaling pathway that helps control cell growth. Mutations in the BRAF gene can cause out of control cell growth, which may lead to cancer.\(^1\)\(^2\) The most common BRAF mutation is called V600E (previously known as V599E), which accounts for about 70-90% of mutations in this gene.\(^1\)\(^3\)
- About 40-60% of cutaneous melanomas have a V600E BRAF mutation.\(^1\)
- **Vemurafenib** (Zelboraf\(^\text{®}\)), dabrafenib (Tafinlar\(^\text{®}\)), and trametinib (Mekinist\(^\text{®}\)) are orally-administered kinase inhibitors that are able to block the function of the mutated BRAF protein.\(^1\)\(^2\) They are specifically indicated for the treatment of patients with metastatic or unresectable melanoma whose tumors have a BRAF V600E mutation.\(^1\)\(^2\) They are not recommended for use in patients with wild type BRAF melanoma.\(^2\)\(^4\)-\(^6\)

### Test Information

- **Targeted mutation analysis:** Laboratories most commonly test for the BRAF V600E mutation, which accounts for about 90% of activating BRAF mutations.\(^4\) Mutation analysis requires relatively little tumor material for testing and has high sensitivity. It is also relatively inexpensive.\(^2\)\(^3\) BRAF mutation analysis is done on fresh, frozen, or paraffin-embedded tissue from either a primary tumor or metastasis.\(^1\)\(^3\) Some molecular diagnostic laboratories perform BRAF mutation analysis by laboratory-developed methods, while others use FDA-approved test kits. Laboratory-developed tests may vary in the specimen type required, methodology used, mutations tested, sensitivity, and other test-specific data.
- Vemurafenib was approved in 2011 for use along with an FDA approved companion diagnostic developed by Roche molecular diagnostics called the cobas\(^\text{®}\) 4800 BRAF V600 Mutation Test. The cobas 4800 BRAF V600 mutation test was clinically validated in the trials conducted for approval of vemurafenib. This testing specifically checks for the V600E mutation in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded melanoma tumor tissue.\(^2\)
- In 2013, dabrafenib and trametinib were approved for use along with an FDA approved companion diagnostic developed by Roche molecular diagnostics...
called the THxID™ BRAF test. The THxID BRAF test was clinically validated in the clinical studies supporting the approval of dabrafenib and trametinib.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- The **National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016)** includes vemurafenib, dabrafenib, dabrafenib in combination with trametinib, and vemurafenib in combination with cobimetinib as options for treatment of advanced or metastatic melanoma which require companion diagnostic testing. The guidelines state “For patients with BRAF-mutant metastatic disease. BRAF-targeted therapy first-line options include BRAF/MEK inhibitor combination therapy with dabrafenib/trametinib or vemurafenib/cobimetinib, or single-agent BRAF inhibitor therapy with vemurafenib/cobimetinib.”

- The **US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)** approved each of these drugs with a companion diagnostic:
  - **Zelboraf:** "ZELBORAF® is a kinase inhibitor indicated for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma with BRAF V600E mutation as detected by an FDA-approved test. Limitation of Use: ZELBORAF is not indicated for treatment of patients with wild-type BRAF melanoma."
  - **Tafinlar:** “TAFINLAR is a kinase inhibitor indicated as a single agent for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma with BRAF V600E mutation as detected by an FDA-approved test. TAFINLAR in combination with trametinib is indicated for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma with BRAF V600E or V600K mutations as detected by an FDA-approved test. The use in combination is based on the demonstration of durable response rate. Improvement in disease-related symptoms or overall survival has not been demonstrated for TAFINLAR in combination with trametinib… Limitation of Use: TAFINLAR is not indicated for treatment of patients with wild-type BRAF melanoma.”
  - **Trametinib (Mekinist):** "MEKINIST is a kinase inhibitor indicated as a single agent and in combination with dabrafenib for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma with BRAF V600E or V600K mutations as detected by an FDA-approved test. The use in combination is based on the demonstration of durable response rate. Improvement in disease-related symptoms or overall survival has not been demonstrated for MEKINIST in combination with dabrafenib…. MEKINIST as a single agent is not indicated for treatment of patients who have received prior BRAF-inhibitor therapy."
  - **Cotellic (cobimetinib):** “COTELLIC is a kinase inhibitor indicated for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma with a BRAF V600E or V600K mutation, in combination with vemurafenib. Limitation of use: COTELLIC is not indicated for treatment of patients with wild-type BRAF melanoma.”
Criteria

Testing may be considered in individuals who meet the following criteria:

- Individual has been diagnosed with metastatic or unresectable melanoma, AND
- At least one of the following treatment is being considered: Zelboraf® (vemurafenib), Tafinlar® (dabrafenib), Mekinist® (trametinib) in combination with Tafinlar® (dabrafenib), or Zelboraf® (vemurafenib) in combination with Cotellic® (cobimetinib), AND
- BRAF V600 testing has not been performed previously

Exclusions

BRAF V600E tumor marker testing is not currently indicated as a companion diagnostic or for therapy selection for any other tumor types and is therefore not covered for these uses.
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BRCA Analysis

MOL.TS.238.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Full Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication Analysis (Combined)</td>
<td>81162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer?

- Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (HBOC) is an inherited form of cancer characterized by:
  - Personal history of breast cancer at a young age (typically under age 50)
  - Personal history of two primary breast cancers
  - Personal history of both breast and ovarian cancer
  - Personal history of a triple negative breast cancer (ER-, PR-, HER2-)
  - Personal history of ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer
  - Multiple cases of breast and/or ovarian cancer in a family
  - Personal or family history of male breast cancer
  - Personal or family history of pancreatic cancer with breast or ovarian cancer
  - Previously identified BRCA1/2 mutation in the family
  - Any of the above with Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry

- Up to 10% of all breast cancer and 15% of all ovarian cancer is associated with an inherited gene mutation, with BRCA1/2 accounting for about 20-25% of all hereditary cases.1,3-5

- About 1 in 400 people in the general population has a BRCA1/2 mutation. The prevalence of mutations is higher in people of Norwegian, Dutch or Icelandic ethnicity.1,3 The prevalence of BRCA mutations varies among African Americans, Hispanics, Asian Americans, and non-Hispanic whites.3

- About 1 in 40 people of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry has a BRCA1/2 mutation. The majority of the risk in the Ashkenazi Jewish population is associated with three common founder mutations, two of which are in the BRCA1 gene and one in the BRCA2 gene.1,6,7 These three mutations account for 99% of identified mutations in the Ashkenazi Jewish population.1

- People with a BRCA mutation have an increased risk of breast cancer (46-87%), ovarian cancer (16.5-63%), male breast cancer (1-9%), prostate cancer (up to 20%), pancreatic cancer (1-7%), and several other types of cancer. Individuals
with a BRCA2 mutation may also be at an increased risk for melanoma.\(^1\)
Screening and prevention options are available to specifically address the increased risk of these cancers in a person with a BRCA mutation.\(^1\)

- BRCA mutations are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. When a parent has a BRCA mutation, each of her/his offspring have a 50% risk of inheriting the mutation.\(^1\)
- The risk for breast and ovarian cancer varies among family members and between families.
- Other inherited cancer syndromes that can include breast cancer are Li-Fraumeni syndrome (TP53 gene), Cowden syndrome (PTEN), Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer syndrome (CDH1), and Peutz Jeghers syndrome (STK11).
  Additionally, other genes that can increase the risk for breast cancer are ATM, CHEK2, and PALB2.\(^1,6,8,9\)

**Test Information**

- Four types of BRCA testing are available. Each may be appropriate for different clinical situations.
  - **Full sequence analysis of BRCA1/2 genes** looks at all of the coding regions of the BRCA1/2 genes, and often includes analysis of five common BRCA1/2 gene duplications and deletions.
    - Full sequence testing is typically appropriate as an initial test for people who meet criteria (see Guidelines below) and do NOT have Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry.\(^1,6\)
  - **Deletion/duplication analysis** looks for large rearrangements, duplications, and deletions in the BRCA1/2 genes.
  - **Known familial mutation testing** looks for a specific mutation in either the BRCA1/2 gene previously identified in a family member.
    - This test is appropriate for those who have a known BRCA mutation in the family AND are not Ashkenazi Jewish.
    - It is important to note that founder mutation testing may be appropriate for those with Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry, even with a known familial mutation, since these mutations are common enough that multiple mutations can be found in the same Ashkenazi Jewish individual or family. If the familial mutation is not one of the three Ashkenazi Jewish mutations, then known familial mutation analysis for that mutation should be performed in addition to the founder mutation panel.\(^1,6\)
  - **Ashkenazi Jewish founder mutation testing** includes the three mutations most commonly found in the Ashkenazi Jewish population: 187delAG and 5385insC in BRCA1 and 6174delT in BRCA2.\(^1\)
  - **Cancer Multigene Panels** - BRCA1/2 gene testing is also available in the form of multigene panels for individuals with a personal and/or family history of cancer suggestive of more than one hereditary cancer syndrome. (see related summary for guidance)
Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017)\(^6\) evidence and consensus-based guidelines address test indications for those with a personal history of HBOC-related cancers, those with a known mutation in the family, and unaffected individuals with a family history of HBOC-related cancer.
  - Based on these guidelines, and the recommendations of the National Society of Genetic Counselors (2013),\(^8\) BRCA sequence analysis is appropriate in individuals with a personal and/or family history of cancer when any of the following criteria are met:
    - Personal history of breast cancer plus one or more of the following in non-Ashkenazi Jewish individuals:
      - Diagnosed at age 45 years or younger; OR
      - Diagnosed at age 50 or younger with at least one close blood relative with breast cancer diagnosed at any age, OR
      - Diagnosed at age 60 years or younger with a triple negative (ER-, PR-, HER2-) breast cancer; OR
      - Two breast primaries when the first breast cancer diagnosis occurred at age 50 or younger; OR
      - Diagnosed at any age with at least one close blood relative with breast cancer diagnosed at age 50 years or younger and/or at least one close blood relative diagnosed with epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer at any age; OR
      - Diagnosed at any age with two or more close blood relatives with breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, or prostate cancer (Gleason score \(\geq 7\)) at any age; OR
      - Diagnosed at 50 or younger with at least one close blood relative with pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) OR
      - Diagnosed at age 50 years or younger with an unknown or limited** family history; OR
      - Diagnosed at any age with a close male relative with breast cancer
    - Personal history of epithelial ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer (without history of breast cancer).
    - Personal history of male breast cancer
    - Personal history of pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) at any age with at least one close blood relative with ovarian cancer at any age or breast cancer \(<50\) years or two close blood relatives with breast and/or pancreatic or prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) at any age
    - Family history only, no personal diagnosis of cancer plus one of the following:
      - First- or second- degree blood relative meeting any of the above criteria, OR
- Third-degree blood relative with breast and/or ovarian cancer and 2 or more close blood relatives with breast cancer (at least one diagnosed at or before age 50) and/or ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer. Ashkenazi Jewish women who are negative for founder mutation testing, and have a high pre-test probability of carrying a BRCA mutation.\(^{(1,6)}\)

- BRCA1/2 mutation detected by tumor profiling in the absence of germline mutation analysis.\(^6\)

  - NCCN states "Testing of unaffected individuals should only be considered when an appropriate affected family member is unavailable for testing." They caution that the significant limitations in interpreting results from unaffected relatives must be discussed.

  - Close blood relatives include: first-degree relatives (parents, siblings, children); second-degree relatives (aunts, uncles, grandparents, grandchildren, nieces, nephews and half-siblings); and third-degree relatives (great-grandparents, great-aunts, great-uncles, and first cousins) on the same side of the family.

  - **Limited family history is defined as “fewer than two first- or second-degree female relatives having lived beyond age 45 in either lineage.”\(^6\)

  - These recommendations are Category 2A, defined as "lower-level evidence with uniform NCCN consensus."

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (2017)\(^6\) guidelines state that: "Unless the affected individual is a member of an ethnic group for which particular founder gene mutations are known, comprehensive genetic testing (ie, full sequencing of the genes and detection of large gene rearrangements) should be performed."

- The National Society of Genetic Counselors (2013)\(^8\) guidelines also state that: "[For patients with negative sequencing results], it may be appropriate to request additional analysis to detect large genomic rearrangements in both BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes." In non-Ashkenazi Jewish individuals: If no mutation or inconclusive results are reported after sequence analysis, testing for large deletions/duplications in BRCA1/2 should be considered.\(^1,7,8\)

  - Frequency of gene rearrangements is reviewed in a 2010 study by Stadler et al.:\(^{10}\)

    - "Genomic rearrangements in the BRCA1 gene are found in 1.3-5.1% of families with histories highly suggestive of an inherited predisposition, accounting for 8-19% of all BRCA1 mutations."

    - "The prevalence of genomic rearrangements in the BRCA2 gene appears to be lower, with such alterations accounting for 0-11% of all BRCA2 mutations."

    - In their series of 108 patients with a qualifying history and negative results from BRCA1/2 sequencing, none had mutations found by rearrangement testing. The authors conclude: "Major gene rearrangements involving the BRCA1/2 genes appear to contribute little to the burden of inherited predisposition to breast and ovarian cancer in the Ashkenazim."
Jackson et al 2014\textsuperscript{11} addresses the characteristics of individuals who are more likely to have a large rearrangements in BRCA1/2:

\begin{itemize}
  \item Latin American/Caribbean ancestry
  \item Number of first-degree relatives with breast cancer (1 or more)
  \item Younger age at first breast cancer diagnosis (average age of 39.8 years)
  \item More likely to have ER- and PR- breast cancers
  \item More likely to have more two breast cancers as well as ovarian cancer
  \item More likely to have infiltrating ductal carcinoma with ductal carcinoma in situ features
\end{itemize}

The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF, 2013) recommendations address women who do not have a personal history of breast and/or ovarian cancer, but rather have a family history of these cancer types.\textsuperscript{11} The USPSTF guideline recommends:

\begin{itemize}
  \item That primary care providers screen women who have family members with breast, ovarian, tubal (fallopian tube), or peritoneal cancer with 1 of several screening tools designed to identify a family history that may be associated with an increased risk for potentially harmful mutations in breast cancer susceptibility genes (BRCA1/2). Women with positive screening results should receive genetic counseling and, if indicated after counseling, BRCA testing."
  \item The USPSTF considers this a Grade B recommendation: "The USPSTF found at least fair evidence that [the service] improves important health outcomes and concludes that benefits outweigh harms."
  \item The USPSTF guidelines no longer make explicit recommendations as to who should have BRCA1/2 gene testing -- only genetic counseling. In general, women identified as high risk by these screening tools have one or more of the following characteristics:\textsuperscript{12}
    \begin{itemize}
      \item A first or second degree relative with breast cancer before 50 years old
      \item A first or second degree relative with ovarian cancer
      \item A first or second degree relative with bilateral/multifocal breast cancer
      \item A first or second degree male relative with breast cancer
      \item A first or second degree relative with both breast and ovarian cancers
      \item Two or more relatives (first, second, third degree) with breast and/or ovarian cancer
      \item Two or more relatives (first, second, third degree) with breast and/or prostate/pancreatic cancer
      \item Presence of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry with any of the above
    \end{itemize}
\end{itemize}
Criteria
This guideline does not address BRCA analysis for individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry. For information on this testing, please see the guideline “BRCA Ashkenazi Jewish Founder Mutation Testing.” This guideline also does not address BRCA Analysis as part of multigene panels. For information on this testing, please see the guideline “Hereditary Cancer Syndrome Multigene Panels.”

Known Familial Mutation Analysis
- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre- and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous full sequence testing or deletion/duplication analysis, and
  - Known family mutation in BRCA1/2 identified in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree relative(s), AND
- Age 18 years or older, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Full Sequence Analysis
- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre- and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous full sequencing of BRCA1/2, and
  - No known mutation identified by previous BRCA analysis, AND
- Age 18 years or older, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals: 6
  - Non-Ashkenazi Jewish descent, AND
  - Personal History:
    - Female with breast cancer diagnosis ≤45 years of age, and/or
    - Two breast primary tumors with first diagnosis ≤50 years of age and second diagnosis at any age (ipsilateral or bilateral), and/or
    - Diagnosed ≤60 years of age with estrogen receptor negative, progesterone receptor negative, and HER2 negative (triple negative) breast cancer and/or
    - Diagnosed ≤50 years of age with a limited family history (NCCN provides this guidance regarding limited family history: “individuals with limited family history, such as fewer than two first- or second-degree female relatives having lived beyond 45 in either lineage, may have an underestimated probability of a familial mutation”), and/or
    - Male with breast cancer at any age, and/or
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BRCA Analysis

- Epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer diagnosis at any age, and/or
- BRCA1/2 mutation detected by tumor profiling in the absence of germline mutation analysis, OR

  - Personal & Family History Combination: 
    - Diagnosed ≤50 years of age with at least one close blood relative with breast cancer diagnosed at any age, and/or
    - Diagnosed <50 years of age with at least one close blood relative with pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7), diagnosed at any age, and/or
    - Initial breast cancer diagnosis at any age and one or more of the following:
      - Breast cancer in at least 1 close blood relative (first-, second-, or third- degree) ≤50 years of age, and/or
      - Epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer in at least 1 close blood relative (first-, second-, or third- degree) at any age, and/or
      - At least 2 close blood relatives (first-, second-, or third-degrees on same side of family) with breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, or prostate cancer (Gleason score ≥7) at any age, and/or
      - Male close blood relative (first-, second-, or third-degree) with breast cancer, and/or
    - Personal history of pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) at any age with ≥1 close blood relatives (on the same side of the family) with ovarian cancer at any age or breast cancer ≤50 years or two close blood relatives with breast and/or pancreatic and/or prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) at any age, OR

  - Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals
    - Non-Ashkenazi Jewish descent, and one or more of the following: 
      - A first or second degree relative with breast cancer at age 45 or younger, or
      - A first or second degree relative with two primary breast cancers, with the first diagnosis occurring at age 50 or younger, or
      - A first or second degree relative with a triple negative breast cancer (ER-, PR-, her2-) occurring at age 60 or younger, or
      - A first or second degree relative with ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer at any age, or
      - A first or second degree relative with male breast cancer at any age, or
      - A combination of two or more first or second degree relatives on the same side of the family with breast cancer, one of whom was diagnosed at age 50 or younger, or
• A combination of three or more first or second degree relatives on the same side of the family with breast cancer regardless of age at diagnosis, or
• A combination of both breast and ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer among two or more first or second degree relatives on the same side of the family, or
• A first or second degree relative with both breast and ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer at any age, or
• A combination of three or more first or second degree relatives on the same side of the family with breast or ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer AND pancreatic or prostate (Gleason score ≥7) cancer at any age, OR

• Ashkenazi Jewish woman who is negative for founder mutation testing, and has a high pre-test probability of carrying a BRCA mutation\textsuperscript{1,6,8} AND
• Unaffected member is the most informative person to test. All affected family members are deceased, or all affected family members have been contacted and are unwilling to be tested, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Billing and Reimbursement Considerations:

• These criteria may only be applied to a single BRCA sequencing CPT code as defined in the table at the beginning of this policy.
• If BRCA gene testing will be performed as part of an expanded hereditary cancer syndrome panel, please also see that policy for guidance.

Deletion/Duplication Analysis

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre- and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), \textsuperscript{6,8,11,13} AND
• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous BRCA deletion/duplication analysis, and
  o Meets criteria for full sequence analysis of BRCA1/2, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Billing and Reimbursement Considerations:

• If BRCA1/2 deletion/duplication analysis will be performed concurrently with BRCA1/2 gene sequencing, CPT code 81162 is likely most appropriate.
• If BRCA gene testing will be performed as part of an expanded hereditary cancer syndrome panel, please also see that policy for guidance.
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BRCA Ashkenazi Jewish Founder Mutation Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRCA 1/2 Ashkenazi Jewish Founder Mutations</td>
<td>81212</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer?

- Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (HBOC) is an inherited form of cancer characterized by:
  - Personal history of breast cancer at a young age (typically under age 50)
  - Personal history of two primary breast cancers
  - Personal history of both breast and ovarian cancer
  - Personal history of a triple negative breast cancer (ER-, PR-, HER2-)
  - Personal history of ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer
  - Multiple cases of breast and/or ovarian cancer in a family
  - Personal or family history of male breast cancer
  - Personal or family history of pancreatic cancer with breast or ovarian cancer
  - Previously identified BRCA1/2 mutation in the family
  - Any of the above with Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry

- Up to 10% of all breast cancer and 15% of all ovarian cancer is associated with an inherited gene mutation, with BRCA1/2 accounting for about 20-25% of all hereditary cases.\(^1,3-5\)

- About 1 in 400 people in the general population have a BRCA1/2 mutation. The prevalence of mutations is higher in people of Norwegian, Dutch or Icelandic ethnicity.\(^1,3\) The prevalence of BRCA mutations varies among African Americans, Hispanics, Asian Americans, and non-Hispanic whites.\(^3\)

- About 1 in 40 people of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry have a BRCA1/2 mutation. The majority of the risk in the Ashkenazi Jewish population is associated with three common founder mutations, two of which are in the BRCA1 gene and one in the BRCA2 gene.\(^1,6,7\) These three mutations account for 98% of identified mutations in the Ashkenazi Jewish population.\(^1\)

- People with a BRCA mutation have an increased risk of breast cancer (40-80%), ovarian cancer (11-40%), male breast cancer (1-10%), prostate cancer (up to 39%), pancreatic cancer (1-7%), and several other types of cancer. Individuals with a BRCA2 mutation may also be at an increased risk for melanoma.\(^1\)

Screening and prevention options are available to specifically address the increased risk of these cancers in a person with a BRCA mutation.\(^1\)
BRCA mutations are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. When a parent has a BRCA mutation, each of her/his offspring have a 50% risk of inheriting the mutation.\textsuperscript{1} The risk for breast and ovarian cancer varies among family members and between families.

Other inherited cancer syndromes that can include breast cancer are Li-Fraumeni syndrome (TP53 gene), Cowden syndrome (PTEN), Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer syndrome (CDH1), and Peutz Jeghers syndrome (STK11). Additionally, other genes that can increase the risk for breast cancer are ATM, CHEK2, and PALB2.\textsuperscript{1,7-9}

Test Information

- Four types of BRCA testing are available. Each may be appropriate for different clinical situations.

  - **Ashkenazi Jewish founder mutation testing** includes the three mutations most commonly found in the Ashkenazi Jewish population: 187delAG and 5385insC in BRCA1 and 6174delT in BRCA2.\textsuperscript{1}
    - Testing for these three most common mutations detects about 98% of mutations in those with Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry.\textsuperscript{1,6}
    - This test is appropriate for those who meet criteria (see Guidelines below) AND have Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry.\textsuperscript{6,7,8}

  - Other testing options (see related summaries for details):
    - Full sequence testing
    - Deletion/duplication analysis
    - Known familial mutation

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016)\textsuperscript{7} evidence and consensus-based guidelines include unaffected women with a family history of cancer, those with a known mutation in the family, those with a personal history of breast cancer and/or ovarian cancer, those with a personal history of pancreatic and/or prostate (Gleason score at least 7) cancer, and men with breast cancer.
  - Based on these guidelines, and the recommendations of the National Society of Genetic Counselors (2013)\textsuperscript{8} the founder mutation analysis is appropriate for any individual with Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry with a personal history of breast, epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, primary peritoneal, or pancreatic cancer. When there is a personal history of prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7), additional family history of hereditary breast ovarian cancer syndrome related cancers is required.
  - These recommendations are Category 2A, defined as "lower-level evidence with uniform NCCN consensus."

- The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF, 2013) recommendations address women who do not have a personal history of breast and/or ovarian cancer, but rather have a family history of these cancer types.\textsuperscript{10, 11}
The USPSTF guideline recommends that primary care providers identify women who have a family history of breast, ovarian, fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer with one of several screening tools. These tools are designed to identify women who may be at an increased risk to carry a BRCA mutation. Women identified as high risk should then be referred for genetic counseling and, if indicated after counseling, BRCA testing.

Women identified as high risk by these screening tools typically have one or more of the following characteristics:\textsuperscript{10-11}

- A first or second degree relative with breast cancer before 50 years old
- A first or second degree relative with ovarian cancer
- A first or second degree relative with bilateral/multifocal breast cancer
- A first or second degree male relative with breast cancer
- A first or second degree relative with both breast and ovarian cancers
- Two or more relatives (first, second, third degree) with breast and/or ovarian cancer
- Two or more relatives (first, second, third degree) with breast and/or prostate/pancreatic cancer
- Presence of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry with any of the above
- The USPSTF considers this a Grade B recommendation: "The USPSTF found at least fair evidence that [the service] improves important health outcomes and concludes that benefits outweigh harms."

### Criteria

- **Genetic Counseling:**
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy),\textsuperscript{7,8,10-12} AND

- **Previous Genetic Testing:**
  - No previous full sequence testing, and
  - No previous deletion/duplication analysis, and
  - No previous Ashkenazi Jewish founder mutation testing, AND

- **Age 18 years or older\textsuperscript{13},** AND

- **Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:**\textsuperscript{7}
  - Ashkenazi Jewish descent, and
    - Epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer diagnosis at any age, and/or
    - Male or female breast cancer diagnosis at any age, or
    - Personal history of pancreatic cancer, or
    - Personal history of prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) at any age with at least one close blood relative* with breast cancer (at 50 or younger) and/or ovarian at any age and/or two relatives with breast, pancreatic or prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) at any age.

- **Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:**
  - Ashkenazi Jewish descent and a first or second degree relative meeting the following:\textsuperscript{7}
- Epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer diagnosis at any age, and/or
- Male or female breast cancer diagnosis at any age, or
- Personal history of pancreatic cancer, or
- Personal history of prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) at any age with at least one close blood relative* with breast cancer (at 50 or younger) and/or ovarian at any age and/or two relatives with breast, pancreatic or prostate cancer (Gleason score at least 7) at any age, and
- The affected relative is deceased, unable, or unwilling to be tested†, or
- Close blood relative (1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree) with a known founder mutation in a BRCA1/2 gene, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

*First-degree relatives (parents, siblings, children); second-degree relatives (aunts, uncles, grandparents, grandchildren, nieces, nephews and half-siblings); and third-degree relatives (great-grandparents, great-aunts, great-uncles, and first cousins) on the same side of the family.

** Note: Full gene sequencing of BRCA1/2 is authorized if no founder mutations are detected by 81212 and the individual meets the criteria above. 7,8
†Testing of unaffected individuals should only be considered when an affected family member is unavailable for testing due to the significant limitations in interpreting a negative result.
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BRCA Sequencing for Drug Treatment Response in Ovarian Cancer

What Is BRCA Testing for Drug Treatment Response?

- The National Cancer Institute estimates approximately 22,280 women will be diagnosed with ovarian cancer and 14,280 are expected to die from this disease in 2016.¹
- The 5-year survival rate for ovarian cancer is 46.2%.¹ It is estimated that approximately 15% of all ovarian cancer is associated with hereditary BRCA mutations.² About 1 in 400 people in the general population has a BRCA mutation.³
- A female who has an inherited (germline) mutation in a BRCA gene has approximately an 8.46 to 30-fold increased risk (approximately 11-39%) to develop ovarian cancer before the age of 70 years. The baseline risk for ovarian cancer in the general population is 1.3%.² BRCA genes are tumor suppressor genes, which means that they help prevent cells from growing and dividing too rapidly or in an uncontrolled way. They encode proteins involved in repairing damaged DNA, thereby helping to maintain the stability of the genetic information contained in the cells. If both copies of one, or both, of these genes are mutated, the DNA repair process does not occur properly and the damaged DNA can allow cells to grow and divide uncontrollably, leading to the development of a tumor.⁴

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication Analysis (Combined)</td>
<td>81162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Ashkenazi Jewish Founder Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) is a family of proteins involved in a number of cellular processes including DNA repair and programmed cell death. In the case of DNA repair, a PARP inhibitor will block the PARP from performing its normal function of repairing damaged single-stranded DNA. If the damaged single-stranded DNA persists through cell replication and cell division, double stranded breaks in the DNA can occur.\textsuperscript{5}

- Olaparib (Lynparza\textsuperscript{TM}) and rucaparib (Rubraca\textsuperscript{TM}) are oral PARP inhibitors manufactured by AstraZeneca and Clovis Oncology, respectively. These drugs are thought to act by prohibiting PARP from starting the DNA repair process.\textsuperscript{5,6,7}

- When PARP is inhibited in individuals who have BRCA mutations, the proteins encoded for by these genes will not be able to repair the double-stranded breaks, so the cell will be overcome with damaged DNA and die. The cells that do not contain a BRCA mutation will still be able to effectively repair any DNA damage using other DNA repair methods and persevere even when PARP is inhibited.\textsuperscript{5,6,7}

**Test Information**

- Two companion diagnostics are available to assist with drug selection for patients who have been previously treated with chemotherapy for ovarian cancer:
  - **BRACAnalysis CDx\textsuperscript{TM}, developed by Myriad Genetic Laboratories**, was approved by the FDA in 2014 as an *in vitro* diagnostic device: "BRACAnalysis CDx is an *in vitro* diagnostic device intended for the qualitative detection and classification of variants in the protein coding regions and intron/exon boundaries of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes using genomic DNA obtained from whole blood specimens collected in EDTA. Single nucleotide variants and small insertions and deletions (indels) are identified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Sanger sequencing. Large deletions and duplications in BRCA1 and BRCA2 are detected using multiplex PCR. Results of the test are used as an aid in identifying ovarian cancer patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA variants eligible for treatment with Lynparza (olaparib)."\textsuperscript{8}
  - **FoundationFocus\textsuperscript{TM} CDx\textsubscript{BRCA}, developed by Foundation Medicine**, was approved by the FDA in 2016 as an *in vitro* diagnostic device: "The FoundationFocus CDx\textsubscript{BRCA} is a next generation sequencing based *in vitro* diagnostic device for qualitative detection of BRCA1 and BRCA2 alterations in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) ovarian tumor tissue. The FoundationFocus CDx\textsubscript{BRCA} assay detects sequence alterations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 (BRCA1/2) genes. Results of the assay are used as an aid in identifying ovarian cancer patients for whom treatment with Rubraca (rucaparib) is being considered. If a patient is positive for any of the deleterious alterations specified in the BRCA1/2 classification, the patient may be eligible for treatment with Rubraca."\textsuperscript{8}
Guidelines and Evidence

- The **U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)** approved olaparib (Lynparza) in December 2014 and rucaparib (Rubraca) in December 2016 when prescribed based on results from an FDA-approved companion diagnostic.\(^9,10\)
  - "Lynparza is a poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor indicated as monotherapy in patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA mutated (as detected by an FDA-approved test) advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with three or more prior lines of chemotherapy."\(^9\)
  - “Rubraca is a poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor indicated as monotherapy for the treatment of patients with deleterious BRCA mutation (germline and/or somatic) associated advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with two or more chemotherapies. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic…”\(^10\)

- The **National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016)** guidelines for ovarian cancer provide the following direction in regards to treatment selection:
  - Olaparib: "For patients with deleterious germline BRCA-mutated (as detected by an FDA-approved test or other validated test performed in a CLIA-approved facility) advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with three or more lines of chemotherapy."\(^11\)
  - Rucaparib is not included in NCCN’s 2016 guidelines because they have not been updated since the drug was approved. It is likely to be included in the Principles of Systemic Therapy: Acceptable Recurrence Therapies for Epithelial Ovarian/Fallopian Tube/Primary Peritoneal Cancer Table in the 2017 update. Based on the FDA label, the guidelines should note that rucaparib is indicated for advanced ovarian cancer patients who have been treated with two or more lines of chemotherapy.

Criteria

FoundationFocus CDx\(_{BRCA}\) testing may be considered in individuals who meet ALL of the following criteria:
- Full gene sequencing of BRCA1 and BRCA2 has not been previously performed, **AND**
- Member has advanced ovarian cancer, **AND**
- Member has failed at least two prior lines of chemotherapy, **AND**
- Treatment with rucaparib is being considered, **AND**
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

BRACAnalysis CDx testing may be considered in individuals who meet ALL of the following criteria:
- Full gene sequencing of BRCA1 and BRCA2 has not been previously performed, **AND**
- Member has advanced ovarian cancer, **AND**
- Member has failed at least three prior lines of chemotherapy, **AND**
• Treatment with olaparib is being considered, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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Brugada Syndrome Known Familial Mutation Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCC9 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACNA1C Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACNB2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPD1L Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN4 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNE3 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNH2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNJ8 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKP2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN1B Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN3B Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN5A Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Brugada Syndrome?

- Brugada syndrome (BrS) is an inherited channelopathy characterized by right precordial ST elevation. This can result in cardiac conduction delays at different levels, syncope and/or a lethal arrhythmia resulting in sudden cardiac death.
- Although the typical presentation of BrS is sudden death in a male in his 40s with a previous history of syncope, BrS has been seen in individuals between the ages of 2 days and 85 years\(^1\), as well as females.\(^2\)
• The diagnosis of Brugada Syndrome (BrS) is based on ECG results, clinical presentation and family history. A diagnosis of either type 1, 2 or 3 ECG results with a personal history of fainting spells, ventricular fibrillation, self-terminating polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, or electrophysiologic inducibility can help identify those at risk for BrS. A family history of syncope, coved-type ECGs, or sudden cardiac death, especially in an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern, can help aid in the diagnosis.3,4

• BrS has been associated with at least 13-16 different genes and >400 mutations,3,5-7 and is estimated to be seen in about 1 in 2000 individuals. Approximately 65-75% of families with a clinical diagnosis of BrS do not test positive for a mutation in one of the known genes, suggesting that there are other genes that have not been identified.3,5
  o SCN5A is responsible for the majority of BrS cases (15-30%).
  o There are reports that CACNA1C and CACNB2B may account for up to 11% of cases of BrS.6,8
  o Each of the other genes comprise <5% of mutations in each case.

• BrS has variable expression and incomplete penetrance. Approximately 25% of gene positive individuals have an ECG diagnostic of BrS.3,5 Additionally, 80% of individuals with a disease-causing mutation only present with symptoms when challenged with a sodium channel blocker.2,9

• BrS is found worldwide, but seems to have a higher incidence in Southeast Asia. In countries such as Japan, the Philippines, Laos, and Thailand, a condition called Sudden Unexplained Nocturnal Death syndrome (SUNDS) has been associated with mutations in the SCN5A, suggesting that this condition is actually Brugada Syndrome.10,11 In these countries, SUNDS is the second most common cause of death of men under age 40 years.3

• BrS is inherited in an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern. This means that an individual has a 50% chance of passing on a mutation to their children. Additionally, parents and siblings of known carriers have a 50% chance of being carriers of the same mutation. When a mutation in a child is not found in the parents, it is assumed that there is a de novo mutation in the child. De novo mutations are estimated to occur in approximately 1% of cases.3 Siblings would still need to be tested to rule out germline mutations. A DNA test for BrS should be offered to the person who has the most obvious disease, as that individual will more likely test positive than someone without disease. At this time, population wide carrier screening for BrS is not recommended.5

Test Information

• Genetic confirmation of BrS can occur through sequence analysis and deletion analysis of the commonly affected genes. Testing typically begins in an individual in the family who has a clinical diagnosis of BrS. See the Brugada Syndrome Sequencing summary for more information.

• Once a deleterious mutation is identified in a family member, at-risk relatives can be tested for only that specific mutation. Testing by single site analysis is greater than 99% accurate.3
Guidelines and Evidence

- A 2011 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) recommends:5
  o “Comprehensive or BrS1 (SCN5A) targeted BrS genetic testing can be useful for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical index of suspicion for BrS based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic (resting 12-lead ECGs and/or provocative drug challenge testing) phenotype.”
  o “Genetic testing is not indicated in the setting of an isolated type 2 or type 3 Brugada ECG pattern.”
  o “Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the BrS-causative mutation in an index case.”

- A 2013 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), and the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society is silent on the role of genetic testing in diagnosis and management.12

Criteria

- Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous genetic testing for Brugada Syndrome, AND

- Diagnostic and Predisposition Testing:
  o Brugada Syndrome family mutation identified in biologic relative(s), OR

- Prenatal Testing:
  o Brugada syndrome mutation identified in one biologic parent or 1st degree relative, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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**What Is Brugada Syndrome?**

- Brugada syndrome (BrS) is an inherited channelopathy characterized by right precordial ST elevation. This can result in cardiac conduction delays at different levels, syncope and/or a lethal arrhythmia resulting in sudden cardiac death.
- Although the typical presentation of BrS is sudden death in a male in his 40s with a previous history of syncope, BrS has been seen in individuals between the ages of 2 days and 85 years, as well as females.
- The diagnosis of BrS is based on ECG results, clinical presentation and family history. A diagnosis of either type 1, 2 or 3 ECG results with a personal history of fainting spells, ventricular fibrillation, self-terminating polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, or electrophysiologic inducibility can help identify those at risk for BrS. A family history of syncope, coved-type ECGs, or sudden cardiac death, especially in an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern, can help aid in the diagnosis.
- BrS has been associated with at least 16 different genes and >400 mutations, and is estimated to be seen in about 1 in 2000 individuals. Approximately 65-75% of families with a clinical diagnosis of BrS do not test positive for a mutation in one of the known genes, suggesting that there are other genes that have not been identified.
  - SCN5A is responsible for the majority of BrS cases (15-30%).
  - There are reports that CACNA1C and CACNB2B may account for up to 11% of cases of BrS.
  - Each of the other genes comprise <5% of mutations in each case.
- BrS has variable expression and incomplete penetrance. Approximately 25% of gene positive individuals have an ECG diagnostic of BrS. Additionally, 80% individuals with a disease-causing mutation only present with symptoms when challenged with a sodium channel blocker.
- BrS is found worldwide, but seems to have a higher incidence in Southeast Asia. In countries such as Japan, the Philippines, Laos, and Thailand, a condition called Sudden Unexplained Nocturnal Death syndrome (SUNDs) has been
associated with mutations in the SCN5A, suggesting that this condition is actually Brugada Syndrome. In these countries, SUNDS is the second most common cause of death of men under age 40 years.

- BrS is inherited in an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern. This means that an individual has a 50% chance of passing on a mutation to their children. Additionally, parents and siblings of known carriers have a 50% chance of being carriers of the same mutation. When a mutation in a child is not found in the parents, it is assumed that there is a de novo mutation in the child. De novo mutations are estimated to occur in approximately 1% of cases. Siblings would still need to be tested to rule out germline mutations. A DNA test for BrS should be offered to the person who has the most obvious disease, as that individual will more likely test positive than someone without disease. At this time, population wide carrier screening for BrS is not recommended.

**Test Information**

- Commercial genetic testing is available for a number of genes shown to cause Brugada syndrome. The composition of multigene panels will vary by laboratory.
- Testing will find a mutation in approximately 24-41% of individuals with clinical diagnosis of Brugada syndrome.
- Other testing for Brugada Syndrome is available:
  - **Known Familial Mutation Analysis** can be considered for individuals with a known mutation in the family.
  - **Sequencing** for SCN5A may be appropriate. SCN5A accounts for the majority of Brugada Syndrome cases.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- A 2011 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) recommends:
  - “Comprehensive or BrS1 (SCN5A) targeted BrS genetic testing can be useful for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical index of suspicion for BrS based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic (resting 12-lead ECGs and/or provocative drug challenge testing) phenotype.” (Class IIa)
  - “Genetic testing is not indicated in the setting of an isolated type 2 or type 3 Brugada ECG pattern.”
  - “Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the BrS-causative mutation in an index case.”
- A 2013 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), and the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society is silent on the role of genetic testing in diagnosis and management.
Criteria

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen)
    tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene
    products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact,
    which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test
    accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical
    validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or
    performs better than an existing standard of care medical management
    option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in
    the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard
    given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside
    of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
  - The clinical utility of Brugada multigene panels has not been well
    established. Mutations in SCN5A are responsible for 15-30% of cases of
    Brugada Syndrome, making it the most common known genetic cause of
    BrS. There are other genes associated with BrS, but mutations in each
    gene account for <5% of cases of BrS, therefore incremental mutation
    yield on a multi-gene panel is expected to be very low.5
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Brugada Syndrome Sequencing

What Is Brugada Syndrome?

- Brugada syndrome (BrS) is an inherited channelopathy characterized by right precordial ST elevation. This can result in cardiac conduction delays at different levels, syncope and/or a lethal arrhythmia resulting in sudden cardiac death.
- Although the typical presentation of BrS is sudden death in a male in his 40s with a previous history of syncope, BrS has been seen in individuals between the ages of 2 days and 85 years, as well as females.
- The diagnosis of BrS is based on ECG results, clinical presentation and family history. A diagnosis of either type 1, 2 or 3 ECG results with a personal history of fainting spells, ventricular fibrillation, self-terminating polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, or electrophysiologic inducibility can help identify those at risk for BrS. A family history of syncope, coved-type ECGs, or sudden cardiac death, especially in an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern, can help aid in the diagnosis.
- BrS has been associated with at least 16 different genes and >400 mutations, and is estimated to be seen in about 1 in 2000 individuals. Approximately 65-75% of families with a clinical diagnosis of BrS do not test positive for a mutation in one of the known genes, suggesting that there are other genes that have not been identified.
  - SCN5A is responsible for the majority of BrS cases (15-30%).
  - There are reports that CACNA1C and CACNB2B may account for up to 11% of cases of BrS.
  - Each of the other genes comprise <5% of mutations in each case.
- BrS has variable expression and incomplete penetrance. Approximately 25% of gene positive individuals have an ECG diagnostic of BrS. Additionally, 80% individuals with a disease-causing mutation only present with symptoms when challenged with a sodium channel blocker.
- BrS is found worldwide, but seems to have a higher incidence in Southeast Asia. In countries such as Japan, the Philippines, Laos, and Thailand, a condition called Sudden Unexplained Nocturnal Death syndrome (SUNDS) has been associated with mutations in the SCN5A, suggesting that this condition is actually Brugada Syndrome. In these countries, SUNDS is the second most common cause of death of men under age 40 years.
• BrS is inherited in an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern. This means that an individual has a 50% chance of passing on a mutation to their children. Additionally, parents and siblings of known carriers have a 50% chance of being carriers of the same mutation. When a mutation in a child is not found in the parents, it is assumed that there is a de novo mutation in the child. De novo mutations are estimated to occur in approximately 1% of cases. Siblings would still need to be tested to rule out germline mutations. A DNA test for BrS should be offered to the person who has the most obvious disease, as that individual will more likely test positive than someone without disease. At this time, population wide carrier screening for BrS is not recommended.

Test Information

• Full sequence analysis of the SCN5A gene is available through a number of commercial laboratories.
• Deletion/duplication testing for SCN5A is also available, and is typically done in reflex to a negative result from full sequence analysis.
• Testing will find a mutation in approximately 15-30% of individuals with clinical diagnosis of Brugada syndrome.
• Other testing for Brugada Syndrome is available:
  o Known Familial Mutation Analysis can be considered for individuals with a known mutation in the family.
  o Multigene Panels can be considered, but is typically not recommended.

Guidelines and Evidence

• A 2011 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) recommends:
  o “Comprehensive or BrS1 (SCN5A) targeted BrS genetic testing can be useful for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical index of suspicion for BrS based on examination of the patient's clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic (resting 12-lead ECGs and/or provocative drug challenge testing) phenotype.” (Class IIa)
  o “Genetic testing is not indicated in the setting of an isolated type 2 or type 3 Brugada ECG pattern.”
  o “Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the BrS-causative mutation in an index case.”
• A 2013 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), and the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society is silent on the role of genetic testing in diagnosis and management.
Criteria

Brugada Syndrome Full Sequence Analysis of SCN5A

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for Brugada Syndrome, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Type 1, 2, or 3 ECG results, and
  - Documented ventricular fibrillation, or
  - Self-terminating polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, or
  - A family history of sudden cardiac death, or
  - Coved-type ECGs in family members, or
  - Electrophysiologic inducibility, or
  - Syncope, or
  - Nocturnal agonal respiration (breaths that persist after cessation of heartbeat),

  OR

- Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  - Biologic relative(s) (1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree) diagnosed with BrS clinically, and no family mutation identified, or
  - Sudden death in biologic relative(1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree), and
  - Type 1 ECG changes, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Brugada Deletion/Duplication Analysis of SCN5A

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:(a)
  - No mutation identified with Brugada Syndrome sequence analysis of SCN5A, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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What Is CADASIL?

- CADASIL (Cerebral Autosomal Dominant Arteriopathy with Subcortical Infarcts and Leukoencephalopathy) is an adult-onset form of cerebrovascular disease. There are no generally accepted clinical diagnostic criteria for CADASIL and symptoms vary among affected individuals, however typical signs and symptoms include:¹²
  - Stroke-like episodes before age 60 years
  - Cognitive disturbance
  - Psychiatric/behavioral abnormalities
  - Migraine with aura
  - Recurrent seizures
- Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) findings include T2-signal abnormalities in the white matter of the temporal pole and T2-signal abnormalities in the external capsule.¹²
- CADASIL is a rare disease. Cases have been reported worldwide with a prevalence of 1 in 50,000 to 1 in 121,000 individuals, though this may be an underestimate.¹³
- CADASIL is thought to be the most common form of hereditary stroke and vascular dementia in adults.
- CADASIL is an autosomal dominant disease caused by mutations in the NOTCH3 gene. Each offspring of an individual with CADASIL has a 50% chance of inheriting the disease-causing mutation.
- To date, NOTCH3 is the only gene in which mutations are known to cause CADASIL. NOTCH3 encodes a transmembrane receptor that is primarily expressed in vascular smooth-muscle cells, preferentially in small arteries. Mutations in NOTCH3 generally increase or decrease the number of cysteine residues in the extracellular domain of the protein, which then accumulate in small arteries of affected individuals.¹ These accumulations are seen as granular osmophilic material (GOM) deposits in the walls of affected vessels seen on biopsy and are a pathologic hallmark of CADASIL.¹
- Management and treatment of individuals is generally symptomatic and supportive.¹³
Test Information

- CADASIL is suspected in an individual with the clinical signs and MRI findings as described above. A positive family history for stroke or dementia is also indicative of disease in symptomatic individuals. However, a negative family history should not exclude the diagnosis, as de novo mutations may occur.\(^1,3\)
- In order to firmly establish a diagnosis of CADASIL, one or both of the following is required:
  - Documentation of characteristic GOM deposits within small blood vessels by skin biopsy.\(^1-3\)
    - Specificity of skin biopsy findings is high as the characteristic deposits have not been documented in any other disorder.\(^3\)
    - Specificity has been reported to range from 45%-100%.\(^3\) Sensitivity and specificity can be maximized by to >90% by immunostaining for NOTCH3 protein.
  - Documentation of a typical NOTCH3 mutation by genetic gene sequencing.\(^1-3\)
    - Mutation detection may reach >95% in individuals with strong clinical suspicion of CADASIL.\(^1\) To date, all mutations in NOTCH3 causing CADASIL have been in exons 2-24.\(^1\) Some laboratories outside of the US offer tiered testing beginning with sequence analysis of select exons followed by sequence analysis of the remaining exons if a mutation is not identified. Other laboratories offer only sequence analysis of the entire coding region. In the United States, a limited number of laboratories offer CADASIL testing and all perform full gene sequencing at the time of this review.
    - There is evidence of founder mutation in individuals from the islands of Taiwan and Jeju as well as Finland and middle Italy.\(^3-5\)
- A correct diagnosis of CADASIL is important because the clinical course of disease is different from individuals with other types of cerebral small-vessel disease and proven therapies for stroke have not been validated in individuals with CADASIL.\(^3\) However, no specific treatments for CADASIL exist.\(^1,3\)
- No clear genotype-phenotype correlations exist for individuals with CADASIL and symptoms can vary considerably even within families.\(^3,4\)
- Once a mutation in an affected individual has been identified, testing at risk individuals in the family is possible (see CADASIL- NOTCH3 Known Familial Mutation Analysis policy).

Guidelines and Evidence

- No evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines have been identified.
- Evidence from one 2009 retrospective cohort study suggests that an adequate skin biopsy for analysis of granular osmophilic material is a cost effective way to determine a diagnosis of CADASIL in symptomatic individuals.\(^5\) The authors suggest that biopsy results can be used to guide the decision for who should
have genetic testing, particularly in individuals with no known familial mutation or from ethnic populations with no evidence of founder mutations.\textsuperscript{5}

- Patients with CADASIL should avoid anticoagulants, angiography, and smoking to avoid disease-related complications, so clinical utility is represented.\textsuperscript{1,3} Because of the risk for intracerebral hemorrhage, use of antiplatelets rather than anticoagulants is considered for prevention of ischemic attacks. Statins are used for treatment of hypercholesterolemia and antihypertensive drugs are used for hypertension.\textsuperscript{6}

- A two-center cohort study found that blood pressure and hemoglobin A1c levels were associated with cerebral mini bleeds in CADASIL patients.\textsuperscript{3} Therefore, controlling blood pressure and glucose levels may improve the clinical course of the disease. It is also reasonable to control for high cholesterol and high blood pressure given the high rate of ischemic stroke seen in CADASIL.\textsuperscript{3}

- Pescini et al (2012) published a scale to help guide clinicians in selecting patients for NOTCH3 genetic analysis due to a high probability of a CADASIL genetic diagnosis. This scale assigns weighted scores to common features of CADASIL. The authors state that their scale is “accurate with optimal sensitivity and specificity values (96.7\% and 74.2\%, respectively); however, our results need to be confirmed and further validated.”

**Criteria**

**Known Familial Mutation Testing**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for NOTCH3 mutations, AND
- Predictive or Diagnostic Testing:
  - Member has a first-degree relative (i.e. parent, sibling, child) with an identified NOTCH3 gene mutation, OR
- Diagnostic Testing:
  - Member has ambiguous or indeterminate results from electron microscopy and immunohistochemistry analysis of skin biopsy, and
  - A high index of suspicion remains for CADASIL diagnosis based on clinical findings, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**NOTCH3 Targeted Sequencing**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
No previous genetic testing for NOTCH3 mutations, AND

- Diagnostic Testing:
  - Member has ambiguous or indeterminate results from electron microscopy and immunohistochemistry analysis of skin biopsy, and
  - A high index of suspicion remains for CADASIL diagnosis based on clinical findings, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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Canavan Disease Testing

MOL.TS.145.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASPA Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPA Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPA Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Canavan Disease?

- Canavan disease is a genetic disorder leading to progressive damage to the brain's nerve cells.\(^1\)\(^2\)
  - Signs and symptoms of Canavan disease usually begin in infancy and include:\(^1\)
    - Developmental delays (motor skills, learning disabilities, problems sleeping)
    - Weak muscle tone (hypotonia)
    - Large head size (macrocephaly)
    - Abnormal posture
    - Seizures
  - Canavan disease is caused by changes, or mutations, to the ASPA gene.\(^1\)
    - ASPA helps make an enzyme called aspartoacylase.\(^1\)
    - This enzyme is essential to maintain the health of myelin, the nerve cells' protective covering, by breaking down harmful compounds that would otherwise degrade myelin.\(^1\) The most significant of these compounds that break down myelin is called N-acetylaspartic acid (NAA).
    - In the absence of aspartoacylase, the myelin protective covering of the nerve is eventually destroyed. Without this protective covering, nerve cells malfunction and die.\(^1\)
  - Canavan disease is suspected when a patient presents with classic signs and symptoms. Diagnosis is confirmed by biochemical and/or genetic testing.\(^2\)
    - Biochemical tests analyze either NAA levels or aspartoacylase enzyme activity in someone with suspected Canavan disease.
      - Affected individuals cannot break down NAA, so it accumulates in the blood or urine.
      - Affected individuals will have severely reduced or nonexistent aspartoacylase enzyme activity.
  - Canavan disease is most often found in Ashkenazi Jewish populations.\(^1\)\(^2\)
    - About 1 in 40 people of Ashkenazi Jewish descent are carriers for Canavan disease.\(^2\) Because of this relatively high carrier rate, population based screening in the Ashkenazi Jewish population is available. (see [Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening](#)).
    - Between 1 in 6,400 and 1 in 13,500 Ashkenazi Jews have the disease.\(^1\)
Canavan Disease

- The prevalence among the general population is significantly lower.²
- Canavan disease does not usually allow survival beyond childhood.¹
- Canavan disease is an autosomal recessive disorder, meaning that an affected individual must inherit two ASPA gene mutations - one from each parent.¹,²
  - Individuals with only one mutation are called carriers. Carriers do not show symptoms of Canavan disease, but have a 50% chance of passing on the mutation to their children who will also be carriers.
  - If two unaffected carriers have children, each of their pregnancies has a 1 in 4 (25%) chance of being affected with Canavan disease.

Test Information

- **Targeted mutation analysis** is the most common genetic test for Canavan disease. The panel looks for up to four of the most common mutations in the ASPA gene linked to Canavan disease, including the Glu285Ala and Tyr231X mutations, which account for 98% of all Ashkenazi Jewish cases.²,³ The panel also includes the p.Ala305Glu mutation, which accounts for between 40% and 60% of all non-Ashkenazi Jewish cases.²,³
- **Sequence analysis** looks for mutations across the entire coding region of the ASPA gene. In addition to the more common mutations found in the Ashkenazi Jewish population, sequencing is also able to find less common mutations found in non-Ashkenazi Jews.²,³ Sequence analysis has a detection rate of 87% in all populations.²
- **Deletion/duplication analysis** will find gene rearrangements that are too large to be detected by sequencing. Large deletions in the ASPA gene have been reported but are believed to be uncommon.² Therefore, deletion/duplication analysis is unlikely indicated in most cases.
- Once mutations have been identified in a symptomatic individual, carrier testing can be performed on at-risk relatives using this same targeted mutation panel or perhaps **known familial mutation analysis** for the specific mutation identified in the affected individual.
- If both members of a couple are carriers with identified mutations, prenatal diagnosis of an at-risk pregnancy is possible using this same targeted mutation panel or **known familial mutation analysis** for the specific mutation(s) identified in the parents.

Guidelines and Evidence

- No US guidelines addressing the role of genetic testing in diagnosing Canavan disease have been identified.
- Diagnosis relies upon demonstrated increased levels of N-acetylaspartic acid (NAA) in the urine. Molecular genetic testing can be used for confirmation of the diagnosis and to help family planning by identifying individuals at risk of being carriers.²
- A 2010 expert-authored review states the following regarding molecular genetic testing for diagnostic purposes:²
The targeted mutation panel may be used to confirm a clinical and/or biochemical diagnosis, especially if the patient has Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry.

"Sequence analysis of the ASPA coding region is available on a clinical basis for individuals in whom mutations were not identified by targeted mutation analysis."

"Deletion/duplication analysis. Exonic or whole-gene deletions are rare in individuals with Canavan disease. The authors encountered two individuals with complete deletion of the ASPA gene and two with partial deletions. Deleted segments of various sizes of cDNA have been reported."

- The **American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2008)** supports offering carrier testing for Canavan disease to individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent for the two common mutations. It is anticipated that the detection rate will be ~97%. This test should be offered to individuals of reproductive age, preferentially prior to pregnancy, with genetic counseling performed by a geneticist or genetic counselor. ACMG supports the testing of individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent, even when their partner is non-Ashkenazi Jewish. In this situation, testing would start with the individual who is Ashkenazi and reflex back to the partner if necessary.  

- The **American College of Obstetrics and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2009)** recommends that individuals who are considering a pregnancy or are pregnant should consider testing if at least one member of the couple is Ashkenazi Jewish or has a relative with Canavan disease. If the woman is pregnant, testing may need to be conducted on both partners simultaneously in order to receive results in a timely fashion. If one or both partners are found to be carriers of Canavan disease, genetic counseling should be provided, and prenatal testing offered, if appropriate.

- Once a mutation is identified in the family, at-risk family members may be tested for that mutation to determine whether they are carriers.

- Mutation analysis can be used to test at-risk pregnancies when both parents have a known mutation.

**Criteria**

**ASPA Known Familial Mutation Analysis**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of ASPA, AND

- Carrier Screening for Asymptomatic Individuals:
  - Known family mutation in ASPA in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative, OR

- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
Canavan Disease

ASPA mutations identified in both biologic parents

ASPA Targeted Mutation Analysis for Common Mutations

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous ASPA genetic testing, including AJ screening panels containing targeted mutation analysis for Canavan disease, AND

- Diagnostic Testing or Carrier Screening:
  - Ashkenazi Jewish descent, regardless of disease status and N-acetylaspartic acid (NAA) levels, OR

- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  - ASPA Ashkenazi mutations identified in both biologic parents.

ASPA Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous ASPA gene sequencing and
  - No known ASPA mutation in family, and
  - No mutations or one mutation detected by common mutation panel, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Increased levels of N-acetylaspartic acid (NAA) in urine, and
  - An individual age three to five months of age with a triad of hypotonia, macrocephaly and head lag, or
  - Failure to attain independent sitting, walking or speech, OR

- Testing for Individuals with Family History or Partners of Carriers:
  - 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative with Canavan disease clinical diagnosis, family mutation unknown, and testing unavailable, or
  - Partner is monoallelic or biallelic for ASPA mutation, and
    - Have the potential and intention to reproduce
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What Is Celiac Disease??

- Celiac disease is an immune-mediated disorder that mainly affects the digestive tract.\textsuperscript{1-4}
- Symptoms include diarrhea, constipation, vomiting, abdominal pain and bloating, growth problems, iron deficiency anemia, osteoporosis and other complications of malabsorption.\textsuperscript{1-4}
- Celiac disease affects infants, children, and adults and can present at any age. It affects about 1 in every 100 people in the U.S.\textsuperscript{2,3}
- Celiac is caused by exposure to dietary gluten (a protein molecule found in wheat, barley and rye) in people who are predisposed based on their genetic makeup.\textsuperscript{1-4}
- An initial diagnosis of celiac disease is highly suspected based on serologic testing and is confirmed by finding characteristic changes on intestinal biopsy. Intestinal biopsy remains the gold standard for making a diagnosis of celiac disease.\textsuperscript{1-4}
- Patients with certain medical conditions and relatives of people with celiac disease are known to have an increased risk of developing the condition.\textsuperscript{2,3}

Test Information

- Two genetic markers are associated with celiac disease — HLA-DQ2 and HLA-DQ8. These variants are present in about 30-40\% of the general population, but more than 99\% of patients with celiac disease have one or more of these variants.\textsuperscript{1} If a person suspected of having celiac disease is found not to have one of these markers, the diagnosis can be essentially excluded.\textsuperscript{2,4}

Guidelines and Evidence

- Consensus-based guidelines from the American Gastroenterological Association (2006), the National Institutes of Health (2005), North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (2005) and the 2013 American College of Gastroenterology Practice Guidelines states that HLA typing for celiac disease should be used in the following ways:\textsuperscript{1-4}
Human leukocyte antigen DQ2/DQ8 testing should not be used routinely in the initial diagnosis of CD. (strong recommendation, moderate level of evidence)

Human leukocyte antigen DQ2/DQ8 genotyping testing should be used to effectively rule out the disease in selected clinical situations. (strong recommendation, moderate level of evidence)

Criteria
Consideration for genetic testing for celiac-associated HLA variants DQ2 and DQ8 is determined according to diagnostic guidelines from the American Gastroenterological Association, NIH Consensus Development Conference Statement on Celiac Disease, American College of Gastroenterology, and the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition.2,4

Testing may be considered in individuals who meet the following criterion:
- Celiac disease is in the differential diagnosis, but the individual has had ambiguous or indeterminate results from serology and biopsy
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CellSearch Circulating Tumor Cell Count for Breast Cancer Prognosis

### Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>86152</td>
<td>CELLSEARCH CTC Circulating Tumor Cell Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86153</td>
<td>CTC Physician Interpretation and Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What Are Circulating Tumor Cells?

- Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are cells whose source is unknown, but may have broken away from tumor tissue and are circulating in the blood stream.  
  - They are rare in healthy individuals, but often present in people with metastatic cancer.  
- The presence of CTCs in breast cancer patients may predict metastasis of an aggressive primary tumor.  
- A 2004 study found that patients undergoing treatment for metastatic breast cancer with ≥5 CTCs/7.5 mL had shorter progression-free survival (PFS) and shorter overall survival (OS) than patients with <5 CTCs/7.5 mL.  
- The results of these and other studies suggest that measuring CTCs could be a useful prognostic tool for patients with metastatic breast cancer.  
- CTCs may be measured before the start of therapy, and then after each therapy cycle (usually 4-5 weeks).

### Test Information

- The CellSearch® Circulating Tumor Cells Test measures CTC levels in the blood of breast cancer patients to identify risk for distant metastasis.  
  - The purpose of CellSearch is to distinguish normal cells from CTCs with fluorescent nucleic acid dye.  
    - Results are generally reported at number of CTCs per 7.5 ml of whole blood.  
- It has been reported that CellSearch correctly measures the levels of CTCs in 99.7% of breast cancer patients.  
- CellSearch was cleared by the FDA in 2004.
Guidelines and Evidence

- No US evidence-based guidelines for CellSearch are currently available or in development.
- The **American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO, 2016)** states the following regarding circulating tumor cells:\(^5\)

Criteria

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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Charcot-Marie-Tooth Neuropathy
Testing Panel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNM2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGD4 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBP8 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMR2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDRG1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMP22 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBF2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPV4 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YARS Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMP22 Sequencing</td>
<td>81325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJB1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LITAF Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSPB1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMP22 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRX Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDAP1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB7A Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFL Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFN2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARS Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMNA Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIG4 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH3TC2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJB1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Charcot-Marie-Tooth Neuropathy?

Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy (CMT) is a group of inherited genetic conditions characterized by chronic motor and sensory polyneuropathy.\(^1\) Onset is typically before 30 years of age.\(^1\) The key finding in CMT is symmetric, slowly progressive distal motor neuropathy (feet and/or hands). This is expressed as distal muscle weakness/wasting...
and atrophy with sensory loss, depressed reflexes and foot deformities (including pes cavus and hammer toes). The diagnosis of CMT is suspected based on clinical and family history, neurological exam, and nerve conduction and EMG studies. Acquired causes of neuropathy including alcoholism, vitamin B12 deficiency, thyroid disease, diabetes mellitus, HIV infection, and others, should be ruled out. Molecular genetic testing can be used to establish a specific diagnosis, which aids in understanding the prognosis and risk assessment for family members. CMT is the most common inherited neurological disorder. The prevalence of all CMT types is 1 in 3,300. CMT is divided into five types based on EMG findings and mode of inheritance: CMT1, CMT2, Dominant Intermediate CMT, CMT4, and CMT X. Within each type, there are a number of subtypes, distinguished by causative gene. Mutations in over 30 genes have been linked to CMT. Within a CMT type, specific subtypes are often distinguishable only by genetic testing. For most types, there is one gene that accounts for a large proportion of affected patients. CMT can be inherited in an autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, or an X-linked manner.

Test Information
- The CMT Advanced Evaluation - Comprehensive is currently offered only by Athena Diagnostics. The panel includes testing for mutations in 15 genes related to CMT:
  - Duplications/deletion analysis of PMP22
  - Deletion analysis of CX32 (GJB1)
  - Sequencing of PMP22, MPZ (P0), EGR2, CX32 (GJB1), NFL, GDAP1, LITAF/SIMPLE, MFN2, Periaxin, SH3TC2, FIG4, RAB7, GARS, LMNA, and HSPB1
- Detection rate for this panel is unknown.
- Identifying CMT subtype in a sporadic patient (no known family history) can be difficult due to high new mutation rates.

Guidelines and Evidence
- Evidence-based guidelines from the American Academy of Neurology (2009) recommend testing for CMT, but with a tiered approach:
  - "Genetic testing should be conducted for the accurate diagnosis and classification of hereditary neuropathies." (level A recommendation = established as effective, ineffective or harmful (or established as useful/predictive or not useful/predictive) for the given condition in the specified population")
  - "Genetic testing may be considered in patients with cryptogenic polyneuropathy who exhibit a hereditary neuropathy phenotype. Initial genetic testing should be guided by the clinical phenotype, inheritance pattern, and electrodiagnostic features and should focus on the most
common abnormalities which are CMT1A duplication/HNPP deletion, Cx32 (GJB1), and MFN2 mutation screening." (level C recommendation = "possibly effective, ineffective or harmful (or possibly useful/predictive or not useful/predictive) for the given condition in the specified population")

- There is insufficient evidence to determine the usefulness of routine genetic testing in patients with cryptogenic polyneuropathy who do not exhibit a hereditary neuropathy phenotype." (level U recommendation = "data inadequate or conflicting; given current knowledge, treatment (test, predictor) is unproven")

- Comprehensive CMT panels test most known genes related to CMT simultaneously, but this is not usually necessary or cost-effective, and therefore not recommended.¹,³

- DiVincenzo et al. [2014] described their experience testing more than 17,000 patients for CMT using a commercially available comprehensive panel of 14 genes. Overall, they identified a mutation in 18.5% of patients. Notably they state that "Among patients with a positive genetic finding in a CMT-related gene, 94.9% were positive in one of four genes (PMP22, GJB1, MPZ, or MFN2). The results of our study in a population in over 17,000 individuals support the initial genetic testing of four genes (PMP22, GJB1, MPZ, and MFN2) followed by an evaluation of rarer genetic causes in the diagnostic evaluation of CMT."

Criteria

CMT Advanced Evaluation - Comprehensive (Athena Diagnostics)

American Academy of Neurology guidelines recommend genetic testing that is "guided by the clinical phenotype, inheritance pattern (if available), and electrodiagnostic features (demyelinating and axonal)." The AAN does not support complete panels of all known CMT genes, but rather recommends a stepwise evaluation method to improve genetic screening efficiency.³ Therefore, small panels of testing based on inheritance pattern or electrodiagnostic features may be appropriate, but complete panels of all known CMT genes are not covered.

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  o Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  o In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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Chromosomal Microarray Testing For Developmental Disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [BAC], Constitutional</td>
<td>81228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [SNP], Constitutional</td>
<td>81229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [CGH], Constitutional</td>
<td>S3870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Are Copy Number Variants in Developmental Disorders?

- Intellectual disability (ID) and congenital birth defects affect approximately 3-4% of the general population. Autism spectrum disorders (ASD), including pervasive developmental delay (PDD), are also of increasing concern, with recent CDC incidence figures estimating 1 in 155 affected children.
- The etiology of these developmental disorders is complex. Some developmental problems may be caused by environmental factors, such as injury and infection. However, genetic causes also play a significant role.
- A causative explanation can be determined in about 40-60% of patients with ID and at over 30% of patients with ASD. Identifying an underlying genetic cause in these patients may:
  - Provide diagnostic and prognostic information
    - Improve health screening and prevention for some conditions
    - Allow for testing of family members and accurate recurrence risk counseling
    - Empower the patient and family to acquire needed services and support
  - Small deletions and duplications of genetic material account for a significant proportion of developmental disorders without a clear etiology based on clinical findings. These changes are called "copy number variants" (CNVs). CNVs are detected using chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing. CMA is known by several names including array-comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) and single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays (SNP-array).
  - Diagnostic yield differs based on clinical presentation:
    - Approximately 10-19% of people with unexplained ID or developmental delay (DD) will have CNVs.
    - A similar diagnostic yield for ASD is estimated at 7-10%.
    - About 13% of spontaneous pregnancy losses had CNVs identified in one small prospective study.
If a CNV is detected in a child, it is usually necessary to test both parents to determine whether the CNV is inherited or a new (de novo) genetic change. This information along with parental findings can be used to weigh the possibilities of a benign vs. pathogenic variant. However, even with parental studies, the clinical outcome may remain unclear. A de novo variant is more likely to represent a pathologic abnormality.

Test Information

- Chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing generally works by fluorescently tagging DNA from a patient test sample with one color and combining it with a control sample tagged in a different color. The two samples are mixed and then added to the array chip, where they compete to hybridize with the DNA fragments on the chip. By comparing the test sample versus the control, computer analysis can determine where genetic material has been deleted or duplicated in the patient.
- There are a growing number of CMA testing platforms, including non-chip based applications, which differ in approach and resolution. Testing guidelines do not endorse one CMA over another. However, international consensus guidelines do suggest that CMAs should have coverage better than that offered by a standard karyotype (~5 Mb), and resolution of ≥400 kb throughout the genome.
- CMAs include the subtelomeric regions and all known chromosome microdeletion syndrome regions, such as those for 22q11.2 (DiGeorge) syndrome, Williams syndrome (7p11.2), and Smith-Magenis syndrome (17p11.2). Therefore, subtelomeric and disease-specific FISH tests are not needed in parallel with CMA, or as follow-up to normal CMA results.
- In contrast to typical chromosome analysis, CMA testing does not require dividing cells in culture. This makes testing possible in samples that may be difficult to culture, such as those from perinatal losses.
- While there are significant advantages of CMA over conventional karyotyping with regard to resolution and yield, there are disadvantages as well. Limitations of CMA include the inability to detect 1) balanced translocations or inversions, 2) certain forms of polyploidy, 3) low level mosaicism, and 4) some marker chromosomes. Additional disadvantages of CMA include the detection of CNVs of uncertain clinical significance, the inability to differentiate free trisomies from unbalanced Robertsonian translocations, and the high cost of testing as compared to traditional karyotyping.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2010) Professional Practice and Guidelines Committee recommends CMA as a first-tier test for the evaluation of "multiple anomalies not specific to a well-defined genetic syndrome, apparently non-syndromic developmental delay/intellectual disability, and autism spectrum disorders."
The International Standard Cytogenomic Array Consortium (ISCA, 2010) recommends offering CMA as a first-tier genetic test, in place of karyotype, for patients with unexplained developmental delay/intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorders, or birth defects.5

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2013) and Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM, 2013) joint committee opinion on chromosomal microarray states that:10

- In cases of intrauterine fetal demise or stillbirth when further cytogenetic analysis is desired, chromosomal microarray analysis on fetal tissue (i.e. amniotic fluid, placenta, or products of conception) is recommended because of the increased likelihood of obtaining results and improved detection of causative abnormalities."
- “Limited data are available on the clinical utility of chromosomal microarray analysis to evaluate first-trimester and second-trimester pregnancy losses; therefore, this is not recommended at this time.”

Criteria

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre- and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:*
  - No previous chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Testing performed on living child or adult, and
  - Diagnosis cannot be made on clinical evaluation alone, and
  - Common aneuploidy (trisomy 13, 18, 21, or sex chromosome) is not a suspected diagnosis, and
  - One of the following presentations:
    - Apparently nonsyndromic DD/ID, or
    - Autism spectrum disorder, or
    - Multiple congenital anomalies† not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome, OR

- Diagnostic Testing for Intrauterine Fetal Demise or Stillbirth:
  - Common aneuploidy (trisomy 13, 18, 21, or sex chromosome) is not a suspected diagnosis, and
  - Multiple congenital anomalies† not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome, or
  - Fetal demise or stillbirth occurred in third trimester of pregnancy

†Multiple congenital anomalies defined as 1) two or more major anomalies affecting different organ systems or 2) one major and two or more minor anomalies affecting different organ systems. [Major structural abnormalities are generally serious enough as to require medical treatment on their own (such as surgery) and are not minor developmental variations that may or may not suggest an underlying disorder.]
*NOTE: Microarray is considered a first tier test in the evaluation of postnatal developmental disorders. Therefore, it often is not necessary to do chromosome analysis or FISH in conjunction with microarray. Microarray requests following such testing will require review.

Exclusions and Other Considerations
- CMA is not considered medically necessary in cases of family history of chromosome rearrangement in phenotypically normal individuals
- CMA is not considered medically necessary in individuals experiencing infertility, first or second trimester miscarriages, or recurrent pregnancy loss. 
- If routine karyotype and CMA are ordered simultaneously, only the most appropriate test based on clinical history will be considered for coverage.
- If CMA has been performed, the following tests are often excessive and are not considered medically necessary. Each test may require medical necessity review:
  - Routine karyotype: Full karyotype in addition to CMA is typically considered excessive. However, a limited 5 cell analysis may be approved in addition to CMA if criteria for CMA are met. This approval may be subject to claims review to ensure that the appropriate procedure code for a limited 5 cell analysis is billed (CPT 88261 x1).
  - FISH analysis
  - Telomere analysis
  - More than one type of microarray analysis (i.e. if 81228 performed, 81229 is not medically necessary)

Billing and Reimbursement Considerations:
- FISH or other procedure codes that do not accurately describe the test methodology performed (e.g. 88271) are not eligible for reimbursement of CMA.
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Chromosomal Microarray for Prenatal Diagnosis

MOL.TS.149.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [BAC], Constitutional</td>
<td>81228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [SNP], Constitutional</td>
<td>81229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Are Copy Number Variants in Developmental Disorders?

- Intellectual disability (ID) and congenital birth defects affect approximately 3-4% of the general population. Major structural birth defects can often be identified prenatally by ultrasound evaluation, while some minor anomalies and ID cannot.
- The etiology of congenital anomalies is complex. Some developmental problems may be caused by environmental factors, such as injury and infection. However, genetic causes also play a significant role.
- Routine chromosome analysis (karyotyping) by chorionic villus sampling (CVS) or amniocentesis has historically been a first-line test in the evaluation of a pregnancy identified with congenital birth defects.
- However, small deletions and duplications of genetic material account for a significant proportion of developmental disorders without a clear etiology based on clinical findings. These changes are called "copy number variants" (CNVs). CNVs are detected using chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing. CMA is known by several names including array-comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) and single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays (SNP-array).
- Chromosomal microarray on chorionic villi or amniocytes is indicated in a pregnancy identified with one or more major structural abnormalities. Identifying an underlying genetic cause in these patients may:
  - Provide diagnostic and prognostic information
  - Guide prenatal management and decision-making
  - Allow for testing of family members and accurate recurrence risk counseling
- If a unique CNV is detected in a fetus, it is usually necessary to test both parents to determine whether the CNV is inherited or a new (de novo) genetic change. This information along with parental findings can be used to weigh the possibilities of a benign vs. pathogenic variant. However, even with parental studies, the clinical outcome may remain unclear. A de novo variant is more likely to represent a pathologic abnormality.
**Test Information**

- Chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing generally works by fluorescently tagging DNA from a patient test sample with one color and combining it with a control sample tagged in a different color. The two samples are mixed and then added to the array chip, where they compete to hybridize with the DNA fragments on the chip. By comparing the test sample versus the control, computer analysis can determine where genetic material has been deleted or duplicated in the patient.

- There are a growing number of CMA testing platforms, including non-chip based applications, which differ in approach and resolution. Testing guidelines do not endorse one CMA over another. However, international consensus guidelines do suggest that CMAs should have coverage better than that offered by a standard karyotype (~5 Mb), and resolution of ≥400 kb throughout the genome.

- CMAs include the subtelomeric regions and all known chromosome microdeletion syndrome regions, such as those for 22q11.2 (DiGeorge) syndrome, Williams syndrome (7p11.2), and Smith-Magenis syndrome (17p11.2). Therefore, subtelomeric and disease-specific FISH tests are not needed in parallel with CMA, or as follow-up to normal CMA results.

- In contrast to typical chromosome analysis, CMA testing does not require dividing cells in culture. This makes testing possible in samples that may be difficult to culture, such as those from perinatal losses.

- While there are significant advantages of CMA over conventional karyotyping with regard to resolution and yield, there are disadvantages as well. Limitations of CMA include the inability to detect 1) balanced translocations or inversions, 2) certain forms of polyploidy, 3) low level mosaicism, and 4) some marker chromosomes. Additional disadvantages of CMA include the detection of CNVs of uncertain clinical significance, the inability to differentiate free trisomies from unbalanced Robertsonian translocations, and the high cost of testing as compared to traditional karyotyping.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Genetics and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (2013) published a joint committee opinion regarding the application of chromosomal microarray in the prenatal setting. This opinion recommended that CMA replaces fetal kayotyping for “patients with a fetus with one or more major structural anomalies identified on ultrasonographic examination and who are undergoing invasive prenatal diagnosis”.

- Diagnostic yield of CMA testing differs based on clinical presentation. The results of one recent multicenter trial of CMA in the prenatal setting were published in 2012. This study reported that CMA identified a clinically relevant deletion or duplication in 6% of prenatal cases with a structural anomaly and normal karyotype. In addition, 1.7% of prenatal cases with an indication of advanced maternal age or positive screening results and normal karyotype had a clinically relevant deletion or duplication identified by CMA.
• In a large series of fetuses with ultrasound anomalies and normal conventional karyotype, CMA detected chromosome abnormalities in 5% of fetuses and up to 10% in those with 3 or more anatomic abnormalities.²

Criteria
• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre- and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous chromosomal microarray testing in the same pregnancy, AND
• Diagnostic Prenatal Testing:‡
  o The member has sufficient risk of fetal CNV to justify invasive prenatal diagnosis. [It is important to note that invasive diagnostic procedures such as chorionic villus sampling and amniocentesis are associated with risks; the provider and patient must have determined that the associated benefits outweigh the risks.]

‡Microarray may also be used in association with in utero fetal demise, stillbirth, or neonatal death. If microarray will be performed on fetal tissue after delivery, reference the developmental disorders policy.

Exclusions and Other Considerations:
• If routine karyotype and CMA are ordered simultaneously, only the most appropriate test based on clinical history will be considered for coverage.
• If CMA has been performed, the following tests are often excessive and are not considered medically necessary. Each test may require medical necessity review.
  o Routine karyotype: Full karyotype in addition to CMA is typically considered excessive. However, a limited 5 cell analysis may be approved in addition to CMA if criteria for CMA are met. This approval may be subject to claims review to ensure that the appropriate procedure code for a limited 5 cell analysis is billed (CPT 88261 x1).
  o FISH analysis
  o Telomere analysis
  o More than one type of microarray analysis (i.e. if 81228 performed, 81229 is not medically necessary)

Billing and Reimbursement Considerations:
• FISH or other procedure codes that do not accurately describe the test methodology performed (e.g. 88271) are not eligible for reimbursement of CMA.
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Chromosome Analysis for Blood, Bone Marrow, and Solid Tumor Cancers

MOL.TS.151.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome Analysis, Blood or Bone Marrow</td>
<td>88237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome Analysis, Solid Tumor</td>
<td>88239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Are Chromosome Abnormalities in Cancer?

- A chromosome abnormality is any difference in the structure, arrangement, or amount of genetic material packaged into the chromosomes. Chromosome abnormalities have been identified in many types of cancer, including leukemias, lymphomas, and solid tumors.¹
- Chromosome abnormalities can include deletions, duplications, balanced or unbalanced rearrangements, and gain or loss of whole or partial chromosomes. These abnormalities can play a key role in the development, diagnosis, and monitoring of cancer.¹
- Some chromosome abnormalities are characteristic of certain types of malignancy, and can be used to classify a type or subtype of cancer. For example, the "Philadelphia chromosome" is defined by a common translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22, and indicates chronic myelogenous leukemia in most cases.¹
- The cytogenetics of a cancer can also change over time or in response to treatment. Therefore, chromosome analysis can be used to monitor disease progression and treatment response.¹

Test Information

- Chromosome analysis — also called karyotyping — requires stimulating cells to divide, arresting cell division at metaphase when the chromosomes can be seen microscopically, and staining to visualize the banding patterns.²
- Chromosome analysis is routinely performed on bone marrow biopsy for the diagnosis of leukemia, lymphoma, and other hematological disorders.
- Chromosome analysis will identify any differences from normal that can be seen under the microscope. This includes entire missing or extra chromosomes, deletions or duplications within a chromosome that are large enough to be seen by microscope, and rearrangements including translocations and inversions. Smaller copy number changes can be identified using chromosome microarray, although that testing isn't routine for cancer.
Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Care Network considers chromosome analysis of a bone marrow biopsy to be routine standard of care in the evaluation of acute myeloid leukemia (AML), chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML), multiple myeloma (MM), myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), and Burkitt’s lymphoma (BL).  

- The American College of Medical Genetics (2010) provides technical laboratory guidelines for chromosome studies for acquired abnormalities:
  - "A patient with an acquired clonal chromosomal abnormality or one who is at high risk for developing such an abnormality may have multiple cytogenetic studies during the course of his or her disease."
  - "Bone marrow/blood: In most cases, bone marrow is the tissue of choice for analysis of suspected premalignant or malignant hematologic disorders."
  - "Lymph nodes: Common diagnoses include Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphomas, including follicular, diffuse large B-cell, marginal zone, mantle cell, T-cell, and anaplastic large cell lymphoma."
  - "Solid tumors: Cytogenetic analysis of tumor tissue is performed to detect and characterize chromosomal abnormalities for purposes of diagnosis, prognosis, and patient management."

Criteria

Chromosome analysis on a bone marrow biopsy meets criteria without further review when performed in the evaluation of leukemia, lymphoma, and other hematological disorders.
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Cologuard Screening for Colorectal Cancer

What Is Cologuard® Screening for Colorectal Cancer?

- Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common types of cancers, with over 136,000 new cases identified each year in the United States.\(^1\) It typically affects adults over 55 years old, with a median age at diagnosis of 68 years.\(^1\)
- Screening programs for CRC allow for its early detection. The earlier CRC is caught, the better chance a person has of surviving. Five year survival rates are 89.8% for localized cancer, 70.5% for cancer that has spread regionally, and 12.9% for CRC with distant metastasis.\(^1\)
- Standard recommended screening for CRC includes guaiac-based fecal occult blood test (gFOBT), fecal immunochemical test (FIT), multitargeted stool DNA test (FIT-DNA), colonoscopy, CT colonography, and flexible sigmoidoscopy. Screening begins at age 50 years and continues until at least age 75 for people at average risk for CRC.\(^2\)
- Although several screening tests have been endorsed and found to be cost-effective, compliance with CRC screening recommendations is limited. According to 2010 data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the percentage of adults over 50 years who reported their CRC screening was up to date ranged from 54.1% to 75.2%, depending on the state. The CDC estimates that 28 million Americans are not up-to-date on CRC screening.\(^3\)
- The Cologuard screening test (Exact Sciences) is a proprietary multiple molecular marker assay that measures the presence of certain markers in a stool sample. It is intended to identify people at increased risk for CRC.\(^4\) It offers an alternative to current screening options.

Test Information

- Cologuard is performed on a stool sample collected at home and sent to the laboratory for analysis. No bowel preparation or dietary or medication restrictions are required to complete the test.\(^4\)
- Cologuard analyzes 11 molecular markers, including hemoglobin and DNA markers, in the stool sample. Three categories of markers are targeted for testing:\(^4\)
  - Hypermethylation of the promoter regions of the NDRG4 and BMP3 genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stool-based DNA Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td>81528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Point mutations in the KRAS gene
- Hemoglobin markers, which can be associated with the presence of blood in the colon.
- The non-DNA immunochemical assay component used to detect blood is similar to other available Fecal Immunochemical Test (FIT) assays.
- Cologuard provides a single, combined result: positive or negative. People who receive positive results should be referred for a diagnostic colonoscopy. Those with negative results can continue with standard CRC screening recommendations.
- Performance characteristics of the Cologuard assay were determined by a large, prospective multicenter trial (DeeP-C Study) and published by Imperiale and colleagues:
  - 9989 participants completed testing and were aged 50-84 years, asymptomatic, and at average risk for CRC. All participants provided a stool sample and underwent diagnostic colonoscopy. The primary outcome was the ability of the Cologuard test to detect CRC.
- Sensitivity:
  - 65 subjects had CRC. 60 of these people had positive Cologuard results, giving a sensitivity of 92.3% for identifying cancer [95%CI: 83.0-97.5].
  - 757 had advanced precancerous lesions. 321 of these people had positive Cologuard results, giving a sensitivity of 42.4% for identifying precancerous lesions [95%CI: 38.9-46.0].
  - Comparable sensitivities of fecal immunochemical testing were 73.8% and 23.8%, respectively, in this trial.
- Specificity:
  - 9167 subjects had non-advanced adenomas, non-neoplastic findings, and negative results on colonoscopy. 7936 of these people had negative Cologuard results, giving a specificity of 86.6% [95%CI 85.9-87.2].
  - If only those with “true negative” colonoscopies are considered, the specificity was 89.8% [95%CI 88.9-90.7].
  - Comparable specificities of fecal immunochemical testing were 94.9% and 96.4%, respectively, in this trial.

Guidelines and Evidence
- Current CRC cancer screening guidelines from the U.S. Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF, 2016) recommend the use of gFOBT, FIT, FIT-DNA, colonoscopy, CT colonography, and flexible sigmoidoscopy for individuals ages 50 years to 75 years at average risk of colorectal cancer. For other age groups, the guidelines recommend the following:
  - “For older adults aged 76 to 85 years, the benefits of screening for colorectal cancer decline, and the risk of experiencing serious associated harms increases. The most important consideration for clinicians and patients in this age group is whether the patient has previously been
screened. Patients in this age group who have never been screened for colorectal cancer are more likely to benefit than those who have been previously screened.\textsuperscript{2} 
  o “Screening [in adults aged 76 to 85 years] would be most appropriate among adults who 1) are healthy enough to undergo treatment if colorectal cancer is detected and 2) do not have comorbid conditions that would significantly limit their life expectancy.”\textsuperscript{2} 
  o “The USPSTF does not recommend routine screening for colorectal cancer in adults 86 years and older. In this age group, competing causes of mortality preclude a mortality benefit that would outweigh the harms.”\textsuperscript{2} 

- CRC screening guidelines from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) state the following regarding Cologuard and CRC screening: 
  o “A multi-target stool DNA combined with FIT test has recently been approved by the FDA as a primary screening modality for colorectal cancer. At this time, there are limited data available to determine an appropriate interval between screening; however, every 3 y has been suggested. The data in an average-risk individual indicates that stool DNA performs well. There are no or limited data in high-risk individuals and the use of stool DNA should be individualized. If a result is determined to be a false positive, clinical judgment and shared decision-making should be used.”\textsuperscript{6} 
  o “CRC screening is recommended in adults ages 50-75y. Because the risk of colorectal screening increases with age, the decision to screen between ages 76-85y should be individualized, and include a discussion of the risks and benefits based on comorbidity status and estimated life expectancy. Individuals who have not been previously screened are most likely to benefit in this age group.”\textsuperscript{6} 

- The U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved Cologuard through their Premarket Approval (PMA) pathway in August 2014 as an in vitro diagnostic.\textsuperscript{7} 

- Ongoing trials 
  o A prospective, longitudinal study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02419716) is currently underway to evaluate the impact of repeat Cologuard testing in an average-risk population at three-year intervals.\textsuperscript{8} 
  o A prospective, observational cohort study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02715141), entitled the Molecular Stool Testing for Colorectal Cancer Surveillance (MOCCAS) trial and sponsored by The Netherlands Cancer Institute, is currently recruiting to compare the accuracy of an established molecular stool test (Cologuard\textsuperscript{®}) and FIT to colonoscopy for detection of advanced adenomas or colorectal cancer.\textsuperscript{9} 

Criteria

Cologuard stool DNA testing may be considered for colorectal cancer screening once every three years when ALL of the following criteria are met:
For ages 50 to 75 years:
- Member has not had any of the following USPSTF recommended (A rating) colorectal cancer screening performed during the recommended screening interval:\textsuperscript{2}
  - Guaiac-based fecal occult blood test (gFOBT) in the past year, or
  - Fecal immunochemical test (FIT) in the past year, or
  - Multitargeted stool DNA test (FIT-DNA) in the past three years, or
  - Colonoscopy in the past ten years, or
  - CT colonography in the past five years, or
  - Flexible sigmoidoscopy in the past five years, AND
- No signs or symptoms of colorectal disease, including lower gastrointestinal pain, blood in stool, positive guaiac fecal occult blood test or fecal immunochemical test), AND
- Average risk of developing colorectal cancer defined by the following:
  - No personal history of adenomatous polyps, colorectal cancer, or inflammatory bowel disease, including Crohn’s Disease and ulcerative colitis, and
  - No family history of colorectal cancers or adenomatous polyps, familial adenomatous polyposis, or Lynch syndrome (hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer), AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

For ages 76 to 85 years:
- Member has never been screened for colorectal cancer by any screening method, AND
- No signs or symptoms of colorectal disease, including lower gastrointestinal pain, blood in stool, positive guaiac fecal occult blood test or fecal immunochemical test), AND
- Average risk of developing colorectal cancer defined by the following:
  - No personal history of adenomatous polyps, colorectal cancer, or inflammatory bowel disease, including Crohn’s Disease and ulcerative colitis, and
  - No family history of colorectal cancers or adenomatous polyps, familial adenomatous polyposis, or Lynch syndrome (hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer), AND
- Member is healthy enough to undergo treatment if colorectal cancer is detected, AND
- Member does not have comorbid conditions that would significantly limit his/her life expectancy, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

For age 86 years and older:
- Routine screening for colorectal cancer is not recommended and therefore not reimbursable.\textsuperscript{2}
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ConfirmedMDx for Prostate Cancer Risk Assessment

MOL.TS.153.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ConfirmMDx for Prostate Cancer</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is ConfirmMDx Testing for Prostate Cancer?

- Prostate cancer is the most common cancer among men, with over 200,000 new cases identified each year in the United States. The median age at diagnosis is 66 years. Older men are more likely to be affected than younger men, and African American men have higher rates compared to men of other ethnic backgrounds.
- Screening programs for prostate cancer allow for its early detection. Screening is typically performed by prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test and digital rectal examination (DRE).
- Diagnosis is confirmed by prostate biopsy. Biopsy is typically performed by collecting approximately 12 needle biopsy cores.
- Initial biopsies only detect 65-77% of prostate cancers, and repeat biopsies are frequently performed. The false negative rate of biopsy may be as high as 25%.
- The ConfirmMDx™ test (MDx Health) is a proprietary epigenetic assay that measures gene methylation associated with the presence of cancer. Results are intended to assist in determining which patients likely have a true negative biopsy, and which patients are at increased risk for occult cancer. Results may prevent unnecessary repeat biopsies in unaffected men, and triage higher risk patients for repeat biopsies and treatment, as needed.

Test Information

- ConfirmMDx™ measures the methylation levels (using quantitative methylation PCR) of 3 genes (GSTP1, APC and RASSF1) associated with prostate cancer. The test is performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded prostate specimens from a 12-core biopsy.
- Results are reported with methylation positive/negative for each biopsy core, along with a map of the regions where methylation is distributed.
- Negative predictive value of the test is approximately 90%, based on results of a large, blinded clinical evaluation study.
Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines for Prostate Cancer Early Detection state the following:6
  - “Those patients with negative prostate biopsies should be followed with DRE and PSA. Tests that improve specificity in the post-biopsy state-including 4Kscore, PHI, percent free PSA, PCA3, and ConfirmMDx—should be considered in patients thought to be higher risk despite a negative prostate biopsy.”
  - “Biomarkers that improve the specificity of detection are not recommended as frontline screening tests. However, there may be some patients who meet PSA standard for consideration of prostate biopsy, but for whom the patient and/or physician wish to further define the probability of high-grade cancer. A percent free PSA <10%, PHI >35 or 4K score (which provides an estimate of the probability of high-grade prostate cancer) are potentially informative in patients who have never undergone biopsy or after a negative biopsy; a PCA3 score >35 is potentially informative after a negative biopsy.”

Criteria

Coverage for ConfirmMDx will be granted when the following criteria are met:

- No previous ConfirmMDx testing on the same sample when a result was successfully obtained, AND
- No previous 4Kscore testing performed after the most recent negative biopsy when a result was successfully obtained, AND
- Member is not under active surveillance for low stage prostate cancer, AND
- Negative prostate biopsy within the past 24 months, AND
- Member is considered at higher risk for prostate cancer by one or more of the following:
  - Family history of 1st degree relative with prostate cancer diagnosed younger than age 65 years,6,12,13,14 and/or
  - African American race,6,12,13,14 and/or
  - Known mutation in a gene associated with increased risk of prostate cancer (e.g., BRCA1/2, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, EPCAM)6,12
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Corus CAD for Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corus CAD Gene Expression Test</td>
<td>81493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is the Corus CAD test for Obstructive CAD?

- Heart disease is the leading cause of death for both men and women, accounting for 1 in 7 US deaths. Coronary heart disease is the most common type of heart disease.  
- Patients with signs and symptoms of obstructive CAD, the result of a chronic inflammatory process that ultimately results in progressive luminal narrowing and acute coronary syndromes, may be evaluated with a variety of tests according to risk. Coronary angiography is the gold standard for diagnosing obstructive CAD, but it is invasive and associated with a low but finite risk of harm. Thus, coronary angiography is recommended solely for patients at high risk of CAD.
- For patients initially assessed to be at low-to-intermediate risk, observation and noninvasive diagnostic methods, which may include imaging methods such as coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) or Myocardial Perfusion Imaging (MPI), may be recommended.
- Even noninvasive imaging methods, however, have potential risks of exposure to radiation and contrast material. Despite efforts to risk stratify patients with noninvasive testing, the subsequent yield at coronary angiography remains low. In one study of nearly 400,000 patients without known CAD undergoing elective coronary angiography, only approximately 38% were found to have obstructive CAD.
- Corus CAD is a blood-based test designed to exclude the presence of obstructive CAD in symptomatic patients.
  - It is suggested as a first-line diagnostic modality in the ambulatory care setting ahead of noninvasive imaging to rule out obstructive CAD as the cause of a patient’s symptoms.
  - Corus CAD is intended for use in adult patients with stable, non-acute presentation of symptoms suggestive of obstructive CAD who:
    - are not diabetic
    - have not been diagnosed with prior myocardial infarction (MI) nor have had a previous revascularization procedure
    - are not currently taking steroids, immunosuppressive agents or chemotherapeutic agents
Test Information

- Corus CAD is a gene expression test that integrates the mRNA activity of 23 genes known to be involved in the development of and/or response to atherosclerosis into a single score, which can identify patients without obstructive CAD.\(^6\)
  - Obstructive CAD is defined as:\(^7\)
    - >50% stenosis in at least one coronary artery by Quantitative Coronary Angiography (QCA) core lab.
    - > 50% QCA stenosis corresponds to 65 – 75% stenosis on clinical angiography.
- Some of these genes are sex-specific, accounting for key biological differences between men and women in the development of CAD.\(^6\)
- The proprietary algorithm converts gene expression changes to a score\(^7\) that ranges from 1 to 40. The specific numeric value is translated into a percentage likelihood of the patient having obstructive CAD.\(^7,8\)
  - Patients with scores ≤15 (“low score”) have a low likelihood (<8%) of having obstructive CAD.\(^8\)
- The test potentially eliminates 46% of patients (those with scores ≤15) from further cardiac workup due to the low likelihood of their symptoms being caused by obstructive CAD.\(^8\)
- Test performance in the intended use population (disease prevalence of about 15%):\(^8\)
  - Sensitivity = 89%
  - Specificity = 52%
  - Negative predictive value (NPV) = 96%

Guidelines and Evidence

- Corus CAD is not mentioned in any of the current applicable American College of Cardiology (ACC) or American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines, policy statements or scientific statements.\(^9,10,11,12\)
- Clinical validity studies:
  - PREDICT\(^7\)
    - Prospective, multi-center, blinded study in 39 U.S. sites.
    - 1569 non-diabetic patients undergoing cardiac catheterization.
    - The predictive accuracy of the Corus CAD score was good, with AUC = 0.70 ± 0.02.
    - Corus CAD significantly improved the ability to detect underlying obstructive CAD compared with clinical assessment (based on the Diamond-Forrester [D-F] clinical risk score).
    - Test significantly improved MPI accuracy in identifying underlying obstructive CAD.
  - COMPASS\(^8\)
    - Prospective, multi-center study in 19 U.S. sites.
    - 431 non-diabetic symptomatic patients scheduled for MPI.
    - Primary end point: Receiver-operating characteristics (ROC) analysis to discriminate ≥50% stenosis by QCA.
Corus CAD significantly improved the ability to detect underlying obstructive CAD compared to MPI.

Corus CAD outperformed clinical factors as assessed by D-F criteria and Morise score.

Six-month follow-up on 97% of patients showed that 27 of 28 patients with major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) or revascularization had scores >15.

- Clinical utility studies:
  - IMPACT-CARD\textsuperscript{13}
    - Prospective, single-center study at Vanderbilt University Medical Center.
    - 83 prospective non-diabetic symptomatic patients presenting to the cardiologist’s office with 83 matched historical controls.
    - A change in the diagnostic testing pattern pre/post Corus CAD testing was noted in 48/83 patients (58% observed vs. 10% expected change, $p<0.001$).
      - Low Score ($\leq 15$): 56% decreased intensity of testing; 44% had no change.
      - High Score ($>15$): 52% increased intensity of testing; 39% had no change.
    - 71% reduced testing rate in prospective group vs. historical cohort ($p<0.001$).
    - Follow-up (chart review/phone call) in 180 d to ensure plan was followed & get MACE.
      - 0 patients of 161 (0.0%; 97% Follow-up) had MACE.
  - IMPACT-PCP\textsuperscript{14}
    - Prospective, multi-center study of 4 practice sites.
    - 251 non-diabetic symptomatic patients presenting to the primary care physician’s (PCP) office.
    - 51% of patients had a low score ($\leq 15$).
    - A change in the diagnostic testing pattern pre/post Corus CAD testing was noted in 145/251 patients (58% observed vs. 10% expected change, $p<0.001$).
      - Low Score ($\leq 15$): 60% decreased intensity of testing; 38% had no change.
      - High Score ($>15$): 40% increased intensity of testing; 47% had no change.
    - Follow-up (chart review/phone call) in 30 days to ensure plan was followed & record MACE.
      - 1 patient of 247 (0.4%) had “MACE” (hemorrhagic CVA 5 days after testing, later determined not to meet criteria for MACE).
  - REGISTRY-1\textsuperscript{15}
    - Prospective, multi-center chart review of non-diabetic patients with typical and/or atypical symptoms suggestive of obstructive CAD at 7 sites.
    - 342 patients presenting to PCP office.
• Study designed for 670 patients with an interim look at 335.
  • Study stopped early due to meeting primary endpoint.
  • 49% of patients had a low score (≤15).
  • Patients with low Corus CAD score (≤15) had 94% decreased odds of referral versus patients with high score (> 15) (p < 0.0001).
    • For every 10 point decrease in score, had 14x decreased likelihood of referral to cardiology or advanced cardiac testing (p<0.0001).
  • Referral rate: 6% for low scores, 70% for high scores.
  • Followed for minimum of 180 days (Avg. F/U = 267 days).
  • 21 cardiac caths, 2 from patients with low scores; 19 from patients with high scores.
  • MACE rate = 1.5% (5/342); 1 in low score group (percutaneous coronary intervention [PCI]), 3 in high score group (PCI x 2 and myocardial infarction [MI]) plus another not judged to be related to CV disease.

• Recently completed clinical trials
  o The PRESET Registry: A Registry to Evaluate Patterns of Care Associated With the Use of Corus® CAD in Real World Clinical Care Settings. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01677156.\textsuperscript{16}
    • Primary outcome measures: “To describe referral patterns for cardiac care and testing within 1 month after gene expression testing.”\textsuperscript{16}
  o Effect of Exercise Stress Testing on Peripheral Gene Expression Using CORUS™ CAD Diagnostic Test. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01486030.\textsuperscript{17}
    • Primary outcome measures: “Gene expression score difference between peak exercise and baseline.”\textsuperscript{17}
  o PROspective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain - The PROMISE Trial. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01174550.\textsuperscript{18}
    • Primary outcomes measures: “Time to primary endpoint as defined as a composite of death, myocardial infarction (MI), major complications from cardiovascular (CV) procedures or testing, and unstable angina hospitalization. The Kaplan-Meier events rates (cumulative percentage of participants with an event) were estimated for the anatomic and functional diagnostic test groups.”\textsuperscript{18}

Criteria
• Based on the current evidence review, Corus CAD is considered **Investigational and Experimental**.
  o While clinical utility studies have demonstrated that Corus CAD results can influence clinical decision making, there is insufficient data to demonstrate that these decisions improve health outcomes as measured by the presence of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE).
  o The relatively small number of patients in the clinical utility trials (total n = 676) and the distribution of these patients (across less than a dozen
practice sites) also raises questions about whether these results are generalizable to the entire US.

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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What Is Cxbladder?

- Bladder cancer is typically diagnosed using a combination of cytologic evaluation of urine, imaging tests, and cystoscopy. However, patients have reported that cystoscopy is uncomfortable and expensive, and as a result, investigators are exploring alternative methods of to detect bladder cancer.
- Cxbladder is a family of non-invasive urinary biomarker tests manufactured by Pacific Edge Diagnostics. Cxbladder was developed as an alternative or adjunct to conventional tests for the initial diagnosis of bladder cancer or for later disease recurrence. The following tests are included in the Cxbladder family:
  - Cxbladder Triage
    - Used to rule out bladder cancer at an early stage.
  - Cxbladder Detect
    - Used to assess the probability of bladder cancer.
  - Cxbladder Monitor
    - Used to assess the probability of disease recurrence.
  - Cxbladder Resolve
    - Used to identify patients with high grade or late stage bladder cancer.

Test Information

- According to the manufacturer, levels of messenger RNA (mRNA) of five biomarker genes, including *MDK, HOXA13, CDC2, IGFBP5, CXCR2*, are believed to be in higher concentrations in urine samples of patients with bladder cancer.
- The Cxbladder test involves the extraction, purification, and quantification of mRNA of the 5 biomarkers by reverse transcription (RT) quantification polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR).
  - Cxbladder Triage
    - Combines bladder cancer risk factors as well as urinary biomarkers to rule out the presence of bladder cancer.
  - Cxbladder Detect
    - Analyzes five urinary biomarkers to identify bladder cancer.
  - Cxbladder Monitor
    - Combines clinical information and urinary biomarkers to assess the chance that bladder cancer has recurred.
Guidelines and Evidence

- **The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017)** Clinical Practice Guidelines do not specifically comment on the Cxbladder tests. However, the guidelines states the following regarding the use of other available urinary biomarkers:³
  - “Management of bladder cancer is based on the pathologic findings of the biopsy specimen, with attention to histology, grade, and depth of invasion. These factors are used to estimate the probability of recurrence and progression to a more advanced stage. Consideration may be given to FDA-approved urinary biomarker testing by fluorescence in situ hybridization or nuclear matrix protein 22 in monitoring for recurrence.”

- **In 2011, the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force** updated its 2004 evidence review with regard to bladder cancer screening, and reported the following:⁴
  - “no study evaluated the sensitivity or specificity of tests for hematuria, urinary cytology, or other urinary biomarkers for bladder cancer in asymptomatic persons without a history of bladder cancer. The positive predictive value of screening is less than 10% in asymptomatic persons, including higher-risk populations. No study evaluated harms associated with treatment of screen-detected bladder cancer compared with no treatment.”
  - “screening tests that might be feasible for primary care include tests for hematuria, urinary cytology, and other urinary biomarkers. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) last reviewed the evidence on bladder cancer screening in 2004 but found insufficient evidence to guide a recommendation.”

- The diagnostic accuracy of Cxbladder has been compared with standard diagnostic methods in individuals suspected of urothelial cancer due to gross hematuria in the urine.¹⁵⁻⁸
  - According to Chou et al. (2015), the diagnostic accuracy study carries a medium risk of bias and reported sensitivity of the Cxbladder test of 82% (95% CI, 70% to 90%) and specificity of 85% (95% CI, 81% to 88%).

- There are available studies that evaluated the effects of on patient-relevant outcomes (survival, quality of life) of using the Cxbladder test (clinical utility).
  - Additional research is needed to assess how Cxbladder testing will be used in the disease management of patients with cancer. Questions persist regarding if Cxbladder has sufficient clinical utility to replace invasive cystoscopy or if Cxbladder has the potential to augment or clarify uncertain results obtained using conventional diagnostic methods.

- **Ongoing clinical trials**
  - The Cxbladder Monitoring Study: A Clinical, Non-Intervention Study of the Cxbladder Urine Test for the Detection of Recurrent Urothelial Carcinoma (UC).⁹
Primary Outcome Measures:

- “Proportion of participants with bladder cancer who are correctly identified as having cancer (true positives) and no cancer (true negatives) by the Cxbladder test.”
- “The Cxbladder test results will be compared to that of cystoscopy, which is the gold standard method for diagnosing urothelial cancer; the true positive and true negative rates will be measured, along with the false positive and false negative rates of the test. The results will be reported as sensitivity and specificity of the Cxbladder test for detecting urothelial cancer in patients with recurrent disease.”
- “Probability that patients identified as having cancer and no cancer by the Cxbladder test truly have cancer (positive predictive value; PPV), and truly have no cancer (negative predictive value; NPV) respectively.”

Criteria

- These tests are considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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CYP2C9 and VKORC1 Testing for Warfarin Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C9 Genotyping</td>
<td>81227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G9143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VKORC1 Genotyping</td>
<td>81355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G9143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Warfarin Sensitivity Testing?

- Warfarin (Coumadin®) is a commonly prescribed anticoagulant with a narrow therapeutic range and a 20-fold inter-individual variation in dose requirements. Incorrect dosage, especially during the initial dosing phase, is associated with either severe bleeding or failure to prevent thromboembolism.

- Approximately 21% of patients who receive anticoagulant therapy will experience a major or minor bleeding event. Environmental and genetic factors combined influence 55% of warfarin dose variability and include: age, height, body mass index (BMI), gender, diet, genetic variations in CYP2C9 and VKORC1, use of concomitant medications and indication for warfarin.

- The activity of two genes [cytochrome P450 2C9 (CYP2C9) and vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit-1 (VKORC1)] impact the rate of warfarin metabolism and account for up to 40% of the inter-individual dose requirements for warfarin.

- CYP2C9 is a p450 enzyme that influences warfarin pharmacokinetics by impacting the rate of metabolism. Poor or intermediate metabolizing 2C9 variants are seen in between 2% to 20% of the population depending on ethnicity. Carriers of alleles *2 and *3 have decreased warfarin metabolism and may require lower warfarin doses.

- Vitamin K activity is important to the blood’s ability to clot. VKORC1 influences the pharmacodynamics and sensitivity of warfarin on the vitamin K cycle. Approximately 14% to 89% of the population display VKORC1 enzyme inhibition making them more sensitive to warfarin. Carriers of VKORC1 AA genotype (high warfarin sensitivity) require a significantly lower warfarin dose compared to individuals with genotype GA or GG.

- Testing these two genes predicts variability in warfarin dosage requirements. The presence of gene variants in CYP2C9 and VKORC1 indicate that more careful dosing and monitoring is required to achieve therapeutic anticoagulation and to decrease risk of bleeding or clotting during warfarin dose titration.
Test Information

- The CYP2C9 allele is thought to be the predominant cause of the variation of warfarin dosing.\(^6\)
- There are approximately 37 alleles reported in the CYP complex, however many do not have a functional impact.
  - Two alleles, *2 and *3 (CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3) are linked to a slower metabolism of warfarin, thereby needing an increase in warfarin dose. These alleles are found in approximately 12.2% and 7.9%, respectively, of the European Caucasian population.\(^4\)
  - Other variants, *4, *5, and *6 are seen in the Asian and African American populations, but typically around a <1% incidence.\(^7\)
- Diagnosis of these alleles can occur through sequence analysis of the CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genes. Mutation analysis detects virtually 100% of alleles.\(^2\)

Guidelines and Evidence

- There has been a mixed response to genotyping from professional associations, payors, and other organizations, largely because data supporting the utility of genetic testing to improve clinical endpoints is conflicting. For example, two recent meta-analyses came to opposite conclusions:
  - A genotype-guided dosing strategy did not result in a greater percentage of time that the INR was within the therapeutic range, fewer patients with an INR greater than 4, or a reduction in major bleeding or thromboembolic events compared with clinical dosing algorithms.\(^6\)
  - Genotype-guided initial dosing is able to reduce serious bleeding events by approximately 50% (RR = 0.47; 95% CI, 0.23-0.96; P = 0.040) compared with clinically-guided dosing approaches.\(^7\)
- The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC, 2011) guidelines state "The recommendations for dosing based on genotype contained herein are rated as level A, or strong, and are derived from numerous observational studies and some prospective studies that suggest the ability to more accurately identify stable therapeutic warfarin dose requirements through use of both genetic and clinical information. However, there are limited prospective data from randomized trials on the use of genetic information to guide warfarin dosing (summarized in Supplementary Note S4), and the impact on clinical outcomes is unknown, although several such studies are currently ongoing, the largest of which are described in Supplementary Note S5."\(^11\)
- The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2008) and the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP, 2008) both suggest against routine genotyping to guide warfarin dosing until better evidence is available to support a policy decision, but the ACMG does say that testing might be useful to explain unexpected warfarin responses.\(^4,8\)
- An FDA Advisory Committee convened in November of 2005 voted unanimously that "sufficient mechanistic and clinical evidence exists to support the recommendation to use lower doses of warfarin for individuals with genetic variations in CYP2C9 and VKORC1 that lead to reduced activities." Furthermore,
their report states "genotyping people in the induction phase of warfarin therapy would reduce adverse events and improve achievement" of a stable dose for anticoagulation. Product labeling for Coumadin (warfarin) has been updated based on FDA recommendation to include a table recommending initial dosing ranges for patients with different combinations of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes. Labeling also includes the range of expected therapeutic warfarin doses based on CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes.

Criteria

This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.

- Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.

- In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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CYP2C19 Variant Analysis for Clopidogrel Response

What Is CYP2C19 Testing for Clopidogrel Response?

- Clopidogrel (Plavix®) is a prodrug that must be converted by CYP2C19 to an active form to inhibit clot formation. Variants in the CYP2C19 gene can result in reduced or enhanced enzyme function, which in turn affects clopidogrel activity. The CYP2C19*2 genetic variant alone accounts for about 12% of the variability in clopidogrel response.
- CYP2C19 variant testing can be used to predict response to clopidogrel and modify the therapeutic strategy when necessary. CYP2C19 variant testing determines if a person is a poor, intermediate, extensive, or ultrarapid metabolizer.
  - A person with two nonfunctional alleles (any combination of *2-*8) is classified as a poor metabolizer. About 2-3% of Caucasians and blacks and up to 20% East Asians are poor metabolizers.
  - People with one loss-of-function allele (*1 and any combination of *2-*8) are intermediate metabolizers and represent 30-50% and 40-45% of these populations, respectively.
  - The CYP2C19*17 variant is associated with increased enzyme function or gain of function carriers. Prevalence of the CYP2C19*17 allele is typically <5% in Asians and about four times higher in Caucasian and African populations.
- Several studies have demonstrated a reduced effectiveness of clopidogrel in people with reduced CYP2C19 metabolism. Poor metabolizers may be at increased risk of nonfatal stroke, MI, or death from any cause in patients with poor metabolism. In contrast, an analysis of the CURE trial and ACTIVE trial, involving 5059 genotyped patients with acute coronary syndromes, did not find an effect of CYP2C19 genotype on outcome in homozygous, heterozygous or in those who were not carriers of the loss of function alleles.
- CYP2C19 ultrarapid metabolizers (*17 carriers) may be at increased risk for clopidogrel-related bleeding. However, a recent study showed ultrarapid metabolizers had a greater benefit from clopidogrel therapy than noncarriers, without increased bleeding events.
Test Information

- CYP2C19 testing identifies the most common gene variants and is performed on buccal or blood samples.
  - CYP2C19*1 is the normal functioning allele.
  - The most common loss of function alleles are *2 and *3.
  - CYP2C19*4, *5, *6, *7, and *8 alleles are much less common and are associated with absent or reduced CYP2C19 enzyme function.\(^2\)
  - CYP2C19*17 allele is associated with increased enzyme function or gain-of-function carriers.

Guidelines and Evidence

- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved product labeling for Plavix® (clopidogrel) was revised in March 2010 to include a boxed warning of the diminished effectiveness in patients with poor CYP2C19 metabolism. The following summarizes the boxed warning:\(^1,2\)
  - Effectiveness of Plavix® depends on activation to an active metabolite by the cytochrome P450 (CYP) system, principally CYP2C19.
  - Poor metabolizers treated with Plavix at recommended doses have higher cardiovascular event rates following acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) than people with normal CYP2C19 function.
  - Tests are available to identify a person’s CYP2C19 genotype and can be considered as a factor in therapeutic strategy. Consider alternative treatment or treatment strategies in people identified as CYP2C19 poor metabolizers.

- In December 2013, the American Heart Association published a Scientific Statement on Genetics and Genomics in the Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular Disease.\(^14\) They surmised:
  - “…the magnitude of benefit of clopidogrel in a given patient population influences the risk associated with CYP2C19 loss-of-function variants. Specifically, if the magnitude of benefit is small, the impact of genotype on clopidogrel efficacy may also be small. Therefore, the risk of genotype appears to be greatest among patients for whom clopidogrel has the greatest efficacy (ie, largest risk reduction), specifically those undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with stenting. Meta-analyses suggest that this group may be at up to 3- to 4-fold increased risk for stent thrombosis among *2 variant carriers.”

- In July 2013, the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium published an update to their antiplatelet therapy recommendations for acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) based on CYP2C19 status.\(^15\) They concluded:
  - Genotype-directed therapy could identify those with ACS/PCI who benefit most from alternative antiplatelet therapy. Current data do not support the use of CYP2C19 genotype data to guide treatment in other scenarios.
Standard dosing of clopidogrel, as recommended in the product label, is warranted among ACS/PCI patients with a predicted CYP2C19 extensive metabolizer or ultrarapid metabolizer phenotype (i.e., *1/*1, *1/*17, and *17/*17).

If genotyping identifies a patient as a CYP2C19 PM (i.e., any combination of *2 through *8), literature supports the use of an alternative antiplatelet agent (e.g., prasugrel (Effient®) or ticagrelor (Brilinta®) when not contraindicated.

Data support switching to an alternative antiplatelet agent for CYP2C19 IMs (e.g., *1/*2, *1/*3, and *2/*17) when not contraindicated. However, given the wide inter-individual variability in residual platelet activity observed among clopidogrel-treated IMs, other factors that may place an IM at increased risk of a CV event (or adverse bleeding event) must be considered to most effectively individualize therapy.

It is currently premature to support an increased dosing strategy based on CYP2C19 genotype. Large clinical trials that evaluated higher-dose clopidogrel in ACS/PCI patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity have concluded that adjusting clopidogrel dose on the basis of platelet function monitoring alone does not reduce the incidence of death from CV causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or stent thrombosis.

- In August 2012, the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF)/American Heart Association (AHA) Task Force on Practice Guidelines, in collaboration with the American College of Emergency Physicians, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons, commented:
  - Genetic testing for CYP2C19 loss-of-function alleles may be considered on a case-by-case basis, especially for patients who experience recurrent ACS events despite ongoing therapy with clopidogrel.

- In May 2012, the American Heart Association published a Policy Statement on Genetics and Cardiovascular Disease. They concluded:
  - “...it is now unambiguously clear that the use of standard doses of clopidogrel in patients with CYP2C19 loss-of-function variants is associated with an increased frequency of major adverse cardiovascular events and, in particular, of in-stent thrombosis among patients receiving drug-eluting stents.”

- In July 2010, the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) and the American Heart Association (AHA) issued a Clopidogrel Clinical Alert for approaches to the FDA black box warning which include the following points:
  - An emphasis on adherence to the existing ACCF/AHA guidelines for the use of antiplatelet therapy.
  - Clinicians should be aware that genetic variability in CYP enzymes alter clopidogrel metabolism and that diminished responsiveness to clopidogrel has been associated with adverse patient outcomes in registry experiences and clinical trials.
  - The predictive value of pharmacogenomic testing is very limited at this time, but studies are ongoing.
Evidence is insufficient to recommend routine genetic testing or platelet function testing but may be considered for people at moderate to high risk for poor outcomes. If a person is tested and found to be a poor metabolizer, other therapies should be considered:

- For coronary patients — consider prasugrel (Effient®) (NOTE: Or ticagrelor (Brilinta®), now that it has been approved).
- For TIA/stroke patients — consider aspirin or aspirin plus extended release dipyridamole. Prasugrel is contraindicated in TIA/stroke (NOTE: Ticagrelor (Brilinta®) should not be used in patients with active pathological bleeding or a history of intracranial hemorrhage).

For people who experience adverse reactions (i.e. adverse CV event or thrombosis, not bleeding) on clopidogrel several options exist:

- Clopidogrel can be switched to prasugrel (NOTE: Or ticagrelor, now that it has been approved).
- Clopidogrel dose can be increased (though little data exists).
- Platelet function testing may be performed to determine if patients are clopidogrel non-responders.
- For stroke patients, aspirin alone or combination of aspirin plus extended-release dipyridamole can be considered.

Higher loading doses and maintenance doses of clopidogrel have been found to improve platelet inhibition and might be considered alternatives for high-risk patients who respond poorly to clopidogrel. New antiplatelet drugs such as prasugrel and if approved, ticagrelor (NOTE: ticagrelor has been approved), are additional alternatives. Other possibilities are adding cilostazol (Pletal®) to standard doses of aspirin and clopidogrel, though data with this combination is still accruing. Follow up platelet function testing might be considered to ensure adequate platelet inhibition.

Criteria

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of CYP2C19, AND
- Personal History:
  - Currently on clopidogrel therapy, or
  - Use of clopidogrel therapy is being proposed for a patient at moderate to high risk for a poor outcome, such as:
    - Experiencing symptoms consistent with ACS when percutaneous coronary intervention is an option, and/or
    - Considering a drug-eluting stent

Exclusions:

- Current data do not support the use of CYP2C19 genotype data to guide treatment in other scenarios, including altering the dosing recommendation for clopidogrel based on the CYP2C19 genotype.
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## CYP2D6 Variant Analysis for Tamoxifen, Tetrabenazine, or Eliglustat Response

### Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6 Genotyping for Tetrabenazine Response</td>
<td>81226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6 Genotyping for Eliglustat Response</td>
<td>81226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6 Genotyping for Tamoxifen Response</td>
<td>81226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What Is CYP2D6 Testing for Tamoxifen Response?

- The cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) enzyme is involved in metabolizing tamoxifen into endoxifen, which is 30-100 times more effective than tamoxifen and considered to be primarily responsible for the pharmacologic effects of tamoxifen.\(^1\)
- Studies suggest that certain variations (polymorphisms) in the CYP2D6 gene result in reduced or absent enzyme function, which may lead to lower levels of active tamoxifen metabolites and reduced treatment efficacy.\(^2-4\)
- CYP2D6 testing has, therefore, been proposed to guide adjuvant therapy decisions in some circumstances.
  - Postmenopausal women considering tamoxifen have a choice between tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors.\(^5\) Results of CYP2D6 testing could influence that decision, although data about the utility of testing has been mixed (see Guidelines/Evidence below for details).
  - Testing is not indicated for perimenopausal and premenopausal women with hormone-positive breast cancer. Tamoxifen is the current standard of care for these patients,\(^5\) and no alternative treatment plans have been approved.
  - Testing is not recommended for patients considering tamoxifen in the preventative setting.\(^6\)

### Test Information

- CYP2D6 testing is usually performed on a buccal swab or blood sample using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to look for certain common variants.
- Genotype results are generally assigned a metabolizer phenotype.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Genotype(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor Metabolizer (PM)</td>
<td>Two CYP2D6 inactive variants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Metabolizer (IM)</td>
<td>One normal and one inactive variant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive Metabolizer (EM)</td>
<td>Two normal CYP2D6 alleles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrarapid Metabolizer (UM)</td>
<td>More than two copies of the normal CYP2D6 allele</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The frequency of the CYP2D6 metabolizer phenotypes varies with ethnicity. About 5-10% of Caucasians are poor metabolizers, while the frequency is much lower in Africans and Asians.\(^7\)

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- **Tetrabenazine and eliglustat:**
  - CYP2D6 is listed as an **FDA-approved** biomarker for both tetrabenazine and eliglustat.\(^11\)
  - Product labeling for tetrabenazine and eliglustat address CYP2D6 testing.\(^2,3\)

- **Tamoxifen**
  - Evidence-based guidelines from the **National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016)** state: "At this time, based on current data the [NCCN Breast Cancer] panel recommends against CYP2D6 gene testing for women being considered for tamoxifen therapy."\(^7\) (category 2A: The recommendation is based on lower level evidence and there is uniform NCCN consensus)
  - Practice guidelines from the **American Society of Clinical Oncologists (ASCO, 2009)** state: "Given the limited evidence, CYP2D6 testing is currently not recommended in the preventive setting."\(^8\)
  - Two important large clinical trials have most directly addressed clinical utility of CYP2D6 testing for tamoxifen response.\(^12,13\) Both found that CYP2D6 genotype did not predict long-term outcome among tamoxifen users.
    - Regan et al. performed CYP2D6 variant testing on tumor tissue from 4393 patients enrolled in the BIG 1-98 trial and evaluated the association with breast cancer recurrence. BIG 1-98 was an international, randomized double-blind trial that compared tamoxifen monotherapy, letrozole (an aromatase inhibitor) monotherapy, and sequential therapy (2 years of one and 3 years of another). Patients were mostly Caucasian and all had postmenopausal, hormone receptor-positive, operable breast cancer. Results found a non-statistically significant association between metabolizer phenotype and recurrence (poor metabolizer
vs. extensive metabolizer HR = 0.58, 95% CI = 0.28 to 1.21). The authors concluded "The results of this study do not support using the presence or absence of hot flushes or the pharmacogenetic testing of CYP2D6 to determine whether to treat postmenopausal breast cancer patients with tamoxifen."\textsuperscript{12}

- Similarly, Rae et al. found no association between CYP2D6 genotype and breast cancer recurrence in people treated with tamoxifen from the randomized double-blind Arimidex, Tamoxifen, Alone or in Combination (ATAC) trial (n=1203; poor metabolizer vs. extensive metabolizer HR = 1.25, 95% CI = 0.55 to 3.15). The authors conclude "The results do not support the hypothesis that CYP2D6 genotype predicts clinical benefit of adjuvant tamoxifen treatment among postmenopausal breast cancer patients."\textsuperscript{13}

Criteria

CYP2D6 testing will be granted when the following criteria are met:

Testing for Tetrabenazine Response

- No previous CYP2D6 testing performed, AND
- Member has a diagnosis of Huntington’s disease, AND
- Treatment with tetrabenazine is being considered in a dosage greater than 50mg per day, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Testing for Eliglustat Response

- No previous CYP2D6 testing performed, AND
- Member has a diagnosis of Gaucher disease, AND
- Treatment with eliglustat is being considered, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Testing for Tamoxifen Response

- CYP2D6 testing for tamoxifen response is considered investigational/experimental.
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Cystic Fibrosis Testing

What Is Cystic Fibrosis?

- Classic cystic fibrosis (CF) is a genetic disorder that causes chronic lung disease, pancreatic insufficiency, and male infertility.\(^1,2\) It is caused by mutations in the CFTR gene.\(^1\)
- CF affects approximately 1 in 3200 Caucasian newborns.\(^1\) While CF is most common in Caucasians, it can occur in any ethnic group.\(^2\)
- Patient registry data from 2010 indicate that the median lifespan for people with classic CF is about 38 years.\(^3\) Treatment advances continue to extend the life of patients with CF. Several therapies in development or currently available target specific CFTR gene mutations, such as the FDA-approved Kalydeco™ for people with the G551D mutation.\(^4\)
- CF is an autosomal recessive condition. Males and females are equally likely to be affected.\(^1\) If both parents are carriers of CF, the risk for a pregnancy to be affected is 1 in 4 (25%).\(^1\) If one partner is affected with CF and the other partner is a carrier, the risk for a pregnancy to be affected is 1 in 2 (50%). Preimplantation and prenatal diagnosis are available for couples known to be at-risk.
- Most signs of CF can't be identified on prenatal ultrasound examination. However, pregnancies in which fetal echogenic bowel is identified on ultrasound are at an increased risk to be affected with CF.\(^1\)
- Prenatal diagnosis for CF can be performed on a sample from chorionic villus sampling (CVS) or amniocentesis:\(^1\)
  - If both parents are known carriers, a mutation panel that includes both parental mutations is typically the test of choice.
  - If only one parent is a carrier, or if testing is indicated because of echogenic bowel, testing with a large mutation panel or sequencing offers greater sensitivity.
- Newborn screening (NBS) programs include screening for CF, though the screening protocol may vary by state.\(^5\)
- Several other conditions share some clinical similarities to CF, are also caused by mutations in the CFTR gene, but do not meet the diagnostic criteria for classic
CF. These are called "CFTR-related disorders" and include congenital bilateral absence of vas deferens (CBAVD/CAVD), acute recurrent or chronic pancreatitis, and some respiratory tract conditions such as bronchiectasis, sinusitis, and nasal polyps. Such conditions may also be called non-classic CF.

- CBAVD is frequently identified after semen analysis shows absent sperm (azoospermia). CBAVD is often caused by one severe CFTR mutation and one mild mutation (including the 5T allele). At least one CFTR mutation can be found in up to 80% of men with CAVD. Because of this association, CFTR analysis is routinely performed for men with azoospermia.

Test Information

- **CFTR mutation panels**: The American College of Medical Genetics has defined a panel of 23 common, pan-ethnic mutations that occur at a frequency of at least 0.1% in patients with cystic fibrosis. While this panel was created for carrier screening purposes, the CF diagnostic guidelines also endorse its use in that setting for most patients. Laboratories performing mutation panel testing routinely include all of these mutations. Many laboratories expand their panels with more mutations intended to increase the detection rate, particularly in non-Caucasian populations. Expanded mutation panels generally test for 70 or more CFTR mutations. The detection rates of expanded panels vary by laboratory and depend on the mutations included and the patient's ethnicity.

- **CFTR sequencing** detects more than 98% of mutations. Sequencing is generally performed in reflex to normal mutation panel results, and reserved for specific situations in which a mutation panel is insufficient.

- **CFTR deletion/duplication analysis** identifies mutations that sequencing would not find. This test is performed in reflex to normal sequencing results.

- **Intron 8 poly-T analysis** identifies the number of thymidine bases in intron 8 of the CFTR gene. The three common variants are 5T, 7T, and 9T. The 5T variant is considered a mild mutation with reduced penetrance, while 7T and 9T are considered normal variants.

  - Testing is typically done in reflex to the identification of an R117H mutation by CFTR mutation panel testing. The 5T variant also modifies the effect of the R117H mutation if the two mutations are located on the same chromosome. R117H is a mild CFTR mutation included in the standard panel recommended by the American College of Medical Genetics. If R117H is identified by CF testing, reflex testing for the 5T variant is indicated to provide information relevant to genetic counseling.

  - 5T variant analysis may also be performed alone or included in CFTR testing panels when the testing is done specifically to evaluate a man with CAVD. The 5T variant is more commonly found in men with CAVD in the absence of other symptoms of CF. In one large study, 25% of men with CAVD who had CFTR mutations identified had at least one copy of the 5T variant identified.
CFTR known familial mutation analysis: Once the mutations in affected or carrier family members have been identified, other relatives and at-risk pregnancies can be tested for those mutations.\textsuperscript{1} Mutation panels are often used in this situation, as long as they include the family mutation. If the family mutation is rare or unique, testing for just that mutation may be needed.

Guidelines and Evidence

- Evidence-based guidelines from the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (2005\textsuperscript{5}, limited update 2011\textsuperscript{11}) and the American College of Medical Genetics (2004)\textsuperscript{7} recommend that CF carrier screening using a mutation panel be offered to all couples who are pregnant or planning a pregnancy or those with a family history of CF.
  - ACOG adds "It is becoming increasingly difficult to assign a single ethnicity to individuals. It is reasonable, therefore, to offer CF carrier screening to all patients. Screening is most efficacious in the non-Hispanic white and Ashkenazi Jewish populations."\textsuperscript{9}
  - These guidelines state that expanded mutation screening or sequencing may be beneficial in:
    - An individual with a family history of CF with an unknown mutation\textsuperscript{7,9}
    - An individual whose reproductive partner is a known CF carrier, has CF, or has CAVD\textsuperscript{7,9}
- Consensus-based guidelines from the American Society for Reproductive Medicine in partnership with the Society for Male Reproduction and Urology (2008) recommend cystic fibrosis testing for men with CAVD and their partners, stating:\textsuperscript{12}
  - "A man with CBAVD should be assumed to harbor a CFTR mutation. Therefore, before any treatments using his sperm, testing should be offered to the female partner to exclude the possibility (approximately 4%) that she too may be a carrier. All such couples should be offered genetic counseling." These guidelines do not specify a preferred testing methodology.
- Consensus-based guidelines from the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (2008)\textsuperscript{2} outlines the ways in which a CF diagnosis can be established (summarized in the table below). Characteristic features of CF include chronic sinopulmonary disease (such as persistent infection with characteristic CF pathogens, chronic productive cough, bronchiectasis, airway obstruction, nasal polyps, and digital clubbing), gastrointestinal/nutritional abnormalities (including meconium ileus, pancreatic insufficiency, chronic pancreatitis, liver disease, and failure to thrive), salt loss syndromes, and obstructive azoospermia in males (due to CAVD).
When at least one characteristic feature is present, a diagnosis of CF can be confirmed by:

- Two abnormal sweat chloride values
- Identification of two CFTR gene mutations
- Characteristic transepithelial nasal potential difference (NPD)

In the absence of symptoms, a CF diagnosis can be established in:

- A newborn with two CFTR gene mutations identified via newborn screening
- A pregnancy found to have two CFTR mutations on prenatal testing

- These guidelines state that "Individuals with sweat chloride values in the intermediate range (30 to 59 mmol/L for infants under age 6 months; 40 to 59 mmol/L for older individuals) should undergo extensive CFTR mutation analysis (ie, expanded panel of CFTR mutations, evaluation for deletions, or gene sequencing)."

- Consensus-based diagnostic guidelines from the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (2008)² state that a CF diagnosis can be established in a pregnancy found to have two CF disease-causing mutations on prenatal testing.

- Evidence-based guidelines from the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (2011)¹¹ recommend: "For couples in which both partners are carriers, genetic counseling is recommended to review prenatal testing and reproductive options." In the discussion, ACOG adds that for "A woman [who] is a carrier of a CF mutation and her partner is unavailable for testing or paternity is unknown. Genetic counseling to review the risk of having an affected child and prenatal testing options and limitations may be helpful."

- No US evidence-based guidelines have been identified that specifically address CF prenatal diagnosis for echogenic bowel. However, it is standard practice and evidence-based guidelines from the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC, 2005)¹³ state: "Grade 2 and 3 echogenic bowel is associated with both chromosomal and nonchromosomal abnormalities. Expert review is recommended to initiate the following….laboratory investigations that should be offered, including fetal karyotype, maternal serum screening, DNA testing for cystic fibrosis (if appropriate), and testing for congenital infection (II-2 A)." [Evidence level II-2: "Evidence from well-designed cohort (prospective or retrospective) or case-control studies, preferably from more than one centre or research group."] Recommendation classification A: "There is good evidence to support the recommendation for use of a diagnostic test, treatment, or intervention."

**Criteria**

**CFTR Standard Panel Testing**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy)¹⁴, AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for CFTR mutation(s), AND
• Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Individuals with an intermediate range/equivocal sweat chloride test (30-55mmol/L in infants, or 40-59mmol/L after 6 months of age), or
  o Individuals with a negative sweat chloride test when
  o Symptoms of CF are present, or
  o Idiopathic chronic (acute recurrent) pancreatitis present with non-focal workup, or
  o Infants with meconium ileus or other symptoms indicative of CF and are too young to produce adequate volumes of sweat for sweat chloride test, or
  o Infants with an elevated IRT value on newborn screening, or
  o Males with oligospermia/azoospermia/congenital absence of vas deferens (CAVD)\textsuperscript{8,15,16}, OR
• Carrier Screening:
  o Be of reproductive age, and
  o Have potential and intention to reproduce, or
  o Have reproductive partner with family history of CF, or
  o Have reproductive partner with CAVD, or
  o Currently pregnant, OR
• Prenatal Testing:
  o Either biological parent has a diagnosis of CF, or
  o Family history of CF is present, or
  o Both parents are carriers of CF mutations, or
  o Echogenic bowel has been identified on ultrasound in a fetus, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

CFTR Known Familial Mutation Analysis

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy)\textsuperscript{14}, AND
• Previous Testing:
  o No previous genetic testing for known CFTR family mutation(s), or
  o Previous CFTR panel testing was not inclusive of known family mutation, AND
• Carrier Screening:
  o Family CFTR mutation(s) in known biologic relative, OR
• Prenatal Testing:
  o Either biological parent is a known carrier of a CFTR mutation, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

CFTR Sequencing

• Genetic Counseling:
Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy)¹⁴, AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - Previous CFTR Standard Panel was negative (no mutation found) or only one mutation was found, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Individuals with a negative or equivocal sweat chloride test, and
    - Unexplained COPD or bronchiectasis with unexplained chronic or recurrent sinusitis and abnormal pulmonary function tests (PFTs), or
    - Idiopathic chronic (acute recurrent) pancreatitis is present, or
  - Infants with meconium ileus or other symptoms indicative of CF and are too young to produce adequate volumes of sweat for sweat chloride test, or
  - Infants with an elevated IRT value on newborn screening and a negative 23 mutation panel, OR

- Carrier Screening
  - An individual with a family history of CF with an unknown mutation, or
  - An individual whose reproductive partner is a known CF carrier, has a diagnosis of CF, or has a diagnosis of CAVD, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

CFTR Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous CFTR deletion/duplication testing, and
  - Previous CFTR Gene Sequencing was negative (no mutation found) or only one mutation was found, and
  - No known familial mutation, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

CFTR Intron 8 Poly T Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous CFTR intron 8 poly T testing, AND

- Diagnostic Testing:
• Diagnosis of male infertility (congenital absence of vas deferens [CAVD], obstructive azoospermia), or
• Diagnosis of non-classic CF, OR

• Carrier Testing:
  o CFTR mutation analysis performed and R117H mutation detected
  • Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy
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What Is Dentatorubral-Pallidoluysian Atrophy?

- Dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy (DRPLA) is a progressive neurologic disorder. Age of onset ranges from one year of age to 72 years of age; the mean age of onset is 31.5 years of age.\(^1\)
  - In adults (over ~age 20), DRPLA presents as ataxia, choreoathetosis, and dementia or character changes.
  - In people under ~age 20, DRPLA typically manifests with progressive intellectual deterioration, behavior changes, ataxia, myoclonus, and seizures.
  - Neuropathology demonstrates degeneration of the dentatorubral and pallidoluysian systems.\(^2\) In addition, white matter lesions have been described.\(^1\)
- DRPLA is also known as Myoclonic Epilepsy with Choreoathetosis; Naito-Oyanagi Disease; Haw River Syndrome; Ataxia, Chorea, Seizures, and Dementia.\(^1\)
- Although initially thought to be a disorder of the Japanese population, DRPLA has been diagnosed in people from a variety of other ethnic backgrounds. Its prevalence is estimated to be about 0.48 in 100,000 in the Japanese population based on a study conducted by Tsjiuji et al in 2008.\(^3\)
- The diagnosis of DRPLA is based on presenting findings, family history, and the results of molecular genetic testing demonstrating an expansion of the CAG trinucleotide/polyglutamine tract in ATN1.\(^1\)
  - Normal alleles typically have a repeat length of 6 to 35.
  - Individuals with DRPLA have a full penetrance allele with repeat length > 48 repeats, usually 48-93.\(^1\)
  - So-called ‘mutable normal’ alleles may exist, i.e., alleles with repeats between 36 and 47. Mutable normal alleles do not result in symptoms for the individual, but they are unstable and may increase in size when transmitted to offspring.\(^1\)
- The age of onset and clinical presentation is indirectly correlated with the size of the expansion. On average, people with large expansions have earlier onset than those with a smaller number of repeats.\(^1,2\)
  - Although the size of the trinucleotide repeat is inversely correlated with the age of onset, the number of repeats cannot be used for specific prediction
of symptoms or age of onset in an asymptomatic person. Repeat length is estimated to account for 50-68% of the variability in age of onset, the other contributing factors are not known.  

- DRPLA is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. Males and females are equally likely to be affected. A person with DRPLA has a 50% chance of passing the ATN1 mutation to each of his/her children.
  - Most individuals with DRPLA have inherited the mutation from a parent. The parent may not have had signs of DRPLA because the number of repeats he or she had were below the 'threshold' for manifesting symptoms ('mutable normal' or 'intermediate' alleles) or the number of repeats was within the disease-causing range, but small in number thus the parent with the abnormal allele has not yet developed symptoms.
  - Unaffected persons with mutable normal or intermediate alleles may pass this allele to offspring and the allele may undergo intergenerational expansion to a disease-causing range. The amount that of expansion depends upon the size of the repeat and gender of the transmitting parent. When the expansion is inherited from the father, increase in size of the expansion tends to be larger than when the disease-causing allele is inherited from the mother. As a result, individuals who inherit the mutation from their father tend to have onset of disease 26-29 years earlier than their affected parent; when inheritance is from the mother, the onset of disease is about 14-15 years earlier.

**Test Information**

- DRPLA molecular genetic testing identifies the number of CAG trinucleotide/polyglutamine repeats in ATN1. A repeat length of >48 confirms the diagnosis of disease. Testing is >99% accurate. Once the diagnosis is confirmed in an affected relative, pre-symptomatic/predictive testing, prenatal diagnosis, and preimplantation genetic diagnosis are available to at-risk family members.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- No U.S. guidelines exist for genetic testing for DRPLA.
- A 2010 expert-authored review states:  
  - "The diagnosis of dentatorubral-pallidolysian atrophy (DRPLA) is established in individuals with disease-causing CAG trinucleotide expansions in ATN1 (DRPLA) who are:
    - Under age 20 years and have ataxia, myoclonus, seizures, and progressive intellectual deterioration;
    - Over age 20 years and have ataxia, choreoathetosis, dementia, and psychiatric disturbance."
  - "Most individuals diagnosed with DRPLA have an affected parent. It is appropriate to evaluate both parents of an affected individual with molecular genetic testing even if they are asymptomatic."
"Testing of asymptomatic at-risk adults for DRPLA in the presence of nonspecific or equivocal symptoms is predictive testing, not diagnostic testing. When testing at-risk individuals for DRPLA, it is helpful to test for the CAG expansion in an affected family member to confirm the molecular diagnosis in the family."

"At-risk asymptomatic adult family members may seek testing in order to make personal decisions regarding reproduction, financial matters, and career planning. Others may have different motivations including simply the "need to know." Testing of asymptomatic at-risk adult family members usually involves pre-test interviews in which the motives for requesting the test, the individual's knowledge of DRPLA, the possible impact of positive and negative test results, and neurologic status are assessed."

"Requests from parents for testing of asymptomatic at-risk individuals during childhood require sensitive and understanding counseling. Consensus holds that individuals under age 18 at risk for adult-onset disorders should not have testing in the absence of symptoms."

"If the disease-causing mutation has been identified in the family, prenatal diagnosis for pregnancies at increased risk is possible by analysis of DNA extracted from fetal cells obtained by amniocentesis (usually performed at ~15-18 weeks' gestation) or chorionic villus sampling (usually performed at ~10-12 weeks' gestation)."

Criteria

- Clinical Consultation & Genetic Counseling:
  - Examination by a geneticist or physician familiar with hereditary neurological disease and
- Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous ATN1 testing for DRPLA, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - < 20 years of age and 2 or more of the following:
    - Ataxia
    - Myoclonus
    - Seizures
    - Progressive intellectual deterioration/behavior changes
    - Affected 1st degree biologic relative or Japanese/Haw River descent, OR
  - ≥ 20 years of age and 2 or more of the following:
    - Ataxia
    - Choreaathetosis
    - Affected 1st degree biologic relative or Japanese/Haw River descent, OR
- Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
- ATN1 CAG trinucleotide expansion detected in 1\textsuperscript{st} degree biologic relative, or
- Suspected DRPLA in a deceased 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree biologic relative who was not genetically diagnosed
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What Is Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Testing for 5-FU Toxicity?

- 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) is a common, broad-spectrum chemotherapeutic agent.\(^1\),\(^2\)
- Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) is the enzyme involved in the first step of the breakdown of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), to 5-fluoro-5, 6-dihydro-fluorouracil (FUH2).\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^5\)
- More than 80% of a dose of 5-fluorouracil is metabolized by DPD to FUH2. This metabolite has much lower toxicity than 5-FU.\(^4\)
- A small percentage (≤10%) of 5-FU patients develop grade III-IV toxicity (neutropenia, nausea, vomiting, severe diarrhea, stomatitis, mucositisitis, and neuropathy)\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\), which can be life-threatening.
- One primary cause for toxicity is DPD deficiency.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\) An estimated 0.1-3% of the population has DPD deficiency, caused by variants in the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPYD) gene.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^8\) In particular, about 1% of the population has the DPYD IVS14 +1G>A variant (also called DPYD*2A) that is found to be associated with a seven-fold increased risk for grade III/IV 5-FU toxicity.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^11\)
- Individuals found to have a DPYD genetic variant require lowered drug doses or alternative therapies.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^9\)
- Testing may also be used to investigate a possible cause of toxicity if a person experiences adverse effects while on a 5-FU based therapy.\(^6\)

Test Information

- Testing for the DPYD variant IVS14+1G>A should be considered prior to initiating treatment with 5-fluorouracil and capecitabine for most patients.
- Testing is widely available and highly accurate for this variant (>99% detection rate). Testing does not look for any other variants in the DPYD gene.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The FDA has acknowledged DPD deficiency as a risk factor for 5-FU related toxicity on multiple drug inserts. However, testing is not explicitly recommended or required prior to treatment initiation.
  - FDA updated the drug insert for Xeloda\(^\circ\)\(^12\) in 2003, listing DPD deficiency as a contraindication.
  - Carac\(^\circ\) Cream\(^\circ\)\(^13\) and Efudex\(^\circ\) topical solutions and cream\(^\circ\)\(^14\) also carry a warning for patients with known or suspected DPD deficiency.
DPYD variant testing is listed by the FDA as a valid biomarker in the context of approved drug labeling.\(^\text{15}\)

- Though not specified in professional guidelines or otherwise, there is general consensus that given the large number of patients treated each year with 5-FU, and the human and economical cost of severe toxic side effects, pre-therapeutic detection of DPD deficiency should be considered.\(^\text{7,16,17}\)

**Criteria**

DPD deficiency testing by DPYD IVS14+1G>A variant analysis is indicated in individuals considering or currently on therapy with any 5-FU containing drug:

- 5-fluorouracil (Fluorouracil\(^\text{®}\), Adrucil\(^\text{®}\))
- Capecitabine (Xeloda\(^\text{®}\))
- Fluorouracil topical formulations (Carac\(^\text{®}\), Efudex\(^\text{®}\), Fluoroplex\(^\text{®}\))

**References**

6. Halmos B, Krishnamurthi SS. Enterotoxicity of chemotherapeutic agents. UpToDate, database online v. 17.3.
15. FDA Table of valid genomic biomarkers in the context of approved drug labels. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/ScienceResearch/ResearchAreas/Pharmacogenetics/ucm083378.htm.


Duchenne & Becker Muscular Dystrophy
Testing

MOL.TS.161.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMD Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD Sequencing</td>
<td>81408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Duchenne/Becker Muscular Dystrophy?

- Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is an X-linked inherited neuromuscular disorder affecting 1 in 3500 boys.\(^1\) It is typically diagnosed by age 4.
- The main clinical findings of DMD include:\(^1\)
  - rapidly progressive skeletal muscle weakness and wasting that is more proximal than distal
  - a delay in motor milestones (such as walking at 18 months)
  - calf pseudohypertrophy
  - wheelchair dependency by 12 years
  - dilated cardiomyopathy
  - reduced life expectancy
  - greatly elevated serum creatine kinase (CK) concentration
- Genetic testing confirms a clinical diagnosis in affected males. Muscle biopsy may be used for diagnosis when molecular testing does not find a mutation.\(^2\)
- Although this is an X-linked disorder, some females may exhibit symptoms, and some carriers may develop related symptoms later in life, including muscle weakness and cardiomyopathy.\(^1\)
- Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD) is a similar disorder caused by the same gene that has a later age of onset and is less common than Duchenne. It is typically diagnosed by age 10, and people with BMD are often still able to walk into their 20s. The typical features include:\(^1\)
  - progressive skeletal muscle weakness
  - wheelchair dependence after age 16 years if at all
  - flexion contractures of the elbows
  - dilated cardiomyopathy
  - greatly elevated serum CK concentration
Test Information

- **DMD deletion/duplication testing** is the best first test, which detects genetic changes in about 65-80% of males with DMD and up to 95% of males with BMD.\(^1\) DMD deletion/duplication testing can also be used to identify a mutation in a known or suspected carrier female, if an affected male is not available for molecular analysis.\(^1\)

- **DMD sequence analysis** will identify about 30-35% of DMD genetic changes are the kind that can only be found by sequencing.\(^1\) DMD sequencing analysis can also be used to identify a mutation in a known or suspected carrier female, if an affected male is not available for molecular analysis.\(^1\)

- Once the familial mutation is identified, at-risk family members can have reliable and accurate testing for just that mutation.\(^1\)

Guidelines and Evidence

- The **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) selected the Care Considerations Working Group (2010)** to create guidelines for diagnosis and management of DMD:\(^2\)
  - "Testing for a DMD mutation in a blood sample is always necessary even if DMD is first confirmed by the absence of dystrophin protein expression on muscle biopsy. The results of genetic testing provide the clinical information required for genetic counseling, prenatal diagnosis, and consideration for future mutation-specific therapies."\(^2\)
  - "If deletion/duplication testing is negative, then dystrophin gene sequencing should be done to look for point mutations or small deletions/insertions."\(^2\)
  - "Full characterization of the mutation (deletion endpoints or exact position of any point mutation) is required to allow correlation of the predicted effect of the mutation on the reading frame of the gene, which is the major determinant of the phenotypic variability seen in dystrophinopathy, as well as to determine eligibility for the mutation-specific treatments currently in trials."\(^2\)

- **American Academy of Pediatrics (2005, reaffirmed 2008)** guidelines on cardiac care address screening for DMD/BMD carriers.\(^3\)
  - "Carriers of DMD or BMD should be made aware of the risk of developing cardiomyopathy and educated about the signs and symptoms of heart failure."\(^3\)
  - "Carriers of DMD or BMD should be referred for evaluation by a cardiac specialist with experience in the treatment of heart failure and/or neuromuscular disorders. Patients should undergo initial complete cardiac evaluation in late adolescence or early adulthood or at the onset of cardiac signs and symptoms, if these signs or symptoms appear earlier."\(^3\)
  - "Carriers should be screened with a complete cardiac evaluation at a minimum of every 5 years starting at 25 to 30 years of age."\(^3\)
  - "Treatment of cardiac disease is similar to that outlined for boys with DMD or BMD."\(^3\)
Criteria

DMD Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Clinical Consultation & Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of DMD, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - DMD mutation identified in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative(s), OR
- Carrier Screening and Predictive Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic At-Risk Individuals:
  - DMD mutation identified in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative(s), OR
- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  - DMD mutation identified in mother or sibling

DMD Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Clinical Consultation & Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous DMD genetic testing, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Progressive symmetric muscle weakness (proximal greater than distal), i.e., leg, pelvic and shoulder girdle muscles, and calf hypertrophy, and positive Gower maneuver, or
  - Elevated serum CK concentration, and
  - Progressive symmetric muscle weakness (proximal greater than distal), i.e., leg, pelvic and shoulder girdle muscles, or
  - Calf hypertrophy, or
  - Positive Gower maneuver, or
  - Male gender, or
  - Onset of symptoms by early adulthood (usually by adolescence), or
  - Delayed motor milestones, or
  - Gait problems; waddling gait or
  - Learning difficulties, or
  - Quadriceps weakness; activity-induced cramping, or
  - Family history consistent with X-linked inheritance, OR
- Carrier Screening and Predictive Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic at Risk Individuals:
  - DMD or BMD diagnosed in 1st or 2nd degree family member and no known mutation at this time, and
  - Family history consistent with X-linked inheritance
DMD Sequencing

- Clinical Consultation & Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous testing:
  - No mutations detected by deletion/duplication analysis in DMD, and
  - No previous full sequencing analysis of DMD
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Early Onset Familial Alzheimer Disease (EOFAD) Genetic Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSEN1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSEN1 Deletion/Duplication</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSEN1 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP Deletion/Duplication</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSEN2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSEN2 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Early Onset Alzheimer Disease?

- Alzheimer disease (AD) is characterized by adult onset, progressive dementia with cerebral cortical atrophy and beta amyloid plaque formation.\(^1\) Common findings include memory loss, confusion, speech issues, hallucinations, and personality and behavioral changes such as poor judgment, agitation, and withdrawal.\(^2,3\) Symptoms of Alzheimer disease usually start after 60-65 years old.\(^2\)
- Of all people with Alzheimer disease, about 25% have at least two affected relatives (called "familial Alzheimer disease"). Most familial Alzheimer disease is late-onset, but in about 5% of cases symptoms start at an unusually young age (called "early onset familial Alzheimer disease" or EOFAD).\(^2\)
- EOFAD is suspected when:\(^1\)
  - More than one family member has Alzheimer disease
  - Symptoms consistently start before 65 and often before 55

Genetics

- Table 1 below summarizes three subtypes of EAFOD. While not clinically distinguishable, the underlying genetic cause differs. Among families with EOFAD, 40-80% will have a detectable mutation in the APP, PSEN1, or PSEN2 gene.\(^1\) Therefore, some families with EOFAD will not have an identifiable mutation by current testing. There may be other disease causing genes that have not been identified to date.
- EOFAD is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion\(^1\)
- A person who is found to have a mutation in one of the genes known to cause EOFAD has a 50% chance to pass the mutation to his/her children.
• Most people with EOFAD have an affected parent. In cases where there appears to be no parent affected, most people have a second degree relative with the condition. De novo (new) mutations are possible. However, they have not been reported in EOFAD.¹

• The presence of a mutation in the PSEN1 gene has complete penetrance by the age of 65, meaning that when a mutation is present symptoms present by this age. Mutations in PSEN2 have a penetrance of approximately 95%. The penetrance of APP is unknown.¹

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EOFAD Type D</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Proportion of EOFAD Cases</th>
<th>Average Age of Onset</th>
<th>Likelihood of Symptoms with a Mutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>APP</td>
<td>No more than 10% to 15%</td>
<td>40's to 50's (occasionally 60s)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>PSEN1</td>
<td>30% to 70%</td>
<td>40 to early 50's</td>
<td>~100% by 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>PSEN2</td>
<td>Less than 5%</td>
<td>40 to 75</td>
<td>~95% by 80s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Information

• EOFAD is clinically diagnosed based on family history and age of onset. Genetic testing can confirm a clinical diagnosis in symptomatic individuals. Positive results also allow reliable presymptomatic predictive testing for at-risk family members.¹ Sequence analysis is necessary for acceptable detection rates. Experts suggest that testing should start with PSEN1 sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis, as PSEN1 is the most commonly involved gene.¹

• Sequence analysis is available for each gene individually or as panel. In addition to sequencing, APP gene testing includes specialized deletion/duplication studies that explain a small percentage of cases.¹

• APP gene duplication FISH studies are available as a separate test from at least one laboratory⁴, but this test alone has limited clinical application.

• Once the disease-causing mutation is identified, predictive testing of adult first-degree relatives (primarily siblings and adult offspring) may be considered. The detection rate for a known familial mutation is greater than 99%. Because of the implications of predictive testing, "Those seeking testing should be counseled about possible problems that they may encounter with regard to health, life, and disability insurance coverage, employment and educational discrimination, and changes in social and family interaction."¹

Guidelines and Evidence

• The Amyloid Imaging Task Force, Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, and the Alzheimer’s Association (2013) reference genetic testing in their recommendations:⁵
  o “The use of amyloid PET in lieu of genotyping for suspected autosomal dominant mutation carriers is considered inappropriate. The optimal clinical evaluation in these cases is careful collection of a family history, followed (if appropriate) by genetic counseling prior to and after genetic
testing for known mutations. Future use of amyloid PET in autosomal dominant mutation carriers could include determination of whether the amyloid deposition phase of their illness has begun. In the setting of a complete clinical evaluation, including serial neuropsychological testing, this information may be useful in identifying one disease-related milestone that, along with the genetic information, aids decision making."

- A 2012 expert-authored review states that:¹
  - "EOFAD is diagnosed in families with multiple affected individuals with mean age of onset before 65 years and/or with a documented disease-causing mutation in one of the genes known to be associated with EOFAD."
  - "Establishing the diagnosis in a proband requires molecular genetic testing to identify a disease-causing mutation in one of the three genes known to be associated with EOFAD."
  - "Predictive testing for at-risk asymptomatic adult family members requires prior identification of the disease-causing mutation in the family."

- **American College of Medical Genetics and The National Society of Genetic Counselors (2011):**⁶
  - "Testing for genes associated with early-onset autosomal dominant AD should be offered in the following situations:
    - A symptomatic individual with EOAD in the setting of a family history of dementia or in the setting of an unknown family history (e.g., adoption).
    - Autosomal dominant family history of dementia with one or more cases of EOAD.
    - A relative with a mutation consistent with EOAD."

- **The European Federation of Neurological Societies (2010)**⁷ Alzheimer's diagnosis and management guidelines address genetic testing: "Screening for known pathogenic mutations can be undertaken in patients with appropriate phenotype or a family history of an autosomal dominant dementia." (No evidence level assigned.) They add, "Testing of patients with familial dementia and of unaffected at-risk-relatives should be accompanied by neurogenetic counseling and undertaken only after full consent and by specialist centres. Pre-symptomatic testing may be performed in at risk member of family-carrying mutation. It is recommended that the Huntington’s disease protocol is followed for pre-symptomatic testing."

### Criteria

**PSEN1, PSEN2, or APP Known Familial Mutation Testing**

- **Clinical Consultation:**
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- **Previous Genetic Testing:**
  - No previous genetic testing of PSEN1, PSEN2, or APP, and
- PSEN1, PSEN2, or APP mutation identified in a 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree biological relative, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Dementia diagnosed ≤65 years of age, OR
- Predictive Testing
  - Age 18 years or older, and
  - No previous genetic testing of PSEN1, PSEN2, or APP, and
  - PSEN1, PSEN2, or APP mutation identified in 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree biological relative

**PSEN1 Full Sequence and Deletion/Duplication Analysis**

- Clinical Consultation:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous PSEN1 sequencing or deletion/duplication analysis, and
  - No known PSEN1, PSEN2, or APP mutation in the family, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Dementia diagnosed ≤65 years of age, and
  - Family history of dementia in 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree relative

**APP Sequence and Deletion/Duplication Analysis**

- Criteria for PSEN1 analysis are met, AND
- No previous genetic testing for APP, AND
- No mutations detected in PSEN1 analysis

**PSEN2 Full Sequence Analysis**

- Criteria for PSEN1 analysis are met, AND
- No previous genetic testing for PSEN2, AND
- No mutations detected in PSEN1 or APP analysis
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What Is EGFR Testing in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer?

- Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common type of lung cancer, and is associated with exposure to cigarette smoking.\(^1\)
- About 80-85% of NSCLC tumors express the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR).\(^1\) EGFR is a cell surface receptor that causes activation of the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain. Overexpression of EGFR results in increased proliferation and survival of cells, leading to the growth of tumors.\(^1\)
- The drugs erlotinib (Tarceva\(^\text{®}\)), afatinib (Gilotrif\(^\text{®}\)), and gefitinib (Iressa\(^\text{®}\)) are used in the treatment of people with advanced NSCLC.\(^1\) These drugs are tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). They directly inhibit the EGFR pathway by binding to the epidermal growth factor receptor and blocking downstream signaling resulting in reduced tumor growth.\(^1,2\)
- The presence of a mutation in a specific region of the EGFR gene is associated with positive response to TKIs. About 10-15% of Caucasian and up to 40% of Asian NSCLC patients have mutations in EGFR. Mutations occur more often in patients with adenocarcinoma, women, and patients who never smoked.\(^1,3\)
- Testing an NSCLC patient for EGFR mutations can be helpful to select patients who are more likely to respond to TKI therapy.\(^1\)
  - Patients with either small deletions in exon 19 or a point mutation in exon 21 (L858R) of the tyrosine kinase domain of the EGFR gene are considered good candidates for treatment with erlotinib, afatinib, or gefitinib. Patients found to be wild type are unlikely to respond to erlotinib, afatinib, or gefitinib and there is insufficient evidence at this time to support their use in individuals with other exon mutations. Other treatment options should be considered for these patients.\(^1,4-6\)
- EGFR is upstream from another gene, KRAS, in the signaling pathway. EGFR mutations and KRAS mutations are mutually exclusive: patients with NSCLC may have an EGFR mutation or a KRAS mutation, but not both.\(^1\)

Test Information

- Targeted analysis of the EGFR gene can be performed by two different methods:
Mutation panels check specifically for the two most common EGFR mutations, E19del and L858R. These mutations account for up to 85% of all EGFR mutations.1

Sequencing of specific exons (18-21) will find any mutation in the region (tyrosine kinase domain).1

- Testing by either method is sensitive and accurate,1 and both methods are commonly used by commercial laboratories doing testing.
- EGFR activity can also be measured by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) though targeted mutation analysis is the more routinely recommended test.1,2

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017) guidelines recommend:1
  - “Testing for ALK gene rearrangements and EGFR mutations is recommended (category 1) in the NSCLC algorithm for patients with nonsquamous NSCLC or NSCLC not otherwise specified (NOS) so that patients with these genetic abnormalities can received effective treatment with targeted agents such as erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib, and crizotinib.”
  - “Although rare, patients with ALK rearrangements or EGFR mutations can have mixed squamous histology. Therefore, testing for ALK rearrangements, ROS1 rearrangements, and EGFR mutations can be considered in patients with squamous cell histology if they are never smokers, small biopsy specimens were used for testing, or mixed histology was reported. EGFR, KRAS, ROS1, and ALK genetic alterations do not usually overlap.”
  - “Testing should be conducted as part of broad molecular profiling.”
  - “The NCCN NSCLC Guidelines Panel strongly endorses broader molecular profiling with the goal of identifying rare driver mutations for which effective drugs may already be available, or to appropriately counsel patients regarding the availability of clinical trials. Broad molecular profiling is a key component of the improvement of care of patients with NSCLC.”
  - “In patients with squamous cell carcinoma, the observed incidence of EGFR mutations is 2.7% with a confidence that the true incidence of mutations is less than 3.6%. This frequency of EGFR mutations does not justify routine testing of all tumor specimens.”

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017) states the following in regards to liquid biopsies for EGFR T790M testing in patients with non-small cell lung cancer:1
  - In patients with a sensitizing EGFR mutation at progression, tissue biopsy testing for EGFR T790M testing should be performed.
  - “Recent data suggest that plasma genotyping (also known as liquid biopsy or plasma biopsy) may be considered instead of tissue biopsy to detect
whether patients have T790M; however, if the plasma biopsy is negative, then tissue biopsy is recommended if feasible.”

- The **College of American Pathologists, International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, and Association for Molecular Pathology (CAP/IASLC/AMP)** Guidelines state:’
  - “EGFR molecular testing should be used to select patients for EGFR-targeted TKI therapy, and patients with lung adenocarcinoma should not be excluded from testing on the basis of clinical characteristics.”
  - “EGFR and ALK testing is recommended for adenocarcinomas and mixed lung cancers with an adenocarcinoma component, regardless of histologic grade. In the setting of fully excised lung cancer specimens, EGFR and ALK testing is not recommended in lung cancers that lack any adenocarcinoma component…”
  - “For patients with multiple, apparently separate, primary lung adenocarcinomas, each tumor may be tested but testing of multiple different areas within a single tumor is not necessary.”
  - “In the setting of more limited lung cancer specimens (eg, biopsies, cytology) where an adenocarcinoma component cannot be completely excluded, EGFR and ALK testing may be performed in cases showing squamous cell histology. Clinical criteria (eg, young age, lack of smoking history) may be useful to select a subset of these samples for testing.”

- The **American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO, 2011)** provisional clinical opinion states that:’
  - "On the basis of the results of five phase III RCTs, patients with advanced NSCLC of the lung who are being considered for first-line therapy with an EGFR TKI (patients who have not previously received chemotherapy or an EGFR TKI) should have their tumor tested for EGFR mutations to determine whether an EGFR TKI or chemotherapy is the appropriate first-line therapy.”

- EGFR is listed as an **FDA-approved** biomarker for both erlotinib and afatinib.8
- Product labeling for erlotinib, afatinib, and gefitinib address EGFR testing. All three products are listed as “first line treatments for patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer whose tumors have epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations as detected by an FDA-approved test.”4-6

**Criteria**

EGFR targeted mutation testing is indicated in individuals with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer of nonsquamous cell type prior to initiation of treatment with erlotinib, afatinib, or gefitinib therapy.

The following EGFR mutation testing is considered investigational and experimental:
Analysis for other mutations within exons 18-24, or other applications related to NSCLC, is considered experimental/investigational because the safety and/or effectiveness of this service cannot be established by review of the available published peer-reviewed literature.
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EndoPredict for Breast Cancer Prognosis

What Is EndoPredict for Breast Cancer Prognosis?

- EndoPredict® is a commercial multigene expression profiling assay designed to assess prognosis in early-stage breast cancer patients.¹
- The assay combined with results of the tumor size and nodal status is intended to predict the likelihood of women with early stage, node-negative, hormone receptor positive, and HER2 negative breast cancer of developing metastasis within 10 years of initial diagnosis.¹
- This test identifies 12 genes related to tumor proliferation and hormone receptor activity, but does not assess ER or HER2 status.¹
- Test results of the 12-gene risk score are designed to guide decisions regarding adjuvant systemic chemotherapy in women with early-stage invasive breast cancer with known hormone receptor and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status following surgical management of breast cancer.¹

Test Information

- The EndoPredict assay analyzes the gene expression level of 8 breast-cancer related genes and 4 reference genes (12 genes in total) within a breast tumor to determine an EndoPredict score (EP), ranging from 0 to 15. Each score corresponds to a specific likelihood of breast cancer recurrence within 10 years after the initial diagnosis. Based on the calculated score, the patient is categorized as follows:
  - Low risk: 0 to <5
  - High risk: 5 to 15 for distant recurrence under endocrine therapy.¹
- When combining the score with clinical risk factors, such as tumor size and node status, a combined molecular and clinical risk score, EPclin, is established. The EPclin score assigns patients into low- and high-risk groups. Patients placed in the high-risk group may be recommended to have chemotherapy, but those in the low-risk group may be able to forego chemotherapy and be spared its associated complications.¹
Guidelines and Evidence

- The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO, 2016) published a clinical practice guideline regarding the use of biomarkers to guide clinical decision-making on adjuvant systemic therapy among women with early-stage invasive breast cancer. Based on a review of the peer-reviewed scientific evidence, the following recommendations were published:
  - If a patient has ER/PgR-positive, HER2-negative (node-negative) breast cancer, the clinician may use the 12-gene risk score (EndoPredict; Sividon Diagnostics, Koln, Germany) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic chemotherapy. Type: evidence based. Evidence quality: intermediate. Strength of recommendation: moderate.
  - If a patient has ER/PgR-positive, HER2-negative (node-positive) breast cancer, the clinician should not use the 12-gene risk score (EndoPredict) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic chemotherapy. Type: evidence based. Evidence quality: insufficient. Strength of recommendation: moderate.
  - If a patient has HER2-positive breast cancer or TN breast cancer, the clinician should not use the 12-gene risk score (EndoPredict) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy. Type: informal consensus. Evidence quality: insufficient. Strength of recommendation: strong.

- Two clinical validation studies were identified that used archived specimens from previous prospective RCTs (retrospective-prospective study). Of the studies identified, these two prospective-retrospective studies are considered moderate quality evidence (Simon Level I evidence; category B; prospective using archived samples).
  - Filipits et al. (2011) evaluated two groups of patients derived from two independent RCTs (ABCSG-6 and ABCSG-8) to assess the validity of both the EP and EPclin. ABCSG-6 was a phase 3 RCT comparing tamoxifen alone for 5 years with tamoxifen in combination with aminogluthimide for the first 2 years of treatment in postmenopausal women. In ABCSG-8, postmenopausal breast cancer patients were randomly assigned to receive tamoxifen for either 5 or 2 years followed by anastrozole for 3 years. Filipits et al. (2011) included women who had participated in the ABCSG-6 trial (n=378; tamoxifen-only arm; mean follow-up, 97.4 months) or the ABCSG-8 trial (n=1324; mean follow-up, 72.3 months). All tumor specimens were collected at the time of surgery before adjuvant therapy. Assessors of samples, qRT-PCR analyses and score calculations were blinded to clinical and outcome data. The primary outcome measure was distant disease recurrence. Study authors reported that qRT-PCR was successfully analyzed in ~96% and ~99% of the two patient groups.
    - EPclin had significantly greater prognostic power compared with clinical pathology factors alone (c indices: 0.76 vs 0.75; P=0.024 [ABCSG-6]; 0.726 vs 0.70; P=0.003 [ABCSG-8]).
    - At 10 years, the distant recurrence rates were as follows:
• Low EP and High EP (ABCSG-6): 8% (95% CI, 3-13%) and 22% (95% CI, 15-29%) (P<0.001)
• Low EP and High EP (ABCSG-8): 6% (95% CI, 2-9%) and 15% (95% CI, 11-20%) (P<0.001)
• Low EP and High EP (ABCSG-6): 4% (95% CI, 1-8%) and 28% (95% CI, 20-36%) (P<0.001)
• Low EP and High EP (ABCSG-8): 4% (95% CI, 2-5%) and 22% (95% CI, 15-29%) (P<0.001)

Buus et al. (2016) conducted a prospective-retrospective study to estimate the risk of distant recurrence in women with early-stage breast cancer (ER+/HER2-) considering adjuvant therapy.⁴ Patients were evaluated in the prospective RCT (ATAC) evaluating the safety and efficacy of anastrozole compared with tamoxifen in postmenopausal women. Women with either node-positive or node-negative disease were included (n=928). The majority of the population had node-negative disease (n=680; 73%). (Study results that focused on node-negative disease will be discussed in this section.) Among node-positive patients, 59 had disease recurrence (8.6%). EP and EPClin were predictive of recurrence at 10 years of follow-up in both low- and high-risk groups. At 10 years, the distant recurrence rates based on Kaplan-Meier plots, stratified by pre-specified cut-off points, were as follows:
   - Low EP and High EP: 3% (95% CI, 1.5-6%) and 14.6% (95% CI, 11.3-18.8%)
   - EP hazard ratio (HR; 95% CI): 5.15 (2.44-10.85) (P<0.001)
   - Low EP and High EP: 5.9% (95% CI, 4-8.6%) and 20% (95% CI, 14.6-27%)
   - EPClin HR (95% CI): 3.90 (2.33-6.52%) (P<0.001)

A prospective-retrospective study was conducted to evaluate the ability of the EndoPredict assay to identify those patients who would achieve the most benefit from continuing hormonal therapy after 5 years.⁶ The study used archived samples from a population of ER-positive/HER2-negative post-menopausal women (node positive and node negative) from the ABCSG-6 (n=378; tamoxifen-only arm) and ABCSG-8 trials (n=1324) described in the earlier Dubsky study.⁷ Patients were retrospectively classified to low- and high-risk EP categories based on the incidence of late recurrence. Based on Kaplan–Meier analysis of distant metastasis, assignment to the EP low-risk group was associated with a significantly reduced risk of recurrence between 0 to 5 years (HR 2.80; 95% CI, 1.81-4.34, P<0.001) and greater than 5 years (HR 3.28; 95% CI 1.47-7.24, P=0.002). Values for the EP high-risk group were not reported by study authors. When EndoPredict and clinical parameters were combined, the prediction of late recurrence was improved as evidenced by the improved c-index; the EPClin score had the highest c-index (0.786) in predicting late recurrence.

In a prospective-retrospective study, Martin et al. (2014) evaluated the EP score in node-positive breast cancer patients (ER+/HER2-) who were treated with adjuvant chemotherapy followed by hormone therapy.⁸ The study also evaluated whether EP scores could predict the efficacy of incorporating weekly paclitaxel
into anthracycline-based regimens. Patients enrolled in the RCT (n=555; GEICAM 9906) were evaluated for distant metastasis-free survival (MFS). Rates of MFS at 10 years of follow-up were 93% for the EP low-risk group and 70% for the EP high-risk group, with an absolute risk reduction of 23% (HR 4.8; 95% CI 2.5-9.6; P<0.0001). Adding weekly paclitaxel treatment did not have an effect on the risk of relapse. The EPclin score c-index estimate of 0.70 was the highest compared with other risk factors.

- No direct evidence regarding clinical utility of EndoPredict to improve clinical decision making (e.g., predicting recurrence and/or selecting treatment approaches based on test results) and improve patient health outcomes in women with early-stage breast cancer considering adjuvant chemotherapy was identified. Weak indirect evidence from one small study (n=167) that evaluated treatment decisions assessed retrospectively suggests a potential for the test’s clinical utility.\(^9\) When pre- and post-test decisions were compared, a change of therapy was observed in nearly 38%. In addition, 16 patients (~12%) changed to a treatment strategy of additional chemotherapy; 33 patients (~25%) of patients changed to endocrine treatment alone. In addition to limitations of the retrospective study design, it is unclear how these projected and altered treatment recommendations would translate into improved morbidity and mortality outcomes in this patient population.

- There is adequate evidence in the peer-reviewed literature from two retrospective-prospective studies of moderate quality to support testing with EndoPredict in women with early stage (ER+/HER2-) node-negative breast cancer who are considering adjuvant chemotherapy. Moderate quality evidence indicates that use of the EndoPredict test may improve predictions regarding an individual’s long-term prognosis up to 10 years and determine if they can safely avoid adjuvant chemotherapy.

- There is currently insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed literature regarding the use of EndoPredict in women with early stage (ER+/HER2-) node-positive breast cancer who are considering adjuvant chemotherapy.

- There is currently insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed literature regarding the use of EndoPredict in women with early stage (ER+/HER2-) node-negative or node-positive breast cancer who are disease-free at 5 years after initial diagnosis, currently receiving adjuvant hormonal therapy, and who are considering continuing hormonal therapy.

- **Ongoing clinical trials:**
  - NCT Number: NCT02773004\(^10\)
    - Title: Prospective Study Assessing EndoPredict® Genomic Test Impact on Shared Decision of Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients With ER-positive, Her2-negative Early Breast Cancer (Active, not recruiting)
  - NCT Number: NCT01805271\(^11\)
    - Title: Safety Study of Adding Everolimus to Adjuvant Hormone Therapy in Women With High Risk of Relapse, ER+ and HER2-Primary Breast Cancer, Free of Disease After Receiving at Least One Year of Adjuvant Hormone Therapy
Criteria

- Previous Testing:
  - No repeat EndoPredict testing on the same sample when a result was successfully obtained, and
  - No previous gene expression assay (e.g. OncotypeDx Breast) performed on the same sample when a result was successfully obtained, AND

- Required Clinical Characteristics:
  - Primary invasive breast cancer meeting all of the following criteria:
    - Unilateral tumor
      - Tumor size >0.5cm (5mm) in greatest dimension (T1b-T3)
      - Hormone receptor positive (ER+ or PR+), and
      - HER2 negative, and
  - Patient has no regional lymph node metastasis (pN0) or only micrometastases (pN1mi, malignant cells in regional lymph node(s) not greater than 2.0mm), and
  - Adjuvant endocrine systemic chemotherapy is a planned treatment option for the patient or results from this EndoPredict test will be used in making adjuvant chemotherapy treatment decisions, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Other Considerations

- Testing Multiple Samples:
  - When more than one ipsilateral breast cancer primary is diagnosed, testing should be performed on the tumor with the most aggressive histologic characteristics. If an exception is requested, the following criteria will apply:
    - There should be reasonable evidence that the tumors are distinct (e.g., different quadrants, different histopathologic features, etc.), AND
    - There should be no evidence from either tumor that chemotherapy is indicated with or without knowledge of the EndoPredict test result (e.g., histopathologic features or previous EndoPredict result of one tumor suggest chemotherapy is indicated), AND
    - If both tumors are to be tested, both tumors must independently meet the required clinical characteristics
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## Expanded Carrier Screening Panels

### Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s)</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMD Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCKDHB Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTR Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTR Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTR Sequencing</td>
<td>81223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FANCC Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMR1 Expansion Analysis</td>
<td>81243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMR1 Methylation Analysis</td>
<td>81244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6PC Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJB2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJB6 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEXA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBA1/HBA2 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKBKAP Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCOLN1 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMPD1 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERPINA1 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi Jewish Genetic Disorders</td>
<td>81412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin Electrophoresis</td>
<td>83020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Carrier Screening Tests</td>
<td>81400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Carrier Screening Tests</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Carrier Screening Tests</td>
<td>81402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Are Expanded Carrier Screening Panels?

- Expanded carrier screening panels are designed to identify carrier status or predict risk for many genetic diseases (70 or more) in a single test. It is typically offered to patients planning a pregnancy or currently pregnant. The genetic diseases that are tested for range in severity from lethal in infancy to so mild an affected individual may never develop symptoms. Some conditions are quite common, especially in certain ethnic groups, while others are rare.
- A carrier has a single recessive gene mutation that does not cause symptoms for the person with the mutation.
  - Most commonly, both parents have to be carriers of the same genetic condition to have an affected child (autosomal recessive inheritance). In this case, each pregnancy has a 25% risk to be affected when both parents are carriers of mutations in the same gene.
  - Expanded carrier screening panels may include mutations for some X-linked conditions as well. In this case, a mother can be an unaffected carrier but is at risk to have a son with the genetic disease if she passes on that mutation. The father does not need to be a carrier to have an affected child in this situation.
- It is generally believed that all people carry several recessive gene mutations. An estimated 1 in 580 births has an autosomal recessive condition and 1 in 2000 have an X-linked condition.\(^1\)
- Carrier screening is most commonly done for reproductive planning, to identify couples at risk for having a child with a recessive inherited disorder. Carrier screening for a specific disorder may be indicated when there is a positive family history, when a reproductive partner is a carrier of or affected with a recessive disorder, or when there is a known increased risk based on ethnicity or other factors.

Test Information

- Several expanded carrier screening panels are available. Each test has a unique set of diseases included in novel and proprietary genetic testing platforms. The
number of mutations tested varies considerably by condition, ranging from a single mutation for rare conditions to over 100 mutations for cystic fibrosis.

- Complete testing information, including a list of all conditions screened, can be found at the laboratory websites. Examples of expanded carrier screening panels include (not intended to be a complete list):
  - Carrier Status DNA Insight (Pathway Genomics)
  - Counsyl Universal Carrier Screening
  - Good Start
  - Inherigen (GenPath)
  - InheriTest Carrier Screen (Integrated Genetics)
  - Natera One
  - Pan-Ethnic Carrier Screening (Progenity)

Guidelines and Evidence

- No evidence-based guidelines have addressed simultaneous carrier screening for a large number of disorders.
- The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG; 2013) published a position statement on prenatal/preconception carrier screening. This statement did not provide evidence-based guidance for specific tests or conditions. Rather, it provides general considerations for disease inclusion, clinical relevance, laboratory performance, reporting, and genetic counseling.
- Current guidelines from the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) and/or the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) only address 12 of the genetic conditions included in available expanded carrier screening panels:
  - Ashkenazi Jewish genetic disorders:
    - Bloom syndrome
    - Canavan disease
    - Cystic fibrosis
    - Familial dysautonomia
    - Fanconi anemia type C
    - Gaucher disease
    - Mucolipidosis IV
    - Niemann-Pick disease type A
    - Tay-Sachs disease
  - Beta-thalassemia
  - Cystic fibrosis
  - Sickle cell disease
  - Spinal muscular atrophy

- Although large panels may be less expensive than doing each carrier screening test individually, most of the included tests are not indicated for each person being tested. Issues with expanded carrier screening include:
  - Many included tests have not been recommended for population-based carrier screening and should therefore only be performed when...
there is a specific known increased risk, such as a family history of the condition.

- Some conditions included in expanded carrier screens are exceedingly rare except in certain ethnicities.
- Mutation analysis may not be the preferred initial screening test for some conditions. For example, a CBC with RBC indices is the initial screening test for beta-thalassemia followed by hemoglobin analysis for individuals with microcytic anemia. Measuring hexosaminidase A activity may be preferable to mutation analysis for Tay-Sachs carrier screening, especially in non-Jewish populations.
- Some expanded carrier screens include testing for conditions that are relatively mild, treatable, or have onset in adulthood.
- Depending on ethnicity, currently expanded carrier screening panels are expected to identify up to 40% of people tested as carriers of a recessive gene mutations. Therefore, if this screening is routinely offered, many patients will require counseling for a positive result, and partner testing must be offered. The most complete partner testing is often by full gene sequencing. Availability of partner testing, cost, turnaround time, and the possibility of identifying a variant of unknown significance by sequencing make this a complex clinical scenario to manage in the routine reproductive setting.

**Criteria**

Individual gene tests included in expanded carrier screening panels that will be separately billed should be evaluated based on the medical necessity criteria for each gene test. Any gene tests that are separately billed and do NOT meet medical necessity criteria are not a reimbursable service. It will be at the laboratory, provider, and patient’s discretion to determine if a multi-gene panel remains the preferred testing option, recognizing that only a portion of the panel may be reimbursed by insurance.

See Table 1 below for policy guidance around the most commonly performed carrier screening tests. This table includes the test types addressed by population-based carrier screening guidelines.

When the test is not addressed in Table 1, refer to the general policy: Genetic Testing for Carrier Status. For these additional tests to be medically necessary, there will generally need to be a specific known increased risk for that condition such as a known family history or a reproductive partner who is known to be a carrier of or affected with the condition.
Table 1: Coverage Guidance for Genes Included in Expanded Carrier Screening Multi-Gene Panels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>CPT Code</th>
<th>Required Claim Code</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pan-Ethnic Conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>CFTR</td>
<td>81220</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81222</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81223</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal muscular atrophy</td>
<td>SMN1/SM N2</td>
<td>81401</td>
<td>SMN1SM N2</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragile X syndrome</td>
<td>FMR1</td>
<td>81243</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81244</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi Jewish genetic disorders *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Panel policy applies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloom syndrome</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>81209</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canavan disease</td>
<td>ASPA</td>
<td>81200</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydrilipoamide dehydrogenase deficiency</td>
<td>DLD</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>DLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial dysautonomia</td>
<td>IKBKAP</td>
<td>81260</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial hyperinsulinism</td>
<td>ABCC8</td>
<td>81401</td>
<td>ABCC8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanconi anemia, type C</td>
<td>FANCC</td>
<td>81242</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaucher disease, type 1</td>
<td>GBA</td>
<td>81251</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycogen storage disease, type 1A</td>
<td>G6PC</td>
<td>81250</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joubert syndrome, type 2</td>
<td>TMEM216</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>TMEM216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple syrup disease, type 1B</td>
<td>BCKDHB</td>
<td>81205</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucolipidosis, type IV</td>
<td>MCOLN1</td>
<td>81290</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemaline myopathy, type 2</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td>81400</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niemann-Pick disease, type A</td>
<td>SMPD1</td>
<td>81330</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tay-Sachs disease</td>
<td>HEXA</td>
<td>81255</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usher syndrome, type 1F</td>
<td>PCDH15</td>
<td>81400</td>
<td>PCDH15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usher syndrome, type 3</td>
<td>CLRN1</td>
<td>81400</td>
<td>CLRN1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobinopathy screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobinopathies</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>83020</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Cover without review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle cell anemia,</td>
<td>HBB</td>
<td>81401</td>
<td>HBB</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Expanded Carrier Screening Panels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81403</td>
<td>HBB</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81404</td>
<td>HBB</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Thalassemia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81257</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alpha thalassemia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Code</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81404</td>
<td>HBA1/HBA2</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81405</td>
<td>HBA1/HBA2</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The single Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening policy should be sufficient to assess the appropriateness of all tests in this category in most circumstances. The available individual gene test policies are provided should additional information be useful.
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What Is Prothrombin Thrombophilia?

- Prothrombin thrombophilia is a genetic disorder that increases one’s risk for developing abnormal blood clots (venous thromboembolism or VTE).¹
- Prothrombin thrombophilia is caused by a genetic change, or mutation, in the F2 gene called G20210A.¹⁻³
  - The F2 gene produces a protein that helps to initiate the formation of blood clots.¹
  - The prothrombin mutation shifts the F2 gene into overdrive, increasing one’s risk of VTE.¹
  - The prothrombin mutation is one of several mutations linked to an increase risk for blood clotting.²⁻³
- The formation of abnormal blood clots can lead to conditions like deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism.¹⁻²
- Prothrombin thrombophilia is also linked to an increased risk of miscarriage or other pregnancy complications like preeclampsia, slow fetal growth, and placental abruption.¹⁻²
- About 2% of Caucasians have at least one prothrombin mutation.¹⁻²
  - Inheriting one prothrombin mutation increases one’s risk for developing VTE threefold.¹
  - Inheriting two prothrombin mutations increases one’s risk twentyfold.¹
  - Inheriting a prothrombin mutation with other genetic risk factors such as Factor V Leiden also significantly increases the risk for developing VTE.¹
- Definitive diagnosis of prothrombin thrombophilia relies on both clinical and genetic testing.²⁻³

Test Information

- **Factor II mutation analysis** looks for the G20210A mutation, and determines how many copies of that mutation are present.²⁻³ Understanding the number of prothrombin mutations in a suspected case is essential for proper diagnosis, management, and screening. The detection rate for prothrombin mutation analysis is virtually 100%.²⁻⁴
- Individuals with the prothrombin mutation often have mildly elevated prothrombin levels. These levels can be measured directly in suspected cases of prothrombin
However, levels vary among individuals and even overlap significantly with the normal range. Prothrombin levels are therefore not reliable for the diagnosis of prothrombin thrombophilia, and mutation analysis remains the best choice for definitive diagnosis.

Guidelines and Evidence

- Consensus guidelines from the College of American Pathologists (CAP, 2002) related to diagnostic issues in thrombophilia have been issued. These guidelines were obtained by evaluating the literature since 1996 and were accepted if 70% consensus were reached. The guidelines are summarized below:
  
  o Prothrombin G20210A testing should be performed in the following individuals:
    - A first VTE before age 50 years
    - A first unprovoked VTE at any age
    - A history of recurrent VTE
    - Venous thrombosis at unusual sites such as the cerebral, mesenteric, portal, or hepatic veins
    - VTE during pregnancy or the puerperium
    - VTE associated with the use of oral contraceptives or hormone replacement therapy (HRT)
    - A first VTE at any age in an individual with a first-degree family member with a VTE before age 50 years
    - Women with unexplained fetal loss after the first trimester
  
  o Prothrombin G20210A testing may be considered in the following individuals/circumstances, but is more controversial:
    - Selected women with unexplained early-onset severe preeclampsia, placental abruption, or significant intrauterine growth retardation
    - A first VTE related to tamoxifen or other selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERM)
    - Female smokers under age 50 years with a myocardial infarction
    - Individuals older than age 50 years with a first provoked VTE in the absence of malignancy or an intravascular device
    - Asymptomatic adult family members of people with one or two known prothrombin G20210A alleles, especially those with a strong family history of VTE at a young age
    - Asymptomatic female family members of people with known prothrombin thrombophilia who are pregnant or considering oral contraception or pregnancy
  
  o Prothrombin G20210A testing is not recommended for the following:
    - General population screening
    - Routine initial testing during pregnancy
    - Routine initial testing prior to the use of oral contraceptives, HRT, or SERMs
- Prenatal or newborn testing
- Routine testing in asymptomatic children
- Routine initial testing in adults with arterial thrombosis

- A consensus statement from the **American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2001)** on factor V Leiden mutation analysis also provided guidance about prothrombin testing. These older guidelines generally agree with the CAP guidelines of 2002.⁴

- An **Agency for Health Care Research and Quality supported systematic review (AHRQ, 2009)** found that, while mutation analysis is effective at identifying prothrombin mutations, "the incremental value of testing individuals with VTE for these mutations is uncertain. The literature does not conclusively show that testing individuals with VTE or their family members for FVL or prothrombin G20210A confers other harms or benefits. If testing is done in conjunction with education, it may increase knowledge about risk factors for VTE."⁵

- **Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention Working Group (EGAPP, 2011)** found sufficient evidence to recommend against Prothrombin mutation analysis in the following scenarios: 1. Adult with idiopathic VTE, 2. Asymptomatic adult family members of patient with VTE and a Prothrombin gene mutation for the purpose of considering primary prophylactic anticoagulation.

**Criteria**

Consideration for Factor II (prothrombin) G20210A genetic testing for thrombophilia is determined according to guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics, the College of American Pathology, the National Society of Genetic Counselors, and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.³,⁶-⁹

Testing is indicated in individuals who meet ANY of the following criteria:

- Provoked venous thromboembolism (VTE) at a young age (<50 years); or
- Recurrent VTE; or
- Unusual VTE site, such as those involving the hepatic, portal, mesenteric, or cerebral veins; or
- VTE associated with pregnancy or oral contraceptive use; or
- VTE associated with hormone replacement therapy, selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), or tamoxifen; or
- Personal and close family history of VTE; or
- Unprovoked VTE at any age; or
- Family history of venous thrombosis at a young age (<50 years); or
- Women experiencing recurrent pregnancy loss; or
- Women with a history of other unexplained poor pregnancy outcomes, including severe preeclampsia, placental abruption, fetal growth retardation, and stillbirth; or
• Family history of prothrombin gene mutation, particularly when results may impact oral contraceptive use or pregnancy management; or
• Myocardial infarction before age 50, particularly in female smokers
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Factor V Leiden Testing for Thrombophilia

What Is Factor V Leiden Thrombophilia?

- About 1 in 1000 people in the U.S. experiences a first venous thromboembolism (VTE) each year, and about one-third of symptomatic patients will develop pulmonary embolism (PE).\(^1\) VTE is a multifactorial condition, usually arising from a combination of genetic, acquired and circumstantial events and risk factors.
- A variant in the factor V gene (F5), called factor V Leiden (FVL), is the most common genetic risk factor for thrombophilia (hypercoagulability) among Caucasians.
  - F5 plays a critical role in forming blood clots.\(^2\)
  - A molecule called activated protein C (APC) keeps the size of clots in check by turning off F5 when clots have formed completely.\(^2\)
  - The FVL variant prevents APC from inactivating F5, increasing the chance of developing abnormal blood clots.\(^2\)
  - The FVL variant is one of several changes in the F5 gene that are reportedly linked to an increase risk of blood clotting.\(^3\)
- The risk for FVL-related thrombosis depends on whether one or two FVL variants are present and additional risk factors, such as prothrombin gene variants.
  - A single FVL variant increases the risk for initial VTE up to 3-8 fold. Two FVL variants increases the risk more dramatically at 18-80 fold.\(^3,4\) While the risk of subsequent VTE is significantly increased in anyone with a history of VTE, the risk for recurrent VTE attributable to a FVL variant after a first event is much more modest with a pooled odds ratio of 1.56 for single variant and 2.65 for two variants.\(^4\)
  - The increased risk for pregnancy-related VTE is estimated at 8 fold with a single FVL variant and 20-40 fold with two variants.\(^3\)
  - The risk for oral contraceptive-related VTE is estimated at 16 fold with a single FVL variant and over 100 fold with two variants.\(^3\)
  - FVL mutations have also appeared to have a small but significant association with some poor pregnancy outcomes in retrospective studies. However, more recent prospective data does not support an increased incidence of pregnancy loss among those with an FVL variant.\(^5\) There has been conflicting evidence about the association of these variants with other pregnancy complications, such as severe preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction, and placental abruption.\(^3,5\)
Inheriting an FVL variant with other genetic risk factors also significantly increases the risk for developing VTE. For example, inheriting both a single FVL variant and a single prothrombin variant appears to increase the risk for VTE 20 fold.3

- The frequency of FVL varies by ethnicity with about 5% of Caucasians, 2% of Hispanics, and 1% of African Americans in the US having one FVL variant.4 About 1 in 1500 Caucasian people have two variants.4

Test Information

- Factor V Leiden genotyping looks specifically for the Leiden variant (1691G>A; R506Q) in the F5 gene. The detection rate for genotyping is virtually 100%.3 Genotyping can determine how many Leiden variants a person has and therefore can provide information about relative risk of clotting. Understanding the number of Leiden variants in a suspected case is essential for proper diagnosis and management.

- In addition to favor V Leiden genotyping, the modified APC resistance assay is available to detect factor V Leiden thrombophilia. This assay makes use of the fact that the Leiden variant creates a protein that resists inactivation by activated protein C (APC). The APC resistance assay is effective, but does not determine how many copies of the Leiden variant are present. Therefore, if positive, factor V Leiden genotyping is recommended to confirm the findings and quantify the number of variants present.3

- Proposed uses for a positive test result include:
  - Treatment decisions for preventing recurrent VTE in an affected person
  - Primary prevention of VTE in at-risk relatives
  - Decisions about use of oral contraceptives, hormone replacement therapy, or other estrogen-containing therapies
  - Management decisions for preventing VTE or other possibly associated complications in pregnancy

Guidelines and Evidence

- Early consensus statements from the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2001)6 and the College of American Pathologists (CAP, 2002)7 recommended factor V Leiden (FVL) variant testing in the populations most likely to have a mutation. These included:
  - VTE at a young age (<50 years)
  - Recurrent VTE
  - Unusual VTE site, such as those involving the hepatic, portal, mesenteric, or cerebral veins
  - VTE associated with pregnancy or oral contraceptive use
  - VTE associated with hormone replacement therapy, selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), or tamoxifen
  - Personal and close family history of VTE
  - Unprovoked VTE at any age
• Family history of VTE at a young age (<50 years)

- An **Agency for Health Care Research and Quality (AHRQ, 2009)** supported systematic review found that, while variant analysis is effective at identifying FVL variants, "the incremental value of testing individuals with VTE for these mutations is uncertain. The literature does not conclusively show that testing individuals with VTE or their family members for FVL or prothrombin G20210A confers other harms or benefits. If testing is done in conjunction with education, it may increase knowledge about risk factors for VTE."8

- The **Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP, 2011)**, an initiative of the CDC Office of Public Health Genomics, evaluated the clinical utility evidence for two limited scenarios: 1) anticoagulation duration to prevent recurrence in people with idiopathic VTE and 2) primary VTE prevention in their at-risk relatives. They specifically exclude individuals with other risk factors for VTE, such as estrogen-containing therapy use. EGAPP makes the following recommendations:4

  o “[EGAPP] found adequate evidence to recommend against routine testing for Factor V Leiden (FVL) and/or prothrombin 20210G>A (PT) in the following circumstances: (1) adults with idiopathic venous thromboembolism (VTE). In such cases, longer term secondary prophylaxis to avoid recurrence offers similar benefits to patients with and without one or more of these mutations. (2) Asymptomatic adult family members of patients with VTE and an FVL or PT mutation, for the purpose of considering primary prophylactic anticoagulation. Potential benefits are unlikely to exceed potential harms.”

  o Because anticoagulation is associated with significant risks and these mutations are associated with relatively low absolute VTE risk, the potential harms of overtreatment in these scenarios appears to outweigh the benefits of testing. However, test results may be used for other treatment decisions, such as anticoagulation in high-risk situations (e.g., surgery, pregnancy, long-distance travel), avoidance of estrogen-containing therapies, or the use of low-risk preventive measures (e.g., compression hose, activity counseling, smoking cessation). The authors noted that the evidence was insufficient to determine if testing might have utility in some situations, such as for influencing patient behavior or identifying those with homozygous mutations or combined thrombophilias. Therefore, these findings have limited application to the broader decision about who should be tested.

- Several other organizations have issued guidelines that help inform a decision about clinical utility by defining the change, or lack of change, in management of patients with known FVL thrombophilia in specific clinical circumstances.

  o VTE management:

    - The **American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP, 2008)** recommends the same management for **unprovoked VTE or VTE associated with a transient (reversible) risk factor** (such as estrogen-containing therapies) irrespective of FVL results.9 These guidelines add “The presence of hereditary thrombophilia has not
been used as a major factor to guide duration of anticoagulation for VTE in these guidelines because evidence from prospective studies suggests that these factors are not major determinants of the risk of recurrence.⁹⁻¹²

- Also note that the above referenced EGAPP (2011) study specifically addresses this test use and finds “There is no evidence that knowledge of FVL/PT mutation status in patients with VTE affects anticoagulation treatment to avoid recurrence.” “There is convincing evidence that anticoagulation beyond 3 months reduces recurrence of VTE, regardless of mutation status.”⁴

  - Pregnancy management:
    - The American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP, 2008) recommends the same management for VTE in a current pregnancy or for those with a prior VTE history during or outside of pregnancy irrespective of FVL results. However, if a higher risk thrombophilia is present, such as two Leiden variants or a combination of a Leiden and prothrombin variant, ACCP recommends some form of treatment and not simply surveillance.¹⁰
    - Thrombophilia in pregnancy guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2013) state:
      - Testing is controversial and is “is useful only when results will affect management decisions, and is not useful in situations where treatment is indicated for other risk factors.” However, they add that screening “may be considered” for those with “A personal history of venous thromboembolism that was associated with a nonrecurrent risk factor (eg, fractures, surgery, and prolonged immobilization). The recurrence risk among untreated pregnant women with such a history and a thrombophilia was 16% (odds ratio, 6.5; 95% confidence interval, 0.8–56.3).” ⁵
      - They add “Testing for inherited thrombophilias in women who have experienced recurrent fetal loss or placental abruption is not recommended because it is unclear if anticoagulation therapy reduces recurrence. Although there may be an association in these cases, there is insufficient clinical evidence that antepartum prophylaxis with unfractionated heparin or low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) prevents recurrence in these patients” ⁶

  - Estrogen-containing therapy decisions:
    - American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2006) contraceptive use guidelines state "Combination contraceptives are not recommended for women with a documented history of unexplained venous thromboembolism or venous thromboembolism associated with pregnancy or exogenous estrogen use, unless they are taking anticoagulants.”¹¹ Therefore,
estrogen-containing drugs are contraindicated based on a history of VTE alone irrespective of FVL results.

- **American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE, 2011)** menopause guidelines says only the following about menopausal hormone therapy (MHT): “Estrogen therapy has been associated with an increased risk of venous thromboembolic disease within 1 to 2 years after initiation of therapy. The increased relative risk (RR) is high, but the increased absolute risk is quite small...The incidence was greater with increasing age, obesity, and factor V Leiden mutations (45 [EL 1; RCT]). Women with a history of venous thromboembolic disease should be carefully advised about this risk when MHT is being considered.”

  o Family history of a Leiden variant:
    - The above referenced EGAPP (2011) statement specifically addresses this test use for VTE prophylaxis and found “There is no evidence that knowledge of FVL/PT mutation status among asymptomatic family members of patients with VTE leads to anticoagulation aimed at avoiding initial episodes of VTE.”
    - **American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2010)** states that testing is controversial and should only be done when the results will change management. However, they add that screening “may be considered” for those with “A first-degree relative (eg, parent or sibling) with a history of high-risk thrombophilia.”
    - Generally, estrogen-containing drugs must be approached with caution in anyone with a significant family history of VTE or known FVL and/or PT mutations, but no US evidence-based guidelines were identified that addressed testing in this scenario. Guidelines from the **British Society for Haematology (BSH, 2010)** most directly address FVL and PT testing in at-risk relatives for the purposes of deciding about estrogen-containing therapies. They recommend considering “alternative contraceptive or transdermal HRT [hormone replacement therapy]” when a first-degree relative: “has not been tested or is negative... Testing for heritable thrombophilia will provide an uncertain estimate of risk and is not recommended (1C),” or “has been tested and the result is positive... Offer alternative contraception, counsel that negative result would not exclude increased risk. However, testing may assist in counseling of selected women particularly if a high risk thrombophilia has been identified in the symptomatic relative (C).”

- The evidence supporting an association between FVL variants and thrombosis is adequate (clinical validity). However, there are no clinical situations in which FVL testing is either mandatory or specifically recommended in guidelines due to generally insufficient clinical utility data. Factor V Leiden genotyping may have some utility in limited circumstances where there is a recognized increased risk to have at least one mutation based on established risk factors, where the results
Factor V Leiden will be used to direct management beyond the current VTE, and particularly when individuals are found to have a combination of more than one factor V Leiden mutation or additional genetic thrombophilias (despite the absence of reliable indicators). If testing is performed, there should be a specific plan for how the results will impact management.

**Criteria**

- **Genetic Counseling**  
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- **Previous Genetic Testing:**  
  - No previous genetic testing for Factor V Leiden mutation, AND

- **Individual has at least one of the following risk factors suggesting a higher likelihood of having one or more factor V Leiden variants:**  
  - Unprovoked/idiopathic venous thromboembolism at any age, or  
  - History of recurrent venous thromboembolism, or  
  - Venous thrombosis at an unusual site (e.g., cerebral, mesenteric, hepatic, and portal veins), or  
  - Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy or the puerperium, or  
  - Venous thromboembolism associated with the use of estrogen-containing therapies (e.g., oral contraceptives or hormone replacement therapy), or  
  - A personal history of any venous thromboembolism combined with a first-degree family member with venous thromboembolism before the age of 50 years, or  
  - Known factor V Leiden variant(s) identified in at least one 1st degree relative (parent, sibling, child). (Note: 2nd or 3rd degree relatives may be considered when 1st degree relatives are unavailable or unwilling to be tested), AND

- **Test results will be used for guiding management decisions beyond simply therapy of a current first venous thrombosis event or related future prophylaxis decisions, AND**

- **Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.**

**The following factor V Leiden genotyping test applications are specifically considered non-covered indications:**

- Testing without clear evidence of an increased likelihood of having at least one factor V Leiden variant. This includes but is not limited to:  
  - Testing performed as part of expanded cardiovascular disease screening  
  - Testing based on the presence of conditions with unclear evidence including stroke, myocardial infarction, pregnancy loss, and pregnancy complications

**References**

What Is Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP)?

- FAP is an inherited colorectal cancer syndrome that accounts for up to 1 in 200 colorectal cancers.¹
- FAP is clinically diagnosed when a person has 100 or more colorectal adenomatous polyps or fewer than 100 polyps and a family member with FAP. Polyposis typically begins before age 40. Virtually all people with classic FAP will develop colorectal cancer without intervention. Other clinical manifestations include:¹
  - Modestly increased risk for other malignancies including cancers of the thyroid, small bowel, stomach, liver (hepatoblastoma, typically seen in children under 5), pancreas, brain (medulloblastoma), and bile duct.
  - Additional gastrointestinal manifestations including duodenal adenomas and gastric polyps.
  - Non-gastrointestinal manifestations including osteomas (often of the mandible or skull), dental abnormalities (supernumerary teeth, odontomas), desmoid tumors, soft tissue tumors (epidermoid cysts, fibromas), adrenal masses (adenomas), and congenital hypertrophy of retinal epithelium (CHRPE).¹ Isolated CHRPE may be found in the general population, but multiple or bilateral CHRPE in an at-risk family member may be suspicious for FAP.
  - FAP with osteomas or soft tissue tumors suggests the Gardner syndrome variant. FAP with medulloblastoma suggests the Turcot syndrome variant.
- Attenuated FAP (AFAP) is a milder form characterized by the presence of 10-99 polyps. Colon cancer generally presents at a later age than classic FAP. Individuals with 100 or more polyps occurring at later ages (35 to 40 years or older) may be found to have AFAP. A personal history of colorectal cancer before age 60 (without polyposis) and a family history of multiple adenomatous polyps may also be seen with AFAP. Currently, there is no consensus regarding precise diagnostic criteria for AFAP.¹²
Almost all cases of FAP and some cases of AFAP are due to mutations in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene, a tumor suppressor gene. Most people inherit an APC mutation from an affected parent, but up to 1 in 4 people with FAP have a new mutation with no known affected family members. Parents of someone with FAP may also be unaffected due to germline mosaicism (a mix of normal and mutated copies of the APC gene are confined to the parent's eggs or sperm).

Management and prevention strategies for those affected with or at-risk for FAP/AFAP include annual flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy screening beginning at 10-15 years for FAP and every 2-3 years beginning in the late teens for AFAP. Prophylactic colectomy is generally recommended when sufficient polyps emerge.

Test Information

- APC sequence analysis is used to identify disease-causing mutations in those clinically diagnosed with FAP/AFAP.\textsuperscript{3-6} Testing may be considered for close relatives of someone with FAP when an affected relative is unavailable for testing.\textsuperscript{5}
  - Sequence analysis detects a mutation in up to 90\% of individuals clinically diagnosed with FAP.\textsuperscript{1} The mutation detection rate is lower for those with AFAP than classic FAP.\textsuperscript{2}
- APC deletion/duplication testing is typically performed in reflex to negative analysis. Deletion/duplication testing detects an additional 8-12\% of mutations in those with clinical suspicion of FAP.\textsuperscript{1}
- Molecular genetic testing of MUTYH should be considered next if no APC mutation is found.\textsuperscript{1}
  - “Another strategy is to perform concurrent genetic testing of two or more genes known to be associated with colon cancer predisposition”.\textsuperscript{1}
    - “Concurrent molecular genetic testing for both APC and MUTYH may be considered. These two genes may also be represented together on a multi-gene panel.”
    - “Multi-gene panels can be used for the simultaneous analysis of some or all of the genes known to be associated with intestinal polyposis conditions. These panels vary by methods used and genes included.”
- Once a disease-causing mutation has been identified, at-risk family members can be tested for that known familial mutation. This may be called single site mutation analysis. Those proven not to have inherited a known family mutation through genetic testing can avoid the additional screening required for those at-risk for FAP.\textsuperscript{1}
- A common variant in the APC gene, called I1307K, may mildly increase the risk for colorectal cancer, but \textbf{does not cause FAP}. Testing for this variant is not widely accepted.
Guidelines and Evidence

- Consensus guidelines from the American Gastroenterological Association (AGA, 2001) recommend:³
  - APC gene testing in individuals age 10 or older to confirm the diagnosis of FAP or AFAP, or to provide presymptomatic screening in individuals age 10 or older with a first-degree relative with FAP or AFAP.
  - First testing an affected family member to establish if a detectable mutation is present in the family.

- Evidence- and consensus-based guidelines from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) state:⁵
  - "APC genetic testing is recommended in a proband to confirm a diagnosis of FAP and allow for mutation specific testing in family members. Additionally knowing the location of the mutation in the APC gene can be helpful for predicting severity of polyposis, rectal involvement and desmoid tumors."
  - When the family mutation is known, APC gene testing is recommended for at-risk family members (defined as first-degree relatives or more distant relatives if closer relatives are unavailable or unwilling to be tested).
  - When the family mutation is not known, APC gene testing may be considered for first-degree relatives when an affected family member is not available or not willing to test first.
  - These recommendations are Category 2A, defined as "lower-level evidence with uniform NCCN consensus."

- Evidence-based guidelines from the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG, 2009) recommend:⁶
  - "patients with classic FAP (>100 adenomas) should be advised to pursue genetic counseling and genetic testing, if they have siblings or children who could potentially benefit from this testing." [Grade 2B: "weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence"]

- The American College of Gastroenterology (ACG, 2015) clinical guidelines state that "Individuals who have a personal history of >10 cumulative colorectal adenomas, a family history of one of the adenomatous polyposis syndromes, or a history of adenomas and FAP-type extracolonic manifestations (duodenal/ampullary adenomas, desmoid tumors, papillary thyroid cancer, congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium, epidermal cysts, osteomas) should undergo assessment for the adenomatous polyposis syndrome"⁷

- Note that NCCN excluded I1307K variant testing from the guideline "because there is very little evidence to date indicating what kind of screening should be offered to individuals with this mutation."⁵

Criteria

APC Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
• Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous genetic APC mutation testing, AND

• Diagnostic or Predisposition Testing:
  o Family History:
    ▪ Known family mutation in APC identified in 1\textsuperscript{st} degree relative(s). (Note: 2\textsuperscript{nd} or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree relatives may be considered when 1\textsuperscript{st} degree relatives are unavailable or unwilling to be tested), AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**APC Sequencing**

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous APC mutation testing, and
  o No known familial mutation, AND

• Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Personal history:\textsuperscript{5,7}
    ▪ More than 10 cumulative adenomas (known or suspected diagnosis of FAP – 100 or more adenomas or AFAP – 10 to 100 adenomas), or
    ▪ A desmoid tumor, hepatoblastoma, cribriform-morular variant of papillary thyroid cancer, or multifocal/bilateral CHRPE, OR

• Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  o Family history:
    ▪ First degree relative of an individual with a diagnosis of FAP or AFAP. (Note: Whenever possible, an affected family member should be tested first), AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

**APC Duplication/Deletion Analysis**

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous large rearrangement testing, and
  o Previous APC sequencing performed and no mutations found, and
  o No known familial mutation, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy
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Familial Hypercholesterolemia
Genetic Testing

MOL.TS.169.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDLR Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOB Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCSK9 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR Deletion/Duplication</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOB Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOB Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCSK9 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Familial Hypercholesterolemia?

- Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is a genetic disorder characterized by very high levels of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol — usually >190 mg/dL in untreated adults. This leads to an increased risk for coronary heart disease (CHD), including heart attacks, at an early age.\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^3\)
  - Men with untreated FH have a 50% risk for heart disease by age 50.\(^3\),\(^4\)
  - Women with untreated FH have a 30% risk for heart disease by age 60.\(^3\),\(^4\)
- People with untreated FH have about a 20 fold increase for coronary heart disease.\(^3\)
- Early and aggressive LDL-lowering with high doses of potent statins or statin combination therapy significantly lowers CHD morbidity and mortality for people with FH.\(^5\),\(^6\) Because there is considerable overlap between the LDL levels of those with FH and common multifactorial hypercholesterolemia, FH often goes undiagnosed until middle age, when much of the preventive value of cholesterol-lowering therapy is lost.\(^7\)
- Less than 10% of people with FH are adequately treated.\(^8\)
- About 1 in 500 people worldwide have FH.\(^2\) The risk is much higher in some South African Afrikaner, French Canadian, Lebanese, and Finnish populations.\(^2\)
- Various criteria for identifying FH clinically have been developed and are described below:\(^4\)
  - MEDPED Criteria\(^4\)
Total Cholesterol (LDL), mg/dL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient’s age</th>
<th>Patient has 1st degree relative with FH</th>
<th>Patient has 2nd degree relative with FH</th>
<th>Patient has 3rd degree relative with FH</th>
<th>General Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>220 (155)</td>
<td>230 (165)</td>
<td>240 (170)</td>
<td>270 (200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>240 (170)</td>
<td>250 (180)</td>
<td>260 (185)</td>
<td>290 (220)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>270 (190)</td>
<td>280 (200)</td>
<td>290 (210)</td>
<td>340 (240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>290 (205)</td>
<td>300 (215)</td>
<td>310 (225)</td>
<td>360 (260)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Dutch Criteria**
  - Definitive FH: ≥8 points; Probable FH: 6-7 points; Possible FH: 3-5 points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>First-degree relative with premature cardiovascular disease or LDL &gt;95th percentile, or personal history of premature peripheral or cerebrovascular disease or LDL 155-189 mg/dL**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 points</td>
<td>First-degree relative with tendinous xanthoma or corneal arcus, or first-degree relative age &lt;18 with LDL &gt;95th percentile, or personal history of coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 points</td>
<td>LDL 190-249 mg/dL**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 points</td>
<td>Corneal arcus in patient age &lt;45 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 points</td>
<td>LDL 250-329 mg/dL**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 points</td>
<td>Tendon xanthoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 points</td>
<td>LDL &gt;330 mg/dL**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Please note that these are LDL level cut offs for untreated individuals

- **Simon Broome Criteria**
  - **Definitive FH**
    - Total cholesterol (LDL):290 (190) mg/dL in adults or 260 (155) mg/dL in pediatric patients and:
    - DNA mutation
  - **Probable FH**
    - Total cholesterol (LDL):290 (190) mg/dL in adults or 260 (155) mg/dL in pediatric patients and:
    - Tendon xanthoma in patient or in first-or second-degree relative
  - **Possible FH**
    - Total cholesterol (LDL):290 (190) mg/dL in adults or 260 (155) mg/dL in pediatric patients and:
    - Family history of myocardial infarction (MI) at age <50 in second-degree relative or at age <60 in first-degree relative or family history of total cholesterol >290 mg/dL in first- or second-degree relative
Genetics

- FH is an autosomal dominant condition, meaning that only one gene mutation is needed to cause the condition.
- Approximately 1 in 300 to 500 people have heterozygous FH, which means they have one copy of the gene mutation.
- A person with heterozygous FH has a 50% chance to pass the mutation to each child.
- Approximately one in 1 million people have homozygous FH, which means they have 2 copies of the gene mutation. This is much more severe than heterozygous FH. People with this type of FH typically have severe coronary heart disease by their mid-20s; the rate of death or the need for surgical treatment of heart problems by the teenage years is high.
- Most cases of FH are caused by mutations in one of three genes — LDLR, APOB, PCSK9. However, mutations in these genes only account for approximately 60%-80% of FH.
- There are likely other genes that are not known at the present time that make up the remaining 20%-40% of cases of FH. Therefore, a negative genetic test does not rule out a diagnosis of FH.
- Although not included in this policy, it is important to note that there is an autosomal recessive form of hypercholesterolemia which is caused by mutations in the LDLRAP1 gene.

Molecular Genetic Testing for FH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Proportion of FH Attributed to Mutations in Gene</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDLR</td>
<td>60%-80%</td>
<td>Sequence Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOB</td>
<td>1%-5%</td>
<td>Targeted Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sequencing Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCSK9</td>
<td>0%-3%</td>
<td>Targeted Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sequencing Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>20%-40%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Information

- A clinical diagnosis of FH is suspected based on some combination of personal and family history of very high cholesterol, premature CHD, and cholesterol deposits, such as tendon xanthomas and corneal arcus. At least three organizations have attempted to define clinical diagnostic criteria for FH, but all criteria have recognized limitations. The three different criteria are described above.
• Genetic testing for FH can confirm a diagnosis of FH, particularly in borderline clinical cases.\textsuperscript{8,10,11}

• Laboratories may offer evaluation of the LDLR, APOB, or PCSK9 genes individually, as panels, or with reflex options.
  
  o **LDLR:** Over 1000 mutations have been characterized so sequence analysis is required. Major gene deletions and rearrangements account for an estimated 9% of LDLR mutations and require specialized deletion testing to detect them.\textsuperscript{12}

  o **APOB:** FH-causing APOB mutations are primarily found in a limited region of the gene, with the R3500Q mutation being most common.\textsuperscript{12} Laboratory testing may be done by targeted mutation analysis for a limited number of APOB mutations or sequencing of the gene region where these mutations are generally found.\textsuperscript{3} According to GeneReviews, as of 2014 there have been no deletions or duplications reported in APOB that cause FH.\textsuperscript{3}

  o **PCSK9:** “Gain of function mutations in PCSK9 cause fewer than 5% of cases in most studies.”\textsuperscript{13} According to GeneReviews, as of 2014 there have been no deletions or duplications reported in PCSK9 that cause FH.\textsuperscript{3}

• Once a mutation is found in an affected person, single-site testing should be offered to at-risk family members to allow for appropriately early intervention.\textsuperscript{14,15}

**Guidelines and Evidence - Genetic Testing**

• Evidence-based guidelines by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence of UK (NICE, 2008 (reaffirmed 2014)) support genetic testing for FH as follows:\textsuperscript{15}
  
  o “A diagnosis of FH should be made using the Simon Broome criteria, which include a combination of family history, clinical signs (specifically tendon xanthomata), cholesterol concentration and DNA testing (see appendix E of the NICE guideline).”

  o "Healthcare professionals should offer people with a clinical diagnosis of FH a DNA test to increase the certainty of their diagnosis and to aid diagnosis among their relatives."

  o "Healthcare professionals should inform all people who have an identified mutation diagnostic of FH that they have an unequivocal diagnosis of FH even if their LDL-C concentration does not meet the diagnostic criteria (see appendix E)."

  o “In a family where a DNA mutation is identified, not all family members may have inherited the mutation. When DNA testing has excluded FH in a member of a family, healthcare professionals should manage the person’s coronary heart disease risk as in the general population.”

  o “In families in which a mutation has been identified, the mutation and not LDL-C concentration should be used to identify affected relatives. This should include at least the first- and second- and, when possible, third-degree biological relatives.”
In children at risk of FH because of one affected parent, the following diagnostic tests should be carried out by the age of 10 years or at the earliest opportunity thereafter.

- A DNA test if the family mutation is known.
- LDL-C concentration measurement if the family mutation is not known. When excluding a diagnosis of FH a further LDL-C measurement should be repeated after puberty because LDL-C concentrations change during puberty.

A review of new evidence began in April of 2015. As a result, an updated guideline has been created and is expected to be published in January 2017.

Consensus-based guidelines from The Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSANZ, 2013) state: "Although the clinical picture of FH will be clear-cut in many instances, the diagnostic criteria suggest that genetic testing can provide certainty of diagnosis in some cases where confounding factors such as borderline cholesterol levels, inconclusive family histories or tendon injuries have resulted in a diagnostic dilemma."

The National Lipid Association expert panel on Familial Hypercholesterolemia (2011) made the following recommendations regarding genetic testing:

- "Genetic screening for FH is generally not needed for diagnosis or clinical management but may be useful when the diagnosis is uncertain."
- "Identification of a causal mutation may provide additional motivation for some patients to implement appropriate treatment."
- "Importantly, a negative genetic test does not exclude FH, since approximately 20% of clinically definite FH patients will not be found to have a mutation despite an exhaustive search using current methods."

### Guidelines and Evidence - Drug Treatment

The US Food and Drug Administration approved the following PCSK9 inhibitors as treatment for FH. However, there have been no guidelines recommending that genetic testing should be performed for the sole purpose of treatment decisions (i.e. PCSK9 inhibitors) in the absence of a clinical suspicion of FH:

- "Praluent (alirocumab) injection in adult patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia or patients with clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease such as heart attacks or strokes, who require additional lowering of LDL cholesterol."
- "Repatha (evolocumab) injections for use in addition to diet and maximally-tolerated statin therapy in adult patients with heterozygous hypercholesterolemia, homozygous hypercholesterolemia, or clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, such as heart attacks or strokes, who require additional lowering of LDL cholesterol."
Criteria

**LDLR, APOB, PCSK9 Known Familial Mutation Testing**

- Clinical Consultation:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of LDLR, APOB, or PCSK9, and
  - LDLR, APOB, or PCSK9 mutation identified in 1st, 2nd or 3rd degree biological relative, AND
- Diagnostic Testing:
  - LDL cholesterol of >120 mg/dL in the absence of treatment

**LDLR Full Sequence and Deletion/Duplication Analysis**

- Clinical Consultation:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous LDLR sequencing or deletion/duplication testing, and
  - No known LDLR, APOB, or PCSK9 mutation in the family, AND
- Diagnostic Testing:
  - Member meets the MEDPED criteria or either the Dutch criteria or the Simon Broome criteria for possible or probable FH, and
  - Genetic testing is necessary because there is uncertainty in the clinical diagnosis

**APOB Targeted Mutation Analysis or Full Sequence Analysis**

- Criteria for LDLR sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis is met, AND
- No previous full sequence analysis of APOB, AND
- No mutations detected in full sequencing or deletion/duplication testing of LDLR or PCSK9 sequencing

**PCSK9 Full Sequence Analysis**

- Criteria for LDLR sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis is met, AND
- No previous genetic testing for PCSK9, AND
- No mutations detected in full sequencing or deletion/duplication analysis of LDLR or APOB sequencing
Exclusions:

Genetic testing for the sole purpose of treatment decisions (i.e. PCSK9 inhibitors) in the absence of a clinical suspicion supported by either the MEDPED, Dutch, or Simon Broome criteria is not a covered indication for genetic testing.
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What Is Familial Malignant Melanoma?

- The lifetime risk of melanoma for someone born in the U.S may reach 1 in 55. The incidence continues to rise dramatically.
- Most melanoma is sporadic. It usually is the result of a combination of genetic susceptibility (probably from several relatively low risk gene variants such as those involved with pigment) and environmental risk factors such as sun exposure.
- About 4-8% of people with melanoma have a family history of at least one first-degree relative (parent, child, sibling) with melanoma. Less than 1% to 2% have multiple affected relatives, which suggests a stronger genetic susceptibility.
- Familial malignant melanoma (FMM) is a strongly inherited form of melanoma. FMM is most likely in a family when there are three or more close relatives diagnosed with melanoma. Other factors that may also suggest FMM include:
  - Melanoma diagnosed younger than usual (average diagnosis age 30s versus 50s in people without FMM)
  - More than one melanoma primary in the same individual
  - Melanoma and pancreatic cancer in the same family
  - Multiple, atypical moles, called dysplastic nevi that are often larger than 5mm in diameter with irregular borders. Melanoma with multiple nevi has also been called familial atypical mole-malignant melanoma syndrome. However, the presence or absence of such moles is no longer viewed as a reliable predictor of FMM in a family.
- Several genes have been linked to a higher risk of melanoma in families. CDKN2A gene mutations account for most of the currently identifiable FMM mutations, followed by CDK4 mutations.
FMM is an autosomal dominant condition, meaning that only one gene mutation is needed to increase susceptibility to melanoma. A person with FMM has a 50% chance to pass the mutation to each child.

People who inherit an FMM mutation do not always develop melanoma. Data for CDKN2A mutations suggest that in Europe the melanoma risk is 5% by age 40 and 60% by age 80. The likelihood may vary with geographic location and sun exposure.

Familial melanoma is also associated with some other inherited cancer syndromes, like Li Fraumeni syndrome, inherited retinoblastoma, and xeroderma pigmentosum. Additionally, germline mutations in the BAP1 gene have been identified in families with cutaneous and ocular melanoma.

Test Information

- **CDKN2A Sequencing**: Identifies the majority of FMM-causing mutations, and is usually the first step in testing. The likelihood that genetic testing will identify an FMM mutation varies with the personal and family history. The chance of finding a CDKN2A mutation is:
  - 20-40% of people with melanoma from a family with at least 3 affected first-degree relatives.
  - Less than 5% of those with only 2 affected first-degree relatives
  - 15% in someone with multiple melanoma primaries and no known family history
  - 25-40% in people diagnosed with familial atypical mole-malignant melanoma syndrome — a subset of FMM characterized by >50 atypical nevi with characteristic microscopy features
  - 74% of families with FMM and pancreatic cancer

- **CDK4 Sequencing**: Sequencing, sometimes of only exon 2, is also available, but mutations are uncommon, accounting for only 2-3% of FMM cases.

- **CDKN2A Deletion/Duplication Analysis**: Tests for large deletions that cannot be identified by sequencing.

- **CDKN2A Known Familial Mutation Analysis**: When the family mutation is known, testing for only the family mutation can be performed in at-risk relatives. Test accuracy approaches 100%.

- **CDK4 Known Familial Mutation Analysis**: When the family mutation is known, testing for only the family mutation can be performed in at-risk relatives. Test accuracy approaches 100%.

Guidelines and Evidence

- No evidence-based U.S. guidelines were identified.
- FMM genetic testing outside of the research setting is not currently recommended for several reasons, including:
  - Currently available testing does not detect a mutation in a significant number of people who appear to have FMM. Therefore, a negative result
cannot rule out FMM and should not change the prevention and screening plan for at-risk people.²

- Individuals with FMM mutations need essentially the same prevention and screening as anyone at high risk for melanoma (family history, pigmentation, multiple moles, history of blistering sunburn).² Therefore, identifying an FMM-causing mutation is also not expected to change screening or treatment.⁵

- When a family FMM mutation has been found, other relatives who test negative for that mutation at best only return to the background risk for melanoma (which may be as high as 1 in 25) and still need regular skin screening.²

- A significant percentage of people with recognized FMM mutations do not develop melanoma, which is especially true when sun exposure is limited by geography or prevention.⁴

- The **Melanoma Genetics Consortium (GenoMEL)**, an international research collaborative group, published a consensus statement in 1999 stating, "DNA testing for mutations in known melanoma susceptibility genes should only rarely be performed outside of defined research programs. With this general proviso, two distinct clinical situations need further consideration: families in which a CDKN2A mutation has been identified in a proband as part of a research study and families for which no prior testing of affected individuals has been conducted."²

  - "Individuals who choose to undergo genetic testing [in a research setting] should have a second independent diagnostic (as distinct from research) DNA test performed in an accredited genetic testing laboratory."²

  - For at-risk relatives with a known familial mutation, test sensitivity is virtually 100%. However, the likelihood of developing melanoma in mutation-positive individuals is largely unknown and there is "lack of proved efficacy of prevention and surveillance strategies based on DNA testing, even for mutation carriers." They do acknowledge potential benefits could include enhanced motivation to adhere to prevention and screening guidelines, earlier melanoma diagnosis if the biopsy threshold is lower, and lower anxiety for those who learn they are negative for a known family mutation.²

- The **National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)** Melanoma Guideline (updated 2016) includes family history as a melanoma risk factor and alters management based on this risk. However, these guidelines do not address genetic testing for FMM.¹

### Criteria

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test
accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.

- In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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Fragile X Associated Tremor/Ataxia Syndrome Testing

MOL.TS.171.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FMR1 Expansion Analysis</td>
<td>81243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Fragile X-Associated Tremor/Ataxia Syndrome?

- Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by progressive cerebellar ataxia and/or intention tremor usually presenting after age 50 in individuals with a premutation allele in the gene for fragile X (FMR1).¹

- Fragile X syndrome, FXTAS, and other related disorders are caused by a type of genetic mutation called a triplet repeat. A triplet repeat is a sequence of three nucleotide building blocks (CGG) that is variably repeated within the FMR1 gene. The number of triplet repeats determines whether the gene is normal, intermediate, or has a premutation or full mutation.³,⁴ Premutation carriers — the group at risk for FXTAS — have 55 to 200 CGG repeats.¹

- Both male and female premutation carriers are at risk for FXTAS. Approximately 40% of males over the age of 50, with a premutation allele, will develop FXTAS. The risk to female premutation carriers appears to be lower.¹,²

- Other neurologic findings of FXTAS include:
  - Short term memory loss
  - Executive function deficits
  - Cognitive decline
  - Dementia
  - Parkinsonism
  - Peripheral neuropathy
  - Lower limb proximal weakness

- A diagnosis is confirmed by the presence of a FMR1 premutation and white matter lesions on MRI in the middle cerebellar peduncles and/or brain stem, with intention tremor and/or gait ataxia.¹

Test Information

- FMR1 CGG expansion analysis measures the number of CGG repeat copies within the FMR1 gene. Repeat number classifies results as normal, intermediate, premutation, or full mutation.²,³ The same analysis can be used for diagnostic, carrier, and prenatal testing.
Guidelines and Evidence

- Consensus guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2005) recommend FXTAS testing for the following people:
  - "Men and women who are experiencing late onset intention tremor and cerebellar ataxia of unknown origin, especially if they have (a) a family history of movement disorders, (b) a family history of fragile X syndrome, or (c) male or female relatives with undiagnosed mental retardation."[^3]

- Evidence-based guidelines from the European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS, 2010) state:
  - "Recommendations for FXTAS genetic testing: Genetic testing for the X-linked FXTAS is recommended when there is a clinical suspicion, and it is readily available in many laboratories (Class B)."[^4] [Class B rating = "(probably effective, ineffective, or harmful) requires at least one convincing class II study or overwhelming class III evidence"[^5]]

Criteria

Targeted Mutation Analysis for CGG Trinucleotide Repeat Expansion in FMR1

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Medical evaluation by a physician familiar with FXTAS, and
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous molecular genetic testing of FMR1, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Intellectual disability (ID), or
  - Males and females ≥50 years with progressive intention tremor and cerebellar ataxia of unknown origin, OR

- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies
  - CGG trinucleotide repeat expansion in FMR1 identified in biological mother*, OR

- Carrier Screening and Predictive Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic At Risk Individuals:
  - Known CGG trinucleotide repeat expansion in FMR1 in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative, or
  - Personal or family history of premature ovarian failure (cessation of menses before age of 40 years), or
  - Family history of movement disorder, and
    - Cerebellar ataxia has been ruled out, and
    - Other movement disorders have been ruled out, or
  - Family history of intellectual disability with an unknown cause, or
  - Prior cytogenetic test suspicious for Fragile X, and
  - Age 18 years or older
• Intellectual disability, AND
• Possibility of X-linked inheritance has not been ruled out by male to male transmission

* Note: CVS must be interpreted with caution. The number of CGG repeats in the fetus can be accurately determined; however, often the methylation status of FMR1 is not yet established in chorionic villi at the time of sampling. CVS results may lead to a situation in which follow-up amniocentesis is necessary to resolve an ambiguous result.
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Fragile X Syndrome Testing

MOL.TS.172.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FMR1 Expansion Analysis</td>
<td>81243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMR1 Methylation Analysis</td>
<td>81244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Fragile X Syndrome?

- Fragile X syndrome is the most common cause of inherited intellectual disability affecting approximately 1 in 4,000 males and 1 in 8,000 females.\textsuperscript{1,2} Because the mutation is on the X-chromosome, males tend to be more often and more severely affected than females.

- Symptoms vary widely and may include the following:\textsuperscript{1,2}
  - Intellectual disability
  - Autism
  - Large head
  - Long face
  - Prominent forehead and chin
  - Protruding ears
  - Loose joints
  - Large testes
  - Motor and language delays
  - Behavioral differences

- Fragile X syndrome is caused by a type of genetic mutation called a triplet repeat. A triplet repeat is a sequence of three nucleotide building blocks (CGG) that is variably repeated within the FMR1 gene. A full mutation (>200 repeats) usually causes the gene to be abnormally methylated, turning it off. The number of CGG repeat copies within the FMR1 gene can expand from one generation to the next, a property known as anticipation.\textsuperscript{2,3}

- Predictive (carrier) testing can be performed for at-risk relatives when there is a family history of fragile X, intellectual disability of unknown etiology, or some other characteristic conditions.\textsuperscript{3}

- A woman carrying a premutation or full mutation is at risk to have a child affected with fragile X. The actual risk depends on the number of repeats in her FMR1 gene.\textsuperscript{1} Prenatal testing is available for pregnancies at-risk.

Test Information

- FMR1 CGG expansion analysis measures the number of CGG repeat copies within the FMR1 gene. Repeat number classifies results as normal, intermediate,
premutation, or full mutation.\textsuperscript{2,3} The same analysis can be used for diagnostic, carrier, and prenatal testing.

- FMR1 CGG methylation analysis is typically assessed in those with a full mutation.\textsuperscript{1} A full mutation (>200 repeats) usually causes the gene to be abnormally methylated, turning it off. Measuring the number of repeats is equally accurate on fetal samples from amniocentesis and CVS. However, methylation status is not established early in pregnancy when CVS is usually performed. Follow-up amniocentesis may be needed to resolve unclear CVS results.\textsuperscript{1,4}

Guidelines and Evidence

- Consensus guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP, 2011) that address health supervision of fragile X syndrome:
  - "Because children with fragile X syndrome may not have apparent physical features, any child who presents with developmental delay, borderline intellectual abilities, or mental retardation or has a diagnosis of autism without a specific etiology should undergo molecular testing for fragile X syndrome to determine the number of CGG repeats (Fig 1)...Fragile X testing should also be considered in patients in whom there is suspected, but not molecularly proven, Sotos syndrome or Prader-Willi syndrome. On the other hand, fragile X testing, is not routinely warranted for children with isolated attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder."

- Practice guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2005) recommend diagnostic testing for fragile X syndrome for "Individuals of either sex with mental retardation, developmental delay, or autism, especially if they have (a) any physical or behavioral characteristics of fragile X syndrome, (b) a family history of fragile X syndrome, or (c) male or female relatives with undiagnosed mental retardation."\textsuperscript{2}

- Practice guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2005)\textsuperscript{2} and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2010)\textsuperscript{4} support carrier screening for fragile X syndrome:
  - ACMG: "Individuals seeking reproductive counseling who have (a) a family history of fragile X syndrome or (b) a family history of undiagnosed mental retardation."
  - ACOG: "Women with a family history of fragile X-related disorders, unexplained mental retardation or developmental delay, autism, or premature ovarian insufficiency are candidates for genetic counseling and fragile X premutation carrier screening."

- Practice guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2005)\textsuperscript{2} and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2010)\textsuperscript{4} support prenatal screening for fragile X syndrome:
  - ACMG states that fragile X testing is appropriate in "Fetuses of known carrier mothers."
  - ACOG: "Prenatal testing for fragile X syndrome by amniocentesis or CVS should be offered to known carriers of the fragile X premutation or full mutation. Although amniocentesis and CVS are reliable for determining..."
the number of triplet repeats, CVS may not adequately determine the methylation status of the FMR1 gene."

Criteria

**Targeted Mutation Analysis for CGG Trinucleotide Repeat Expansion in FMR1**

- **Genetic Counseling:**
  - Medical evaluation by a physician familiar with Fragile X, and
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- **Previous Genetic Testing:**
  - No previous molecular genetic testing of FMR1, AND

- **Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:**
  - Males and females with speech and/or language delay, motor development delay, intellectual disability (ID), or autism, or
  - Female with premature ovarian failure (cessation of menses before age of 40 years), or
  - Males and females ≥50 years with progressive intention tremor and cerebellar ataxia of unknown origin, OR

- **Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:**
  - CGG trinucleotide repeat expansion in FMR1 identified in biologic mother*, OR

- **Carrier Screening and Predictive Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic At Risk Individuals:**
  - Known CGG trinucleotide repeat expansion in FMR1 in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative, or
  - Family history of premature ovarian failure (cessation of menses before age of 40 years), or
  - Family history of movement disorder and
    - Cerebellar ataxia has been ruled out
    - Other movement disorders have been ruled out, or
  - Family history of undiagnosed intellectual disability, or
  - Prior cytogenetic test suspicious for fragile X, AND

- **Possibility of x-linked inheritance has not been ruled out by male to male transmission**

* Note: CVS must be interpreted with caution. The number of CGG repeats in the fetus can be accurately determined; however, often the methylation status of FMR1 is not yet established in chorionic villi at the time of sampling. CVS results may lead to a situation in which follow-up amniocentesis is necessary to resolve an ambiguous result.

**References**


Gaucher Disease Testing

MOL.TS.173.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GBA Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBA Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Gaucher Disease?

- Gaucher disease is a genetic disease that affects multiple organs and tissues. There are several types of Gaucher disease, each with varying signs and symptoms: $^{1,2}$
  - **Type 1** is the most common type of Gaucher Disease. Unlike other types, type 1 does not affect the central nervous system (CNS). Symptoms include enlargement of the liver and spleen (hepatosplenomegaly), anemia, low blood platelets, lung disease, and bone abnormalities.
  - **Type 2/Type 3.** These types are rarer, usually more severe, and affect the brain and CNS. Common symptoms include seizures, hyperextension of the spine, and lockjaw, in addition to the symptoms listed above for type 1. Type 2 is more severe, and affected individuals usually do not survive past childhood. Type 3 affected individuals have more slowly progressing symptoms and can survive into adulthood.
  - **Perinatal lethal.** The most severe form of Gaucher disease has symptoms that begin during pregnancy or in early infancy, including swelling, dry/scaly skin (ichthyosis), and serious neurological problems. Affected infants usually survive only a few days after birth.
  - **Cardiovascular.** This type has mainly heart manifestations. Symptoms include the hardening of heart valves, eye abnormalities, bone disease, and enlarged spleen.
  - These subtypes are identified through clinical symptoms and do not correlate well with the different mutations that cause Gaucher disease. $^2$
- Gaucher disease is relatively common in Ashkenazi Jewish populations, affecting about 1 in 500 to 1 in 1,000 people. $^1$ It is much less common in the general population, affecting about 1 in 50,000 to 1 in 100,000 people. $^1$
- Gaucher disease is caused by changes, or mutations to the GBA gene. $^{1,3}$ The GBA gene makes the enzyme beta-glucosylceramidase, also called acid beta-glucocerebrosidase. This enzyme helps break down fatty substances in cells. Mutations in GBA lead to a buildup of these fatty substances to toxic levels. This buildup damages tissues and organs, leading to symptoms of Gaucher disease. $^1$
Gaucher disease is an autosomal recessive disorder. An affected person inherits two GBA gene mutations -- one from each parent.\textsuperscript{1,2}

- People who have only one GBA mutation are called carriers. Carriers do not show symptoms of Gaucher disease, but have a 50% chance of passing the mutation on to their children.
- Two carriers of Gaucher disease have a 25% chance of having a child affected with the disease.

Clinical findings alone are insufficient for a definitive diagnosis of Gaucher disease.\textsuperscript{2}

If Gaucher disease is suspected in a symptomatic person, \textbf{beta-glucosylceramidase enzyme testing should be performed first}. People affected with Gaucher disease have 0-15% the normal level of beta-glucosylceramidase compared to healthy individuals. Measuring beta-glucosylceramidase levels is a reliable way to confirm a suspected case of Gaucher disease.\textsuperscript{2,4,5} Beta-glucosylceramidase levels within the normal range rule out Gaucher disease.

Genetic testing can be used to identify the disease-causing mutations in an affected person diagnosed by enzyme analysis.\textsuperscript{1} This is done primarily for reproductive purposes when parents of an affected child need to know the mutations for preimplantation genetic diagnosis or prenatal diagnosis. Mutation analysis can also confirm disease-causing mutations when a diagnosis by enzyme analysis is inconclusive.\textsuperscript{1} Enzyme testing is not appropriate to identify unaffected carriers.\textsuperscript{2}

**Test Information**

- **GBA Mutation Panel.** Clinically-available testing panels look for four or more of most common mutations in the GBA gene.
  - Four mutations (N370S, L444P, 84GG, IVS2+1) account for about 90% of mutations in the Ashkenazi Jewish population and about 50%-60% of mutations in the non-Ashkenazi Jewish population.\textsuperscript{1}
  - Some laboratories include several other common mutations in their panels.
  - Carrier screening by GBA mutation panel for Gaucher disease is widely available as part of an "Ashkenazi Jewish Panel" that includes several other genetic disease that are more common in this population. (See Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening for more information.)
  - **GBA Sequence Analysis.** This test analyzes the entire coding region of the GBA gene and will find mutations that the GBA mutation panel could not.\textsuperscript{1}
    - The detection rate of sequencing is about 99%.
    - This test is indicated in people with Gaucher disease who have one or no mutations identified by mutation panel testing.
    - This test is also indicated for reproductive partners of individuals who have a GBA mutation.
• When there is a family history of Gaucher disease, the family mutations should be identified prior to carrier testing in at-risk family members when possible. A mutation panel can be used if the family mutations are included in the panel. If the family mutations are not included in the panel and were identified through sequencing, then **GBA known familial mutation testing** is necessary.²

• Prenatal or preimplantation genetic diagnosis is possible in at-risk pregnancies if the parental mutations are known.

### Guidelines and Evidence

• No US evidence-based diagnostic guidelines have been identified.

• A 2015 expert-authored review recommends the following testing strategy for diagnosis of an affected person:²
  
  o "Assay of glucosylceramidase enzyme activity in leukocytes or other nucleated cells is the confirmatory diagnostic test."
  
  o "Molecular genetic testing and the identification of two disease-causing alleles provide an alternative means of confirming the diagnosis. There is broad heterogeneity in causative variants; in individuals in whom genetic testing identifies a novel GBA variant, biochemical testing to confirm the diagnosis should be considered."
  
  o "Targeted analysis for pathogenic variants in a proband originally diagnosed by biochemical testing may be considered for genetic counseling purposes, primarily to identify the pathogenic variants and permit carrier detection among at-risk relatives."

• Reviews published in peer-reviewed medical literature support this and offer some considerations for genotyping:
  
  o **Archives of Internal Medicine (1998):⁴**
    
    ▪ "The most efficient and reliable method of establishing the diagnosis of Gaucher disease is the assay of β-glucocerebrosidase activity."
    
    ▪ "Knowledge of the genotype may be helpful in predicting the severity and rate of progression of clinical symptoms in patients. For example, the homozygous N370S allele is usually associated with a generally less severe phenotype, although with wide clinical variability; the heterozygous state for N370S is protective against central nervous system involvement; and the L444P allele in the homozygous state is associated with early neurologic symptoms common in the types 2 and 3 clinical classifications."

  o **The Brazilian Study Group on Gaucher Disease (2009):⁵**
    
    ▪ "Definitive diagnosis of [Gaucher disease] requires confirmation by the acid β-glucosidase enzyme assay in leukocytes or fibroblasts."
    
    ▪ "N370S homozygotes generally present with a less severe phenotype, whereas L444P and D409H homozygosity confers neurologic involvement. Despite these general genotype-phenotype correlations, disease severity, and clinical outcomes cannot be predicted on the basis of genotype."
• Professional guidelines generally support Gaucher disease carrier screening for those at increased risk.\textsuperscript{6,7}

• Consensus guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2009) address carrier screening and prenatal diagnosis for Gaucher disease.\textsuperscript{6}
  
  o "Individuals with a positive family history of one of these disorders [including Gaucher disease] should be offered carrier screening for the specific disorder and may benefit from genetic counseling."

  o Carrier screening for Ashkenazi Jewish people is routinely recommended for some disorders (i.e., Tay-Sachs, Canavan, cystic fibrosis, familial dysautonomia). However, for testing of a group of other disorders more common in this population (including Gaucher disease), ACOG simply states: "Individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent may inquire about the availability of carrier screening for other disorders."

  o "If it is determined that this individual [an Ashkenazi Jewish descent partner] is a carrier, the other partner should be offered screening."

  o "When both partners are carriers of one of these disorders, they should be referred for genetic counseling and offered prenatal diagnosis."

• Consensus guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics (2008) recommend routine carrier screening for a group of disorders that includes Gaucher disease when at least one member of the couple is Ashkenazi Jewish and that couple is pregnant or planning pregnancy.\textsuperscript{7}

Criteria

Carrier Testing

GBA Known Familial Mutation Analysis

• Genetic Counseling:
  
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  
  o No previous molecular genetic testing of GBA, AND

• Carrier Screening:
  
  o GBA mutation(s) identified in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative(s), OR

• Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  
  o GBA mutation(s) identified in both biologic parents.

GBA Targeted Mutation Analysis for Ashkenazi Mutations (Four Mutations)

• Genetic Counseling:
  
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
o No previous GBA genetic testing, including Ashkenazi Jewish screening panels containing targeted mutation analysis for Gaucher disease, AND

- Carrier Screening:
  o Ashkenazi Jewish descent, regardless of disease status and results of glucosylceramidase assay.*, and
  o Intention to reproduce

**Diagnostic and Expanded Carrier Testing**

**GBA Sequencing†**

- Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous GBA full sequencing analysis, and
  o If Ashkenazi Jewish, testing for 4 common mutations is negative, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Glucosylceramidase enzyme activity in peripheral blood leukocytes is 0-15% of normal activity, and
  o Characteristic bone changes including osteopenia, focal lytic or sclerotic bone lesions or osteonecrosis, or
  o Hepatosplenomegaly and hematologic changes including anemia or thrombocytopenia, or
  o Primary neurologic disease which could include one or more of the following: cognitive impairment, bulbar signs, pyramidal signs, oculomotor apraxia, or seizures (progressive myoclonic epilepsy), OR

- Diagnostic Testing for Asymptomatic Carriers:
  o One mutation detected by targeted mutation analysis, and
  o Glucosylceramidase enzyme activity in peripheral blood leukocytes is 0-15% of normal activity, OR

- Testing for Individuals with Family History or Partners of Carriers:
  o 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, or 3<sup>rd</sup> degree biologic relative with Gaucher disease clinical diagnosis, family mutation unknown and testing unavailable, or
  o Partner is monoallelic or biallelic for GBA mutation, and has the potential and intention to reproduce with this partner.

**References**


Gene Expression Profiling Tests for Prostate Cancer

What Are Gene Expression Profiling Tests for Prostate Cancer?

- Prostate cancer (PC) is the most common cancer and a leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. It is considered a heterogeneous disease with highly variable prognosis.\(^1\) High-risk prostate cancer (PC) patients treated with radical prostatectomy (RP) undergo risk assessment to assess future disease prognosis and determine optimal treatment strategies. Post-RP pathology findings, such as disease stage, baseline Gleason score, time of biochemical recurrence (BCR) after RP, and PSA doubling-time, are considered strong predictors of disease-associated metastasis and mortality. Following RP, up to 50% of patients have pathology or clinical features that are considered at high risk of recurrence and these patients usually undergo post-RP treatments, including adjuvant or salvage therapy or radiation therapy, which can have serious risks and complications. According to clinical practice guideline recommendations, high risk patients should undergo 6 to 8 weeks of radiation therapy (RT) following RP. However, approximately 90% of high-risk patients do not develop metastases or die of prostate cancer, and instead may be appropriate candidates for alternative treatment approaches, including active surveillance. As such, many patients may be subjected to unnecessary follow-up procedures and their associated complications, highlighting the need for improved methods of prognostic risk assessment.\(^2,3\)

- Several genomic biomarkers have been commercially developed to augment the prognostic ability of currently available routine clinical and pathological tests and identify those patients most and least likely to benefit from a specific treatment strategy. Prognostic genomic tests, including gene expression profiling tests, may help to avoid overtreatment by reclassifying those men originally identified as high risk, but who are unlikely to develop metastatic disease. Genomic biomarkers may also play a role in assisting clinicians to tailor personalized and...
more appropriate treatments for subgroups of PC patients, and improve overall health outcomes.\textsuperscript{2,3} 

- Four commercial genomic biomarker tests for assessing risk of PC recurrence and guiding treatment decisions in prostate cancer will be addressed in this guideline: Decipher Prostate Cancer Classifier, OncotypeDX Genomic Prostate Score, Prolaris, and ProMark Proteomic Prognostic Test.

**Test Information**

- Gene expression profiles (GEPs) evaluate the expression of several genes using one sample. Gene expression is determined through RNA analysis, using either reverse transcriptase (RT) polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or DNA microarrays.\textsuperscript{4}

- **Decipher\textsuperscript{®} Prostate Cancer Classifier (GenomeDX Biosciences, Inc.)\textsuperscript{5}**
  - According to the manufacturer, the Decipher test is a tissue-based tumor genomic test that predicts the probability of metastasis within 5 years of RP, and provides an independent assessment of tumor aggressiveness, information that is distinct from that provided by the Gleason score or PSA.
  - Decipher analyzes a small tissue sample removed during surgery that is routinely archived or stored by the pathology lab. This test is intended for PC patients with stage T2 disease with positive margins, stage T3 disease, or rising serum PSA after RP. The test evaluates the expression of 1.4M RNA (44,000 genes) using RNA extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor specimens of the index lesion, defined as the highest tumor stage or Gleason score.
  - The Decipher test result is expressed as a continuous risk score; a genomic classifier (GC) that ranges from 0 (lowest) to 1 (highest). Each score is associated with the probability of 5-year metastasis.

- **Oncotype DX\textsuperscript{®} Genomic Prostate Score (GPS) (Genomic Health)\textsuperscript{6}**
  - According to the manufacturer, Oncotype DX prostate cancer assay is a multi-gene expression profiling assay that produces a genomic prostate score (GPS), ranging from 0-100, representing tumor aggressiveness. The Oncotype DX GPS provides risk stratification to properly classify patients. This test is designed to help patients with newly diagnosed, early-stage PC make informed treatment decisions, including active surveillance.
  - Oncotype DX GPS uses quantitative RT-PCR for 12 prostate cancer-related genes and 5 control genes (total of 17 genes). It was developed for use with fixed paraffin-embedded (FPE) diagnostic prostate needle biopsies (≥1 mm prostate tumor).

- **Prolaris\textsuperscript{®} (Myriad\textsuperscript{®} Genetics)\textsuperscript{7}**
  - According to the manufacturer, Prolaris is a genomic test developed to predict PC-specific mortality in PC patients after needle biopsy, as well as post-RP patients to assess the risk of BCR. This test is designed to assist clinicians with predicting tumor aggressiveness combined with clinical and pathologic variables (Gleason score, PSA).
• ProMark Proteomic Prognostic Test (Metamark®)\(^8\)
  - According to the manufacturer, ProMark uses an 8-protein signature to predict PC aggressiveness (adverse prostate pathology of Gleason > or = 4+3 and/or non-organ confined disease [T3a, T3b, N1, or M1]) in patients with biopsy Gleason Scores of 3+3 and 3+4. It is designed to provide a personalized prediction regarding if PC can be managed with or without aggressive forms of treatment.
  - ProMark scores range from 0 to 1 score, reflecting the probability of adverse pathology at radical prostatectomy.

Guidelines and Evidence

• The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on Prostate Cancer state the following regarding molecular assays:\(^9\)
  - “Men with clinically localized disease may consider the use of tumor-based molecular assays. Retrospective case cohort studies have shown that molecular assays performed on biopsy or prostatectomy specimens provide prognostic information independent of NCCN risk groups. These include, but are not limited to, likelihood of death with conservative management, likelihood of biochemical progression after radical prostatectomy or external beam therapy, and likelihood of developing metastasis after radical prostatectomy or salvage radiotherapy.”
  - “Several tissue-based molecular assays have been developed in an effort to improve decision-making in newly diagnosed men considering active surveillance and in treated men considering adjuvant therapy or treatment for recurrence.”
  - “Uncertainty about the risk of disease progression can be reduced if such molecular assays can provide accurate and reproducible prognostic or predictive information beyond NCCN risk group assignment and currently available life expectancy tables and nomograms. Retrospective case cohort studies have shown that these assays provide prognostic information independent of NCCN risk groups, which include likelihood of death with conservative management, likelihood of biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy or radiotherapy, and likelihood of developing metastasis after operation or salvage radiotherapy. No randomized controlled trials have studied the utility of these tests.”\(^9\)
  - According to NCCN, the Molecular Diagnostic Services Program (MolDX) recommendations stated the following:\(^9\)
    - **Decipher:** “Cover post-RP for 1) pT2 with positive margins; 2) any pT3 disease; 3) rising PSA (above nadir)”
    - **Prolaris:** “Cover post-biopsy for NCCN very-low and low-risk prostate cancer at diagnosis with at least 10 years life expectancy.”
    - **Oncotype DX:** “Cover post-biopsy for NCCN very-low and low-risk prostate cancer at diagnosis with at least 10-20 years life expectancy.”
    - **ProMark:** Not reviewed
Decipher Literature Review\textsuperscript{10-28}

- There is currently limited evidence in the peer-reviewed literature to support the widespread use of the Decipher tests to accurately provide prognostic risk stratification among patients with prostate cancer who have undergone RP in routine clinical practice. The relatively large evidence base, published primarily by the test manufacturer, consists of retrospective case-control and retrospective cohort studies evaluating the strength of the association between the Decipher score and incidence of disease recurrence (e.g., biochemical recurrence, metastasis) or PC-associated mortality. Hazard and odds ratios from univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses show significant associations between the test and clinical endpoint. Also, study results indicate that Decipher consistently discriminates between men at 5-year risk of metastatic disease progression after RP and men without disease progression with reasonable AUC and c-index estimates. Several studies reported reclassification rates using the Decipher test, indicating that patient risk could be stratified differently based on Decipher results. These type of reclassification calculations are useful since the clinical usefulness of a prognostic test has been reported to be reliant on its ability to categorize patients into different and more accurate prognostic groups, providing accurate predictions about their future disease state, and ultimately guiding optimal treatment regimens. However, these various estimates may be subject to bias and confounders given the several limitations that weaken the quality of the individual studies, including publication bias; patient overlap; insufficient follow-up periods and small number of metastatic event cases; bias associated with retrospective analyses; lack of observer or investigator blinding; missing or flawed registry data; Decipher sampling issues; and considerable heterogeneity between cases and controls for various demographic, disease risk factors, and treatment regimens. Most importantly, it is not clear how results of the Decipher test will impact patient disease management and treatment strategies, and if any changes will translate into improved morbidity and mortality for high-risk PC patients. Ongoing prospective clinical trials indicate that clinical utility studies of Decipher for PC patients are forthcoming in 2017. Results of new peer-reviewed studies of clinical utility will potentially provide higher quality evidence to better inform clinicians regarding patient selection criteria and appropriate use of the Decipher test among high-risk PC patients who are weighing the risk and benefits of various treatment options.

- Ongoing clinical trials
  - Three ongoing clinical trials, identified on ClinicalTrials.gov are currently undergoing patient recruitment or have completed recruitment and are ongoing.
  - Observational prospective cohort study (NCT02723734): A Validation Study on the Impact of Decipher\textsuperscript{R} Testing on Treatment Recommendations in African-American and Non-
African American Men With Prostate Cancer (VANDAAM Study)  

- Observational patient registry study (NCT02609269): Decipher Genomics Resource Information Database (GRID)  
- Prospective cohort study sponsored by GenomeDx (estimated completion date, March 2017) to study the influence of the Decipher test on urologist and patient treatment plan choices immediately after RP, and at the time of PSA risk or BCR (NCT02080689): Prospective Clinical Utility Study to Assess the Impact of Decipher on Treatment Decisions After Surgery (PRO-IMPACT)  

- Prolaris Literature Review  
  - Although clinical studies suggest that Prolaris may have potential prognostic value following RP in patients with prostate cancer, a number of limitations characterizing the evidence base weaken the strength of these findings. The available studies focused on primarily evaluating associations between results of Prolaris and the incidence of disease recurrence or mortality, which represents a preliminary stage of development of prognostic tests. The most appropriate clinical decisions to be made based on Prolaris test results have not been clearly established since there are no published studies that have reported the ability of the Prolaris test to prospectively predict patient-relevant health outcomes by virtue of prognostic risk assessment or changes made to treatment recommendations. The evidence base may possibly be subject to publication bias. With one exception, the reviewed studies with consistently positive or favorable results were sponsored or funded by the test manufacturer. The single study not funded by the manufacturer reported that 20 of 52 patients were misclassified by the Prolaris test, indicating that use of the test may be misleading in some cases.  
  - In some cases, study follow-up was very short, and may was not sufficiently long enough to capture metastatic event data. In addition, the total number of identified cases in each study was relatively small, which limited the power of the analysis to properly assess diagnostic accuracy. Other flaws in study design that weaken the overall quality of the evidence base include the retrospective design aspect; the lack of investigator or observer blinding; presence of missing or flawed data in registry studies; sampling and specimen processing issues; and the presence of heterogeneity between cases and controls in terms of high risk PC features, and risk factors for metastasis. All of these introduce the possibility of bias and confounding, which weaken the overall confidence in the estimate of prognostic accuracy of the Prolaris test.  
  - Ongoing clinical trials  
    - Two ongoing clinical trials, identified on ClinicalTrials.gov are currently undergoing patient recruitment or have completed recruitment and are ongoing.
• Behavioral Registry Study to Measure the Impact of Adding Genomic Testing (NCT02454595): Outcome measures: the impact of genomic test results towards selecting a first-line therapy option for newly diagnosed, localized, prostate cancer patients (recruiting).43

• Open Registry Measuring Impact of Genomic Testing on Treatment Decision after Biopsy in Newly Diagnosed Prostate Cancer Patients (NCT02209584). Outcome measures: Percentage change from the recorded PRE-Prolaris® test treatment option versus the ACTUAL treatment option of genomic risk assessment testing (Prolaris®); Percentage change from the recorded PRE-Prolaris® test treatment option versus the POST-Prolaris® test treatment plan (prior to patient consultation) (active, not recruiting).44

• OncotypeDX Prostate Literature Review45-48
  
  o Oncotype DX may be useful to assist RP patients in deciding whether or not they should receive early adjuvant therapy after RP, and may be useful to assist AS patients in predicting the probability of adverse pathology that would help guide the need for subsequent intervention or AS. Oncotype DX was found to predict adverse prostate cancer pathology beyond currently used clinical parameters and nomograms in patients with very low, low-, and intermediate risk disease. Despite these preliminary clinical studies suggesting the potential benefit of Oncotype DX, additional well-designed studies are still needed to adequately determine if the test can allow for clinicians to offer active surveillance safely, thereby minimizing the risk of underestimating the risk of metastasis or local tumor spread. In addition, clinical utility studies in real-world urologic clinical practice are needed to evaluate if treatment practices change with test use, and if these changes result in improved patient-important outcomes.

  o Ongoing clinical trials
    
    ▪ One ongoing clinical trial, identified on ClinicalTrials.gov is currently undergoing patient recruitment.

• ProMark Literature Review50
  
  o One clinical validity study suggests that the ProMark risk score offers additional prognostic information for patients compared with NCCN risk categories alone. However, the current evidence base consists of one clinical validity study and one analytical validity study, both published by the manufacturer. Additional clinical studies are needed to showcase
consistency of ProMark test results to accurately predict disease severity following RP. Use of the test in clinical practice will shed light on whether test information is considered sufficient by the medical community to change treatment decision-making and if such changes result in improvement in patient-relevant outcomes, including morbidity and survival.

Criteria

- Decipher Prostate Cancer Classifier, OncotypeDX Genomic Prostate Score, Prolaris, and ProMark Proteomic Prognostic Test are considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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What Is GPS Cancer?

- Molecular profiling tests used in cancer diagnosis and prognostic applications are generally limited in scope to specific genes or specific proteins. Comprehensive tests that give a full molecular picture of the patient's tumor may aid in clinical decisions.¹
- NantHealth GPS Cancer™ is a molecular profiling suite used to create personalized treatment plans for cancer patients. The test is for use in patients with solid tumors and utilizes whole DNA genome sequencing of 20,000 genes, whole RNA transcriptome sequencing of over 200,000 transcripts, analysis of proteins for drug sensitivity or resistance, analysis of antigens for monoclonal antibody therapy, quantitative protein analysis, as well as a comparison of tumor DNA to the patient's normal DNA. These analyses are done to give the health care provider and patient a better understanding of the pathology as well as inform treatment decisions.²

Test Information

- The test suite uses a tissue block sample of the highest carcinoma grade of the patient’s tumor; in some cases, slides can be used. In addition, a sample of the patient’s blood is also sent to NantHealth to compare the patient’s normal DNA to the tumor DNA.²
- The GPS Cancer report includes DNA and RNA mutations/alterations, protein levels, any available therapies (FDA approved), therapies to which the tumor may be resistant, and any clinical trials for which the patient may be eligible. Information from the GPS Cancer report should be interpreted alongside the patient’s medical history, since all potential therapies listed on the report may not be recommended for individuals with certain comorbidities or characteristics.²

Guidelines and Evidence

- No specific evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines were identified.
- There are no published studies evaluating the analytical and clinical validity and clinical utility of this test. Additional clinical studies are necessary to assess the use of the GPS Cancer test in improving patient health outcomes in patients with cancer.
Criteria

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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## Hereditary Cancer Syndrome Multigene Panels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APC Sequencing</td>
<td>81201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM Sequencing</td>
<td>81408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [BAC], Constitutional</td>
<td>81228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [SNP], Constitutional</td>
<td>81229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Sequencing</td>
<td>81298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN Sequencing</td>
<td>81321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast cancer-related disorders (eg, hereditary breast cancer, hereditary ovarian cancer, hereditary endometrial cancer); genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 14 genes, including ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CDH1, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, NBN, PALB2, PTEN, RAD51C, STK11, and TP53</td>
<td>81432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast cancer-related disorders (eg, hereditary breast cancer, hereditary ovarian cancer, hereditary endometrial cancer); duplication/deletion analysis panel, must include analyses for BRCA1, BRCA2, MLH1, MSH2, and STK11</td>
<td>81433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**What Are Hereditary Cancer Syndromes?**

- Most cancer is sporadic and believed to be caused by a mix of behavioral/lifestyle, environmental, and inherited risk factors. However, about 5-10% of cancers are believed to have a major inherited component.¹
- When a mutation in a single gene causes a significantly increased risk for certain cancers, it is called a hereditary cancer syndrome. Hereditary cancer syndromes are usually characterized by a pattern of specific cancer types occurring together in the same family, younger cancer diagnosis ages than usual, and/or other co-existing non-cancer conditions.
- There are at least 50 hereditary cancer syndromes.² Some of the most common are listed below with associated cancers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Genes/Panel</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary colon cancer disorders (eg, Lynch syndrome, PTEN hamartoma syndrome, Cowden syndrome, familial adenomatosis polyposis); genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 10 genes, including APC, BMPR1A, CDH1, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PTEN, SMAD4, and STK11</td>
<td>81435</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary colon cancer disorders (eg, Lynch syndrome, PTEN hamartoma syndrome, Cowden syndrome, familial adenomatosis polyposis); duplication/deletion analysis panel, must include analysis of at least 5 genes, including MLH1, MSH2, EPCAM, SMAD4, and STK11</td>
<td>81436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary neuroendocrine tumor disorders (eg, medullary thyroid carcinoma, parathyroid carcinoma, malignant pheochromocytoma or paraganglioma); genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 6 genes, including MAX, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TMEM127, and VHL</td>
<td>81437</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary neuroendocrine tumor disorders (eg, medullary thyroid carcinoma, parathyroid carcinoma, malignant pheochromocytoma or paraganglioma); duplication/deletion analysis panel, must include analyses for SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, and VHL</td>
<td>81438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81401</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81406</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous hereditary cancer syndrome gene tests</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome (HBOC): breast, ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer, pancreatic, prostate cancers

- Lynch syndrome: colorectal, endometrial, small bowel, stomach, ovarian, pancreatic, ureteral and renal pelvis, biliary tract, brain, sebaceous adenoma, and keratoacanthoma tumors
- Familial adenomatous polyposis: colorectal and other gastrointestinal cancers, gastrointestinal tract polyps (adenomas, fundic gland), osteomas, desmoids, thyroid cancer and hepatoblastoma
- MUTYH-associated polyposis: colorectal and other gastrointestinal cancers, adenomas, hyperplastic polyps
- Cowden syndrome: benign and malignant tumors of the breast, endometrium, and thyroid; cancer and polyps (hamartomas) in the colon and rectum
- Li Fraumeni syndrome: soft tissue sarcoma, osteosarcoma, leukemia, melanoma, and cancer of the breast, pancreas, colon, adrenal cortex, stomach, esophagus and brain
- Peutz-Jeghers syndrome: polyps (hamartomas) in the stomach, small intestine and colon, and pancreas, lung, breast, uterine and ovarian cancer

Many hereditary cancer syndromes can include the same types of cancer and therefore have overlapping clinical findings (e.g., breast cancer is a feature of HBOC caused by BRCA mutations, Li Fraumeni syndrome, Cowden syndrome, and others). Sometimes, the pattern of cancers in the family or pathognomonic features makes the underlying syndrome clear. However, in many cases it can be difficult to reliably diagnose hereditary cancer syndromes based on clinical and family history alone.

Test Information

- Until recently, most sequencing tests used the Sanger sequencing methodology that was originally developed in the 1970s. Sanger sequencing is labor intensive and did not lend itself to high-throughput applications.
- Next generation sequencing (NGS), which is also sometimes called massively parallel sequencing, has been developing since about 2005 to allow larger scale and more efficient gene sequencing. NGS relies on sequencing many copies of small pieces of DNA simultaneously and using bioinformatics to assemble the sequence.
- The efficiency of NGS has led to an increasing number of large, multi-gene testing panels. NGS panels that test several genes at once are particularly well-suited to conditions caused by more than one gene or where there is considerable clinical overlap between conditions making it difficult to reliably narrow down likely causes. As a result, several laboratories have begun to combine genes involved in causing various hereditary cancer syndromes, which often have both of those characteristics.
Hereditary cancer syndrome multi-gene panels include a wide variety of genes and may be focused on the genetic causes of a particular cancer type or broad detection of common hereditary cancer syndromes. The following are example panels (not intended to be a complete list):

- Ambry Genetics: BRCAplus, GYNplus, BreastNext, CancerNext, CancerNext Expanded, ColoNext, OvaNext, PancNext, PGLNext, RenalNext
- Emory Genetics Laboratory: Hereditary Cancer Syndrome: Sequencing Panel and Deletion/Duplication Panel (Disease specific panels also available)
- GeneDx: Comprehensive Cancer Panel, Breast/Ovarian Cancer Panel, Colorectal Cancer Panel, Pancreatic Cancer Panel, Endometrial Cancer Panel
- Invitae: Hereditary breast cancer panels, Hereditary gynecological cancer panel, Hereditary colon cancer panels, Hereditary pancreatic cancer panel, Hereditary cancer syndrome panel
- Mayo Medical Laboratories: Hereditary Colon Cancer Multi-Gene Panel (HCCP)
- Myriad Genetic Laboratories: MyRisk
- Pathway Genomics: BreastTrue High Risk Panel, ColoTrue, LynchSyndromeTrue
- University of Washington: BROCA, ColoSeq

Panels may also include genes believed to be associated with cancer, but with a more modest impact on risk than recognized hereditary cancer syndromes. Results for such genes are of less clear value because there often are not clear management recommendation for mutation-positive individuals.

NGS may not perform as well as Sanger sequencing in some applications. Results may also be obtained that cannot be adequately interpreted based on the current knowledgebase. When a sequence variation is identified that has not been previously characterized or shown to cause the disorder in question, it is called a variant of uncertain significance (VUS). VUSs are relatively common findings when sequencing large amounts of DNA with NGS.

Under certain circumstances, technologies used in multi-gene testing may fail to identify mutations that might be identifiable through single-gene testing. If high clinical suspicion remains for a particular syndrome after negative multi-gene test results, consultation with the testing lab and/or additional targeted genetic testing may be warranted.

Multi-gene tests vary in technical specifications (eg, depth of coverage, extent of intron/exon boundary analysis, methodology of large deletion/duplication analysis).

Since genes can be easily added or removed from multi-gene tests over time by a given lab, medical records must document which genes were included in the specific multi-gene test used from each patient, and in which labs they were performed.

Additionally, tests should be chosen that maximize the likelihood of identifying mutations in the genes of interest and that will alter patient management.
Guidelines and Evidence

- **The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)** makes the following general recommendations for using multi-gene panels in evaluating risk for breast and ovarian cancer and now includes this option in some management algorithms:3,4
  - “Because of their complexity, multigene testing is ideally offered in the context of professional genetic expertise for pre- and post-test counseling.”
  - “Testing of an individual without a cancer diagnosis should only be considered when an appropriate affected family member is unavailable for testing”.
  - “When more than one gene can explain an inherited cancer syndrome, then multi-gene testing may be more efficient and/or cost effective.” As commercially available tests differ in the specific genes analyzed (as well as classification of variants and many other factors), choosing the specific laboratory and test panel is important. “Multi-gene testing can include “intermediate” penetrant (moderate-risk) genes. For many of these genes, there is limited data on the degree of cancer risk and there are no clear guidelines on risk management for carriers of mutations. Not all genes included on available multi-gene tests are necessarily clinically actionable.” If a moderate risk gene mutation is identified, “gene carriers should be encouraged to participate in clinical trials or genetic registries”.
  - “Mutations in many breast cancer susceptibility genes involved in DNA repair may be associated with the rare autosomal recessive condition, Fanconi anemia.” Therefore, multi-gene testing may unexpectedly reveal that an individual and their family are at an increased risk for this condition.
  - “There is an increased likelihood of finding variants of unknown significance when testing for mutations in multiple genes.”

- **Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian and Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Colorectal** are currently the only cancer-specific NCCN guidelines that address the use of multi-gene panels.

- **The American College of Medical Genetics** has a policy statement that offers general guidance on the clinical application of large-scale sequencing focusing primarily on whole exome and whole genome testing. However, some of the recommendations regarding counseling around unexpected results and variants of unknown significance and minimum requirements for reporting apply to many applications of NGS sequencing applications.5

**Criteria**

This policy applies to all hereditary cancer syndrome panels, which are defined as assays that simultaneously test for more than one hereditary cancer syndrome. This policy does not apply when testing more than one gene related to the same hereditary cancer syndrome (e.g., Lynch syndrome).
Medical necessity coverage generally relies on criteria established for testing individual hereditary cancer syndromes. See Table 1 for examples of genes known to be included in currently available hereditary cancer syndrome multi-gene panels with coverage guidance. This is not intended to be a complete list of available genes as these panels are evolving rapidly.

However, this policy takes into account the efficiency gains from simultaneously testing multiple candidate genes. Therefore, coverage requirements rely to some degree on how the panel will be billed. Panels may be billed in a variety of ways:

- **Gene sequencing portion:**
  - A separate CPT code for sequencing each gene studied or a subset (e.g., 81201, 81294, 81297, etc.)
  - A single CPT code developed specifically for a particular type of panel (e.g., 81432, 81435, 81437)
  - A single unlisted CPT code (e.g., 81479)

- **Deletion/duplication analysis portion:**
  - A separate CPT code for deletion/duplication analysis of each gene studied or a subset (e.g., 81203, 81292, 81294, 81404, 81479, etc.)
  - A single CPT code developed specifically for a particular type of panel (e.g., 81433, 81436, 81438)
  - Microarray analysis (e.g., 81228 or 81229)
  - Part of a single unlisted CPT code for the sequencing and deletion/duplication portions of the panel (e.g., 81479)

Hereditary cancer syndrome multi-gene panels will be covered when the following criteria are met:

- Panel will be billed with separate procedure codes for each gene analyzed (however, please note that the billed amount should not exceed the list price of the test).
  - The medical necessity of each billed procedure will be assessed independently. See Table 1 below for gene-specific policy guidance.
    - When a patient meets medical necessity criteria for any hereditary cancer syndrome gene(s) included in a multi-gene panel, genetic testing for the clinically indicated gene(s) will be covered. This includes the sequencing and deletion/duplication† components.
    - Any genes that are included in a multi-gene panel but do NOT meet medical necessity criteria will NOT be a covered service. It will be at the laboratory, provider, and patient’s discretion to determine if a multi-gene panel remains the preferred testing option.
  - Sequencing and/or deletion/duplication analysis† of any hereditary cancer syndrome gene(s) should only be performed once per lifetime and will therefore only be covered once per lifetime. If gene testing was previously performed, and is now being included in a panel, such testing will not be separately reimbursable regardless of whether clinical coverage criteria are met, OR
Panel will be billed with a single procedure code to represent all genes being sequenced, with or without another single procedure code representing the deletion/duplication analysis† portion. Code(s) may be specific to that panel or an unlisted code, such as 81479.
  
  o No previous hereditary cancer syndrome testing has been performed
    - Medical necessity must be established for at least two conditions included in the panel (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer and Li Fraumeni syndrome). Note that this is two conditions and not two genes (i.e., meeting criteria for only Lynch syndrome, which is caused by mutations in at least 5 genes, would not fulfill criteria alone).
    - Testing for one condition was performed and billed separately. A multi-gene panel is now being considered as a reflex and will be billed at a rate comparable to single syndrome pricing (e.g., myRisk update).
      - Medical necessity must be established for at least one condition included in the panel in addition to the already tested condition (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer was already performed, but Lynch syndrome criteria are also met).
  
† When deletion/duplication testing is not part of a single panel CPT code being billed, deletion/duplication testing should be billed in only one of the following ways:
  - A separate CPT code for deletion/duplication analysis of each individual gene (may include non-specific molecular pathology tier 2 codes or unlisted code 81479), or
  - A single CPT code specific to the performed deletion/duplication analysis panel, or
  - A single microarray procedure

Procedure codes representing multiple methods for deletion/duplication testing will not be reimbursable for the same panel (e.g., test-specific deletion/duplication procedure codes and microarray will not both be reimbursable for the same panel).

Table 1: Coverage Guidance for Genes Included in Hereditary Cancer Syndrome Multi-Gene Panels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Test Name</th>
<th>CPT</th>
<th>Claim Code</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome</td>
<td>FLCN Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>FLCNS EQ</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLCN Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>FLCND D</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowden syndrome, PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome</td>
<td>PTEN Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81323</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTEN Sequencing</td>
<td>81321</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Test Name</td>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>Claim Code</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary Cancer Syndrome</td>
<td>CDK4 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>CDK4D</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDK4 Exon 2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>CDK4E X2</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDKN2A Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDKN2A Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
<td>CDKN2A</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial adenomatous polyposis</td>
<td>APC Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81203</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APC Sequencing</td>
<td>81201</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial breast and/or ovarian cancer</td>
<td>AKT1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>AKT1D</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AKT1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>AKT1S EQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATM Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATM Sequencing</td>
<td>81408</td>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BARD1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>BARD1 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BARD1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>BARD1 SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRIP1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>BRIP1 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRIP1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>BRIP1</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHEK2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>CHEK2 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHEK2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>CHEK2 SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAM175A Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>FAM17 5ADD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAM175A Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>FAM17 5ASEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Test Name</td>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>Claim Code</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN1</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>GEN1 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN1</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>GEN1S EQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRE11A</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MRE11 ADD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRE11A</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MRE11 ASEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBN</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>NBND D</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBN</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>NBNS EQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD50</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD50 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD50</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD50 SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD51</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD51 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD51</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD51 SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD51C</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD51 CDD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD51C</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD51 CSEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD51D</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD51 DDD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD51D</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD51 DSEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMARCA4</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>SMARCA4DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMARCA4</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>SMARCA4SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC2</td>
<td>Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>XRCC2 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Test Name</td>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>Claim Code/Abbreviation</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479 XRCC2 SEQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC3 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479 XRCC3 DD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRCC3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479 XRCC3 SEQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial colorectal cancer</td>
<td>AXIN2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>AXIN2 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GALNT12 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>GALNT 12DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GALNT12 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>GALNT 12SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MLH3 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MLH3D</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MLH3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MLH3SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POLE Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>POLESQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POLD1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>POLD1SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial cutaneous telangiectasia and cancer syndrome</td>
<td>ATR Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>ATRD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATR Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>ATRSEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>PRSS1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>PRSS1</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOXB13 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>HOXB1 3DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOXB13 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>HOXB1 3SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>MITF Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MITFSQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Test Name</td>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>Claim Code</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MITF Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>MITF</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MITFD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial Wilms tumor</td>
<td>WT1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td>WT1</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer</td>
<td>BRCA1/2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81211</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer</td>
<td>BRCA1/2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81213</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer</td>
<td>BRCA1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81214</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer</td>
<td>BRCA2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81216</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast and pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>PALB2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
<td>PALB2</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer</td>
<td>CDH1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
<td>CDH1</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary leiomyomatosis with renal cell cancer</td>
<td>FH Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td>FH</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary mixed polyposis syndrome</td>
<td>GREM1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>GREM1DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary mixed polyposis syndrome</td>
<td>GREM1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>GREM1SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary mixed polyposis syndrome, Juvenile polyposis syndrome</td>
<td>BMPR1A Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>BMPR1ADD</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary mixed polyposis syndrome, Juvenile polyposis syndrome</td>
<td>MET Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>METSEQ</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary mixed polyposis syndrome, Juvenile polyposis syndrome</td>
<td>MET Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>METD</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary mixed polyposis syndrome, Juvenile polyposis syndrome</td>
<td>BMPR1A Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>BMPR1SEQ</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Test Name</td>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>Claim Code</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary paraganglioma-pheochromocytoma syndromes</td>
<td>SDHA Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
<td>SDHA</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDHB Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>SDHB</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDHB Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td>SDHB</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDHC Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81404</td>
<td>SDHC</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDHD Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81404</td>
<td>SDHD</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAX Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MAXSEQ</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAX Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MAXD</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDHAF2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>SDHAF2SEQ</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SDHAF2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>SDHAF2DD</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMEM127 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>TMEM127SEQ</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMEM127 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>TMEM127DD</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile polyposis syndrome</td>
<td>SMAD4 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td>SMAD4</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMAD4 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
<td>SMAD4</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-Fraumeni syndrome</td>
<td>TP53 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Test Name</th>
<th>CPT</th>
<th>Claim Code</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary Cancer Syndrome</td>
<td>TP53 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP53 Targeted Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch syndrome</td>
<td>EPCAM Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
<td>EPCAM</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MLH1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81294</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MLH1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81292</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSH2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81297</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSH6 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81300</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSH6 Sequencing</td>
<td>81298</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMS2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81319</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMS2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81317</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1</td>
<td>MEN1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81404</td>
<td>MEN1</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEN1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td>MEN1</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple endocrine neoplasia, type 2A</td>
<td>RET Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
<td>RET</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RET Targeted Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td>RET</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MUTYH Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>MUTYH</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MUTYH Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
<td>MUTYH</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofibromatosis type 1</td>
<td>NF1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>NF1</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Test Name</td>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>Claim Code</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary Cancer Syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81408</td>
<td>NF1</td>
<td>See general policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peutz-Jeghers syndrome</td>
<td>STK11 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81404</td>
<td>STK11</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STK11 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
<td>STK11</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor predisposition syndrome</td>
<td>BAP1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>BAP1D</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BAP1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>BAP1S EQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown phenotype</td>
<td>CHEK1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>CHEK1 DD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHEK1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>CHEK1 SEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAD51B Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD51 BDD</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAD51B Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
<td>RAD51 BSEQ</td>
<td>Not covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Hippel-Lindau syndrome</td>
<td>VHL Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
<td>VHL</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VHL Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
<td>VHL</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria apply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Test-specific criteria apply**: Refer to the policy with coverage criteria specific to this condition/gene.
- **See general policy**: Refer to the general “Genetic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility” and “Hereditary Cancer Syndromes” policies. There is no test-specific policy.
- **Not covered**: Gene testing is not covered strictly for hereditary cancer indication. In general, this category applies to genes that have only a low to moderate impact on cancer risk (compared to high penetrance cancer syndrome-causing genes) and no clear management guidelines associated with identifying a mutation.

**References**


Hereditary (Germline) Testing After Tumor (Somatic) Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APC Sequencing</td>
<td>81201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM Sequencing</td>
<td>81408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [BAC], Constitutional</td>
<td>81228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal Microarray [SNP], Constitutional</td>
<td>81229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Sequencing</td>
<td>81298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN Sequencing</td>
<td>81321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast cancer-related disorders (e.g., hereditary breast cancer, hereditary ovarian cancer, hereditary endometrial cancer); genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 14 genes, including ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CDH1, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, NBN, PALB2, PTEN, RAD51C, STK11, and TP53</td>
<td>81432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary breast cancer-related disorders (e.g., hereditary breast cancer, hereditary ovarian cancer, hereditary endometrial cancer); duplication/deletion analysis panel, must include analyses for BRCA1, BRCA2, MLH1, MSH2, and STK11</td>
<td>81433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary colon cancer disorders (e.g., Lynch syndrome, PTEN hamartoma syndrome, Cowden syndrome, familial adenomatosis polyposis); genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 10 genes, including APC, BMPR1A, CDH1, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6,</td>
<td>81435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Is Germline Hereditary Cancer Testing Following Somatic Tumor Testing?

- Most cancer is sporadic and due to the acquisition of somatic variants. In addition, up to 10% of cancer has a hereditary etiology due to constitutional germline variants.\(^1\)
- In oncology, next generation sequencing (NGS) technology makes it feasible to catalog the DNA sequence variations within a person’s cancer (i.e., somatic mutation profiling). This helps define therapeutic targets and which might improve outcomes through the use of specific medications directed at those mutations.”\(^2\)
- Germline variants can also be identified as an ancillary finding during primary tumor profiling to identify somatic mutations. “In the course of analyzing tumor DNA (without matched normal DNA), sequencing can identify constitutional (germline) DNA variations that are associated with disease or susceptibility to disease as well as carrier states for Mendelian disorders. Centers may use matched tumor-normal sequencing to facilitate more accurate calling of somatic mutations by using the normal DNA to exclude germline variants from the tumor cells.”\(^3,4\)
In a study by Schrader et al, “Targeted tumor sequencing with a panel of 341 genes and matched normal DNA in 1566 individuals with advanced malignant neoplasms revealed presumed pathogenic germline variants (PPGVs) in about 16% of individuals. Most PPGVs (80.5%, 95% CI, 75.1%-85.0%) were in genes related to cancer susceptibility. The PPGVs in genes previously designated as clinically actionable cancer targets were seen in 5.0% (95% CI, 4.1%-6.2%) of individuals. Most cancer-susceptibility PPGVs were retained in the tumor (91.9%; 95% CI, 87.3%-95.0%). This study is in line with other published studies investigating the prevalence of incidental findings with somatic tumor profiling.4,6

The debate continues regarding whether there is an obligation to test for and report these germline findings which are secondary to the original purpose of somatic tumor profiling. In making this determination, pre-test informed consent is of utmost importance. “Honoring patient preferences requires oncology providers to communicate the potential for incidental and secondary germline information specific to the test being offered, the relevance and potential benefits of this information for patients and their relatives, and the limitations and risks of receiving incidental and secondary germline information.”2

Test Information

- Testing to investigate somatic and constitutional DNA variants has become more common as sequencing technology has evolved from the more labor intensive Sanger sequencing to NGS. “NGS is a powerful technology that permits the characterization of large amounts of DNA sequence much quicker and at lower cost than traditional Sanger sequencing.”2
- Laboratories performing somatic mutation profiling may include paired germline testing, not in an effort to identify hereditary etiologies but to identify variants that are genetic “drivers” of the individual’s malignancy.4
- Laboratories may also use bioinformatics to subtract the inherited variants from the somatic tumor profiling findings. Germline variants may be missed during this process without performing further analysis.7,8

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017)9 states the following regarding germline testing following somatic tumor testing for BRCA1/2 mutations.
  - BRCA1/2 germline mutation testing should be performed when a BRCA1/2 mutation is detected by tumor profiling.
- There have been various peer-reviewed publications that reviewed pre- and post-test considerations for germline testing following somatic tumor testing.
  - Pre-test considerations:
    - Somatic tumor-only NGS testing is used to guide treatment for an affected person. The testing is not designed to elucidate a hereditary etiology. A germline variant may not be detected (due to
differences in coverage in the testing, cellularity of the sample, allelic loss of the germline mutation) or may not be reported by the somatic testing laboratory.\textsuperscript{2,3,10}

- Directed germline genetic testing can be ordered to identify a potential hereditary etiology for the person’s tumor. Referrals to oncology genetic counselors or other specialized healthcare providers should occur if the individual’s personal and/or family history meets established criteria to warrant a more detailed discussion.\textsuperscript{9,11,12}

- Ancillary findings from somatic or germline testing may include variants in genes that cause a hereditary cancer syndrome, a non-oncologic hereditary syndrome, or identify carrier status for Mendelian disease. Specific findings are dependent on specific testing performed by the laboratory.\textsuperscript{2,3,10}

- Many patients undergoing somatic tumor profiling have advanced stage disease. Centers performing somatic tumor profiling should consider obtaining a surrogate individual to receive results in the event that the proband has passed away or is otherwise unable to receive the results.\textsuperscript{2,3,10}

**Post-test considerations:**

-Clinicians must determine the technical specifications of the laboratory used for somatic tumor profiling and determine if this includes paired germline testing. Some laboratories may not report germline variants.\textsuperscript{2,3,13}

- Tumor profiling variant interpretation may differ from the variant interpretation process for germline mutations. For example, a laboratory profiling a somatic tumor may classify a certain variant as pathogenic whereas a laboratory testing a germline mutation may classify that same variant as a variant of uncertain significance (VUS).\textsuperscript{2,3,13}

- Referrals to oncology genetic counselors or other specialized healthcare providers should occur if the individual’s personal and/or family history meets established criteria to warrant a more detailed discussion, regardless of somatic tumor profiling results.\textsuperscript{9,11,12}

**Criteria**

- Requests for single-site or full-gene sequence germline tumor testing following somatic tumor analysis will be considered medically necessary when at least one of the following criteria is met:
  - The individual’s personal or family history is suggestive of a germline mutation, a specific germline variation is identified by somatic tumor testing, and the individual meets the published test-specific criteria to test for that variant,\textsuperscript{10} OR
one of the identified variants is a highly-recurrent or founder mutation (i.e., BRCA1 c185delAG or the recurrent inversion of MSH2 seen in some families with Lynch syndrome), OR

- The tumor profile shows thousands of somatic variants, suggesting a germline mutation in a DNA mismatch repair gene or in the POLE proofreading domain, OR

- Two separate primary tumors are sequenced and both harbor the same genetic variant, OR

- The individual’s tumor harbors a mutation in BRCA1/2, OR

- Patient does not meet published criteria for germline testing, but variant(s) in genes that commonly harbor somatic mutations (including but not limited to TP53, APC, CDH1) are identified and the variant allele frequency in the tumor is at least 50%.6,17

Exclusions and Other Considerations

- Germline testing of somatic variants of uncertain significance (VUS) is not considered medically necessary.
- Germline testing for asymptomatic individuals based solely on a family member’s somatic testing result is not considered medically necessary.
- In individuals meeting criteria for germline DNA testing, analysis of the entire gene, as opposed to single site testing, is recommended.6
- Resources, such as ClinVar15, should be used by the provider to determine if a pathogenic variant classification provided by germline testing laboratories is consistent with independent assessments of that variant.
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Hereditary Hemochromatosis Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HFE Targeted Mutation Analysis (common variants)</td>
<td>81256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Hereditary Hemochromatosis?

- Hereditary hemochromatosis (HH) is an autosomal recessive genetic disorder that leads to excess iron absorption and storage in the liver, heart, pancreas, and other organs.¹
- Symptoms of hemochromatosis may include:¹,²
  - Hepatomegaly, liver disease, jaundice, cirrhosis, liver cancer
  - Heart disease, arrhythmia, cardiomyopathy
  - Unexplained weakness, chronic fatigue, apathy
  - Arthritis, arthralgia
  - Increased skin pigmentation (bronze color)
  - Weight loss, hair loss
  - Hypothyroidism, hypopituitarism
  - Amenorrhea, early menopause
  - Loss of libido, impotence
  - Adult-onset diabetes
- HH is caused by mutations in the HFE gene.¹ About 1 in 200 to 1 in 300 people in the U.S. are affected with HH.²
- HH is most common in Caucasians, with up to 11% of the population being carriers. The disorder is less common in African Americans and Hispanics, with the carrier prevalence being 2.3% and 3% respectively. HH is very rare in Asians, with less than 1 in 1000 being carriers.¹
- HH can be effectively treated in most people. Phlebotomy therapy can alleviate almost all symptoms of iron overload if initiated before organ damage occurs.³
- When hemochromatosis is suspected, serum iron studies, including serum ferritin and transferrin saturation, are the first step in establishing a diagnosis. Genetic testing of the HFE gene may follow if serum iron studies suggest the presence of iron overload.⁴
- Current guidelines support HFE genetic testing in people with:²,⁴
  - Serologic evidence of iron overload, considered to be a transferrin saturation >45% and elevated ferritin
  - A known family history of hemochromatosis
  - A known familial mutation in the HFE gene in a first degree relative
Test Information

- **HFE Mutation Analysis**
  - Common changes in the HFE gene associated with HH are C282Y, H63D, and S65C.\(^1\)
  - C282Y and H63D are the most common and account for 87% of hereditary hemochromatosis in European populations.\(^1\) The next most common cause are individually rare mutations.\(^5\) Many labs do not test for S65C because it accounts for <1% of hereditary hemochromatosis.\(^1\) There is controversy over whether the H63D variant causes clinical disease\(^2\). The combination of these mutations determines both the chances of symptoms occurring and their severity.

Guidelines and Evidence

- **The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) Practice Guidelines (2011):**\(^6\)
  - "In a patient with suggestive symptoms, physical findings, or family history, a combination of transferrin saturation (TS) and ferritin should be obtained rather than relying on a single test. (1B) If either is abnormal (TS ≥45% or ferritin above the upper limit of normal), then HFE mutation analysis should be performed. (1B)."
  - "The guideline developers recommend screening (iron studies and HFE mutation analysis) of first-degree relatives of patients with HFE-related HH to detect early disease and prevent complications"

- **Screening for Hereditary Hemochromatosis: A Clinical Practice Guideline from the American College of Physicians (2005):**\(^2\)
  - "Physicians should discuss the risks, benefits, and limitations of genetic testing in patients with a positive family history of hereditary hemochromatosis or those with elevated serum ferritin level or transferrin saturation. Before genetic testing, individuals should be made aware of the benefits and risks of genetic testing. This should include discussing available treatment and its efficacy; costs involved; and social issues, such as impact of disease labeling, insurability and psychological well-being, and the possibility of as-yet-unknown genotypes associated with hereditary hemochromatosis."

Criteria

**HFE Known Familial Mutation Testing**

- **Clinical Consultation:**
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- **Previous Genetic Testing:**
  - No previous genetic testing of the HFE gene, AND
• Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Genetic Testing:
  o HFE mutation identified in 1st degree biological relative, OR
• Diagnostic Testing:
  o Serologic evidence of iron overload, defined as transferrin saturation
    ≥45% and/or elevated ferritin, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

HFE Targeted Mutation Testing
• Clinical Consultation:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as
deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous genetic testing of the HFE gene, AND
• Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Genetic Testing:
  o Documented family history of first-degree relative with HFE-related HH,
    OR
• Diagnostic Testing:
  o Serologic evidence of iron overload, defined as transferrin saturation
    ≥45% and/or elevated ferritin, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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### HIV Tropism Testing for Maraviroc Response

**What Is HIV Tropism Testing for Maraviroc Response?**

- The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) replicates itself in humans by infecting T-cells with CD4 receptors (often called CD4 cells). HIV-1 enters the CD4 cell by binding one of two cell surface co-receptors: CCR5 or CXCR4.1,2

- Tropism refers to the ability of HIV-1 virus to use one or both of these co-receptors. There are three main tropism classifications:3
  - CCR5 tropism (also called R5-tropic): HIV-1 virus that only infects cells with the CCR5 co-receptor.
  - CXCR4 tropism (also called X4-tropic): HIV-1 virus that only infects cells with the CXCR4 co-receptor.
  - Dual or mixed tropism: HIV-1 virus populations that can use either co-receptor to infect cells.

- The tropism classification frequently changes over the course of the disease.
  - CCR5-tropic virus predominates in early infection and treatment naïve patients.1,3
  - CXCR4 tropism increases both as the disease progresses and with treatment.1
  - In later infection, CXCR4 tropism emerges in about 20% of treatment naïve patients.3
  - Treatment experienced patients have up to a 50% chance for the presence of CXCR4-tropic virus.1

- Maraviroc (Selzentry®) is an antiretroviral drug that selectively binds to the CCR5 co-receptor. This blocks CCR5-tropic HIV-1 from binding to the co-receptor and entering the cell.4

- Maraviroc is effective only against CCR5-tropic HIV-1. Patients with viruses using both the CXCR4 and CCR5 receptors (dual/mixed tropic) do not respond virologically to maraviroc.4,5 Therefore, maraviroc is not indicated for CXCR4-tropic or dual/mixed-tropic HIV-1 infections.4

- HIV tropism testing:
  - Should be performed before maraviroc therapy is initiated. Maraviroc should only be used in adults with CCR5-tropic HIV-1 infections based on those results.2,4
May also be considered for patients with treatment failure on maraviroc. Treatment failure is often associated with a switch to CXCR4 tropism.6

- Virologic failure on maraviroc can result from outgrowth of undetected CXCR4 virus as a result of maraviroc treatment.4

### Test Information

- **Phenotype testing** (Trofile®) was the first method available and is most widely recommended.2,7 Phenotyping works by exposing cell lines with CCR5 or CXCR4 co-receptors to virus made with a patient’s HIV-1 genes that control tropism. The virus’ ability to infect each cell line is assessed based on the expression of a reporter gene.2,8 The Trofile website states the assay is "100% sensitive at detecting 0.3% CXR4-using minor variant."8 Patients enrolled in maraviroc clinical trials were screened using the Trofile phenotype assay.8,9 A newer, more sensitive version of the assay was subsequently released.2

- The **genotyping assay** assesses part of the HIV-1 envelope gene (the third variable loop, V3) that is the primary determinant of tropism. Quest Diagnostics’ website states that sensitivity is 5% at a viral load of 10,000 HIV-1 copies/mL.10

### Guidelines and Evidence

- A **Department of Health and Human Services** Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents (2015) recommends:2
  - "Coreceptor tropism assay should be performed whenever the use of a CCR5 inhibitor is being considered." [Evidence level AII]
  - "Coreceptor tropism testing might also be considered for patients who exhibit virologic failure on a CCR5 inhibitor." [Evidence level BIII]

- **Infectious Diseases Society of America** (IDSA, 2013) guidelines agree that tropism testing should be done before starting any CCR5 antagonist. IDSA also states patients who exhibit virologic failure while taking a CCR5 antagonist may also be considered for tropism testing.7

- Maraviroc (Selzentry®) has been approved for use in treatment-experienced adults with only CCR5-tropic HIV-1 virus and evidence of replication despite the use of several other antiretroviral therapies.4 Regarding tropism testing, **maraviroc product labeling** states that:4
  - "Tropism testing must be conducted with a highly sensitive tropism assay that has demonstrated the ability to identify patients appropriate for SELZENTRY use."
  - "Use of SELZENTRY is not recommended in subjects with dual/mixed or CXCR4-tropic HIV-1 as efficacy was not demonstrated in a phase 2 study of this patient group."
Criteria

CCR5 tropism testing is indicated in individuals with HIV-1 infection considering a CCR5 inhibitor and may be considered in individuals taking a CCR5 inhibitor who experience treatment failure.
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What Is HLA-B*1502?

- Variation in the HLA-B gene is associated with increased risk for adverse reactions to certain drugs. Testing positive for either one or two HLA-B*1502 alleles increases a person’s risk for a serious adverse skin reaction to carbamazepine.\(^1\,2\) Carbamazepine (Tegretol\textsuperscript{®}, Tegretol XR\textsuperscript{®}, Equetro\textsuperscript{®}, Carbatrol\textsuperscript{®}) is an antiepileptic agent used in the treatment of seizure disorders, psychiatric disorders, and pain from trigeminal neuralgia.

- A strong association between the risk of developing Stevens-Johnsons syndrome (SJS) and/or toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) with carbamazepine treatment and the presence of the inherited variant of the HLA-B gene, HLA-B*1502, has been demonstrated in studies involving patients of Chinese ancestry. For this population, the risk of having a serious reaction is 10 times higher than the risk in Caucasians for which 1 to 6 per 10,000 new users of carbamazepine have a serious reaction to the drug.\(^1\,6\)

- Across Asian populations, notable variation exists in the prevalence of HLA-B*1502. Greater than 15\% of the population is reported positive in Hong Kong, Thailand, Malaysia, and parts of the Philippines, compared to about 10\% in Taiwan and 4\% in North China. South Asians, including Indians, appear to have intermediate prevalence of HLA-B*1502, averaging 2 to 4\%, but higher in some groups. HLA-B*1502 is present in \(<1\%\) of the population in Japan and Korea. HLA-B*1502 is largely absent in individuals not of Asian origin (e.g., Caucasians, African-Americans, Hispanics, and Native Americans).\(^1\)

- Testing for HLA-B*1502 should be performed prior to initiating carbamazepine treatment for most patients of Asian ancestry. Over 90\% of carbamazepine treated patients who will experience SJS/TEN have this reaction within the first few months of treatment and providers should consider this in determining the need for screening at-risk patients who are currently on therapy.\(^1\)

- Having HLA-B*1502 is not abnormal, and there is no other known risk from having it.\(^7\)
Test Information

- HLA-B*1502 testing is performed using DNA extracted from whole blood or cheek cells. The test is positive if either one or two HLA-B*1502 alleles are detected and negative if no HLA-B*1502 alleles are detected.\(^2\),\(^7\)

Guidelines and Evidence

- The Clinical Pharmacogenetic Implementation Consortium (2013) published guidelines on the use of HLA-B*1502 testing for patients prescribed carbamazepine:\(^8\)
  - “HLA-B*1502 has a very distinct ethnic and regional distribution that is important to consider when evaluating population risk…The frequency of HLA-B*1502 is highest in Han Chinese…estimates…have been as high as 36%. In general, rates in China range from 1 to 12%. Rates in Singapore and Hong Kong have also been estimated at 10–12%. Rates in Malaysia and Thailand are estimated at 6–8%, whereas in different regions of India, the rates range from 2 to 6%. Korea and Japan have low frequencies of the allele at 0.5 and 0.1%, respectively. The allele is also quite rare in African populations (not observed) and Europeans (0–0.02%).”
  - “HLA-B*1502 is specific for SJS and TEN; there is no evidence that it predisposes to MPEs or hypersensitivity syndrome.”
  - “Much of the evidence linking HLA-B*1502 to SJS/TEN was generated in both children and adults.”
  - “Carbamazepine-induced SJS/TEN usually develops within the first 3 months of therapy; therefore, patients who have been taking carbamazepine for longer than 3 months without developing cutaneous reactions are at low risk (but not zero) of carbamazepine-induced adverse events in the future, regardless of HLA-B*1502 status.”

- Product labeling for carbamazepine (Tegretol XR\(^\text{®}\)) warns for the potential of developing a serious dermatological reaction from treatment with carbamazepine in HLA-B*1502 positive individuals.\(^1\)
  - Carbamazepine should not be used in patients positive for HLA-B*1502 unless the benefits clearly outweigh the risks. Patients who test negative for the allele have a low risk of SJS/TEN, but should have routine monitoring for toxicity\(^1\)
  - Carbamazepine should be discontinued at the first sign of a rash, unless the rash is clearly not drug-related. If signs or symptoms suggest SJS/TEN, carbamazepine should not be resumed and alternative therapy should be considered.\(^1\)

Criteria

HLA-B*1502 variant testing is indicated in individuals with Asian ancestry prior to initiation of or during the first nine months of treatment with carbamazepine therapy.
References

What Is HLA-B*5701?

- Abacavir is used in the treatment of patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).
- The most important adverse effect limiting the use of abacavir is a hypersensitivity reaction (HSR) which occurs in approximately 5-8% of patients.\(^1\)
  - The abacavir HSR includes a combination of rash, fever, GI symptoms (such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or abdominal cramping), constitutional symptoms (tachycardia, hypotension, myalgia, fatigue, pain, malaise, dizziness and headache) and respiratory symptoms.\(^1\)
  - Symptoms usually appear within the first six weeks of abacavir therapy, but can happen at any time.\(^1-3\)
- People with a positive HLA-B*5701 test are at risk for abacavir HSR. Not all HLA-B*5701 carriers will have immunologic-confirmed HSR.\(^2\) In studies of people who have experienced an immunologically-confirmed HSR, about half (47.9%) test positive for the HLA-B*5701 allele.\(^1\)
- People with a negative HLA-B*5701 are at low risk for abacavir HSR. A negative HLA-B*5701 test result does not completely rule out the possibility of an HSR. Those who test negative should be monitored carefully for signs of toxicity, especially in the first six weeks of treatment.\(^4\)
- Demographic risk factors for abacavir HSR show a higher risk in white and Hispanic populations (5-8%) compared to 2-3% in the black population.\(^4,5\) The frequency in Asian populations is very low.\(^2\)
- Screening HIV-1 patients for HLA-B*5701 prior to starting abacavir can reduce the rate of clinically suspected HSR by approximately 60%.\(^1\)

Test Information

- HLA-B*5701 testing is performed on a blood or cheek swab sample. The test can be performed in different ways by different labs. Some labs will test for specific gene variants associated with the B*5701 haplotype, where other labs may sequence the DNA in the HLA-B region.
- In general, results can be interpreted as:
  - HLA-B*5701 positive – person is at high risk for developing abacavir HSR; abacavir-containing drugs should be avoided.
o HLA-B*5701 negative – person is at lower risk for developing abacavir HSR; if abacavir treatment is used, this person should be monitored for toxicity.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The Infectious Disease Society of America (2013)\(^6\) and the Department of Health and Human Services' (DHHS) Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents (2016)\(^6\) HIV guidelines recommend that:
  o HLA-B*5701 genotyping should be performed in all patients prior to initiating abacavir therapy.
  o HLA-B*5701 positive patients should not be prescribed abacavir; however, the guidelines state that if abacavir is used in HLA-B*5701 positive patients, careful monitoring for HSR is warranted.
  o A negative test result does not rule out the possibility of an HSR but makes the chance of HSR less likely.
  o Patients should be counseled about the potential for experiencing HSR before being treated with abacavir-containing drugs, regardless of HLA-B*5701 test results.
  o HLA-B*5701 positive status should be recorded as an abacavir allergy in the patient's medical record.

- The DHHS’s Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of HIV-Infected Children (2016)\(^7\) recommends against the use of abacavir in children who test positive for HLA-B*5701.

- The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (2014) published an update to their Guidelines on HLA-B Genotype and Abacavir Dosing.\(^8\) A focused literature review found no new evidence to change their original (2012) recommendations, which include:
  o “HLA-B*5701 screening should be performed in all abacavir-naive individuals before initiation of abacavir-containing therapy.”
  o “In abacavir-naive individuals who are HLA-B*5701-positive, abacavir is not recommended and should be considered only under exceptional circumstances when the potential benefit, based on resistance patterns and treatment history, outweighs the risk.”
  o “There is some debate among clinicians regarding whether HLA-B*5701 testing is necessary in patients who had previously tolerated abacavir chronically, discontinued its use for reasons other than HSR, and are now planning to resume abacavir. The presence of HLA-B*5701 has a positive predictive value of ~50% for immunologically confirmed hypersensitivity, indicating that some HLA-B*5701-positive individuals can be, and have been, safely treated with abacavir. However, we were unable to find any data to show that HLA-B*5701-positive individuals with previous, safe exposure to abacavir had zero risk of HSR upon re-exposure.”

- Product labeling for abacavir-containing drugs recommends:\(^9-11\)
HLA-B*5701 testing prior to initiating treatment with abacavir and prior to reinitiating abacavir when HLA-B*5701 status is unknown even if the patient has previously tolerated treatment with abacavir.

- For HLA-B*5701-positive patients, treatment with an abacavir-containing regimen is not recommended and should be considered only with close medical supervision and under exceptional circumstances when the potential benefit outweighs the risk.
- Abacavir is contraindicated in patients with previous hypersensitivity to abacavir.
- Discontinue abacavir at the first sign of a suspected hypersensitivity reaction.

- Careful monitoring for adverse effects is recommended during the first six weeks of abacavir therapy, when an HSR is most likely to happen. However, an HSR can occur at any time during treatment with abacavir.1,2,9-11

Criteria

HLA-B*5701 testing is indicated in individuals with HIV-1 prior to the initiation of any abacavir-containing therapy.

References

Huntington Disease Testing

**Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTT Expansion Analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What Is Huntington Disease?**

- Huntington disease (HD) is an autosomal dominant neurodegenerative disorder causing progressive cognitive, motor, and psychiatric disturbances. ¹
- The mean age of onset of symptoms is 35-44 years of age²; median survival time is 15-18 years after onset.³ At this time, there is no cure for HD.
- HD is caused by expansion of a CAG trinucleotide repeat mutation in the HTT gene. Unaffected individuals have 26 or fewer CAG repeats. The intermediate range is 27-35 repeats; and a repeat length of 36 or more is disease-causing.¹
- The prevalence of HD ranges from 5 to 10 per 100,000 people.⁴
- Individuals with CAG repeats in the intermediate range are not affected with HD. However, their children are at risk for HD, because the repeat number can expand over generations.¹
- Severity of HD symptoms typically increases and age of onset decreases as the disease is passed through generations, especially when inherited through a male. This phenomenon is known as anticipation.¹
- Approximately 3-10% of individuals with HD have onset of symptoms before 21 years of age (known as juvenile HD).⁵ Juvenile HD most commonly results from paternally inherited HD mutations with larger CAG repeats.
- Symptomatic HD testing is appropriate for individuals who have a known or suspected diagnosis of HD based on clinical symptoms.⁶⁻⁸ Predictive HD testing is generally not recommended for minors.⁶⁻⁸ Predictive testing for HD cannot accurately predict disease severity, type of symptoms, or rate of progression in asymptomatic individuals.¹ However, an estimate of age of onset is possible based on the number of CAG repeats detected.⁹

**Test Information**

- Testing for Huntington disease is performed by determining the number of CAG repeats in the HTT gene.¹ CAG repeat analysis has a >99% mutation detection rate.¹
Guidelines and Evidence

- The **United States Huntington's Disease Genetic Testing Group (2003)** has guidelines regarding genetic testing for Huntington disease.
- Symptomatic testing: "Confirmatory testing by analysis of the HD gene may be offered at or after the time of the clinical diagnosis of HD. The presence of a CAG repeat expansion in a person with HD symptoms confirms the clinical impression and supports a diagnosis of HD."
- Asymptomatic (predictive) testing is supported in the context of a predictive testing protocol that includes optional neurological exam, psychological exam, social support, pre- and post-test counseling regarding implications of positive and negative test results, and documented informed consent.
- The predictive testing protocol is also supported by guidelines from the **International Huntington Association and the World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Huntington's Chorea (1994)**, the **American College of Medical Genetics**, and the **National Society of Genetic Counselors**, as well as recent literature.7,8,9

Criteria

- Clinical Consultation:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), and
  - Examination by a geneticist or physician familiar with genetic movement disorders, AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of HTT, OR
  - Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
    - For individuals ≥18 years:(at least 2 of the following)
      - Progressive motor disability featuring involuntary movements (chorea) and gait disturbance, and/or
      - Behavioral disturbances including:
        - Personality change
        - Depression
        - Cognitive decline, and/or
        - Family History of Huntington’s Disease
    - For individuals ≤17 years: (at least 2 of the following)
      - Progressive motor disability featuring involuntary movements (chorea) and gait disturbance, and/or
      - Cognitive decline, and/or
      - Stiffness or rigidity, and/or
      - Epilepsy/myoclonus and tremor, and/or
      - Family History of Huntington’s disease, OR
- Predictive Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic At-Risk Individuals*:
  - Known CAG trinucleotide repeat expansion in HTT in 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative, or
o One or more 1st degree biologic relative(s) with clinical diagnosis of HD and mutation unknown/not yet tested, AND
o 18 or older

*Includes prenatal testing for at-risk pregnancies.
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## Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Testing

### Procedure(s) addressed by this policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Genetic Testing Panel</td>
<td>81439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Genetic Testing Panel</td>
<td>S3865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>S3866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTC1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTN2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGL Sequencing</td>
<td>81407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAG3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACNA1C Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAV3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRP3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHL1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAA Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLA Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPH2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMP2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYBPC3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYH6 Sequencing</td>
<td>81407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYH7 Sequencing</td>
<td>81407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYL2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYL3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYOZ2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYPN Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEXN Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLN Sequencing</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRKAG2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTPN11 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAF1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCAP Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNNC1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNNI3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNNT2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPM1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTN Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTR Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTC1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTN2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### What Is Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy?

- Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is a genetic condition associated with unexplained thickening of the heart wall surrounding the left ventricle (called left ventricular hypertrophy or LVH).\(^1,2\)

- A clinical diagnosis is suggested by a nondilated left ventricle with a wall thickness of 13-15mm or more in adults,\(^3,4\) or \(\geq 2\) standard deviations in children.\(^4,5\) However, some individuals with HCM have smaller LV measurements and variable patterns of LVH may be observed.\(^4,5\)

- Other causes of LVH should be ruled out, including underlying cardiac disease (e.g., chronic hypertension, aortic stenosis), extreme physiologic hypertrophy ("athlete's heart"), and other multisystem disorders that may have LVH as a feature (e.g., Fabry disease, Friedreich's ataxia, Noonan syndrome, LEOPARD syndrome, Danon disease, Barth syndrome, Pompe syndrome).\(^4,6\)
• Signs and symptoms are variable ranging from a lifelong asymptomatic course to progressive heart failure and sudden cardiac death.\textsuperscript{1,2}
• HCM affects about 1 in 500 people, and is the most common cause of sudden cardiac death among young people under 35 — especially athletes.\textsuperscript{4}
• HCM is an autosomal dominant condition. First-degree relatives (parents/siblings/children) of someone with HCM have up to a 50\% chance of also being affected. Longitudinal clinical screening is recommended for at-risk relatives.\textsuperscript{2,5,7}
• HCM is caused by a mutation in one of at least 14 genes.\textsuperscript{2} Genetic testing can be useful to confirm a diagnosis of inherited HCM in a person with unexplained LVH. A family history of LVH, heart failure, or sudden cardiac death supports the diagnosis of HCM but is not required to make a diagnosis. The severity and likelihood of cardiac death may be associated with the gene mutation that causes HCM.\textsuperscript{4}
• Identifying a gene mutation does not significantly change management for someone diagnosed with HCM.\textsuperscript{6} However, once the disease-causing mutation is identified, at-risk relatives can have reliable genetic testing to define their risk and screening needs.\textsuperscript{7}

Test Information

• HCM Sequencing Panels vary by laboratory but most laboratories test at least the eight genes most commonly linked to HCM. Mutations in the MYH7 and MYBPC3 genes are most common.\textsuperscript{1} About 35-60\% of people clinically diagnosed with HCM will have a mutation in one of the genes commonly tested.\textsuperscript{1,5}
• Once a mutation is identified in a family member, the family mutation can be specifically identified with >99\% accuracy in asymptomatic family members, or those with equivocal symptoms.\textsuperscript{2}

Guidelines and Evidence

• Diagnostic testing

• Evidence-based guidelines from the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) and the American Heart Association (AHA) published in 2011 state:
  o “Genetic testing for HCM and other genetic causes of unexplained cardiac hypertrophy is recommended in patients with an atypical clinical presentation of HCM or when another genetic condition is suspected to be the cause.” (Class 1, Level of evidence B).\textsuperscript{5}
  o “Genetic testing is reasonable in the index patient to facilitate the identification of first-degree family members at risk for developing HCM.” (Class IIa, Level of Evidence B).\textsuperscript{5}
• Evidence-based practice guidelines for the genetic evaluation of cardiomyopathies, including HCM, from the Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA, 2009) state:
"Genetic testing should be considered for the one most clearly affected person in a family to facilitate family screening and management.” (evidence level A: The specific genetic test or clinical test has a high correlation with the cardiomyopathic disease of interest in reasonably large studies from multiple centers.)

A 2011 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) makes Class 1 recommendation that:

- "Comprehensive or targeted (MYBPC3, MYH7, TNNI3, TNNT2, TPM1) HCM genetic testing is recommended for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a clinical diagnosis of HCM based on examination of the patient’s clinical history, family history, and electrocardiographic/echocardiographic phenotype."

Evidence-based guidelines from the European Society of Cardiology published in 2014 state:

- “Genetic testing is recommended in patients fulfilling diagnostic criteria for HCM, when it enables cascade genetic screening of their relatives.” (Class 1, Level B)
- “It is recommended that genetic testing be performed in certified diagnostic laboratories with expertise in the interpretation of cardiomyopathy-related mutations.” (Class 1, Level C)
- “In the presence of symptoms and signs of disease suggestive of specific causes of HCM, genetic testing is recommended to confirm the diagnosis.” (Class 1, Level B)
- “Genetic testing in patients with a borderline diagnosis of HCM should be performed only after detailed assessment by specialist teams.” (Class IIa, Level C)
- “Post-mortem genetic analysis of stored tissue or DNA should be considered in deceased patients with pathologically confirmed HCM, to enable cascade genetic screening of their relatives.” (Class IIa, Level C)

Predictive testing

Evidence-based guidelines from the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) and the American Heart Association (AHA) published in 2011 make the following Class I recommendations:

- “Screening (clinical, with or without genetic testing) is recommended in first-degree relatives of patients with HCM.” (Level of Evidence: B)
- “In individuals with pathogenic mutations who do not express the HCM phenotype, it is recommended to perform serial electrocardiogram (ECG), transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE), and clinical assessment at periodic intervals (12 to 18 months in children and adolescents and about every 5 years in adults), based on the patient's age and change in clinical status.” (Level of Evidence: B)
Evidence-based guidelines from the European Society of Cardiology published in 20148 state:

- “It is recommended that genetic testing be performed in certified diagnostic laboratories with expertise in the interpretation of cardiomyopathy-related mutations.” (Class 1, Level C)
- “Cascade genetic screening, after pre-test counselling, is recommended in first-degree adult relatives of patients with a definite disease-causing mutation.” (Class I, Level B)
- “Clinical evaluation, employing ECG and echocardiography and long-term follow-up, is recommended in first-degree relatives who have the same definite disease-causing mutation as the proband.” (Class 1, Level c)
- “First-degree relatives who do not have the same definite disease-causing mutation as the proband should be discharged from further follow-up but advised to seek re-assessment if they develop symptoms or when new clinically relevant data emerge in the family.” (Class IIa, Level B)

A 2011 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)9 makes Class 1 recommendation that:

- “Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and appropriate relatives following the identification of the HCM-causative mutation in an index case.”

Criteria

**Known Familial Mutation(s) for Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre- and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous HCM-associated genetic testing inclusive of known family mutation, AND
- Diagnostic/Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals[AC1]*:
  - HCM known family mutation in 1st or 2nd degree biologic relative, OR
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - HCM known family mutation in 1st or 2nd degree biologic relative
  - Echocardiogram demonstrating LVH without obvious cause (valvular disease, hypertension, infiltrative or neuromuscular disorder), and
  - Myocardial wall thickening of greater than or equal to 15mm (1.5cm), or
  - Presence of pathognomonic histopathologic features of HCM
    - Myocyte disarray
    - Hypertrophy
    - Increased myocardial fibrosis, and
The results of the test will directly impact the diagnostic and treatment options that are recommended for the patient, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

*NOTE:* Since symptoms may occur in childhood, testing of children who are at-risk for a pathogenic mutation may be appropriate, but requires genetic counseling and careful consideration of ethical issues related to genetic testing in minors.³

**Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Genetic Testing Panel**

**Note:** Gene panels specific to HCM will be paid according to the criteria outlined in this policy. Pan-cardiomyopathy panels which include genes for HCM, as well as other conditions, such as dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC), left ventricular noncompaction (LVNC), and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) are not eligible for coverage.

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre- and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
  - Assessment by a cardiologist familiar with hereditary causes of HCM, AND

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for HCM, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Echocardiogram demonstrating LVH without obvious cause (valvular disease, hypertension, infiltrative or neuromuscular disorder), and
  - Myocardial wall thickening of greater than or equal to 15mm (1.5cm), or
  - Presence of pathognomonic histopathologic features of HCM
    - Myocyte disarray
    - Hypertrophy
    - Increased myocardial fibrosis, and
  - The results of the test will directly impact the diagnostic and treatment options that are recommended for the patient, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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KRAS Testing for Anti-EGFR Response in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KRAS Exon 2 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS Targeted Mutation Analysis, Additional Variants</td>
<td>81276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is KRAS Mutation Analysis?

- KRAS mutation analysis on metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) tissue helps identify patients who are most likely to respond to EGFR-targeted therapy (Erbitux® and Vectibix®).\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\)
- EGFR-targeted therapies usually bind EGFR, block its signaling to KRAS, and inhibit cellular proliferation, angiogenesis, and metastasis.\(^3\)
- Approximately 40% of mCRC tumors have an activating KRAS mutation.\(^3\)
- Anti-EGFR therapy is ineffective for treating mCRC tumors with an activating KRAS mutation because EGFR no longer controls KRAS activation.
- Thus, testing identifies the subset of patients who are resistant to anti-EGFR treatment, avoiding unnecessary drug toxicity and cost.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\) In addition, some patients with KRAS mutant tumors were found to have an inferior outcome when treated with EGFR-targeted therapy.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^8\)

Test Information

- **KRAS Targeted Mutation Analysis** identifies specific KRAS gene mutations — usually including at least the seven most common mutations in codons 12 and 13 that account for more than 95% of activating mutations.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^9\) It requires very little tumor material for testing, and combines high sensitivity with efficiency. It is also relatively inexpensive and is designed to detect the most common mutations within the KRAS gene. Because it does not evaluate the whole KRAS gene, it will miss the less common mutations. KRAS mutation analysis uses fresh, frozen, or paraffin-embedded tissue from either a primary tumor or metastasis.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^7\)
• **KRAS Gene Sequencing Analysis** identifies most clinically significant mutations in the KRAS gene, including both common and rare changes. It has the broadest coverage in KRAS testing, looking at most, if not all, coding areas within the gene. However, sequence analysis requires more and higher quality tumor material for testing than PCR. This typically translates into being less efficient and more expensive than targeted mutation analysis. Direct sequence analysis has lower analytical sensitivity than some targeted, PCR based assays. However, the clinical relevance of a small percentage of cells with mutant KRAS has not been established.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

• Evidence based guidelines from the **American Society of Clinical Oncology** (ASCO, 2015) state: “All patients with mCRC who are candidates for anti-EGFR antibody therapy should have their tumor tested in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments–certified laboratory for mutations in both KRAS and NRAS exons 2 (codons 12 and 13), 3 (codons 59 and 61), and 4 (codons 117 and 146). The weight of current evidence indicates that anti-EGFR MoAb therapy should only be considered for treatment of patients whose tumor is determined to not have mutations detected after such extended RAS testing.”

• Consensus from the **National Comprehensive Cancer Network** (NCCN, 2016) "strongly recommends KRAS/NRAS genotyping of tumor tissue (either primary tumor or metastasis) in all patients with metastatic colorectal cancer." "Patients with known KRAS or NRAS mutations should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, either alone or in combination with other anticancer agents, because they have virtually no chance of benefit and the exposure to toxicity and expense cannot be justified.”

• These guidelines do not recommend a specific test methodology.

**Criteria**

KRAS mutation testing is indicated in individuals with metastatic colorectal cancer prior to the initiation of treatment with cetuximab (Erbitux®) or panitumumab (Vectibix®) therapy.

**References**


Leber Hereditary Optic Neuropathy (LHON) Genetic Testing

What Is Leber Hereditary Optic Neuropathy?

- Leber Hereditary Optic Neuropathy (LHON) is a mitochondrial disorder that mainly affects the eye. It is characterized by bilateral painless subacute vision loss that begins in the second and third decades of life. It usually has onset between 15-30 years of age, and leads to rapid, progressive blindness. Visual acuity usually deteriorates to 20/200 or worse.¹⁻³

- The primary cell type that is lost in LHON is the retinal ganglion cell, which is highly susceptible to disrupted ATP production and oxidative stress.⁴

- A diagnosis of LHON can be made clinically. “The pathologic hallmark of LHON is the selective degeneration of the retinal ganglion cell layer and optic nerve.”¹

- LHON has three phases:¹
  
  - **Presymptomatic/subacute phase**: Mild abnormalities in the fundus may be present. Additionally, color vision, contrast, and electroretinogram may be mildly affected.
  
  - **Acute phase**: Onset features blurred or clouded central vision usually starting in one eye, followed by other eye within weeks to months. Onset involves both eyes simultaneously in about 25% of cases. The vision loss gets progressively worse with the blurred central field enlarging (called a scotoma). Evaluation of fundus in 80% of affected patients will show disk swelling, edema of the peripapillary nerve fiber layer, retinal telangiectasia, and increased vascular tortuosity without corresponding leakage on fluorescein angiography.

  - **Atrophic phase**: Optic atrophy and worsening central scotoma will progress to severe impairment over the course of six weeks. Once the atrophic phase begins, visual acuity rarely recovers. Most individuals become legally blind.

- Within 1 year, 97% of those affected have involvement of the second eye, such that a patient presenting with a unilateral optic neuropathy for longer than 1 year is highly unlikely to suffer from LHON-related vision loss.⁴
• Other neurologic features may include: tremor, peripheral neuropathy, myopathy, and/or movement disorders. Additionally, women may develop a multiple sclerosis-like progressive disease.¹

• Unaffected LHON point mutation carriers can display subclinical signs of disease on fundus examination, including peripapillary microangiopathy, zones of mild disc pseudoedema, and telangiectasia.

• Some clinicians treat children presymptomatically with antioxidants when their genetic status is known.

• People who have a pathogenic variant consistent with LHON should avoid alcohol and smoking.¹

• The prevalence of LHON in most populations is unknown. In Caucasian populations estimates range from 1 in 31,000 to 1 in 50,000. Men are about 4-5 times more likely to develop LHON than women.¹,²

• LHON is caused by point mutations in the mitochondrial genome which is separate from nuclear DNA.

• Several mtDNA mutations have been reported to cause LHON. However, 90% of affected individuals have one of three common mitochondrial mutations: G3460A (13%), G11778A (70%) and T14484C (14%).⁴

• A 2016 expert-authored review stated the following regarding genotype-phenotype correlations:¹
  o The mtDNA mutation T14484C is associated with a partial recovery rate of 37%-58%, while the G11778A mutation has the lowest partial recovery rate of 4%. Patients with the G3460A mutation have an intermediate prognosis, with an approximate 20% partial recovery rate.¹
  o “m.3460G>A is associated with the worst impairment in visual function. m.11778G>A has an intermediate phenotype. Although published reports would appear to indicate otherwise, the m.3460G>A pathogenic variant is generally accepted among experts as having the worst visual recovery rate.”¹

• Earlier age of onset (younger than 20 years), a subacute time course of vision loss, and larger optic discs are all associated with a better visual prognosis.

• Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is passed from the maternal gamete (oocyte) to the developing fetus, therefore mitochondrial diseases like LHON are inherited through the maternal lineage. Since sperm do not contribute mitochondria (and mtDNA) to a fetus, men cannot pass on any mitochondrial mutations they may carry.
  o About 60% of people with LHON have an identifiable maternal family history of disease. In the remaining 40%, the family history may be incomplete or the affected individual could have a new (de novo) mutation but this is rare.¹,²
  o Not all people with an LHON disease-causing mtDNA mutation will develop symptoms. Only about 50% of males and 10% of females who have a known disease-causing LHON mutation will develop blindness.² There must be other genetic and environmental factors that explain the variable appearance of symptoms and the gender differences.¹,²
• Diseases like LHON that are attributed to mtDNA mutations have unique patterns of inheritance and penetrance governed by the principles of maternal inheritance, heteroplasmy, replicative segregation, and the critical threshold. Heteroplasmy and replicative segregation contribute to the heterogeneity of mitochondrial disease phenotypes, even among related individuals. Critical threshold is reached when the wild-type mtDNA cannot compensate for the mutant mtDNA in a cell or tissue. This accounts for targeted tissue involvement and age dependent onset. Even more variability is present because tissue-specific segregation of mutant mtDNA is stochastic during embryogenesis.

Test Information

• An ophthalmological evaluation can confirm the diagnosis of LHON:\(^1,2\)
  o Eye testing may include fundus exam, visual field testing, and imaging. Other testing, including angiography and electrophysiology, are sometimes warranted. This testing may reveal characteristic findings of LHON or rule out other causes of acute vision loss.
  o In cases where a diagnosis can't be confirmed by eye findings alone, molecular genetic testing may be useful.

• The LHON three mtDNA mutation panel involves targeted testing of three common mutations in mtDNA (G3460A, G11778A and T14484C).\(^1-3\) These three mutations account for over 90% of mtDNA mutations found in people with LHON.

• Full sequencing of the entire mitochondrial genome can be done to identify the remaining 10% of mtDNA mutation in individuals affected with LHON. Since the mitochondrial genome is highly polymorphic, this is not routinely offered unless clinical suspicion is very high and paternal transmission has been ruled out.\(^1\) If the status of heteroplasmy is of concern, next generation testing with high read depth may be preferable.

• The three LHON mutations are also included on a number of more general mitochondrial targeted mutation panels (in conjunction with genes for MELAS, MERFF and Leigh syndrome).

• A number of large panels sequence the mitochondrial genome in conjunction with nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes for a broad approach to testing.

• DNA testing can be performed on a blood specimen. Muscle biopsy is generally not necessary, but some labs accept blood, saliva and muscle samples.

Guidelines and Evidence

• No evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines were identified for LHON.

• Although not specific to genetic testing for LHON, the Mitochondrial Medicine Society (2015)\(^7\) developed consensus recommendations for the diagnosis and management of mitochondrial disease. Testing strategies, including strategies for genetic testing, were discussed.
  o Recommendations for DNA testing include the following:
Massively parallel sequencing/NGS of the mtDNA genome is the preferred methodology when testing mtDNA and should be performed in cases of suspected mitochondrial disease instead of testing for a limited number of pathogenic point mutations.

Patients with a strong likelihood of mitochondrial disease because of a mtDNA mutation and negative testing in blood, should have mtDNA assessed in another tissue to avoid the possibility of missing tissue-specific mutations or low levels of heteroplasmy in blood; tissue-based testing also helps assess the risk of other organ involvement and heterogeneity in family members and to guide genetic counseling.

Heteroplasmy analysis in urine can selectively be more informative and accurate than testing in blood alone, especially in cases of MELAS due to the common m.3243 A>G mutation.

When considering nuclear gene testing in patients with likely primary mitochondrial disease, NGS methodologies providing complete coverage of known mitochondrial disease gene is preferred. Single-gene testing should usually be avoided because mutations in different genes can produce the same phenotype. If no mutation is identified via known NGS panels, then whole exome sequencing should be considered.

- The European Federation of Neurological Sciences (2009)\(^8\) provide consensus-based guidelines for LHON genetic testing: "If the phenotype suggests syndromic mitochondrial disorder due to mtDNA point mutations (MELAS, MERRF, NARP, LHON), DNA-microarrays using allele-specific oligonucleotide hybridisation, real-time-PCR or single-gene sequencing are indicated."
- The Clinical Molecular Genetics Society of the United Kingdom (2008)\(^6\) provided practice-based guidelines for the molecular diagnosis of mitochondrial disease: "Investigation for the G3460A, G11778A and T14484C mutations are indicated for all LHON referrals."
- A 2016 expert-authored review suggests the following testing strategy for those with a known or suspected diagnosis of LHON:\(^1\)
  - "Three common mtDNA pathogenic variants account for 90%-95% of LHON. Targeted analysis for one of these three variants should be performed first."
  - "A multi-gene panel that includes the mitochondrial genes that encode subunits of NADH dehydrogenase, MT-ND1, MT-ND2, MT-ND4, MT-ND4L, MT-ND5, and MT-ND6, which are known to cause LHON and other genes of interest may also be considered."
  - "Complete mtDNA sequencing may be considered if use of targeted testing and/or a multi-gene panel did not identify a pathogenic variant, clinical suspicion remains high, and there is no evidence of paternal transmission."
- For those seeking predictive testing (e.g. they are not currently affected), this review states:\(^1\)
"Testing of at-risk asymptomatic adults for LHON is possible ... Such testing is not useful in predicting age of onset, severity, or rate of progression of visual loss in asymptomatic individuals."

"Testing of asymptomatic individuals younger than age 18 years who are at risk for adult-onset disorders for which no treatment exists is not considered appropriate."

Criteria

LHON Known Familial Mutation Testing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for LHON, and
  - LHON causing mutation identified in 1st degree biological maternal relative, AND
- Predictive Testing for Asymptomatic Individual:
  - Under the age of 18 years, and
  - Presymptomatic treatment with antioxidants is being considered, OR
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic individuals:
  - Ophthalmology examination is suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of LHON, OR
- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  - LHON disease-causing mutation identified in a previous child or in the mother, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

LHON Targeted Mutation Analysis (G3460A, G11778A and T14484C)

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for LHON, and
  - No known LHON mutation in the family, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Ophthalmology examination is suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of LHON, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy
Whole mtDNA Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Criteria for LHON targeted mutation analysis is met, AND
- No mutations identified in the targeted mutation analysis, AND
- Paternal transmission has been ruled out
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Li-Fraumeni Syndrome Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP53 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What Is Li-Fraumeni Syndrome?

- **Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS)** is a hereditary cancer predisposition syndrome typically associated with soft tissue sarcoma, osteosarcoma, premenopausal breast cancer, brain tumor, and adrenocortical carcinomas. People with LFS also have an increased risk of a variety of other cancers.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\)
- Historically, there are two forms of LFS: Classic LFS, and Li-Fraumeni-like syndrome (LFL).\(^1\) LFL shares some of the features for LFS, but has less strict clinical diagnostic criteria.\(^1\) LFL is not described in all testing guidelines (see guideline section below).
- About 50% of individuals with LFS/LFL will have cancer by 30 years of age, and 90% of individuals with LFS/LFL will have cancer by 60 years of age.\(^1\)
- LFS/LFL are caused by mutations in the TP53 gene. Prevalence of inherited TP53 mutations is estimated to be 1 in 20,000.\(^1\) The likelihood of finding a TP53 mutation is about 70% in classic LFS cases and 40-50% in LFL cases.\(^1\) This condition is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner.\(^1\) Children of an affected person have a 1 in 2 (50%) chance to be affected. Most TP53 mutations are inherited from an affected parent.\(^1\) The frequency of de novo mutations is not well defined but may be as high as 20%.\(^1\)

### Test Information

- **Complete gene sequencing** will detect approximately 95% of known mutations.\(^1\)
- **Limited sequencing of only certain regions of the p53 gene is also available.** The detection rate of the limited sequencing tests varies between 70-90% depending on which portions of the gene are screened.\(^1\)
- **Deletion/duplication testing** may be considered as a reflex test if a mutation is not found by sequencing. This method will identify gene rearrangements in an additional 1% of cases.
- **Testing ideally begins with an affected person.**\(^1,2\) Once a mutation has been identified in the family, **known familial mutation testing** can be done for at-risk family members.\(^1,2\)
Guidelines and Evidence

- **National Comprehensive Cancer Network (2016) guidelines** outline the following Li-Fraumeni syndrome testing criteria (quoted directly). These are considered a category 2A recommendation: "lower level evidence with uniform NCCN consensus".2

- Individuals from a family with a known TP53 mutation, OR

- Classic Li-Fraumeni syndrome when **ALL** of the following are present:
  - Combination of an individual diagnosed less than age 45 years of age with a sarcoma; **AND**
  - First-degree relative diagnosed less than 45 years of age with cancer; **AND**
  - An additional first- or second-degree relative in the same lineage with cancer diagnosed less than 45 years of age, or a sarcoma at any age **OR**

- **Chompret Criteria (2015 version)**4, when **ANY** of the following are present:
  - Individual with a tumor from LFS tumor spectrum (e.g., soft tissue sarcoma, osteosarcoma, CNS tumor, breast cancer, adrenocortical carcinoma), before 46 years of age, and at least one first- or second-degree relative with any of the aforementioned cancers (other than breast cancer if the proband has breast cancer) before the age of 56 years or with multiple primaries at any age; **OR**
  - Individual with multiple tumors (except multiple breast tumors), two of which belong to LFS tumor spectrum with the initial cancer occurring before the age of 46 years; **OR**
  - Individual with adrenocortical carcinoma or choroid plexus carcinoma or rhabdomyosarcoma of embryonal anaplastic subtype, at any age of onset, regardless of the family history

- **Early onset breast cancer**
  - Individual with breast cancer diagnosed before 31 years. TP53 testing can be ordered alone, concurrently with BRCA1/2 testing and/or other gene testing or as a follow up test after negative BRCA1/2 testing.

Criteria

**TP53 Known Familial Mutation Analysis**

- **Genetic Counseling**: o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), **AND**

- **Previous Testing**: o No previous genetic testing of TP53, **AND**

- **Diagnostic and Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals**: o Known family mutation in TP53

* Includes prenatal testing for at-risk pregnancies.
TP53 Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy). AND
- Previous Testing:
  o No previous sequencing of TP53, and
  o No previous duplication/deletion analysis, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Classic Li-Fraumeni syndrome when ALL of the following are present:
    - Combination of an individual diagnosed less than age 45 years of age with a sarcoma; and
    - First-degree relative diagnosed less than 45 years of age with cancer; and
    - An additional first- or second-degree relative in the same lineage with cancer diagnosed less than 45 years of age, or a sarcoma at any age, OR
  o Chompret Criteria (2015) are met when ANY of the following are present:
    - Individual with a tumor from LFS tumor spectrum (e.g., sarcoma, CNS tumor, breast cancer, osteosarcoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, leukemia, or lung bronchoalveolar cancer) before age 46 years, and at least one first- or second-degree relative with any of the aforementioned cancers (other than breast cancer if the proband has breast cancer) under the age of 56 years or with multiple primaries at any age; or
    - Individual with multiple tumors (except multiple breast tumors), two of which are LFS tumor spectrum (e.g., sarcoma, CNS tumor, breast cancer, osteosarcoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, leukemia, or lung bronchoalveolar cancer) with the initial cancer occurring before the age of 46 years, regardless of the family history; or
    - Individual with adrenocortical carcinoma or choroid plexus carcinoma or rhabdomyosarcoma of embryonal anaplastic subtype, at any age of onset, regardless of the family history, OR
  o Early onset breast cancer
    - Individual with breast cancer diagnosed before 31 years of age
- Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  o One or more biologic relatives (1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree) with a clinical diagnosis of LFS/LFL (according to criteria above) and no known family mutation or no testing to date, OR

TP53 Deletion/Duplication Analysis †

- Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
- No previous deletion analyses of TP53, and
- No mutation detected on full sequencing of TP53.

**References**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APC Sequencing</td>
<td>81201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF V600 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT Targeted Sequence Analysis</td>
<td>81272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT D816 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS Exon 2 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS Targeted Mutation Analysis, Additional Variants</td>
<td>81276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT Promoter Methylation Analysis</td>
<td>81287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Sequencing</td>
<td>81298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS Exon 2 and Exon 3 Analysis</td>
<td>81311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFRA Targeted Sequence Analysis</td>
<td>81314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN Sequencing</td>
<td>81321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Organ Neoplasm Molecular Profiling</td>
<td>81445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Organ or Hematolymphoid Neoplasm Molecular Profiling - Expanded</td>
<td>81455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Molecular Tumor Marker Test</td>
<td>81400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Is Liquid Biopsy Testing?

- The use of cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) to identify genetic mutations present in a tumor is also referred to as a liquid biopsy.
- The National Cancer Institute defines a liquid biopsy as “a test done on a sample of blood to look for cancer cells from a tumor that are circulating in the blood or for pieces of DNA from tumor cells that are in the blood. A liquid biopsy may be used to help find cancer at an early stage. It may also be used to help plan treatment or to find out how well treatment is working or if cancer has come back. Being able to take multiple samples of blood over time may also help doctors understand what kind of molecular changes are taking place in a tumor.”
- Cell-free circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) is released into circulation by tumors. It can be found in various substances, including blood, urine, saliva, etc.
- Analysis of ctDNA is performed to help identify indicators of disease recurrence or disease progression. It can also help to determine if a specific treatment is indicated.
- Liquid biopsies can be used to more easily obtain serial sampling of a tumor. This is particularly useful since genetic mutations that are used in treatment decisions can change as the tumor progresses. ctDNA is also thought to be a more representative sample of the entire tumor genome as well as any metastases that may be present.
- Traditional methods of performing biopsies on tumor tissue pose the following problems:
  - Biopsies are invasive, involve risks, are typically costly, and are typically difficult to obtain.
Treatment decisions often rely on one single biopsy, while tumors are usually heterogeneous in nature, tumor characteristics can evolve, and information regarding metastases may not be known.\(^1\)

- The use of liquid biopsies can help overcome some of the above problems with traditional biopsies since they can be completed non-invasively.
- This policy will only address the use of ctDNA as a liquid biopsy in solid tumors. Although circulating tumor cells (CTCs) can be used to help obtain information about a person’s cancer prognosis and treatment options, this policy also does not address CTCs. For information on coverage for CTC assays, please see the policy titled CellSearch Circulating Tumor Cell Count for Breast Cancer Prognosis. This policy also does not address the use of ctDNA as a liquid biopsy in hematological malignancies.

Test Information
- Testing methodology relies on the presence of ctDNA in circulation.
- Various laboratories have recently developed liquid biopsy tests (e.g. Guardant Health, Trovagene, Biocept, Transgenomic)
- Different methodologies are used to detect ctDNA in blood. These include spectrophotometric methods, fluorescent dyes, or quantitative PCR-based methods.\(^1\)
- Once ctDNA is detected, it is typically analyzed by one of the following methods:
  - Standard testing methodologies, such as PCR or sequencing, are used to identify targeted mutations. In order to detect targeted mutations in ctDNA, the genetic changes present in the tumor need to be known.\(^1\)
  - Methodologies, such as NGS-based approaches or array-CGH, are used to identify untargeted mutations. These include whole genome sequencing or whole exome sequencing. Use of these approaches allows testing with no knowledge of genetic mutations that are present in the tumor.\(^1\)

Guidelines and Evidence
- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017) states the following in regards to liquid biopsies for EGFR T790M testing in patients with non-small cell lung cancer:\(^4\)
  - In patients with a sensitizing EGFR mutation at progression, tissue biopsy testing for EGFR T790M testing should be performed.
  - “Recent data suggest that plasma genotyping (also known as liquid biopsy or plasma biopsy) may be considered instead of tissue biopsy to detect whether patients have T790M; however, if the plasma biopsy is negative, then tissue biopsy is recommended if feasible.”
- Many laboratories are developing liquid biopsies assays. For many of these assays, analytical validity studies have been performed; however, data regarding the clinical validity and clinical utility of these tests is still emerging.\(^3,5-9\)
- The TRACERx study (Tracking Non-small cell lung cancer evolution through therapy (Rx)) is a large, prospective clinical trial being conducted to evaluate “the
relationship between intra-tumor heterogeneity and clinical outcome following surgery and adjuvant therapy." Researchers plan to analyze patient’s tumors before surgery and multiple times after surgery during their treatment regimen. Tumor tissue and ctDNA in patient’s blood will be examined in approximately 840 patients with NSCLC. This trial is expected to continue until 2023.  

Criteria

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.

Criteria: Test-specific Policies

Test-specific policies may be available for some specific liquid biopsy tests. Please see the policy manual for a list of test-specific policies. For tests without a specific policy, use this policy for guidance.
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Long QT Syndrome Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long QT Syndrome Sequencing Multigene Panel</td>
<td>81413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long QT Syndrome Deletion/Duplication Panel</td>
<td>81414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANK2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASQ2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAV3 Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNE1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNE2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNH2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNJ2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNQ1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYR2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN5A Sequencing</td>
<td>81407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANK2 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASQ2 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAV3 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNE1 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNE2 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNH2 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNJ2 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNQ1 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYR2 Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN5A Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Long QT Syndrome?

- Signs and symptoms of long QT syndrome (LQTS) are variable, but may include a prolonged QT interval on an electrocardiogram, *torsades de pointes*, syncope, seizures, cardiac arrest, and sudden cardiac death.\(^1\,^2\)
- Symptoms typically occur in young individuals who are otherwise healthy.\(^1\) Certain events — such as exercise, emotional stress, a startle, or sleep — can trigger arrhythmia in individuals with LQTS.\(^1\) Patients are recommended to avoid these activities when possible.\(^1\)
- Screening for LQTS is by electrocardiography (ECG or EKG), and sometimes includes an ambulatory ECG (Holter monitor), and/or an exercise- or medication-induced stress test.\(^1\,^3\) In many cases, the diagnosis of LQTS can be made based
Long QT Syndrome

on personal and family history and clinical findings. However, approximately 10-40% of LQTS patients will not have diagnostic ECG changes.

- LQTS is caused by mutations in a number of genes, most of which are related to the functioning of sodium or potassium ion channels in the heart. Testing may offer prognostic information in some cases, as specific genes and even specific mutations within those genes may have some correlation to risk for sudden death, effectiveness of beta-blocker therapy, and preventive strategies.

- Several forms of LQTS exist. The autosomal dominant Romano-Ward syndrome is the most common form, with a prevalence of 1 in 3000 to 1 in 5000. It affects all ethnic groups. All forms of LQTS are estimated to affect at least 1 in 2500 people.

- Genetic LQTS must be differentiated from acquired LQTS, which can be caused by exposure to certain medications, certain heart conditions, bradycardia, electrolyte imbalances, dietary deficiencies, or intracranial disease.

Test Information

- Genetic testing for LQTS is typically performed with a sequencing panel. Commercially available genetic testing exists for the AKAP9, ANK2, CACNA1C, CAV3, CALM1, CALM2, CALM3, KCNE1, KCNE2, KCNH2, KCNJ2, KCNJ5, KCNQ1, SCN4B, SCN5A, SNTA1, and TRDN genes associated with LQTS.

- Deletion/duplication testing for the AKAP9, ANK2, CACNA1C, CAV3, KCNE1, KCNE2, KCNH2, KCNJ2, KCNJ5, KCNQ1, SCN4B, SCN5A, SNTA1 genes is also available.

- Once the causative mutation has been identified in a family member, other at-risk relatives only need to be tested for that mutation — not a panel of genes. Testing by known familial mutation analysis is greater than 99% accurate.

Guidelines and Evidence

A 2013 expert consensus statement from the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), and the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society incorporates genetic test results into the recommended diagnostic criteria:

- LQTS is diagnosed:
  - In the presence of an LQTS risk score ≥3.5 in the absence of a secondary cause for QT prolongation and/or
  - In the presence of an unequivocally pathogenic mutation in one of the LQTS genes or
  - In the presence of a corrected QT interval for heart rate using Bazett’s formula (QTc) ≥500 ms in repeated 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) and in the absence of a secondary cause for QT prolongation.

- LQTS can be diagnosed in the presence of a QTc between 480 and 499 ms in repeated 12-lead ECGs in a patient with unexplained syncope in the absence of...
a secondary cause for QT prolongation and in the absence of a pathogenic mutation.

A 2011 expert consensus statement from the **Heart Rhythm Society (HRS)** and the **European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)** makes the following recommendations regarding genetic testing:

- “Comprehensive or LQT1-3 (KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) targeted LQTS genetic testing is recommended for any patient in whom a cardiologist has established a strong clinical index of suspicion for LQTS based on examination of the patient’s clinical history, family history, and expressed electrocardiographic (resting 12-lead ECGs and/or provocative stress testing with exercise or catecholamine infusion) phenotype.” [Class I, "is recommended"]

- “Comprehensive or LQT1-3 (KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) targeted LQTS genetic testing is recommended for any asymptomatic patient with QT prolongation in the absence of other clinical conditions that might prolong the QT interval (such as electrolyte abnormalities, hypertrophy, bundle branch block, etc., i.e., otherwise idiopathic) on serial 12-lead ECGs defined as QTc>480ms (prepuberty) or >500ms (adults).” [Class I, "is recommended"]

- “Comprehensive or LQT1-3 (KCNQ1, KCNH2, and SCN5A) targeted LQTS genetic testing may be considered for any asymptomatic patient with otherwise idiopathic QTc values>460ms (prepuberty) or >480ms (adults) on serial 12-lead ECGs.” [Class IIb "may be considered"]

- Mutation specific genetic testing is recommended for family members and other appropriate relatives subsequently following the identification of the LQTS-causative mutation in an index case.” [Class I, "is recommended"]

Older **American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association/European Society of Cardiology (2006)** guidelines on the management of ventricular arrhythmias made no specific evidence-based recommendations about genetic testing for LQTS, but do state:

- "[Genetic testing is] useful for risk stratification and for making therapeutic decisions," and they highlight the benefit for identifying family members for counseling and preventative management. They conclude: "Although genetic analysis is not yet widely available, it is advisable to try to make it accessible to LQTS patients.”

**Criteria**

**Long QT Syndrome Known Familial Mutation Analysis**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for Long QT Syndrome inclusive of known family mutation, AND

- Diagnostic and Predisposition Testing:
Long QT Syndrome family mutation identified in 1st degree relative(s).
(Note: 2nd or 3rd degree relatives may be considered when 1st degree relatives are unavailable or unwilling to be tested), AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

Long QT Syndrome Sequencing or Multigene Panel

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous genetic testing for Long QT Syndrome, AND

• Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Clinical signs indicating moderate to high pre-test probability of Long QT syndrome, but diagnosis cannot be made with certainty by other methods (i.e. Schwartz criteria of 2-3), or
  o Confirmation of prolonged QTc or T-wave abnormalities [>460ms (prepuberty) or >480ms (adults)] on serial 12-lead ECGs, or during pharmacologic provocation testing and acquired cause has been ruled out, or
  o A prolonged or borderline prolonged QT interval on ECG or Holter monitor and acquired cause has been ruled out, or
  o Profound congenital bilateral sensorineural hearing loss and prolonged QTc, OR

• Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  o Biologic relative(s) (1st degree) diagnosed with LQTS clinically whose genetic diagnosis is unknown, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Long QT Syndrome Deletion/Duplication Analysis

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No mutation identified with long QT full gene sequence analysis, or
  o Neither or only one mutation in KCNQ1 or KCNE1 identified in an individual with profound congenital bilateral sensorineural hearing loss and prolonged QTc, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy
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What Is Lynch Syndrome (HNPCC)?

- Lynch syndrome, also called hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC), is the most common known hereditary cause of colon cancer and endometrial cancer. It affects approximately 1 in 35 colorectal and endometrial cancer patients and around 1 in 370 individuals in the general population. Lynch syndrome accounts for 2-4% of all colorectal cancer cases.¹⁻³
- Lynch syndrome is associated with a high lifetime risk for colorectal cancer (up to 82%) and endometrial cancer (15-60%), diagnosed at an earlier than usual age.⁴,⁵ The risk is also increased for small bowel, stomach, ovarian, pancreatic, ureteral and renal pelvis, biliary tract, brain, sebaceous adenoma, and keratoacanthoma tumors.¹,⁵ The average ages of diagnosis for colorectal, endometrial, and gastric cancers are 44-61, 48-62, and 56 years, respectively.⁴ Ovarian cancer diagnoses are typically earlier, with an average age of diagnosis of 42.5 years, roughly one-third of cases being diagnosed before the age of 40.⁴
- Lynch syndrome includes the variants Muir-Torre syndrome (one or more Lynch syndrome-associated cancers and sebaceous neoplasms of the skin) and Turcot syndrome (Lynch syndrome with glioblastoma).⁴
- Lynch syndrome should be suspected when the personal and/or family cancer history meets the Revised Bethesda Guidelines or the Amsterdam II Criteria (see table below).⁶,⁷
- Lynch syndrome is an autosomal dominant syndrome that is associated with a germline mutation in one of at least five genes: MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, and EPCAM. Children of an affected individual have a 50% risk to inherit a mutation.⁴

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known Familial Variant Not Otherwise Specified</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Sequencing</td>
<td>81298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPCAM Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Lynch syndrome mutations inherited in an autosomal recessive manner cause constitutional MMR deficiency syndrome (CMMR-D). Testing for CMMR-D is not addressed in this summary.\(^4,5\)

**Test Information**

- Lynch syndrome is caused by mutations in any one of at least the following five genes:\(^4,8\)
  - MLH1 accounts for 32%-50% of Lynch syndrome-causing mutations. Sequencing identifies most mutations. An additional 5% can only be identified by deletion/duplication analysis.
  - MSH2 accounts for 38%-40% of Lynch syndrome-causing mutations. Most are found by sequencing, but 20% are detectable only by deletion/duplication analysis.
  - MSH6 accounts for 7%-10% of Lynch syndrome-causing mutations. Most will be found by sequencing, but an estimated 7% are detectable only by deletion/duplication analysis.
  - PMS2 accounts for <5% of Lynch syndrome-causing mutations. Most are found by sequencing, but 20% are only detectable by deletion/duplication analysis.
  - EPCAM accounts for about 1%-3% of Lynch syndrome-causing mutations. To date, all mutations have been deletions detectable by deletion/duplication analysis (not sequencing).

- Three main approaches to Lynch syndrome genetic testing are appropriate in different clinical situations:
  - Testing those with a suspected Lynch syndrome-related cancer should begin with microsatellite instability and/or immunohistochemistry testing on tumor tissue, which is discussed separately. If these tumor tests suggest Lynch syndrome, that individual should be offered genetic testing to look for a mutation that causes Lynch syndrome.\(^1,8-10\) If immunohistochemistry studies are abnormal, those results may suggest which of four possible mismatch repair genes is likely to harbor a mutation. Otherwise, genetic testing often starts with the MLH1 and MSH2 genes because they account for most Lynch syndrome cases.\(^1,4\) If these tumor tests are normal but a strong family history of Lynch syndrome-associated cancers is present (e.g., Amsterdam criteria are met), genetic testing may still be warranted — or tumor testing in another family member with the most suspicious cancer history may be considered.\(^8\)
  - If tumor screening is not possible, direct genetic testing may be reasonable if the individual meets certain criteria (see Guidelines below). Genetic testing usually starts with sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis of the MLH1 and MSH2 genes\(^1\) because they account for most Lynch syndrome cases.\(^4\) The first person tested should be the relative most likely to have Lynch syndrome in the family.
When the family Lynch syndrome mutation is known, at-risk relatives should be tested for that specific mutation only. This is often called single site mutation analysis. Detection rates approach 100%.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The US Multi-Society Task Force *** (2014), the National Society of Genetic Counselors and the Collaborative Group of the Americas on Inherited Colorectal Cancer (NSGC/CGA-ICC, jointly published, 2012), the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016), and the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG); (2015) have practice guidelines that address Lynch syndrome genetic testing. Generally, these recommendations agree:1,8,9,11
  - Test colorectal or endometrial tumors by microsatellite instability and/or immunohistochemistry first when tissue is available.
  - Individuals with abnormal microsatellite instability and/or immunohistochemistry results (and no demonstrated BRAF mutation or hypermethylation of MLH1) should be offered genetic testing to identify a Lynch syndrome disease-causing mutation. Results from tumor testing should guide the genetic testing cascade. When tumor testing is not possible or results are inconclusive, genetic testing for an inherited mutation is indicated if a patient with a suspected Lynch syndrome-related cancer meets one of the first three Bethesda Guidelines or the family meets the Amsterdam Criteria (see tables below). If no affected family member is available for testing, at-risk relatives can consider genetic testing if the family meets the Amsterdam Criteria. However, only a mutation positive result can be clearly interpreted. Mutation negative results must be interpreted with caution; the chance of inconclusive results is high because the family mutation may not be detectable. Once a Lynch syndrome disease-causing mutation has been identified, at-risk relatives should be offered genetic testing for that specific mutation.

- The Society of Gynecologic Oncology recommends “all women who are diagnosed with endometrial cancer should undergo systematic clinical screening for Lynch syndrome (review of personal and family history) and/or molecular screening. Molecular screening of endometrial cancer for Lynch syndrome is the preferred strategy when resources are available.” Universal molecular tumor testing for either all endometrial cancer or cancers diagnosed at age less than 60, regardless of personal or family cancer history, is a sensitive strategy for identifying women with Lynch syndrome.12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised Bethesda Guidelines</th>
<th>Amsterdam II Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consider Lynch syndrome tumor screening if ANY ONE of the following are met:</td>
<td>Lynch syndrome is likely when ALL of the following are met:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Colorectal cancer diagnosed before age 50</td>
<td>- There are at least three relatives with Lynch syndrome associated tumors **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Presence of synchronous or metachronous colorectal cancer, or</td>
<td>- One affected relative is a first-degree relative (parent, sibling, child) of the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revised Bethesda Guidelines

Amsterdam II Criteria

**Consider Lynch syndrome tumor screening if ANY ONE of the following are met:**

- Colorectal cancer diagnosed before age 50
- Presence of synchronous or metachronous colorectal cancer, or

Lynch syndrome is likely when ALL of the following are met:

- There are at least three relatives with Lynch syndrome associated tumors **
- One affected relative is a first-degree relative (parent, sibling, child) of the...
other Lynch syndrome-associated tumors **, regardless of age
- Microsatellite unstable (MSI-H) tumor pathology before age 60 (e.g., tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, Crohn's-like lymphocytic reaction, mucinous/signet-ring differentiation, medullary growth pattern, or other reported features)
- At least one first-degree relative (parent, sibling, child) with a Lynch syndrome-related tumor **, one of whom was diagnosed before age 50
- At least two first- or second-degree relatives with Lynch syndrome-related tumors ** at any age

**Lynch syndrome-associated tumors include colorectal, endometrial, small bowel, stomach, ovarian, pancreatic, ureteral and renal pelvis, biliary tract, brain tumors (usually glioblastomas associated with Turcot syndrome variant), sebaceous adenomas, and keratoacantheromas (associated with Muir-Torre syndrome variant).

***“The Multi-Society Task Force is composed of gastroenterology specialists with a special interest in CRC, representing the following major gastroenterology professional organizations: American College of Gastroenterology, American Gastroenterological Association Institute, and the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Also, experts on LS from academia and private practice were invited authors of this guideline. Representatives of the Collaborative Group of the Americas on Inherited Colorectal Cancer and the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons also reviewed this manuscript. In addition to the Task Force and invited experts, the practice committees and Governing Boards of the American Gastroenterological Association Institute, American College of Gastroenterology, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy reviewed and approved this document.”

Criteria

Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous testing for inherited Lynch syndrome mutations, AND
- Family History:
  - Known MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, or EPCAM mutation in a close blood relative (1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree)
- Age- 18 years and older, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
Gene Sequencing and/or Deletion/Duplication Analysis of MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, or EPCAM

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - Gene requested has not been tested previously by the same methodology (i.e., sequencing or deletion/duplication analysis), AND
- Age: 18 years or older, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals\(^1,13\)
  - Personal history of colorectal cancer (or other Lynch syndrome-related tumor\(^\alpha\)), and
  - If colorectal cancer (see figure A):
    - MSI testing of tumor tissue shows MSI-high, or
    - IHC testing of tumor tissue detects absence of MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and/or PMS2 encoded protein products, and
    - BRAF mutation analysis and/or MLH1 hypermethylation analysis performed if indicated (according to figure A) and not consistent with sporadic CRC (sporadic CRC is likely when the tumor has MLH1 promoter hypermethylation and/or the BRAF V600E mutation.), or
  - If other LS-associated tumor:
    - Endometrial cancer diagnosed before age 50, or
    - Presence of synchronous or metachronous Lynch syndrome-associated tumors, regardless of age, or
    - Amsterdam II criteria are met:
      - \(\geq 3\) close blood relatives (1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree) with Lynch syndrome-associated tumor (symptomatic member can be one of the three), and
      - One should be a first-degree relative of the other two, and
      - \(\geq 2\) successive generations affected, and
      - \(\geq 1\) diagnosed before age 50, OR
- Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:\(^1\)
  - \(\geq 3\) close blood relatives (1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree) with Lynch syndrome-associated tumor, where Amsterdam II criteria are met:
    - One should be a first degree relative of the other two, and
    - \(\geq 2\) successive generations affected, and
    - \(\geq 1\) diagnosed before age 50, and
    - Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) ruled out, and
  - IHC and/or LS genetic testing results from affected family member are unavailable, or
  - 5% or greater risk of Lynch syndrome based on one of the following mutations prediction models (MMRPro, PREMM[1,2,6], or MMRPredict)\(^1,10,11\), AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy, AND
- Testing algorithm as outlined in Figure A or Figure B must be followed for payment of claim

Lynch syndrome-associated tumors include colorectal, endometrial, small bowel, stomach, ovarian, pancreatic, ureteral and renal pelvis, biliary tract, brain/CNS tumors (usually glioblastomas associated with Turcot syndrome variant), sebaceous adenomas, and keratoacanthomas (associated with Muir-Torre syndrome variant).

Lynch syndrome genetic testing for those with colorectal cancer is generally not indicated in the absence of abnormal MSI and/or IHC results on the colorectal tumor. MSI and/or IHC became part of the standard NCCN recommended evaluation for all people with colorectal cancer under the age of 70 (at a minimum) in May 2013. As a result, most people affected with colorectal cancer who are appropriate candidates for Lynch syndrome testing should have access to MSI and/or IHC. Lynch syndrome genetic testing without MSI and/or IHC results will only be considered necessary in extenuating circumstances and will require medical necessity review.
Lynch Syndrome Genetic Testing

Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals

Lynch Syndrome/HNPCC Immunohistochemistry (IHC) Testing and/or Microsatellite Instability (MSI) Testing

 MSI - High Normal IHC or No Results

 MSI - Low or Stable IHC Normal No Further Testing Required

Loss of MLH1 Expression

MLH1 & MSH2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

MSH6 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

EPCAM Deletion/Duplication

PMS2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

MLH1 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

BRAF V600E

No Mutation Detected

MLH1 & MSH2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

EPCAM Deletion/Duplication

PMS2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

MLH1 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

BRAF Mutation Positive with Significant Family History or Early Age of Onset.

MLH1 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

PMS2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

PMS2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

MLH1 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

BRAF V600E or MLH1 Promoter Methylation Study

Loss of MLH1 and MSH6 Expression

MSH6 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

MLH1 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

MLH1 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

PMS2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

BRAF Mutation Positive with Significant Family History or Early Age of Onset.
"Individuals with abnormal MSI and/or IHC tumor results and no germline mutation detected in the corresponding gene(s) may still have undetected Lynch syndrome. At this time, no consensus has been reached as to whether these patients should be managed as Lynch syndrome or managed based on personal/family history. Growing evidence suggests that the majority of these individuals with abnormal tumor results and no germline mutation found have double somatic mutations/changes in the MMR genes. Although the efficacy has not yet been proven, genetic testing of the corresponding gene(s) could be performed on tumor DNA to assess for somatic mutations. Individuals found to have double somatic mutations/changes in the MMR genes likely do not have Lynch syndrome and management should be based on personal/family history."¹
Figure B

Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals

MLH1 & MSH2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

MSH6 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

PMS2 Sequencing and Deletion/Duplication

No Mutation Detected

EPCAM Deletion/Duplication
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What Is Lynch Syndrome Tumor Screening?

- Lynch syndrome, also called hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC), is the most common known hereditary cause of colon and endometrial cancer. It affects approximately 1 in 35 colorectal and endometrial cancer patients and around 1 in 370 individuals in the general population. Lynch syndrome accounts for 2-4% of all colorectal cancer cases.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\)

- Lynch syndrome is associated with a high lifetime risk for colorectal cancer (up to 82%) and endometrial cancer (15-60%), diagnosed at an earlier than usual age. The risk is also increased for small bowel, stomach, ovarian, pancreatic, ureteral and renal pelvis, biliary tract, brain, sebaceous adenoma, and keratoacanthoma tumors.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\)

- Lynch syndrome is caused by mutations in the following mismatch repair genes: MLH1 and MSH2 (together account for 90% of HNPCC mutations), MSH6 (up to 10%), and PMS2 (<5%).\(^4\) An additional gene called EPCAM (or TACSTD1), was found to account for about 1% of Lynch syndrome cases.\(^4\)

- Lynch syndrome gene mutations are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner (children of an affected individual have a 50% risk to inherit a mutation), but family history alone is unreliable for identifying Lynch syndrome cases.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\) Lynch syndrome mutations inherited in an autosomal recessive manner cause Constitutional MMR-Deficiency syndrome (CMMR-D).\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\)

- Individuals with colorectal or endometrial cancer due to Lynch syndrome often have abnormal immunohistochemistry (IHC) and/or microsatellite instability (MSI) results on their tumors. These tests have good sensitivity and can identify individuals at sufficient risk for Lynch syndrome to warrant follow-up genetic testing.\(^1\)

- Most often, tumor screening is offered to those with cancer and a family history that suggests Lynch syndrome (see guidelines below).\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\)

- Identifying at-risk individuals is necessary for appropriate surveillance and risk reduction.\(^1\)
Test Information

- Both immunohistochemistry and microsatellite instability evaluate formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor tissue for evidence of mismatch repair defects. Lynch syndrome is caused by mutations in mismatch repair genes.
  - **Immunohistochemistry (IHC)** detects the presence or absence of MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, +/- PMS2 mismatch repair proteins.\(^1\,^5\) Most Lynch syndrome-causing mutations result in protein truncation or absent protein expression\(^7\), which leads to abnormal IHC staining. As a result, IHC will detect an estimated 83%-94% of underlying Lynch syndrome mutations in colorectal tumors.\(^2\,^8\) IHC has the distinct benefit of identifying the gene most likely to have a mutation.\(^4\,^8\) DNA testing can then be targeted to that specific gene.
  - **Microsatellite Instability (MSI)** compares normal and tumor tissue to detect microsatellite (stretches of repetitive DNA) size changes. Lynch syndrome mutations often cause the size of microsatellites to be unstable.\(^3\) When tumor tissue shows high microsatellite instability (MSI-H), it is indirect evidence of an underlying Lynch syndrome gene mutation. Depending on the panel of MSI markers, 80-91% of MLH1 and MSH2 mutations and 55-77% of MSH6 and PMS2 mutations will be detected by MSI testing.\(^2\)

- No specific tumor screening strategy has been recommended, but studies suggest that both MSI and IHC are cost-effective.\(^1\,^2\)
- MSI and IHC together have better sensitivity for HNPCC than either test alone\(^4\), and may be used simultaneously or sequentially.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The **National Comprehensive Cancer Network** (NCCN, 2016) has published practice guidelines that address MSI and IHC tumor screening for Lynch syndrome:\(^1\)
  - Routine tumor testing for Lynch syndrome is supported either for all CRC patients or CRC patients diagnosed at < 70 years and also those ≥70 years who meet the Bethesda guidelines.
  - "IHC and/or MSI screening of all colorectal and endometrial cancers (usually from surgical resection but may be performed on biopsies) regardless of age at diagnosis or family history, has been implemented at some centers to identify individuals at risk for Lynch syndrome. This approach was recently endorsed for colorectal cancer by the Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention Working Group from the CDC and shown to be cost effective."
  - “An alternative approach is to test all patients with CRC diagnosed prior to age 70 years plus patients diagnosed at older ages who meet the Bethesda guidelines. This approach gave a sensitivity of 95.1% (95%CI, 89.8-99.0%) and a specificity of 95.5% (95%CI, 94.7-96.1%). This level of sensitivity was better than that of both the revised Bethesda and Jerusalem (testing all patients diagnosed with CRC at age <70)
While this new selective strategy failed to identify 4.9% of Lynch syndrome cases, it resulted in approximately 35% fewer tumors undergoing MMR testing."

- "Endometrial cancer <50 y is not included in the revised Bethesda guidelines; however, recent evidence suggests that these individuals should be evaluated for Lynch syndrome."

- Consider Lynch syndrome tumor screening if any one of the following are met:9
  - Colorectal cancer diagnosed before age 50
  - Presence of synchronous or metachronous colorectal cancer, or colorectal cancer with other Lynch syndrome-associated tumors *, regardless of age
  - Microsatellite unstable (MSI-H) tumor pathology before age 60 (e.g., tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, Crohn's-like lymphocytic reaction, mucinous/signet-ring differentiation, medullary growth pattern, or other reported features)
  - Colorectal cancer diagnosed in a patient with at least one first-degree relative (parent, sibling, child) with a Lynch syndrome-related tumor*, one of whom was diagnosed before age 50
  - Colorectal cancer diagnosed in a patient with at least two first- or second-degree relatives with Lynch syndrome-related tumors * at any age

* Lynch syndrome-associated tumors include colorectal, endometrial, small bowel, stomach, ovarian, pancreatic, ureteral and renal pelvis, biliary tract, brain tumors (usually glioblastomas associated with Turcot syndrome variant), sebaceous adenomas, and keratoacanthomas (associated with Muir-Torre syndrome variant).

- An evidence-based recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention sponsored Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention Working Group (EGAPP, 2009) found sufficient evidence to recommend Lynch syndrome tumor screening to all individuals with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer since morbidity and mortality can be significantly improved for the patient and at-risk relatives through management changes once Lynch syndrome is diagnosed.2 Although not yet standard of care, some centers have instituted screening for all newly diagnosed colorectal and endometrial cancer.1

- A National Society of Genetic Counselors and the Collaborative Group of the Americas on Inherited Colorectal Cancer (2012) Joint Practice Guideline makes the following recommendations:10
  - "Microsatellite instability (MSI) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) tumor analyses should be performed on CRC or endometrial cancers as the first-line testing strategy for any patient being evaluated for Lynch syndrome (this includes individuals with CRC or endometrial cancer who meet Amsterdam I or II criteria or Bethesda guidelines)."
  - "MSI testing should include, at a minimum, the five markers included in the NCI panel."
  - "MSI and IHC should be performed on pretreated specimens."
"MSI and IHC can be technically challenging assays and should be performed in laboratories that have experience with these tests to minimize the possibility of false positive or false negative results."

"MSI and IHC should be performed, when possible, on an affected relative’s tumor when an unaffected patient is being evaluated for Lynch syndrome."

"Direct germline genetic testing (refers to both DNA sequencing and a technology that detects large rearrangements, insertions, deletions and duplications) may be considered on an affected or unaffected patient being evaluated for Lynch syndrome when MSI and IHC testing are not feasible."

This guideline also notes that "Approximately 25% of individuals with Lynch syndrome are not going to meet Amsterdam or Bethesda criteria so limiting MSI and IHC to individuals who meet these criteria only is inadequate and will miss a large number of individuals with Lynch syndrome."

- The Multi-Society Task Force (2014) recently published a consensus statement on genetic evaluation for Lynch syndrome and recommended that “Testing for MMR deficiency of newly diagnosed CRC should be performed. This can be done for all CRCs, or CRC diagnosed at age 70 years or younger, and in individuals older than 70 years who have a family history concerning for LS. Analysis can be done by IHC testing for the MLH1 / MSH2 / MSH6 / PMS2 proteins and / or testing for MSI. Tumors that demonstrate loss of MLH1 should undergo BRAF testing or analysis of MLH1 promoter hypermethylation.” The Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer additional endorsed utilizing The Colorectal Cancer Risk Assessment Tool to aid in identifying individuals with possible Lynch syndrome.7,11

- The American Gastroenterology Association (AGA; 2015) recommends “testing the tumors of all patients with colorectal cancer with either immunohistochemistry (IHC) or for microsatellite instability (MSI) to identify potential cases of Lynch syndrome versus doing no testing for Lynch syndrome.6

- The American College of Gastroenterology (ACG; 2015) states that “All newly diagnosed colorectal cancers (CRCs) should be evaluated for mismatch repair deficiency. Analysis may be done by immunohistochemical testing for the MLH1/MSH2/MSH6/PMS2 proteins and/or testing for microsatellite instability (MSI). Tumors that demonstrate loss of MLH1 should undergo BRAF testing or analysis for MLH1 promoter hypermethylation.”12

- The Society of Gynecologic Oncology recommends “all women who are diagnosed with endometrial cancer should undergo systematic clinical screening for Lynch syndrome (review of personal and family history) and/or molecular screening. Molecular screening of endometrial cancer for Lynch syndrome is the preferred strategy when resources are available.” Universal molecular tumor testing for either all endometrial cancer or cancers diagnosed at age less than 60, regardless of personal or family cancer history, is a sensitive strategy for identifying women with Lynch syndrome.13
**The Multi-Society Task Force is composed of gastroenterology specialists with a special interest in CRC, representing the following major gastroenterology professional organizations: American College of Gastroenterology, American Gastroenterological Association Institute, and the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Also, experts on LS from academia and private practice were invited authors of this guideline. Representatives of the Collaborative Group of the Americas on Inherited Colorectal Cancer and the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons also reviewed this manuscript. In addition to the Task Force and invited experts, the practice committees and Governing Boards of the American Gastroenterological Association Institute, American College of Gastroenterology, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy reviewed and approved this document.**

Criteria

Lynch Syndrome tumor screening may be considered for individuals with Lynch syndrome-related cancerα according to the revised Bethesda criteria and guidelines from the National Comprehensive Care Network (NCCN).1, 2

- Testing may be considered for individuals who meet ANY of the following criteria:
  - All colorectal cancers regardless of age; or
  - Endometrial cancer diagnosed before age 50, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

αLynch syndrome-related cancers include colorectal, endometrial, gastric, ovarian, pancreas, ureter and renal pelvis, brain (usually glioblastoma as seen in Turcot syndrome), and small intestinal cancers, as well as sebaceous gland adenomas and keratoacanthomas in Muir-Torre syndrome. However, “tumor testing strategies apply to colorectal and endometrial cancers. Limited data exist regarding the efficacy of tumor testing in other LS tumors.”
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Lynch Syndrome Tumor Screening - Second-Tier

MOL.TS.199.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAF V600 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Promoter Methylation Analysis</td>
<td>81288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Are BRAF Mutation and MLH1 Promoter Methylation Testing for Lynch Syndrome?

- Lynch syndrome, also called hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPPC), is the most common known hereditary cause of colon and endometrial cancer. It affects approximately 1 in 35 colorectal and endometrial cancer patients and around 1 in 370 individuals in the general population. Lynch syndrome accounts for 2-4% of all colorectal cancer cases.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\)

- Lynch Syndrome is associated with a high lifetime risk for colorectal cancer (up to 82%) and endometrial cancer (15-60%), diagnosed at an earlier than usual age. The risk is also increased for small bowel, stomach, ovarian, pancreatic, ureteral and renal pelvis, biliary tract, brain, sebaceous adenoma, and keratoacanthoma tumors.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\) Lynch syndrome is an autosomal dominant syndrome that is associated with a germline mutation in one of at least five genes: MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, and EPCAM. Children of an affected individual have a 50% risk to inherit a mutation.\(^5\)

- People suspected to have colorectal or endometrial cancer caused by Lynch syndrome generally have tumor screening studies first.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\) Tumors caused by Lynch syndrome often show microsatellite instability (MSI) and absent protein from one or more mismatch repair genes (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, +/- PMS2) by immunohistochemistry (IHC).\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^5\)

- If MSI or IHC shows signs of Lynch syndrome, the next step is usually Lynch syndrome genetic testing.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^5\)

- However, another step may be useful before genetic testing when IHC indicates absent MLH1 protein. Absent MLH1 may be caused by Lynch syndrome, but is also frequently a sporadic finding in colorectal and endometrial cancers. Additional testing can help determine whether MLH1-negative colorectal and endometrial tumors (not other Lynch syndrome-associated tumors) are sporadic or are associated with Lynch syndrome.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^5\)

- The most common cause of absent MLH1 protein is sporadic methylation of the MLH1 gene, which causes the gene to make no protein.\(^3\)

- This MLH1 methylation is often associated with a sporadic mutation in the BRAF gene (in colorectal tumors only; not endometrial).
BRAF is part of a cell signaling pathway that helps control cell growth. About 6-8% of colorectal cancer tumors have a BRAF mutation. A single mutation, called V600E (previously called V599E), accounts for about 90% of these BRAF mutations.

When MLH1 protein is absent and a BRAF mutation is present, the colorectal cancer is rarely caused by Lynch syndrome (i.e., the cancer is usually sporadic).

When MLH1 protein is absent, the tumor is negative for a BRAF V600E mutation, and MLH1 promoter methylation is present, the cancer is still generally sporadic. However, other types of mutations (e.g., MLH1 epimutations that cause widespread hypermethylation or MLH1 promoter variants) may cause this result.

BRAF gene mutations that are inherited or occur in tumors are relevant to several other diagnoses, including:
- Colorectal Cancer Anti-EGFR Therapy Response
- Thyroid Cancer Prognosis
- Noonan Syndrome

**Test Information**

For Lynch syndrome-related testing, BRAF mutation analysis +/- MLH1 promoter methylation studies are done on colorectal tumor tissue. MLH1 promoter methylation studies (not BRAF) are done on endometrial tumor tissue. Sporadic BRAF mutations do not appear to be responsible for MLH1 methylation in endometrial tumors.

When BRAF is being tested because MLH1 protein was absent on colorectal tumor IHC, most laboratories test only for the BRAF V600E mutation. However, some laboratories sequence all or part of the BRAF gene (sometimes for reasons other than Lynch syndrome screening). Targeted mutation analysis is generally less expensive than gene sequencing. Because the V600E accounts for most BRAF colorectal cancer mutations, targeted mutation analysis for this one mutation is sufficient. Results of testing for this single mutation are expected to be reliable.

BRAF mutation analysis and MLH1 promoter methylation studies may be offered as panels or in reflex options. For instance, BRAF mutation analysis may be a reflex test when MLH1 IHC results are abnormal. MLH1 promoter methylation studies may be done as reflex test if BRAF mutation analysis is negative.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

The following organizations address when BRAF and/or MLH1 promoter methylation studies should be employed in evaluating the likelihood a tumor is caused by Lynch syndrome. This section does not address who should have MSI and/or IHC tumor screening for Lynch syndrome at the time of cancer diagnosis.

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) includes BRAF V600E mutation and MLH1 promoter methylation status in their table that outlines “tumor testing results and additional testing strategies.”
For colorectal tumors that show no MLH1 protein by IHC (+/- PMS2 negative), they state "consider BRAF/methylation studies."

They recommend the following based on the BRAF results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAF V600E Mutation</th>
<th>MLH1 Promoter Methylation</th>
<th>HNPCC Genetic Testing?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Not necessary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Most likely a sporadic cancer; genetic testing only if the family history is compelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Pursue MLH1 and/or PMS2 genetic testing*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If genetic testing is negative, consider somatic MMR genetic testing.  

"Growing evidence suggests that the majority of these individuals with abnormal tumor results and no germline mutation found have double somatic mutations/changes in the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. Although the efficacy has not yet been proven, genetic testing of the corresponding gene(s) could be performed on tumor DNA to assess for somatic mutations. Individuals found to have double somatic mutations/changes in the mismatch repair (MMR) genes likely do not have LS and management should be based on personal/family history."  

The National Society of Genetic Counselors and the Collaborative Group of the Americas on Inherited Colorectal Cancer (NSGC/CGA-ICC, jointly published, 2012) guidelines state:

"Both somatic hypermethylation of the MLH1 gene (an epigenetic change) and somatic mutations of the BRAF gene have been described in sporadic CRCs exhibiting MSI and/or loss of expression of MLH1. These somatic events are rarely seen in LS CRCs and therefore may be useful in determining whether a MSI-high CRC is more likely to be sporadic."

"MLH1 promoter methylation and BRAF V600E mutation testing may help to reduce the number of germline genetic tests needed when IHC reveals absence of MLH1 and PMS2. However, NSGC and the CGAICC did not find enough data to recommend one test over the other or both concomitantly."

The likelihood of identifying a germline MLH1 with both DNA sequencing and MLPA analysis is approximately 33% when MLH1 +/- PMS2 are absent on IHC and MLH1 promoter hypermethylation is not present.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention sponsored Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention Working Group (EGAPP, 2009) published evidence-based recommendations focused on Lynch syndrome tumor screening by MSI and IHC. They include some information about BRAF mutation analysis and MLH1 promoter methylation, but do not make formal recommendations regarding these two tests.

However, the CDC website provides additional information about these guidelines. For BRAF V600E mutation analysis, they find adequate evidence of clinical validity and utility with an overall recommendation of "Sufficient evidence to recommend use for the benefit of relatives."
The CDC website does not address MLH1 promoter methylation, but an EGAPP supplemental evidence review (that accompanied the recommendations) states: "This supplemental evidence review did not involve a formal search or statistical summary concerning the literature on methylation testing. The literature suggests, however, that BRAF V600E mutation testing and methylation testing of the MLH1 promoter region among CRC cases with absent MLH1 protein might avoid similar numbers of sequencing tests with little loss in Lynch syndrome detection." 

The Multi-Society Task Force (2014) recently published a consensus statement on genetic evaluation for Lynch syndrome and recommended that "Testing for MMR deficiency of newly diagnosed CRC should be performed. This can be done for all CRCs, or CRC diagnosed at age 70 years or younger, and in individuals older than 70 years who have a family history concerning for LS. Analysis can be done by IHC testing for the MLH1 / MSH2 / MSH6 / PMS2 proteins and / or testing for MSI. Tumors that demonstrate loss of MLH1 should undergo BRAF testing or analysis of MLH1 promoter hypermethylation." 

The American Gastroenterology Association (AGA; 2015) suggests "that in patients with colorectal cancer with IHC absent for MLH1, second-stage tumor testing for a BRAF mutation or for hypermethylation of the MLH1 promoter should be performed rather than proceeding directly to germline genetic testing." 

The American College of Gastroenterology (ACG; 2015) states that "All newly diagnosed colorectal cancers (CRCs) should be evaluated for mismatch repair deficiency. Analysis may be done by immunohistochemical testing for the MLH1/MSH2/MSH6/PMS2 proteins and/or testing for microsatellite instability (MSI). Tumors that demonstrate loss of MLH1 should undergo BRAF testing or analysis for MLH1 promoter hypermethylation." 

The Society of Gynecologic Oncology recommends “all women who are diagnosed with endometrial cancer should undergo systematic clinical screening for Lynch syndrome (review of personal and family history) and/or molecular screening. Molecular screening of endometrial cancer for Lynch syndrome is the preferred strategy when resources are available.” Universal molecular tumor testing for either all endometrial cancer or cancers diagnosed at age less than 60, regardless of personal or family cancer history, is a sensitive strategy for identifying women with Lynch syndrome.

**The Multi-Society Task Force is composed of gastroenterology specialists with a special interest in CRC, representing the following major gastroenterology professional organizations: American College of Gastroenterology, American Gastroenterological Association Institute, and the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Also, experts on LS from academia and private practice were invited authors of this guideline. Representatives of the Collaborative Group of the Americas on Inherited Colorectal Cancer and the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons also reviewed this manuscript. In addition to the Task Force and invited experts, the practice committees and Governing Boards of the American Gastroenterological Association Institute, American College of Gastroenterology, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy reviewed and approved this document.
Criteria

BRAF V600E Mutation Analysis or MLH1 Promoter Methylation Status

- Previous Testing:
  - IHC testing has been performed and indicates a loss of MLH1 protein, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Personal history of colorectal or endometrial*** cancer, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

** MLH1 methylation only
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Mammaprint 70-Gene Breast Cancer Recurrence Assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unlisted multianalyte assay with algorithmic analysis</td>
<td>81599 S3854</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is MammaPrint?

- MammaPrint® is a 70-gene expression test designed to predict the chance of later-in-life recurrence of breast cancer in women with newly diagnosed, early stage breast cancer. It is FDA cleared for use along with other standard prognostic methods, such as disease staging, grading and other tumor marker analyses.

- MammaPrint is intended to assist patients and providers considering treatment with adjuvant chemotherapy. Patients assigned a "low risk" may choose hormone therapy (tamoxifen) alone and forego chemotherapy. Patients assigned a "high risk" may benefit from more aggressive treatment and choose to do chemotherapy.

- MammaPrint is designed for women with breast cancer who have:
  - Stage I or II invasive carcinoma
  - Tumor size <5.0 cm
  - Node-negative (no metastasis to lymph nodes)
  - Estrogen receptor-positive (ER+) or -negative (ER-) disease

Test Information

- MammaPrint uses a microarray platform to analyze the expression level of 70 genes in the tumor. These 70 genes are thought to be critical in the cellular pathways to cancer metastasis.

- Based on the test results, patients are assigned either a low risk or a high risk for a distant recurrence. Low risk corresponds to a 10% risk of recurrence by 10 years without any additional adjuvant treatment. In contrast, those in the high risk group have a 29% risk of recurrence by 10 years without any additional adjuvant treatment.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines for Breast Cancer state that:
"The NCCN Panel members acknowledge that many assays, including PAM50 and MammaPrint, have been clinically validated for prediction of prognosis. However, based on the currently available data, the panel believes that the 21-gene assay has been best-validated for its use as a prognostic test as well as in predicting who is most likely to respond to systemic chemotherapy."

- Evidence-based clinical guidelines from the **American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO, 2016)** state the following:
  - "If a patient has ER/PgR-positive, HER2-negative (node-positive or node-negative) breast cancer, the clinician should not use the 70-gene assay (MammaPrint; Agendia, Irvine, CA) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic chemotherapy. Type: evidence based. Evidence quality: intermediate. Strength of recommendation: moderate."
  - "If a patient has HER2-positive breast cancer, the clinician should not use the 70-gene assay (MammaPrint) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy. Type: informal consensus. Evidence quality: low. Strength of recommendation: moderate."
  - "If a patient has TN breast cancer, the clinician should not use the 70-gene assay (MammaPrint) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy. Type: informal consensus. Evidence quality: insufficient. Strength of recommendation: strong."

- **European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) 2015**:
  - "Gene expression profiles, such as MammaPrint (Agendia, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), Oncotype DX Recurrence Score (Genomic Health, Redwood City, CA), Prosigna (Nanostring Technologies, Seattle, WA) and EndoPredict (Myriad Genetics), may be used to gain additional prognostic and/or predictive information to complement pathology assessment and to predict the benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy. The three latter tests are designed for patients with ER-positive early breast cancer only."
  - "In cases of uncertainty regarding indications for adjuvant chemotherapy (after consideration of other tests), gene expression assays, such as MammaPrint, Oncotype DX, Prosigna and Endopredict, may be used, were available."
  - "In cases when decisions might be challenging, such as luminal B HER2-negative and node-negative breast cancer, commercially available molecular signatures for ER-positive breast cancer, such Oncotype DX, EndoPredict, Prosigna, and for all types of breast cancer (pN0–1), such as MammaPrint and Genomic Grade Index, may be used in conjunction with all clinicopathological factors, to help in treatment decision making."

- **St. Gallen International Expert Consensus (2015)**:
  - "The Panel considered the role of multi-parameter molecular marker assays for prognosis separately in years 1-5 and beyond 5 years, and their value in selecting patients who require chemotherapy. Oncotype DX®, MammaPrint®, PAM-50 ROR® score, EndoPredict® and the Breast Cancer Index® were all considered usefully prognostic for years 1-5. Beyond 5 years, the Panel was divided almost equally on the prognostic
value of Oncotype DX (despite the available data from NSABP Trial B-14 [32]); EndoPredict® (despite the report of Dubsky et al. [36]); and Breast Cancer Index (despite the report of Zhang et al. [37]). (All these reports show the respective tests to be prognostic beyond 5 years.) PAM50 ROR® score was agreed to be clearly prognostic beyond 5 years, and a clear majority rejected the prognostic value of MammaPrint® in this time period."

The Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP, 2009) Working Group reviewed the evidence for MammaPrint and concludes:7

- "It is unclear what population of patients would derive benefit from use of the test, and what the magnitude of that benefit would be. Prospective data from trials like MINDACT will be extremely valuable."
- "Overall, published evidence supports MammaPrint as a better predictor of the risk of distant recurrence than traditionally used tumor characteristics or algorithms, but its performance in therapeutically homogeneous populations is not yet known with precision, and it is unclear for how many women the lowest predicted risks are low enough to forgo chemotherapy."
- "No evidence is available to permit conclusions regarding the clinical utility of MammaPrint to select women who will benefit from chemotherapy."
- "To conclude, the literature on the 70-gene signature includes numerous studies that focused more on its biological underpinning and less on the clinical implications of this gene expression profile, although it has now received FDA approval for clinical use."

- The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) cleared Mammaprint for clinical use on fresh tissue samples in 2007.2 The FDA cleared Mammaprint for clinical use on FFPE samples in 2015.1
- While the clinical validity of the test has been established, data regarding the clinical utility of MammaPrint is still emerging.8-16

Cardoso et al. (2016)17 conducted the MINDACT trial (randomized, phase III trial), evaluating a total of 1550 early-stage breast cancer patients, who were considered at high clinical risk as assessed by a version of the Adjuvant! Online algorithm, and low genomic risk as assessed by the MammaPrint. Chemotherapy was administered in those patients at low clinical and genomic risk, and avoided in those patients at high clinical and genomic risk. (Patients with low clinical risk were not evaluated in the study). The primary goal was to assess whether a 92% survival rate at 5 years of follow up (lower boundary of the 95% CI) was achievable among patients with high-risk clinical features and a low-risk gene-expression profile who did not receive chemotherapy. Results showed that the rate of survival at 5 years of follow-up was nearly 95% (95% CI, 9.25 to 96.2) among those patients who did not receive chemotherapy. Study authors reported similar rates of survival without distant metastasis in a subgroup of patients who had ER+, HER2-, and either node-negative or node-positive disease. It should be noted that the study was designed to test those patients with high clinical risk with MammaPrint. Patients with low
clinical risk were not tested with MammaPrint; however, a subgroup analysis of this population showed that the 5-year recurrence risk may be too high to forego chemotherapy (low clinical risk: hazard ratio [HR], 1.17 vs high clinical risk: HR, 0.78).

- Additional well-designed studies are needed to examine the optimal patient population who could achieve the greatest clinical benefit with MammaPrint testing.

Criteria
- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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Mammostrat Breast Cancer Recurrence Assay

What Is the Mammostrat Breast Cancer Recurrence Assay?

- The Mammostrat® Breast Cancer Recurrence Assay is an immunohistochemical (IHC) assay that measures levels of five proteins in tumor tissue associated with risk of breast cancer recurrence.¹
- It is used in people with newly diagnosed, early stage breast cancer.
- The assay looks at five proteins and determines their expression levels in the tumor. The expression levels of these five markers are thought to influence whether the tumor will metastasize, increasing the patient’s chance of recurrence. These levels are then translated into a risk index, given as a percent chance of recurrence over 10 years.
- Physicians and patients may use the risk index as one factor in determining the course of treatment. Patients in the high risk category may benefit more from aggressive treatment, whereas patients in the low risk category may elect to forgo the aggressive chemotherapy.²

Test Information

- The Mammostrat assay measures the expression level of five proteins by immunohistochemistry. These markers are believed to be associated with breast cancer recurrence:³
  - p53 plays a role in cell cycle regulation. Mutations in the p53 gene are associated with tumor growth.
  - HTF9C is implicated in DNA replication and cell cycle control.
  - CEACAM5 is normally expressed in embryonic tissue, but is also found in some tumors.
  - NDRG1 may have a role in helping tumors survive aggressive treatment.
  - SLC7A5 can, when overexpressed, help sustain the high growth rate of cancer.
- These levels are then translated into a quantitative "risk index" via a proprietary algorithm, which divides patients into groups with low, moderate, or high risk of recurrence:¹
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Index</th>
<th>Risk of Breast Cancer Recurrence over 10 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- The NCCN does not specifically mention the use of Mammostrat in its most recent guidelines.
- The **American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO, 2016)** published a clinical practice guideline on the use of biomarkers to guide decision-making in women with early-stage invasive breast cancer. They recommend:
  - "If a patient has ER/PgR-positive, HER2-negative (node-positive or node-negative) breast cancer, the clinician should not use the five-protein assay (Mammostrat; Clarient, a GE Healthcare company, Aliso Viejo, CA) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy. Type: evidence based. Evidence quality: intermediate. Strength of recommendation: moderate."
  - "If a patient has HER2-positive breast cancer or TN breast cancer, the clinician should not use the five-protein assay (Mammostrat) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy. Type: informal consensus. Evidence quality: insufficient. Strength of recommendation: strong."

- A 2010 clinical study tested the assay's ability to accurately predict risk of breast cancer recurrence in a cohort of 1,812 women with early stage breast cancer:
  - "The Mammostrat markers are biologically independent of one another and measure aspects of physiology distinct from proliferation, HER2 status, and hormone receptor status already assessed by IHC assays that are standard of care. Collectively these data add support to a potential role for Mammostrat in management of early-stage breast cancer."

**Criteria**

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
  - In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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Marfan Syndrome Genetic Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBN1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBN1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBN1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is Marfan Syndrome?

- Marfan syndrome is an autosomal dominant disorder that affects connective tissue in many parts of the body. It affects about 1 in 5000 to 1 in 10000 individuals.¹
- Symptoms can present in males or females at any age and typically worsen over time. Infants who present with symptoms typically have the most severe disease course.¹
- Signs and symptoms of Marfan syndrome usually include (some combination of the following):¹
  - Cardiovascular system — dilatation of the aorta, predisposition for aortic tear or rupture, mitral valve prolapse, tricuspid valve prolapse, and enlargement of the proximal pulmonary artery.¹
  - Skeletal system — bone overgrowth and joint laxity, long arms and legs, scoliosis, sternum deformity (pectus excavatum or carinatum), and long thin fingers and toes.¹
  - Ocular system — severe myopia, dislocated lens of eye (ectopia lentis), detached retina, glaucoma, early cataracts.¹
  - Other symptoms – dural ectasia (stretching of the dural sac), hernias, stretch marks on the skin, and lung bullae.¹
- Clinical diagnosis-Ghent Criteria¹-³
  - With no known family history, a Marfan syndrome diagnosis is confirmed if any ONE of the following is met:¹-³
    - Significant aortic dilation (Z-score ≥2)/dissection + ectopia lentis*
    - Significant aortic dilation (Z-score ≥2)/dissection + FBN1 mutation
    - Aortic dilation/dissection + sufficient points from other system findings*
    - Ectopia lentis + FBN1 mutation known to be associated with aortic disease
  - With a known family history, the presence of any ONE of the following is diagnostic:¹-³
Marfan Syndrome

- Ectopia lentis
- Significant aortic root enlargement (Z-score ≥2 in those >20 years of age or ≥3 in those <20 years of age)*
- Sufficient points (≥7) from other system findings*

* Marfan syndrome can be clinically diagnosed in these cases, provided there are not other findings that more strongly suggest Sphrintzen-Goldberg syndrome, Loeys-Dietz syndrome, or vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, which have clinical overlap. Or, these conditions are unlikely based on genetic or collagen testing.

- Systemic scoring system

  - Wrist and Thumb Sign 3
  - Wrist or Thumb Sign 1
  - Pectus Carinatum deformity 2
  - Pectus Excavatum or chest asymmetry 1
  - Hindfoot deformity 2
  - Plan pes planus 1
  - Pneumothorax 2
  - Dural Ectasia 2
  - Protrusio Acetabulae 2
  - Reduced upper seg/lower seg and inc. arm span and height ratio 1
  - Scoliosis or thoracolumbar kyphosis 1
  - Reduced elbow extension 1
  - 3 of 5 facial features: Dolichocephaly, enophthalmos, downslanting palpebral fissures, malar hypoplasia, retrognathia 1
  - Skin striae 1
  - Myopia 1
  - Mitral Valve Prolapse 1

- According to the Ghent criteria, many of the manifestations of Marfan syndrome can emerge with age. Therefore, it is not advisable to establish definitive alternative diagnosis in individuals younger than age 20 years who have some physical manifestations of Marfan syndrome but not enough for a clinical diagnosis. In this circumstance, the following is suggested:1,2
  - “If the systemic score is <7 and/or borderline aortic root measurements (Z-score <3) are present (without an FBN1 pathogenic variant), use of the term “nonspecific connective tissue disorder” is suggested until follow-up echocardiographic evaluation shows aortic root dilation (Z-score ≥3).”1,2
  - “If an FBN1 pathogenic variant is identified in simplex or familial cases but aortic root Z-score is below 3.0, the term “potential Marfan syndrome” should be used until the aorta reaches this threshold.”1,2

- Diagnostic evaluations recommended:
  - Ophthalmologist evaluation with someone familiar with Marfan1
  - Evaluation for skeletal manifestations by an orthopedist1
Genetics:
- Marfan syndrome is caused by mutations in the FBN1 gene, located on chromosome 15. ¹,⁴
- Marfan syndrome is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion. Everyone has 2 copies of the FBN1 gene. If one of these genes has a mutation, it is enough to cause Marfan syndrome. It affects males and females equally. ¹
- A person who is found to have a FBN1 mutation has a 50% chance to pass the mutation to his/her children. Prenatal testing is available when the FBN1 mutation in the family is known.
- Genetic testing for Marfan syndrome typically starts with sequencing of the FBN1 gene. If negative, deletion/duplication of FBN1 should be considered. ¹
- Mutations in the TGFBR1 or TGFBR2 gene have been found in some individuals with a clinical suspicion of MFS and no identifiable FBN1 mutation. ¹,³ Mutations in TGFBR1/2 are associated with Loeys-Dietz syndrome (LDS). Some features of MFS and LDS overlap. However, people with LDS typically have a greater risk of frequent aortic dissection and rupture at smaller dimensions and in early childhood. ¹
- The presence of a mutation in the FBN1 gene alone does not diagnose Marfan syndrome. FBN1 mutations may cause conditions other than Marfan syndrome. Conversely, some people who meet the clinical diagnostic criteria for Marfan syndrome do not have an identifiable FBN1 mutation. ¹
- Approximately 25% of cases of Marfan syndrome are the result of a new genetic change (de novo mutation) in the affected person and are not inherited from a carrier parent. ¹

Test Information
- More than 1300 mutations have been found in the FBN1 gene that cause Marfan syndrome. ⁴
- **FBN1 Sequencing** identifies an FBN1 gene mutation in approximately 70-93% of people with a clinical diagnosis of Marfan syndrome. ¹
- **FBN1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis** can be performed to look for other types of gene mutations when sequencing is negative. The percentage of people with a clinical diagnosis of Marfan syndrome and a deletion/duplication mutation is unknown. ¹
- **FBN1 Known Familial Mutation.** If a FBN1 mutation is found in an affected person, other family members may be offered testing. ¹,⁵
- **Additional Testing Information**
  - **TGFBR1/2 Testing.** If a mutation is not found in FBN1 and there is a strong clinical suspicion of Marfan syndrome, TGFBR1/2 genetic testing may be indicated. Given the increased risk of aortic dissection and rupture
at smaller dimensions and in early childhood in LDS,\(^1\) it is important to confirm whether there is a mutation in one of these two genes.

- **Panel Testing.** There are other conditions which can cause familial aortic aneurysm and dissections and/or have overlapping features with Marfan syndrome. Many laboratories offer panel testing for FBN1 as well as other genes that cause these conditions.\(^1\) Detection rates of expanded panels vary by laboratory and depend on the genes included and the methods used for testing.\(^1\) A thorough clinical evaluation along with appropriate imaging studies will point to a specific diagnosis in many cases.\(^1\) Testing for conditions that are clinically indicated is most appropriate.\(^1\) Testing multiple genes, without supporting clinical features, has the potential to yield results that are difficult to interpret.\(^1\) The chance that a variant of uncertain significance will be found increases as more genes are tested. According to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, “There is no case of classic, bona fide MFS due to mutations in a gene other than FBN1.”\(^6\) Therefore, when there is a strong clinical suspicion for Marfan syndrome, genetic testing for genes other than FBN1 is typically not needed, with the exception of TGFBR1/2 testing.

### Guidelines and Evidence

- Joint evidence-based guidelines from ACCF/AHA/AATS/ACR/ASA/SCA/SCAI/SIR/STS/SVM (2010) for the diagnosis and management of thoracic aortic disease include Marfan syndrome. Genetic testing for Marfan syndrome is addressed in the following guidelines statements:
  - "If the mutant gene (FBN1, TGFBR1, TGFBR2, COL3A1, ACTA2, MYH11) associated with aortic aneurysm and/or dissection is identified in a patient, first-degree relatives should undergo counseling and testing. Then, only the relatives with the genetic mutation should undergo aortic imaging."\(^5\) [Class 1, Level of Evidence C. Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective. It is based on very limited populations evaluated and only expert opinion, case studies or standard of care.]
  - "The criteria for Marfan syndrome is based primarily on clinical findings in the various organ systems affected in the Marfan syndrome, along with family history and FBN1 mutations status."\(^5\)

- An international group of Marfan syndrome experts initially proposed clinical diagnostic criteria for Marfan syndrome in 1996, called the Ghent nosology, that gained wide acceptance.\(^7\)

- The Ghent criteria were updated in 2010 and now address the role of FBN1 genetic testing in the diagnosis of Marfan syndrome.\(^2\) They do not include guidelines about when to test for a familial mutation, but do indicate that finding a familial mutation is not sufficient evidence alone to make a definitive diagnosis, stating: "If an FBN1 mutation is identified in sporadic or familial cases but aortic root measurements are still below Z=3, we propose to use the term 'potential MFS' [Marfan syndrome] until the aorta reaches threshold."
According to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, “There is no case of classic, bona fide MFS due to mutations in a gene other than FBN1. However, current clinical molecular testing of FBN1 successfully detects mutations in such unequivocal patients in only about 90-95% of cases. For all of these reasons, searching for mutations in FBN1 continues to have a circumscribed role in the diagnosis of equivocal cases. Said differently, MFS remains, by and large, a clinical diagnosis.”

Criteria

FBN1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of FBN1, and
  - FBN1 mutation identified in 1st degree biological relative, OR
- Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregancies:
  - FBN1 mutation identified in a previous child or either parent

FBN1 Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous FBN1 sequencing, and
  - No known FBN1 mutation in the family, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Genetic testing is necessary because there is uncertainty in the clinical diagnosis, and
    - Aortic root enlargement (Z-score >2.0) and a systemic score <7, without ectopia lentis, or
    - Ectopia lentis, or
  - An individual has a clinical diagnosis of Marfan syndrome based on the revised Ghent Criteria, and
    - Genetic testing is needed in order to offer testing to family members, or
    - Genetic testing is needed for prenatal diagnosis purposes.

FBN1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Criteria for FBN1 Sequencing are met, AND
- No previous deletion/duplication analysis of FBN1, AND
- No mutations detected in full sequencing of FBN1
Marfan Syndrome

TGFBR1/2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of TGFBR1/2, and
  - TGFBR1/2 mutation identified in 1st degree biological relative

TGFBR2 Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous TGFBR2 testing performed, and
  - No mutations detected in full sequencing of FBN1, and
  - No mutations detected in deletion/duplication analysis of FBN1, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - There is a strong clinical suspicion of MFS based on the Ghent criteria (Member met testing guidelines for FBN1 sequencing)

TGFBR1 Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous TGFBR1 testing performed, and
  - No mutations detected in full sequencing or deletion/duplication analysis of FBN1, and
  - No mutations detected in full sequencing of TGFBR2, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - There is a strong clinical suspicion of MFS based on the Ghent criteria (Member met testing guidelines for FBN1 sequencing)
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MGMT Testing for Malignant Glioma
Alkylation Agent Response

What Is MGMT?

- MGMT is the O6-methylguanine- DNA methyltransferase gene, which encodes an essential DNA repair enzyme. MGMT expression in tumors causes resistance to DNA-alkylating drugs. MGMT repairs the damage produced by these DNA cross linking agents.¹
- Gene methylation is a control mechanism that regulates gene expression. If the MGMT gene is hypermethylated, its expression is absent (“turned off”) or reduced (“turned down”). With less MGMT DNA repair protein present, the tumor is typically more responsive to alkylating drugs.²
- Glioblastoma is a common and aggressive brain tumor that is often treated with alkylating drugs.² Temozolomide is a standard systemic chemotherapy shown to be effective for malignant gliomas.²
- About 40-50% of glioblastoma tumors exhibit MGMT hypermethylation, leading to increased chemosensitivity.³⁴
- Treatment of gliomas often includes resection, radiation, and chemotherapy. For frail or elderly patients, combined treatment may not be tolerated; therefore, treatment with a single agent (radiation therapy or chemotherapy) or chemotherapy with deferred radiation therapy may be considered.¹

Test Information

- MGMT promoter methylation testing is performed on paraffin embedded tumor tissue. Quantitative methylation-sensitive PCR or pyrosequencing is used to determine MGMT gene promoter methylation levels.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) stated that:
  - “MGMT promoter methylation is particularly useful in treatment decisions for elderly patients with high grade gliomas (grades III-IV).”
  - “Patients with glioblastoma that are not MGMT promoter methylated derive less benefit from treatment with temozolomide compared to those whose tumors are methylated.”
Criteria

- Testing criteria:
  - Diagnosis of glioblastoma (or gliosarcoma)\(^1\), and
  - Adjuvant temozolomide chemotherapy is being considered\(^1\), AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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Mitochondrial DNA Deletion Syndromes

What Are mtDNA Deletion Syndromes?

- Mitochondrial DNA deletion syndromes include three overlapping phenotypes: Kearns-Sayre syndrome (KSS), Pearson syndrome, and progressive external ophthalmoplegia (PEO).\(^1,2\) These three phenotypes may be observed in different members of the same family or may evolve in a given individual over time.\(^1\)
  - **KSS** is a multisystem disorder defined by three key signs and symptoms: onset before age 20 years (typically in childhood), pigmentary retinopathy, and PEO. Affected individuals must also have at least one of the following: cardiac conduction block, cerebrospinal fluid protein concentration >100 mg/dL, or cerebellar ataxia. Other findings may include short stature, hearing loss, dementia, limb weakness, diabetes mellitus, hypoparathyroidism, and growth hormone deficiency.\(^1,2\)
  - **Pearson syndrome** includes the findings of sideroblastic anemia and exocrine pancreas dysfunction. It is usually fatal in infancy. Those surviving into childhood develop features of KSS.\(^1,3\)
  - **PEO** is a mitochondrial myopathy characterized by findings including drooping of the eyelids (ptosis), paralysis of the extraocular muscles (ophthalmoplegia), and variably severe proximal limb weakness.\(^1\)
  - Rarely Leigh syndrome can manifest due to a mtDNA deletion which is characterized by basal ganglia and brain stem lesions.\(^1\)
- These conditions are caused by pathogenic variants in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). Pathogenic variants can be sporadic (not inherited) or maternally inherited. A female who carries the mtDNA mutation at high mutation load will typically pass it on to all of her children. A male who carries the mtDNA mutation cannot pass it on to his children.\(^1-3\)
- The wide variability in clinical presentation depends on how much mutant mtDNA is present in a tissue (heteroplasmy), which organs and tissues have mutant mtDNA, and how vulnerable those tissues are to impaired mitochondrial function (threshold effect).\(^1\)
- Management is usually symptomatic and supportive.\(^1\)
- An epidemiologic study of an adult population in the North East of England estimated the prevalence of large-scale mtDNA deletions at 1.2:100,000.\(^4\)
Test Information

- Diagnosis of mtDNA deletion syndromes is based on a combination of clinical findings and genetic testing.\textsuperscript{1,2}
- Findings in KSS and PEO may include elevated lactate and pyruvate levels in blood and cerebrospinal fluid while at rest, with excessive increases in blood after moderate activity. MRI can demonstrate leukencephalopathy, often associated with cerebral or cerebellar atrophy or basal ganglia lesions.\textsuperscript{1} Biochemical studies may also be performed, though: "It is important to note that biochemical abnormalities may not be present during periods when the mitochondrial disease is quiescent/dormant."\textsuperscript{5}
- Detection rate for cases of KSS and PEO by deletion/duplication analysis is 90% and 50% respectively.\textsuperscript{1}
  - In cases of KSS and PEO, the disease-causing rearrangements can be detected on a muscle specimen but typically are undetectable in blood, therefore mutational analysis is best obtained through muscle biopsy by NGS.\textsuperscript{1} The same would apply to the rare cases of Leigh syndrome.\textsuperscript{1}
  - For Pearson syndrome, the rearrangements can be detected in blood by whole mitochondrial genome amplification followed by massively parallel sequencing detecting about 90% of those affected.\textsuperscript{1,2,3}
- Any molecular genetic test for a mtDNA mutation should ideally be directed by the clinical phenotype and results of other clinical, laboratory, and radiological investigations.\textsuperscript{2}
- Genetic test results alone cannot predict the exact course or phenotype of the disease. Therefore, testing is not appropriate for asymptomatic at-risk individuals.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Guidelines and Evidence

- No specific evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines were identified.
- Case reports and a limited number of case series are the primary evidence base available for the diagnosis of mitochondrial disease. There are few prospective studies. The Mitochondrial Medicine Society developed consensus recommendations using the Delphi method and published them in 2015.\textsuperscript{6}
  - Recommendations for DNA testing
    - “Massively parallel sequencing/NGS of the mtDNA genome is the preferred methodology when testing mtDNA and should be performed in cases of suspected mitochondrial disease instead of testing for a limited number of pathogenic point mutations.”
    - “Patients with a strong likelihood of mitochondrial disease because of a mtDNA mutation and negative testing in blood, should have mtDNA assessed in another tissue to avoid the possibility of missing tissue-specific mutations or low levels of heteroplasmy in blood; tissue-based testing also helps assess the risk of other organ involvement and heterogeneity in family members and to guide genetic counseling.”
“Heteroplasmy analysis in urine can selectively be more informative and accurate than testing in blood alone, especially in cases of MELAS due to the common m.3243 A>G mutation.”

“When considering nuclear gene testing in patients with likely primary mitochondrial disease, NGS methodologies providing complete coverage of known mitochondrial disease gene is preferred. Single-gene testing should usually be avoided because mutations in different genes can produce the same phenotype. If no mutation is identified via known NGS panels, then whole exome sequencing should be considered.”

- A workshop of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (2008)\(^5\) summarizes:
  - "The diagnosis of mitochondrial diseases is complicated by their heterogeneous presentations and by the lack of screening procedures or diagnostic biomarkers that are both sensitive and specific. The workshop panelists explained that diagnosis is often a lengthy process beginning with a general clinical evaluation followed by metabolic screening and imaging and finally by genetic tests and more invasive biochemical and histological analyses. The identification of known mitochondrial mutations in tissue has greatly aided diagnosis. However, even when clinical features and family history strongly suggest mitochondrial disease, the underlying genetic mutation can elude detection, and there is no current screening procedure that would be practical for all cases of suspected mitochondrial disease."

- The European Federation of Neurological Sciences (2009)\(^3\) provided molecular diagnostic evidence-based guidelines for these conditions:
  - "If the phenotype suggests syndromic MID [mitochondrial disorders] due to mtDNA deletion (mtPEO, KSS, Pearson’s syndrome), mtDNA analysis starts with RFLP or Southern-blot from appropriate tissues. mtDNA deletions with low heteroplasmy rate may be detected only by long-range PCR. If neither a single deletion nor multiple deletions are found, mtDNA sequencing is recommended."

Criteria

**Known Familial Mutation Testing**

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing
  - No previous genetic testing in the individual for mtDNA deletion syndromes, and
  - A maternal deletion identified in the mother, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individual:
mtDNA Deletion Syndromes

- Clinical exam and/or biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of a mtDNA deletion syndrome, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

mtDNA Deletion Testing

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for mtDNA deletions*, and
  - No known mitochondrial pathogenic variants or deletions in the family, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Clinical exam and/or biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of a mtDNA deletion syndrome, and
  - Genetic testing is needed to confirm the diagnosis, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

* Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.
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Mitochondrial Encephalomyopathy, Lactic Acidosis, and Stroke-like Episodes (MELAS) Genetic Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MELAS Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT-TL1 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT-ND5 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Mitochondrial Genome</td>
<td>81460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is MELAS?

- Mitochondrial Encephalomyopathy, Lactic Acidosis, and Stroke-like Episodes (MELAS) is a progressive, multisystem genetic disease.\(^1\)
- The estimated prevalence of MELAS is about 16-18/100,000 individuals.\(^2,3\)
- MELAS symptoms can present at any age. Most cases present between 2-10 years of age, but some present between 10-40 years of age.\(^1\)
- Individuals with MELAS typically experience disease progression that results in death. Median survival time from point of diagnosis is about 16.9 years, with a subgroup of 20.8% who are more severely affected and die within 7.3 years of diagnosis.\(^1\) Overall, children and young adults diagnosed with MELAS who have classical symptoms have a shorter lifespan than older adults with milder symptoms.
- Typical initial clinical presentation includes stroke-like episodes or cortical blindness often occurring with generalized tonic-clonic seizures, and these episodes may be recurrent and associated with altered consciousness. Almost all individuals with MELAS (94%) have lactic acidemia. Individuals may also have recurrent headaches, anorexia, recurrent vomiting, possibly exercise intolerance or proximal limb weakness, Wolff-Parkinson-White, and diabetes mellitus. Short stature in children and sensorineural hearing loss in both children and adults is also common.\(^1\)
- The natural history of MELAS involves gradual impairment of motor abilities, vision, and cognitive ability by adolescence or young adulthood due to recurring stroke-like episodes.\(^1\)
- There is no cure for MELAS. Several types of treatment, however, have demonstrated benefit in affected individuals. The use of oral and intravenous (IV) L-arginine and citrulline has shown reduction of frequency and/or severity of stroke-like episodes.\(^4-9\) Both endurance and resistance exercise have been
studied and shown to increase mitochondrial metabolism. Vitamin and cofactor supplementation including CoQ$_{10}$, alpha lipoic acid, and riboflavin should be offered, and addition of folinic acid and L-carnitine should be considered, especially if there is documented deficiency.

- At-risk individuals may benefit from assessment to initiate baseline evaluations (neurology, cardiology, ophthalmology, and audiology) and potential intervention prior to exhibiting clinical manifestations. Screening for diabetes mellitus by fasting serum glucose concentration and glucose tolerance test is recommended.

- Diagnosis of MELAS is based on a combination of clinical findings and genetic testing.

- MELAS is caused by mutations in the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) that are always maternally inherited. This means that a female who carries the mtDNA mutation will pass it on to all of her children. A male who carries the mtDNA mutation will not pass it on to his children.

- Mutations in the mtDNA gene, MT-TL1, cause MELAS. A majority of affected individuals with classic symptoms, about 80%, have a specific mutation, A3243G. Other rare mtDNA mutations in the MT-TL1 gene, T3271C and A3252G, and in 9 other mtDNA genes are also associated with MELAS.

- Genetic test results alone cannot predict the exact course or phenotype of the disease. For all mtDNA mutations, clinical expressivity depends on the three following factors:
  - The relative abundance of mutant mtDNA, mutational load (heteroplasmy)
  - The organs and tissues in which the mutant mtDNA is found (tissue distribution), and
  - The vulnerability of each tissue to impaired oxidative metabolism (threshold effect).

- There is suggested clinical utility with the use of genetic testing for MELAS at the present time. Each patient and family is unique therefore it necessary to consider the case to determine the clinical utility in regards to impactful management. This may include changes to stroke treatment, treatment during illness, the use of anesthesia, the use of exercise as treatment, and the use of vitamin and xenobiotics.

**Test Information**

- The investigation and diagnosis of patients with mitochondrial respiratory chain disease often necessitates a combination of techniques including muscle histocytochemistry, biochemical assessment and molecular genetic studies along with clinical assessment. Any molecular genetic test for a mtDNA mutation should ideally be directed by the clinical phenotype and results of these other investigations.

- Targeted mutation testing for MELAS is available at many laboratories. The specific mutations included in these targeted tests can vary by laboratory.
However, they typically include the most common pathogenic variant found in MELAS, m.3243 A>G.

- The common MELAS mutations are also included on a number of more general mitochondrial targeted mutation panels (in conjunction with genes for LHON, MERFF and Leigh syndrome).
- Full sequencing of the entire mitochondrial genome can be done to identify the remaining rare mtDNA mutation in individuals affected with MELAS. Since the mitochondrial genome is highly polymorphic, this is not routinely offered unless clinical suspicion is very high and paternal transmission has been ruled out.\(^1\) If the status of heteroplasmy is of concern, next generation testing with high read depth may be preferable.
- A number of large panels sequence the mitochondrial genome in conjunction with nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes for a broad approach to testing.
- DNA testing can be performed on a blood specimen. Muscle biopsy is generally not necessary, but some labs accept blood, saliva and muscle samples.
- A muscle biopsy or heteroplasmy analysis in urine may be recommended for testing of A3243G variant in cases with a clinical presentation of classic MELAS and where the variant is not detected on blood or urine specimens.\(^1\) If the status of heteroplasmy is of concern, next generation testing with high read depth may be preferable, however certain targeted mutation analysis can detect low level heteroplasmy.

### Guidelines and Evidence

- No specific evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines for MELAS were identified.
- The Mitochondrial Medicine Society (2015)\(^4\) developed consensus recommendations for the diagnosis and management of mitochondrial disease. Testing strategies, including strategies for genetic testing, were discussed.
  - Recommendations for DNA testing
    - "Massively parallel sequencing/NGS of the mtDNA genome is the preferred methodology when testing mtDNA and should be performed in cases of suspected mitochondrial disease instead of testing for a limited number of pathogenic point mutations.
    - Patients with a strong likelihood of mitochondrial disease because of a mtDNA mutation and negative testing in blood, should have mtDNA assessed in another tissue to avoid the possibility of missing tissue-specific mutations or low levels of heteroplasmy in blood; tissue-based testing also helps assess the risk of other organ involvement and heterogeneity in family members and to guide genetic counseling. Heteroplasmy analysis in urine can selectively be more informative and accurate than testing in blood alone, especially in cases of MELAS due to the common m.3243A>G mutation.\(^2\)
Recommendations for pathology testing

- Muscle (and/or liver) biopsies should be performed in the routine analysis for mitochondrial disease when the diagnosis cannot be confirmed with DNA testing of other more accessible tissues.”

- The European Federation of Neurological Sciences (EFNS, 2009) provided molecular diagnostic consensus-based guidelines based on literature reviews:12
  - "If the phenotype suggests syndromic mitochondrial disease due to mtDNA point mutations (MELAS, MERRF, NARP, LHON) DNA-microarrays using allele-specific oligonucleotide hybridization, real-time-PCR or single-gene sequencing are indicated.”5

- The clinical utility of genetic testing for MELAS was described by a workshop of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (2008):13
  - "The diagnosis of mitochondrial diseases is complicated by their heterogeneous presentations and by the lack of screening procedures or diagnostic biomarkers that are both sensitive and specific. The workshop panelists explained that diagnosis is often a lengthy process beginning with a general clinical evaluation followed by metabolic screening and imaging and finally by genetic tests and more invasive biochemical and histological analyses. The identification of known mitochondrial mutations in tissue has greatly aided diagnosis. However, even when clinical features and family history strongly suggest mitochondrial disease, the underlying genetic mutation can elude detection, and there is no current screening procedure that would be practical for all cases of suspected mitochondrial disease."
  - "Initial screening includes testing for blood lactate, urine amino acids, acyl-carnitine profile, and MRI. "It is important to note that biochemical abnormalities may not be present during periods when the mitochondrial disease is quiescent/dormant.”

- The Clinical Molecular Genetics Society (CMGS) of UK (2008) provided practice-based guidelines for the molecular diagnosis of mitochondrial disease:11
  - In cases with strong clinical evidence, testing should begin with checking for the common A3243G mutation. Testing for the rare mutations including T3271C and A3252G is not routinely indicated unless there is strong clinical diagnosis of MELAS testing.

Criteria

MELAS Known Familial Mutation Testing

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing
MELAS

- No previous genetic testing in the individual for MELAS, and
- MELAS pathogenic variant identified in 1st degree biological maternal relative, AND

Predictive Testing for Asymptomatic Individual:
- 18 years of age or older, or
- Under the age of 18 years, and
  - Presymptomatic screening for diabetes mellitus is being considered, OR

Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individual:
- Clinical exam and biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of MELAS, OR
- MELAS causing pathogenic variant in a previous child or in the mother, AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

MELAS Targeted Mutation Analysis (A3243G)

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for MELAS, and
  - No known MELAS pathogenic variants in the family, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Clinical exam and biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of MELAS by one or more of the following:
    - Lactic acidosis both in blood and in the CSF,\textsuperscript{1} and/or
    - Muscle biopsy showing ragged red fibers,\textsuperscript{1} and/or
    - Respiratory chain enzyme studies that are consistent with a diagnosis of MELAS,\textsuperscript{1} and/or
    - Stroke-like episodes before the age of 40 years,\textsuperscript{1} and/or
    - Encephalopathy with seizures and/or dementia,\textsuperscript{1} and
  - Genetic testing is needed to confirm the diagnosis, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

MELAS Targeted Mutation Analysis (G13513A, T3271C, and A3252G)

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Criteria for MELAS targeted mutation analysis (A3243G) is met, AND
- No pathogenic variants identified in the targeted mutation analysis (A3243G)
Whole mtDNA Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Criteria for MELAS targeted mutation analysis is met, AND
- No pathogenic variants identified in the targeted mutation analysis (A3243G, G13513A, T3271C, and A3252G), AND
- Paternal transmission has been ruled out
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Mitochondrial Neurogastrointestinal Encephalopathy (MNGIE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TYMP Known Familial Mutation</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYMP Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYMP Deletion/Duplication</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is MNGIE?

- **Mitochondrial Neurogastrointestinal Encephalopathy (MNGIE)** is a multisystem mitochondrial disease.¹
  - MNGIE is typically characterized by progressive gastrointestinal dysmotility, which may present with nausea, dysphagia, reflux, early satiety, vomiting after a meal, episodic abdominal pain, bloating, and/or diarrhea. Additionally individuals may present with cachexia (a wasting syndrome), ptosis/ophthalmoplegia (drooping/weakness of the eyelid), leukoencephalopathy on brain MRI, or peripheral neuropathy (tingling, numbness, and/or pain in the extremities).¹
  - Symptoms may first occur between the first and fifth decade of life and may not appear in any particular order.¹
- MNGIE is caused by biallelic mutations in the TYMP gene and is inherited in an autosomal recessive pattern, meaning parents of an affected individual must be obligate carriers. The chance of having another child with MNGIE to the same parents is 25%.
- Prevalence of MNGIE is largely unknown but the condition appears to be rare. Approximately 120 cases have been reported.¹
- Management can be supportive, and may include assistance with swallowing difficulties, medication for nausea and vomiting, gastrostomy and parental nutrition for nutritional support, pain medications for neuropathy, and physical therapy and occupational therapy.¹
  - In individuals with advanced illness, liver transplant or allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplant, have been suggested as possible curative treatment options, although risks and benefits of these procedures must be properly weighed.²,³
  - Peritoneal dialysis has also been suggested as a method of reduction of the thymidine concentration and should be considered as an additional or alternative form of treatment.⁴
Test Information

- "The TYMP gene encodes thymidine phosphorylase, a cytosolic enzyme that catalyzes the phosphorylation of thymidine or deoxyuridine to thymine or uracil, and is thus essential for the nucleotide salvage pathway."\(^5\)
- Mutations that disrupt the function of TYMP will therefore disrupt the enzyme activity causing it to decrease and levels of thymidine or deoxyuridine to increase.
- Reduced thymidine phosphorylase enzyme activity or elevated thymidine and deoxyuridine levels are consistent with a diagnosis of MNGIE.\(^1\)
- Genetic testing of the TYMP gene can help to diagnosis a person with MNGIE.
  - The majority of TYMP mutations are detected by gene sequencing. TYMP deletions and duplications are less common.
    - Complete sequencing of TYMP for pathogenic mutations is necessary to diagnosis MNGIE.
    - If only one TYMP mutation identified or variant of uncertain significance results are returned, pursue gene TYMP deletion/duplication analysis.\(^1\)

Guidelines and Evidence

- No specific evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines were identified.
- Although not specific to genetic testing for MNGIE, the Mitochondrial Medicine Society (2015)\(^6\) developed consensus recommendations for the diagnosis and management of mitochondrial disease. Testing strategies, including strategies for genetic testing, were discussed.
  - Recommendations for DNA testing include the following:
    - "Massively parallel sequencing/NGS of the mtDNA genome is the preferred methodology when testing mtDNA and should be performed in cases of suspected mitochondrial disease instead of testing for a limited number of pathogenic point mutations."
    - "Patients with a strong likelihood of mitochondrial disease because of a mtDNA mutation and negative testing in blood, should have mtDNA assessed in another tissue to avoid the possibility of missing tissue-specific mutations or low levels of heteroplasmy in blood; tissue-based testing also helps assess the risk of other organ involvement and heterogeneity in family members and to guide genetic counseling."
    - "Heteroplasmy analysis in urine can selectively be more informative and accurate than testing in blood alone, especially in cases of MELAS due to the common m.3243 A>G mutation."
    - "When considering nuclear gene testing in patients with likely primary mitochondrial disease, NGS methodologies providing complete coverage of known mitochondrial disease gene is preferred. Single-gene testing should usually be avoided because mutations in different genes can produce the same phenotype. If no
mutation is identified via known NGS panels, then whole exome sequencing should be considered.”

- The European Federation of Neurological Sciences (2009) provided molecular diagnostic consensus-based guidelines based on literature reviews: “Sequencing of TYMP should be performed only if serum thymidine is elevated.”

- Evidence from three different peer reviewed journals provide symptoms, clinical findings, imaging, and family history suggestive of MNGIE.8-10
  - Severe gastrointestinal dysmotility, cachexia, ptosis, external ophthalmoparesis/ophthalmoplegia, and sensorimotor neuropathy.
  - Brain MRI that demonstrates abnormal brain white matter (increased FLAIR or T2-weighted signal) consistent with asymptomatic leukoencephalopathy.
  - Family history consistent with autosomal recessive inheritance.

Criteria

TYMP Known Familial Mutation Testing

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing
  - No previous genetic testing in the individual for MNGIE, and
  - TYMP pathogenic variant(s) identified in parents and/or sibling(s), AND
- Predictive Testing for Asymptomatic Individual:
  - 18 years of age or older, OR
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individual:
  - Clinical exam and/or biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of MNGIE, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

TYMP Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for MNGIE, and
  - No known TYMP pathogenic variants in the family, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Clinical exam and/or biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of MNGIE, and
  - Genetic testing is needed to confirm the diagnosis, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy
TYMP Deletion/Duplication

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Criteria for TYMP sequencing is met, AND
- No pathogenic variants or only one pathogenic variant identified in TYMP Sequencing
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MTHFR Variant Analysis for Hyperhomocysteinemia

What Is Hyperhomocysteinemia?

- Hyperhomocysteinemia generally refers to mild to moderate elevations of plasma homocysteine levels, which may be defined as 15 to 40 µmol/L.\(^1\)
- Hyperhomocysteinemia may be caused by nutritional deficiencies, various medical conditions, certain drugs, smoking, and inherited factors — such as MTHFR gene variants.\(^1\)
- The MTHFR gene encodes the 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) enzyme. MTHFR is involved in folate metabolism. The major circulating form of folate is key to converting homocysteine into methionine. Therefore, MTHFR gene variants that reduce MTHFR enzyme function may predispose one to impaired folate metabolism and ultimately mild to moderate hyperhomocysteinemia. However, homocysteine levels are usually normal if folate intake is sufficient.\(^1\)
- Both hyperhomocysteinemia in general, and MTHFR variants specifically, have been reported in association with cardiovascular disease, venous thromboembolism, pregnancy complications, and certain birth defects, such as neural tube defects.\(^1,2\) However, data is inconsistent and associated risks generally small.

Test Information

- MTHFR genetic testing looks for two very common gene variants: C677T and A1298C.\(^2\)
- Individuals who have two variants, including at least one C677T, may have an increased risk for hyperhomocysteinemia. However, the connection between these MTHFR variants, hyperhomocysteinemia itself, and ultimate disease risk remains unclear.\(^3,4\)
- Many experts suggest that measuring homocysteine levels directly is more informative than MTHFR variant testing.\(^5\)
- Note that serious mutations in the MTHFR gene (not the common variants discussed here) are rarely associated with a genetic disorder called homocystinuria.\(^2\) MTHFR variant testing will not find the mutations that cause homocystinuria.
MTHFR gene testing may be a component of panels for thrombophilia, cardiovascular disease risk, psychiatric conditions, or preeclampsia. There is insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed literature to establish clinical utility for any of these indications for testing.

Guidelines and Evidence

• As part of the Choosing Wisely campaign, the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (2015) released “Five Things Physicians and Patients Should Question,” which states:  
  o "Don’t order MTHFR genetic testing for the risk assessment of hereditary thrombophilia. The common MTHFR gene variants, 677C>T and 1298A>G, are prevalent in the general population. Recent meta-analyses have disproven an association between the presence of these variants and venous thromboembolism."

• Also as part of the Choosing Wisely campaign, the Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (2014) released “Five Things Physicians and Patients Should Question,” which states:  
  o "Don’t do an inherited thrombophilia evaluation for women with histories of pregnancy loss, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), preeclampsia and abruption. Scientific data supporting a causal association between either methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) polymorphisms or other common inherited thrombophilias and adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as recurrent pregnancy loss, severe preeclampsia and IUGR, are lacking."

• The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG, 2013) states:  
  o "It was previously hypothesized that reduced enzyme activity of MTHFR led to mild hyperhomocysteinemia which led to an increased risk for venous thromboembolism, coronary heart disease, and recurrent pregnancy loss. Recent meta-analyses have disproven an association between hyperhomocysteinemia and risk for coronary heart disease and between MTHFR polymorphism status and risk for venous thromboembolism. There is growing evidence that MTHFR polymorphism testing has minimal clinical utility and, therefore should not be ordered as a part of a routine evaluation for thrombophilia."

• The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2013) states:  
  o “Because of the lack of association between either heterozygosity or homozygosity for the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) C677T polymorphism and any negative pregnancy outcomes, including any increased risk for venous thromboembolism, screening with either MTHFR mutation analyses or fasting homocysteine levels is not recommended."

• The National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC, 2005) state that MTHFR variant testing is specifically not justified in the case of recurrent pregnancy loss based on available studies.
Criteria

This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.

- Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.

- In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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What Is MUTYH-Associated Polyposis?

- MUTYH-associated polyposis (MAP) is an inherited colorectal cancer syndrome caused by mutations in the MUTYH gene (also called MYH). MAP is estimated to account for 0.7% of all colorectal cancer.¹
- MAP clinical findings overlap those of familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and attenuated FAP (AFAP). Affected patients most often have fewer than 100 adenomas, but cases of hundreds and occasionally over 1000 polyps have been reported.¹,² Hyperplastic and sessile serrated, and traditional serrated adenomatous polyps have also been seen individuals with MAP, although adenomas remain the most common polyp type in MAP.¹,³ Duodenal adenomas occur in 17-25% of individuals with MAP and gastric polyps have been reported in about 11%.¹,³,⁴ Additionally, approximately one third of individuals with MAP have been described with colorectal cancer and no polyps or only a few polyps.¹
- Up to 26% of people who meet clinical diagnostic criteria for classic or attenuated FAP, but have normal FAP genetic test results, will have a MAP mutation.¹
- Because MAP is not clinically distinguishable from FAP or AFAP, the identification of two MUTYH mutations is required to make a MAP diagnosis.¹,⁵
- Adenomas and colorectal cancer tend to present later than FAP. The diagnosis of colorectal cancer is often 50 years (range of 45-59 years).¹,² The lifetime risk for colorectal cancer in individuals with MAP is 43 to 100% in the absence of timely surveillance.¹ There is also an estimated 4-5% lifetime risk for duodenal cancer.¹,³
- Unlike FAP, MAP is inherited in an autosomal recessive manner — both copies of the MUTYH gene must have a mutation to be affected. This means that siblings are the only relatives likely to be affected in the family history (i.e., you do not see inheritance from parent to child as with FAP).

Test Information

- **MUTYH Targeted Mutation Analysis:** Two MUTYH mutations are particularly common (Y165C and G382D) and account for over 80% of MUTYH mutations in Caucasians of Northern European descent.⁶ It is estimated that 1%-2% of the
general northern European population is a carrier for a MUTYH mutation. Some
laboratories test for only these two mutations or offer reflex options that begin
with these two mutations and proceed to full gene sequencing if two mutations
are not found.

- **MUTYH Sequencing Analysis:** MUTYH full sequencing analysis analyzes the
  entire gene for mutations. It is typically done in reflex to negative results from
targeted mutation analysis.

- **MUTYH Deletion/Duplication Analysis:** If sequencing does not find two
  mutations, large gene deletion/duplication analysis can be performed. It remains
  unknown what percentage of MAP is due to large
deletions/duplications/rearrangements in the gene and thus are detectable only
  with this technology. However, large deletions have been reported.1,7,8

- **MUTYH Known Familial Mutation Analysis:** Once the mutations that run in the
  family are known, other relatives can have testing for only those mutations. This
  is more accurate and cost-effective.

- **Multi-gene Panel Test:** A multi-gene panel that includes MUTYH and other
  polyposis genes may also be considered.1

### Guidelines and Evidence

- Guidelines from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) on High-Risk Colorectal Assessment states the following:2
  - MUTYH testing criteria:
    - "Personal history of >10 adenomas
    - Individual meeting criteria 1 or 3 (NCCN, 2016) for Serrated Polyposis Syndrome (SPS) [formerly known as hyperplastic polyposis] with at least some adenomas.
    - Known deleterious biallelic MUTYH mutations in the family"
  - SPS clinical diagnostic criteria:
    - "At least 5 serrated polyps (includes hyperplastic polyps, sessile serrated adenomas/polyps, and traditional serrated adenomas) proximal to the sigmoid colon with 2 or more of these being >10mm
    - Any number of serrated polyps proximal to the sigmoid colon in an individual who has a first-degree relative with serrated polyposis.
    - Greater than 20 serrated polyps of any size, but distributed throughout the colon"

- Footnotes:
  - "When colonic polyposis is present in a single person with a
    negative family history, consider testing for a de novo APC
    mutation; if negative, follow with testing of MUTYH (targeted testing
    for the two common northern European founder mutations
    c.536A>G and c.1187G>A may be considered first followed by full
    sequencing if biallelic mutations are not found). When colonic
    polyposis is present only in siblings, consider recessive inheritance
    and test for MUTYH first. Order of testing for APC and MUTYH is at
    the discretion of the clinician."
“MUTYH genetic testing is not indicated based on a personal history of desmoid tumor, hepatoblastoma, or cribriform-morular variant of papillary thyroid cancer.”

“Siblings of a patient with MAP are recommended to have site-specific genetic testing for the familial biallelic mutations. Children of an affected parent with MAP are recommended to have site-specific genetic testing for the familial mutation/s. If positive for one MUTYH mutation, full sequencing of MUTYH is recommended. Full sequencing of MUTYH also may be considered in an unaffected parent when the other parent has MAP. If the unaffected parent is found to not be heterozygous for a MUTYH mutation, genetic testing in children is not necessary. If he or she is found to have a MUTYH mutation, testing for the familial mutations in the children is recommended.”

“It is important to note that de novo mutations can occur in APC or MUTYH. Thus, when colonic polyposis is present in an individual with a negative family history, consideration should be given to genetic testing of APC, followed by testing of MUTYH if no APC mutation is found.”

All recommendations are category 2A.

Evidence-based guidelines from the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG, 2009) state:9 “Patients with classic FAP, in whom genetic testing is negative, should undergo genetic testing for bi-allelic MUTYH mutations. Patients with 10 - 100 adenomas can be considered for genetic testing for attenuated FAP and if negative, MUTYH associated polyposis”[Grade 2C: Weak recommendation, low-quality or very low-quality evidence].

Criteria

MUTYH Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for known MUTYH family mutation(s), AND

- Diagnostic or Predisposition Testing: 1,2
  - Two known MUTYH mutations in a sibling, or
  - Both parents with one or two known MUTYH mutations, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

MUTYH Targeted Mutation Analysis for Y179C and G396D Mutations

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous MUTYH testing, and
  - No mutation detected on APC gene testing, if performed, AND
- Individual is of Northern European descent, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:²,¹⁰
  - Clinical findings:
    - > 10 cumulative adenomas, or
    - At least two adenomas, AND
    - At least 5 serrated polyps proximal to the sigmoid colon (2 or more of >10mm), or
    - > 20 serrated polyps of any size, but distributed throughout the colon, AND
  - Recessive pattern of inheritance (e.g. family history positive for only an affected sibling), OR
- Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:¹,²
  - Reproductive partner of a person with MAP (to determine if children at risk), AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**MUTYH Sequencing**

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous MUTYH full sequencing, and
  - Two mutations NOT identified through MUTYH targeted mutation analysis (Y179C and G396D) if performed, and
  - No mutation detected on APC gene testing, if performed, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:²,¹⁰
  - Clinical findings:
    - > 10 cumulative adenomas, or
    - At least two adenomas, AND
    - At least 5 serrated polyps proximal to the sigmoid colon (2 or more of >10mm), or
    - > 20 serrated polyps of any size, but distributed throughout the colon, AND
  - Recessive pattern of inheritance (e.g. family history positive for only an affected sibling), OR
- Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:¹,²
  - Reproductive partner of a person with MAP (to determine if children at risk), AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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MUTYH Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - MUTYH full sequencing performed, and
  - No mutations or only one mutation detected in MUTYH through any previous testing (founder mutation panel or full gene sequencing), and
  - No mutation detected on APC gene testing, if performed, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:\textsuperscript{2,10}
  - Clinical findings:
    - > 10 cumulative adenomas, or
    - At least two adenomas, AND
      - At least 5 serrated polyps proximal to the sigmoid colon (2 or more of >10mm), or
      - > 20 serrated polyps of any size, but distributed throughout the colon, AND
  - Recessive pattern of inheritance (e.g. family history positive for only an affected sibling), OR

- Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:\textsuperscript{1,2}
  - Reproductive partner of a person with MAP (to determine if children at risk), AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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Myeloma Prognostic Risk Signature (MyPRS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unlisted molecular pathology procedure</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is MyPRS?

- Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignant and often incurable hematological cancer, characterized by the abnormal and uncontrolled proliferation of plasma cells in bone marrow, leading to impaired hematopoiesis and production of monoclonal immunoglobulin (Ig). The disease is responsible for about 1% of all cancers worldwide and 10 to 15% of all hematological cancers. MM usually affects older adults (median age of onset is 71 and 74 years for men and women, respectively). For the period between 2009 and 2010, the relative world-wide 5-year survival rate was approximately 45%.

- Clinical features of MM include anemia (73%), bone pain (58%), fatigue (32%), and unusual weight loss (25%). Diagnostic laboratory and clinical assessments include hypercalcemia, kidney dysfunction, anemia, and bone lesions. In general, patients are treated with autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT), along with supportive measures, such as pain therapy, administration of bisphosphonates, and irradiation of skeletal/extramedullary lesions.

- A growing body of research suggests specific genetic lesions play an important role in the tumor biology of MM. Furthermore, the high number of chromosomal aberrations and multiple changes in gene expression of these lesions has demonstrated that the underlying genetic features of MM tumor cells are responsible for the significant degree of clinical heterogeneity typically observed in this disease. Several molecular subtypes, each with a unique path of pathogenesis and clinical presentation, have also been identified. The inherent molecular heterogeneity of the disease is believed to translate into highly variable treatment responses and survival times (ranging from a few months to 15 years or more). Given the considerable heterogeneity of associated outcomes, various prognostic risk factors specific to MM have been identified to predict the course of disease, define individualized treatment strategies, predict survival, and enhance overall therapeutic decision making.

- Conventional cytogenetic methods, such as karyotyping and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), are used in clinical practice to assess disease prognosis and stratify MM patients based on recurrent chromosomal changes. Risk stratification is intended to ensure patients receive proper treatment, depending on disease severity. One available risk stratification strategy is the evidence-
based algorithm, the Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy (mSMART), used to inform treatment decisions for patients with newly diagnosed disease. However, given the heterogeneity of MM, conventional prognostic methods may not accurately estimate risk.

- The Myeloma Prognostic Risk Signature (MyPRS®) (Signal Genetics™) has been developed to estimate the underlying activity of disease progression, in patients diagnosed with active MM. The test may be used as a potentially useful risk stratification tool to predict treatment response to chemotherapy, predict risk of survival and relapse, and tailor therapy selection. Specifically, MyPRS may identify a high-risk patient group for disease progression based on the expression levels of 70 selected genes measured at baseline. It may be helpful to stratify patients into high-, high risk-borderline, low-risk borderline, and low-risk categories to optimize individual treatment.

Test Information

- According to Signal Genetics, the MyPRS test uses the Affymetrix GeneChip® 3000Dx v.2 System, a whole-genome microarray platform, and requires at least 20,000 CD138+ plasma cells in order to obtain sufficient genetic material for gene expression analysis.
- The MyPRS gene expression profiling model consists of a continuous gene score that is a linear combination of the 70 genes along with a cutoff, such that patients with a score greater than the cutoff are categorized as high risk and otherwise low risk for disease progression.
- The MyPRS prognostic score has the ability to predict a patient’s likely event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) at the time of diagnosis or after relapse. The algorithm used to develop this prognostic score was based on mathematical models using microarray technology and multivariate analysis of independent patient cohorts over 8 years of follow-up. Results of the model indicate that on a risk score of 0 (lowest likelihood of risk; good prognosis) to 100 (highest likelihood of risk; poor prognosis), a cut-off point of 45.2 discriminates between low and high risk patients.
- The test also provides results of a molecular subtype (7-class molecular subtype taxonomy), each associated with unique genetic lesions, altered genes, and outcome variation.
- Patients are provided results of virtual karyotyping to predict cytogenic abnormalities associated with MM, which is based on an 816-gene algorithm using gene expression data, and validated against multiple traditional cytogenic techniques.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2017) Clinical Practice Guidelines stated the following regarding gene expression profiling (GEP):
“GEP is a powerful and fast tool with the potential to provide additional prognostic value to further refine risk stratification, help therapeutic decisions, and inform novel drug design and development.”

The NCCN Panel unanimously agreed that although GEP is not currently routinely used in clinical practice during diagnostic workup, GEP is a useful tool and may be helpful in selected patients to estimate the aggressiveness of the disease and individualize treatment.

The NCCN Panel does not make any explicit recommendations for its use in its diagnostic and treatment pathways for cases of MM.

There is insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed literature to draw definitive conclusions regarding the analytical validity, clinical validity, and clinical utility of the MyPRS test to accurately provide prognostic risk stratification among patients who are newly diagnosed with MM or who have relapsed following treatment.

The evidence base mostly consists of retrospective studies evaluating small numbers of patients that evaluated the strength of the association between the MyPRS score with various survival measures, including post relapse survival, overall survival, and progression-free survival. Although the available studies reported significant associations between MyPRS and survival measures (patients with high MyPRS scores may be at increased risk of relapse and death), with study authors concluding that MyPRS has value as a risk stratification tool, the quality of the overall evidence is low given the retrospective study designs across the evidence base, and the lack of reported accuracy measures, including sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and clinical utility values. Furthermore, there is little to no evidence regarding the comparative accuracy of MyPRS with FISH testing or MyPRS with karyotyping. It is unknown if MyPRS can be an adequate substitute for FISH testing in patients with MM as part of the routine workup of the disease.

Future prospective studies, allocating patients to therapies determined to be most effective based on MyPRS score, with adequate sample sizes, using gold standard diagnostic and/or prognostic measures, are necessary to elucidate its role as an adjunct to existing risk stratification measures or as a stand-alone test. Well-designed clinical utility studies are also needed to assess whether the MyPRS test leads to improved therapeutic clinical decision-making and improved patient outcomes.

Criteria

- This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.
  - Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management.
Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.

- In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.
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Myoclonic Epilepsy with Ragged Red Fibers (MERRF)

What Is MERRF?

- **Myoclonic Epilepsy with Ragged Red Fibers (MERRF)** is a multisystem mitochondrial disease.¹
- MERRF typically presents with myoclonus (brief, involuntary twitching of a muscle or a group of muscles), followed by generalized epilepsy, ataxia (lack of coordination of muscle movements), weakness, and dementia.¹ Ragged red fibers (RRF) are identified on muscle biopsy pathology.¹ Other common findings include hearing loss, short stature, optic atrophy, and cardiomyopathy with Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome (a syndrome in which there is extra electrical connection in the heart at birth causing rapid heartbeat). Occasionally pigmentary retinopathy and lipomatosis are observed.¹
- Most cases present in childhood after normal early development.¹
- MERRF is caused by mutations in the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and follows maternal inheritance. This means that a female who carries the mtDNA point mutation will pass it on to all of her children. A male who carries the mtDNA mutation cannot pass it on to his children.¹ ²
- For all mtDNA mutations, clinical expressivity depends on the three following factors:¹
  - The relative abundance of mutant mtDNA, or mutational load (heteroplasmy)
  - The organs and tissues in which the mutant mtDNA is found (tissue distribution), and
  - The vulnerability of each tissue to impaired oxidative metabolism (threshold effect).
- The estimated prevalence of MERRF is approximately 0.25-1.5/100,000 individuals.¹
- Management is usually palliative. Certain antiepileptic drugs, such as valproic acid, should be avoided as they may cause secondary carnitine deficiency or can be used with L-carnitine supplementation.¹
- At-risk individuals may also benefit from clinical assessment to initiate baseline evaluations (neurology, cardiology, ophthalmology, and audiology) and potential intervention prior to exhibiting clinical manifestations.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MT-TK Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Mitochondrial Genome</td>
<td>81460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test Information

- The investigation and diagnosis of patients with mitochondrial disease often necessitates a combination of techniques including muscle histocytochemistry, biochemical assessment and molecular genetic studies along with clinical assessment. Any molecular genetic test for a mtDNA mutation should ideally be directed by the clinical phenotype and results of these other investigations.

- **MERRF Mutation Panel**: Heteroplasmic mutations in the mtDNA genes, MT-TK, MT-TL1, MT-TF, MT-TI, and MT-TP cause MERRF. Mutations in the mtDNA genes MT-TH, MT-TS1, MT-TS2, cause MELAS/MERRF overlap syndrome.
  - Approximately 90% of cases of MERRF are due to MT-TK mutations. 80% of MERRF cases are the result of a specific genetic change, m.8344A>G (formerly A8344G) in MT-TK.
  - Three additional MT-TK mutations, m.8356T>C, m.8363G>A, and m.8361G>A, are present in an additional 10% of affected individuals. These three mutations can also be associated with other mitochondrial or genetic conditions.
  - Detection rate of the four-mutation panel is about 90%.
  - "Sequence analysis / scanning for pathogenic variants is used to detect pathogenic variants throughout mtDNA and is not specific for MERRF. The overall variant detection rate for MERRF by scanning/sequence analysis of mtDNA is 90%-95%.”

- Due to its ability to simultaneously sequence the entire mtDNA and measure heteroplasmy at each position, next generation sequencing (NGS) is an option for assessing MERRF and overlapping syndromes. However, certain targeted mutation analyses can estimate heteroplasmy. Typically, Sanger sequence analysis will miss heteroplasmy below 20%.

- If genetic testing is negative in a blood sample in a person with symptoms of MERRF, testing can be done on other specimens. Typically this is done when the phenotype is highly suggestive of presence of a MERRF mutation or when there is a need to assess reproductive risk.
  - Muscle may be considered as a secondary tissue since it is clinically involved as evidenced by Ragged Red Fibers. Muscle biopsy allows enzymatic analysis of the electron transport chain, light and ultrastructural microscopy, and mtDNA copy number analysis—all of which may provide highly useful information. However, the invasiveness and procedural costs associated with a muscle biopsy are factors to consider.
  - Genetic testing can also be done on skin fibroblasts, urinary sediment, or buccal mucosa. If cultured fibroblasts are used, measures such as limited passaging and uridine supplementation should be taken to reduce selection against mutant genotypes that may lead to skewed heteroplasmy.

Guidelines and Evidence

- No specific evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines were identified.
Case reports and a limited number of case series are the primary evidence base available for the diagnosis of mitochondrial disease.\textsuperscript{4-6}

The Mitochondrial Medicine Society developed consensus recommendations using the Delphi method and published them in 2015.\textsuperscript{7}

- Recommendations for DNA testing
  - “Massively parallel sequencing/NGS of the mtDNA genome is the preferred methodology when testing mtDNA and should be performed in cases of suspected mitochondrial disease instead of testing for a limited number of pathogenic point mutations.”
  - “Patients with a strong likelihood of mitochondrial disease because of a mtDNA mutation and negative testing in blood, should have mtDNA assessed in another tissue to avoid the possibility of missing tissue-specific mutations or low levels of heteroplasmy in blood; tissue-based testing also helps assess the risk of other organ involvement and heterogeneity in family members and to guide genetic counseling.”
  - “Heteroplasmy analysis in urine can selectively be more informative and accurate than testing in blood alone, especially in cases of MELAS due to the common m.3243 A>G mutation.”
  - “When considering nuclear gene testing in patients with likely primary mitochondrial disease, NGS methodologies providing complete coverage of known mitochondrial disease gene is preferred. Single-gene testing should usually be avoided because mutations in different genes can produce the same phenotype. If no mutation is identified via known NGS panels, then whole exome sequencing should be considered.”

- The European Federation of Neurological Sciences (2009) provided molecular diagnostic consensus-based guidelines based on literature reviews: "If the phenotype suggests syndromic mitochondrial disease due to mtDNA point mutations (MELAS, MERRF, NARP, LHON) DNA-microarrays using allele-specific oligonucleotide hybridisation, real-time-PCR or single-gene sequencing are indicated."\textsuperscript{8}

- The clinical utility of genetic testing for MERRF was described by a workshop of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (2008):\textsuperscript{9}
  - "The diagnosis of mitochondrial diseases is complicated by their heterogeneous presentations and by the lack of screening procedures or diagnostic biomarkers that are both sensitive and specific. The workshop panelists explained that diagnosis is often a lengthy process beginning with a general clinical evaluation followed by metabolic screening and imaging and finally by genetic tests and more invasive biochemical and histological analyses. The identification of known mitochondrial mutations in tissue has greatly aided diagnosis. However, even when clinical features and family history strongly suggest mitochondrial disease, the underlying genetic mutation can elude detection, and there is no current screening procedure that would be practical for all cases of suspected mitochondrial disease."\textsuperscript{9}
Initial screening includes testing lactate and CSF protein levels, muscle biopsy, EEG, ECG, and MRI. "It is important to note that biochemical abnormalities may not be present during periods when the mitochondrial disease is quiescent/dormant."9

- The Clinical Molecular Genetics Society of UK (2008) provided practice-based guidelines for the molecular diagnosis of mitochondrial disease: "In cases with strong clinical evidence, testing should begin with checking for the common mutation, m.8344A>G. Subsequent testing for other mutations, such as m.8356T>C, may be indicated in cases with a strong clinical indication of MERRF."10

Criteria

Known MERRF Familial Mutation Testing

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing
  - No previous genetic testing in the individual for MERRF,* and
  - MERRF pathogenic variant identified in matrilineal relative, AND

- Predictive Testing for Asymptomatic Individual:
  - 18 years of age or older, or
  - Under the age of 18 years, and
    - Presymptomatic screening for Wolff-Parkinson-White is being considered, OR

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individual:
  - Clinical exam and/or biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of MERRF, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

MERRF Targeted Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for MERRF*, and
  - No known MERRF pathogenic variants in the family, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Clinical exam and/or biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of MERRF, and
  - Genetic testing is needed to confirm the diagnosis, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy
Whole mtDNA Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Criteria for MERRF targeted mutation analysis is met, AND
- No pathogenic variants identified in the MERRF targeted mutation analysis, AND
- Paternal transmission has been ruled out

* Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.
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Neurogenic Muscle Weakness, Ataxia, and Retinitis Pigmentosa (NARP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MT-ATP6 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Mitochondrial Genome</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is NARP?

- **Neurogenic muscle weakness, Ataxia, and Retinitis Pigmentosa** is a multisystem mitochondrial disease.¹
  - NARP is characterized by proximal neurogenic muscle weakness with sensory neuropathy, ataxia, learning difficulties, and pigmentary retinopathy.¹
  - Most cases present in childhood with ataxia and learning difficulties. Seizures may also be present.¹
- NARP is caused by mutations in the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and follows maternal inheritance. This means that a female who carries the mtDNA mutation at high mutation load will typically pass it on to all of her children. A male who carries the mtDNA mutation cannot pass it on to his children.¹,²
- For all mtDNA mutations, clinical expressivity depends on the three following factors:⁴
  - The relative abundance of mutant mtDNA, mutational load (heteroplasmy)
  - The organs and tissues in which the mutant mtDNA is found (tissue distribution), and
  - The vulnerability of each tissue to impaired oxidative metabolism (threshold effect).
- The exact prevalence of NARP is unknown.¹
- Management of NARP is generally supportive. Regular neurologic, ophthalmologic, and cardiologic screenings are recommended for affected individuals. Anti-epileptic drugs that affect the mitochondrial respiratory chain should be avoided, as they may cause secondary carnitine deficiency or can be used with L-carnitine supplementation.¹
- At-risk individuals may also benefit from clinical assessment to initiate baseline evaluations (neurology, cardiology, ophthalmology, and audiology) and potential intervention prior to exhibiting clinical manifestations.³
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Test Information

- The investigation and diagnosis of patients with mitochondrial disease often necessitates a combination of techniques including muscle histocytchemistry, biochemical assessment and molecular genetic studies along with clinical assessment. Any molecular genetic test for a mtDNA mutation should ideally be directed by the clinical phenotype and results of these other investigations.\(^3\)

- **NARP Targeted Mutation Analysis**
  - m.8993T>G (T8993G) and m.8993T>C (T8993C) in MT-ATP6 cause ~50% of cases of NARP.\(^1\)
  - If negative, whole genome sequencing of mitochondrial DNA can detect more rare mutations associated with NARP, but does not significantly increase the detection rate over testing for the common two mutations\(^1\)

- While genetic test results alone cannot predict the exact course or phenotype of the disease, severity does correlate with mutation load.\(^1,4\) The clinical course for mitochondrial diseases is subject to the concepts of heteroplasmy, tissue distribution, and threshold effect.\(^1,4\)

- Due to its ability to simultaneously sequence the entire mtDNA and measure heteroplasmy at each position, next generation sequencing (NGS) is an attractive option for assessing NARP and overlapping syndromes. However, certain targeted mutation analyses can estimate heteroplasmy. Typically, Sanger sequence analysis will miss heteroplasmy below 20%.

- Genetic testing can also be done on skin fibroblasts, urinary sediment, or buccal mucosa.\(^1\) If cultured fibroblasts are used, measures such as limited passaging and uridine supplementation should be taken to reduce selection against mutant genotypes that may lead to skewed heteroplasmy.

- If genetic testing is negative in a blood sample in a person with symptoms of NARP, testing can be done on other specimens. Typically this is done when the phenotype is highly suggestive of presence of a NARP mutation or when there is a need to assess reproductive risk for offspring with higher mutant load and risk for developing Leigh disease.
  - Muscle may be considered as a secondary tissue. Muscle biopsy allows enzymatic analysis of the electron transport chain, light and ultrastructural microscopy, and mtDNA copy number analysis—all of which may provide highly useful information.
  - However, muscle (and/or liver) biopsies are often not necessary and should be avoided when possible due to their invasive nature. Biopsies should only be considered when the diagnosis cannot be confirmed with DNA testing of other more accessible tissues.

Guidelines and Evidence

- No specific evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines were identified.
- Case reports and a limited number of case series are the primary evidence base available for the diagnosis of mitochondrial disease.\(^5-7\)
The Mitochondrial Medicine Society developed consensus recommendations using the Delphi method and published them in 2015.8

- Recommendations for DNA testing
  - Massively parallel sequencing/NGS of the mtDNA genome is the preferred methodology when testing mtDNA and should be performed in cases of suspected mitochondrial disease instead of testing for a limited number of pathogenic point mutations.
  - Patients with a strong likelihood of mitochondrial disease because of a mtDNA mutation and negative testing in blood, should have mtDNA assessed in another tissue to avoid the possibility of missing tissue-specific mutations or low levels of heteroplasmy in blood; tissue-based testing also helps assess the risk of other organ involvement and heterogeneity in family members and to guide genetic counseling.
  - Heteroplasmy analysis in urine can selectively be more informative and accurate than testing in blood alone, especially in cases of MELAS due to the common m.3243 A>G mutation.
  - When considering nuclear gene testing in patients with likely primary mitochondrial disease, NGS methodologies providing complete coverage of known mitochondrial disease gene is preferred. Single-gene testing should usually be avoided because mutations in different genes can produce the same phenotype. If no mutation is identified via known NGS panels, then whole exome sequencing should be considered.

- A workshop of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (2008)4 summarizes:
  - "The diagnosis of mitochondrial diseases is complicated by their heterogeneous presentations and by the lack of screening procedures or diagnostic biomarkers that are both sensitive and specific. The workshop panelists explained that diagnosis is often a lengthy process beginning with a general clinical evaluation followed by metabolic screening and imaging and finally by genetic tests and more invasive biochemical and histological analyses. The identification of known mitochondrial mutations in tissue has greatly aided diagnosis. However, even when clinical features and family history strongly suggest mitochondrial disease, the underlying genetic mutation can elude detection, and there is no current screening procedure that would be practical for all cases of suspected mitochondrial disease."

- The Clinical Molecular Genetics Society (CMGS) of the United Kingdom (2008)2 practice-based guidelines for the molecular diagnosis of mitochondrial disease state that: "For routine referrals for NARP, presence of T8993G and T8993C mutations should be investigated."

- The European Federation of Neurological Sciences (2009)9 evidence-based molecular diagnostic guidelines state: "If the phenotype suggests syndromic mitochondrial disease due to mtDNA point mutations (MELAS, MERRF, NARP,
LHON) DNA-microarrays using allele-specific oligonucleotide hybridisation, real-time-PCR or single-gene sequencing are indicated."

Criteria

**Known NARP Familial Mutation Testing**

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing
  - No previous genetic testing in the individual for NARP*, and
  - NARP pathogenic variant identified in matrilineal relative, AND

- Predictive Testing for Asymptomatic Individual:
  - 18 years of age or older, or
  - Under the age of 18 years, and
    - Screening for learning disabilities, retinitis pigmentosa, and/or ataxia is being considered, OR

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individual:
  - Clinical exam and/or biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of NARP, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

**NARP Targeted Mutation Analysis**

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for NARP*, and
  - No known NARP pathogenic variants in the family, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Clinical exam and/or biochemical testing suggestive, but not confirmatory, of a diagnosis of NARP, and
  - Genetic testing is needed to confirm the diagnosis, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

**Whole mtDNA Sequencing**

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Criteria for NARP targeted mutation analysis is met, AND

- No pathogenic variants identified in the NARP targeted mutation analysis, AND

- Paternal transmission has been ruled out
Exceptions may be considered if technical advances in testing demonstrate significant advantages that would support a medical need to retest.
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What Is Niemann-Pick Disease (Type A and B)?

- Niemann-Pick disease is a genetic disorder caused by an inability to process lipids (fats), which results in a toxic buildup of lipids in some organs.\(^1\)

- Two types of Niemann-Pick disease are caused by a deficiency of the acid sphingomyelinase enzyme:
  - **Type A**, also called the "neurological" or "neuronopathic" type, causes symptoms beginning in infancy. These include an enlarged liver and spleen (hepatosplenomegaly), psychomotor impairment with neurologic deterioration, interstitial lung disease, and eventually a classic cherry-red spot of the retina. Affected individuals usually do not survive beyond childhood.\(^1\)
  - **Type B**, also called the "non-neurological" or "non-neuronopathic" type, causes some symptoms similar to type A, but symptoms are usually milder and begin later. Additional symptoms include hyperlipidemia (high fat levels in blood) and thrombocytopenia (low platelets). Affected individuals can survive to adulthood.\(^1,3\)

- The SMPD1 gene encodes the acid sphingomyelinase (ASM) enzyme. Gene mutations in the SMPD1 gene lead to reduced or absent sphingomyelinase enzyme activity, causing the symptoms of Niemann-Pick disease.\(^1,3\)

- Niemann-Pick disease is suspected when a patient presents with hepatosplenomegaly, interstitial lung disease, and depending on the subtype, neurological symptoms in infancy or abnormal blood findings.\(^3\) However, a diagnosis cannot be made clinically.

- When Niemann-Pick disease is suspected, **acid sphingomyelinase enzyme activity testing should be performed first**.\(^3\) People with Niemann-Pick disease type A or B usually have less than 10% of normal ASM activity compared to healthy individuals.\(^3\) Measuring ASM enzyme activity in peripheral blood lymphocytes or cultured skin fibroblasts is a reliable way to confirm a suspected case of Niemann-Pick disease.\(^3\) However, false-negative and inconclusive results
are possible. In such cases, genetic testing may be useful to resolve a diagnosis.

- About 1 in 250,000 people have Niemann-Pick disease. Type A is more common in persons of Ashkenazi Jewish descent than in the general population. In the Ashkenazi Jewish population, the frequency of Niemann-Pick disease is 1 in 40,000.

- Niemann-Pick disease is an autosomal recessive disorder. An affected individual must inherit SMPD1 gene mutations from both parents.
  - Individuals who inherit only one mutation are called carriers. Carriers do not show symptoms of Niemann-Pick disease, but have a 50% chance of passing on the mutation to their children.
  - Two carriers of Niemann-Pick disease have a 25% chance of having a child with the disorder.
  - Prenatal diagnosis for at-risk pregnancies can be performed by molecular genetic testing (if the mutations in both parents are known).

- Individuals at increased risk to have a child with Niemann-Pick disease should routinely be offered carrier screening. This includes those with:
  - Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry (1 in 90 carrier risk)
  - A family history of Niemann-Pick disease (regardless of ethnicity)
  - A partner who is a known carrier of Niemann-Pick disease (or affected with the milder type)

Test Information

- **SMPD1 Mutation Analysis** tests for four of the most common SMPD1 gene mutations.
  - Three mutations - R496L, L302P, fsP330 - account for 97% of all cases of Niemann-Pick disease type A in Ashkenazi Jewish people.
  - The fourth mutation - deltaR608 - is a common cause of Niemann-Pick disease type B in people of North African descent.
  - Carrier screening by SMPD1 mutation panel for Niemann-Pick disease is widely available as part of an "Ashkenazi Jewish Panel" that includes several other genetic disease that are more common in this population. (See Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening.)

- **SMPD1 Sequencing** analyzes the entire coding region of the SMPD1 is available to detect less common mutations that cannot be detected on a common mutation analysis panel. SMPD1 sequencing detects more than 95% of all SMPD1 mutations.

- **SMPD1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis** is available to detect large gene rearrangements that cannot be detected by sequencing. However, the frequency of such mutations is unknown.

- **SMPD1 Known Familial Mutation Testing** can be performed for at-risk relatives when the familial mutation is known and is not one of the common mutations.
Guidelines and Evidence

- Professional guidelines generally support Niemann-Pick disease carrier screening for those at increased risk.\textsuperscript{4,5}
- Consensus guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2009) address carrier screening and prenatal diagnosis for Niemann-Pick disease:
  - "Individuals with a positive family history of one of these disorders [including Niemann-Pick disease] should be offered carrier screening for the specific disorder and may benefit from genetic counseling."
  - Carrier screening for Ashkenazi Jewish people is routinely recommended for some disorders (i.e., Tay-Sachs, Canavan, cystic fibrosis, familial dysautonomia). However, for testing of a group of other disorders more common in this population (including Niemann-Pick disease), ACOG simply states: "Individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent may inquire about the availability of carrier screening for other disorders."
  - "If it is determined that this individual [an Ashkenazi Jewish descent partner] is a carrier, the other partner should be offered screening."
  - "When both partners are carriers of one of these disorders, they should be referred for genetic counseling and offered prenatal diagnosis."
- Consensus guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics (2008) recommend routine carrier screening for a group of disorders that includes Niemann-Pick when at least one member of the couple is Ashkenazi Jewish and that couple is pregnant or planning pregnancy.\textsuperscript{5}
- No evidence-based US diagnostic testing guidelines have been identified.
- A 2015 expert-authored review recommends the following testing strategy for diagnosis of an affected person:\textsuperscript{3}
  - "The diagnosis of ASM deficiency is established by detection of either biallelic pathogenic variants in \textit{SMPD1} on molecular genetic testing or residual ASM enzyme activity that is less than 10\% of controls (in peripheral blood lymphocytes or cultured skin fibroblasts)."
  - Molecular testing approaches include single-gene testing and use of a multi-gene panel.
  - For individuals from populations in which common \textit{SMPD1} pathogenic variants occur (e.g., individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish background with a severe neurodegenerative form of the disease suggestive of NPD-A, individuals of North African descent with NPD-B, or individuals from Chile, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey):
    - Perform targeted analysis for pathogenic variants.
    - If targeted analysis does not identify both pathogenic variants in individuals from these populations, sequence analysis of \textit{SMPD1} is appropriate.
  - For individuals who are not in the populations discussed above:
    - Perform sequence analysis.
    - If no or only one pathogenic variant is identified, consider gene-targeted deletion/duplication analysis."
Criteria

Niemann Pick Type A or B Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for Niemann Pick A or B, AND
- Diagnostic and Predisposition Testing:
  - Niemann Pick A or B family mutation identified in biologic relative(s), OR
- Prenatal Testing:
  - Niemann Pick A or B mutation identified in both biologic parents, AND
  - Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Niemann Pick A or B Targeted Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling
  Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for Niemann Pick A or B
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Measurement of acid sphingomyelinase (ASM) enzyme activity in peripheral blood lymphocytes or cultured skin fibroblasts (in symptomatic individuals) with negative or equivocal result where suspicion of clinical diagnosis remains high, and
  - Hepatosplenomegaly, and/or
  - Evidence of interstitial lung disease on chest radiograph, and/or
  - Developmental Delay, and/or
  - Cherry Red Maculae, and/or
  - Hyperlipidemia, and/or
  - Thrombocytopenia, OR
- Predisposition/Carrier Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  - Biologic relative(s) (1st degree) diagnosed with Niemann Pick A or B clinically, and no family mutation identified, or
  - Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry and intention to reproduce, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Niemann Pick A or B Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - If Ashkenazi Jewish, common mutations have been tested and resulted negative
• Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Measurement of acid sphingomyelinase (ASM) enzyme activity in
    peripheral blood lymphocytes or cultured skin fibroblasts (in symptomatic
    individuals) with negative or equivocal result where suspicion of clinical
    diagnosis remains high, and
  o Hepatosplenomegaly, and/or
  o Evidence of interstitial lung disease on chest radiograph, and/or
  o Developmental Delay, and/or
  o Cherry Red Maculae, and/or
  o Hyperlipidemia, and/or
  o Thrombocytopenia, OR

• Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  o Biologic relative(s) (1st degree) diagnosed with Niemann Pick A or B
    clinically, and no family mutation identified, and
  o If Ashkenazi Jewish, common mutations have been tested and resulted
    negative, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Niemann Pick A or B Deletion/Duplication Analysis†

• Genetic Counseling:
  Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed
  by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous large rearrangement testing, and
  o Previous SMPD1 sequencing performed and no mutations found, and
  o No known familial mutation, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy
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Niemann Pick, Type C Testing
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPC1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Niemann Pick Disease Type C?

- Niemann-Pick Disease, type C (NPC) is a lipid storage condition that can present at any age, though the classic presentation is in mid-to-late childhood. Symptoms fall into one of three categories: visceral, neurological and psychological.¹

- The presentation of clinical symptoms at each stage is different:²,³
  - Infants typically present with hypotonia and developmental delay, with or without lung and liver disease. Liver disease can be severe, resulting in the death of an infant in a few days to a few months.
  - Children with NPC exhibit progressive ataxia, vertical supranuclear gaze palsy (VSGP) and dementia.
  - Adults who develop NPC usually have an onset of progressive cognitive impairment or other psychiatric symptoms.

- There is wide variability with disease progression and survival rate, which can range from just a few days to, in rare circumstances, 60 years. Most individuals survive between 10-25 years.⁴

- Two genes have been associated with NPC: NPC1 and NPC2. The proteins of these genes are thought to work together in the cellular transport of cholesterol and other molecules. Most (90-95%) individuals with NPC have at least one identifiable gene mutation in NPC1.⁵,⁶ Only 30 families have been found to have mutations in the NPC2 gene, making mutations in this gene rare (about 4% of NPC cases).¹,⁵,⁷

- There have been over 200 mutations described that cause NPC.⁸ Genotype-phenotype correlation is difficult to determine as most individuals are compound heterozygotes; however, there has been observation of some alleles being associated with mild or severe disease.⁸-¹⁰

- NPC is thought to have a prevalence of 1 in 120,000 livebirths.¹ There are a few populations that have a founder effect, including French Acadians of Nova Scotia, Canada originally from Normandy France⁷; individuals of Hispanic descent in the Upper Rio Grande valley of the United States⁷; and a Bedouin group in Israel.
NPC is inherited in an autosomal recessive inheritance pattern. Because NPC is recessive, individuals usually do not have other affected family members. Males and females are equally likely to be affected. When both parents are known carriers, there is a 1/4 (25%) chance for each pregnancy to be affected. Preimplantation and prenatal genetic diagnosis are available for at-risk pregnancies.

Recently, an NPC suspicion index has been presented as a way to identify individuals with a strong suspicion of NPC, versus those who may need further evaluation and those whose suspicion is low.\textsuperscript{11} This index comprises ranked assessments of visceral, neurological and psychiatric signs and symptoms that are specific to NPC, taking family history into account, to provide an NPC risk prediction score. Patients scoring ≥70 should be referred for immediate testing. Those scoring from 40-69 should be evaluated for further signs and symptoms of a differential diagnosis. Scores below 40 have a low suspicion of NPC.\textsuperscript{1,11}

Once a diagnosis of NPC is suspected, diagnosis may include biochemical and/or genetic testing.

Healthcare management after diagnosis includes treatment for current symptoms. This generally includes medications to prevent the onset of seizures, although treatment of liver disease, sleeping dysfunction or other symptoms should be considered as well. There is no definitive therapy available for NPC. Bone marrow transplantation (BMT), liver transplantation or the use of cholesterol lowering drugs did not prevent the progression of neurological disease.

Test Information

- **Filipin biochemical testing for Niemann-Pick type C** involves demonstration of abnormal intracellular cholesterol homeostasis in cultured fibroblasts.\textsuperscript{7,12} Fibroblasts are cultured in an LDL-enriched medium, and then fixed and stained with a compound called ‘filipin’. To perform biochemical testing, filipin interacts with unesterified cholesterol to make specific cholesterol-filled complexes in ~80-85% of cases.
  - When this testing indicates an individual is affected, sequence/mutation analysis should be considered.
  - Carrier testing is not available through biochemical testing, as there is overlap of enzyme activity between carriers and non-carriers.
  - The biochemical assay can be used for prenatal diagnosis if both mutations are not known.\textsuperscript{7}

- **NPC1 sequence analysis** can identify ~80-90% of mutations in the NPC1 gene.\textsuperscript{13}

- **NPC2 sequence analysis** identifies virtually 100% of mutations in the NPC2 gene.\textsuperscript{13}

- **NPC1 and NPC2 deletion/duplication analysis** is available clinically for individuals who test negative on sequence analysis.

- **NPC1 and NPC2 known familial mutations**: Once a disease-causing mutation has been identified, relatives of affected individuals can be tested. Because of
the variability of age of onset and presenting symptoms, individuals undergoing carrier testing should be aware that they could be identified as carrying two mutant alleles, and thus affected. Individuals identified as carriers for NPC can have preimplantation or prenatal testing. Prenatal testing can be performed through mutation analysis on CVS or amniocytes if both parental mutations are known.13

Guidelines and Evidence

- Consensus-based diagnostic recommendations are available from the NP-C Guidelines Working Group (2012), an international, collaborative group of disease experts:1
  - "Laboratory diagnostic tests for NP-C are complex and can be difficult to interpret due to a variety of methodological factors. Diagnostic testing to confirm NP-C, following screening and differential diagnosis, should therefore be conducted by, or in consultation with, regional or national care centers specializing in the diagnosis of inherited metabolic disorders."
  - "The demonstration of impaired intracellular cholesterol transport by filipin staining in fibroblasts cultured from patient skin biopsies remains a key diagnostic test for NP-C."
    - "In 80–85% of cases, fluorescence microscopic examination of NP-C positive cells typically reveals strongly fluorescent, cholesterol-filled perinuclear vesicles — the ‘classical’ cholesterol storage pattern. Most other cases with a ‘variant biochemical phenotype’ show a less pronounced, more variable cholesterol storage."
    - "LDL-induced cholesteryl ester formation assays are no longer systematically used as a secondary biochemical test, as they are technically challenging (particularly in variant cases), costly and time-consuming."
    - "Biochemical tests cannot be relied upon to identify heterozygote carriers of NP-C in whom filipin test findings may either appear normal or display mild abnormalities, with changes similar to those seen in ‘variant’ cell lines."
  - Regarding genetic testing:
    - "NP-C is caused by autosomal recessive mutations in either of two genes, NPC1 (located to chromosome 18, q11–q12) or NPC2 (located to chromosome 14; q24.3)."
    - "Over 95% of NP-C patients have pathological NPC1 mutations, with approximately 4% of patients expressing disease-causing mutations in NPC2; the remaining patients appear to possess as yet unidentified gene mutations."
    - "DNA sequencing should ideally be performed in parallel with filipin staining examinations, where possible. Significant advances have been made in genetic sequencing of NPC1 and/or NPC2 gene mutations, but it is not yet possible to replace filipin staining with DNA sequencing as the primary diagnostic method."
      - "Gene testing should be undertaken in all newly diagnosed patients to:
allow safe prenatal diagnosis
expedite identification of eventual affected siblings
allow detection of carriers in blood relatives
identify NPC2 patients who may be candidates for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation."

Criteria

Niemann-Pick Disease Type C Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for Niemann-Pick C, AND
- Diagnostic and Predisposition Testing:
  - Niemann-Pick C family mutation identified in biologic relative(s), OR
- Carrier Testing:
  - Niemann-Pick C family mutation identified in biologic relative(s), OR
- Prenatal Testing:
  - Niemann-Pick C mutation identified in both biologic parents AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Niemann-Pick C Disease Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - Biochemical testing performed on cultured skin fibroblasts showing abnormal intracellular cholesterol homeostasis, and
  - No previous genetic testing for Niemann-Pick C, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Hepatosplenomegaly and/or liver failure, or
  - Central hypotonia or low muscle tone characterized by frequent falls and clumsiness, or
  - Ocular motor abnormalities, especially saccadic eye movements (SEM) and vertical supranuclear gaze palsy, or
  - Delayed or arrested speech development with or without cognitive impairment, or
  - Cerebellar ataxia, or
  - Seizures, or
  - Dystonia, or
  - Dysphagia, OR
- Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
Biologic relative(s) (1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree) diagnosed with NPC clinically, and no family mutation identified, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

### Niemann-Pick C Disease Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - Biochemical testing performed on cultured skin fibroblasts showing abnormal intracellular cholesterol homeostasis, and
  - NPC1 and NPC2 sequencing performed and no mutations or only one mutation identified, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Hepatosplenomegaly and/or liver failure, or
  - Central hypotonia or low muscle tone characterized by frequent falls and clumsiness, or
  - Ocular motor abnormalities, especially saccadic eye movements (SEM) and vertical supranuclear gaze palsy, or
  - Delayed or arrested speech development with or without cognitive impairment, or
  - Cerebellar ataxia, or
  - Seizures, or
  - Dystonia, or
  - Dysphagia, OR

- Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  - Biologic relative(s) (1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree) diagnosed with NPC clinically, and no family mutation identified, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing for Fetal Aneuploidy</td>
<td>81420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing for Fetal Aneuploidy with Risk Score</td>
<td>81507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing for Fetal Aneuploidy with Risk Score 0009M</td>
<td>0009M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing for Fetal Chromosomal Microdeletions</td>
<td>81422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is a Chromosome Abnormality?

- Humans usually have 23 pairs of chromosomes. Each chromosome has a characteristic appearance that should be the same in each person.
- A chromosome abnormality is any difference in the structure, arrangement, or amount of genetic material packaged into the chromosomes.¹
- Chromosome abnormalities can lead to a variety of developmental and reproductive disorders. Common chromosome abnormalities that affect development include Down syndrome (trisomy 21), trisomy 18, trisomy 13, Turner syndrome, and Klinefelter syndrome.
- About 1 in 200 newborns has some type of chromosome abnormality and a higher percentage of pregnancies are affected but lost during pregnancy. About 6%-11% of stillbirths or neonatal deaths are associated with a chromosome abnormality.²,³
- The risk of having a child with an extra chromosome, notably Down syndrome, increases as a woman gets older.³ Historically, invasive prenatal diagnosis was only offered to women over the age of 35. However, many babies with Down syndrome are born to women under 35. Prenatal screening for Down syndrome and certain other chromosome abnormalities is now routinely offered to all pregnant women. As a result, prenatal diagnosis is now an option for most pregnant women.

Test Information

- Non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) is performed on a maternal plasma sample generally collected between 9-20 weeks’ gestation.⁴
- Testing methodology relies on the presence of cell-free fetal DNA in maternal circulation.⁴ Approximately 10% of DNA in maternal circulation is of fetal origin.⁵
• Analysis of cell-free fetal DNA is performed to identify pregnancies at high risk for chromosomal aneuploidy. Detection rates for trisomies 21, 18, and 13 are greater than 98%, with false positive rates of less than 0.5%.4
• Some laboratories also test for sex chromosome aneuploidies (such as Turner syndrome or Klinefelter syndrome) as well as rare chromosome microdeletion syndromes, with variable performance.
• Each commercial laboratory offering NIPT has a proprietary platform and bioinformatics pipeline.
• Chromosome analysis on invasive diagnostic testing (CVS and amniocentesis) is also routinely available for assessment of fetal chromosome abnormalities in pregnancy.

Guidelines and Evidence

• The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG, 2016) published a position statement regarding Non Invasive Prenatal Screening (NIPS), recommending the following:5
  o “Informing all pregnant women that NIPS is the most sensitive screening option for traditionally screened aneuploidies (i.e., Patau, Edwards, and Down syndrome).”
  o “Informing all pregnant women of the availability of the expanded use of NIPS to screen for clinically relevant copy number variations (CNV’s) when the following conditions can also be met:
    ▪ Obstetric care providers should discuss with their patients the desire for prenatal screening as opposed to diagnostic testing (i.e., CVS or amniocentesis).
    ▪ Obstetric care providers should discuss with their patients the desire for maximum fetal genomic information through prenatal screening.
    ▪ Obstetric care providers should inform their patients of the higher likelihood of false-positive and false-negative results for these conditions as compared to results obtained when NIPS is limited to common aneuploidy screening.
    ▪ Obstetric care providers should inform their patients of the potential for results of conditions that, once confirmed, may have an uncertain prognosis.”
  o “Offering diagnostic testing when a positive screening test result is reported after NIPS.”
  o “Offering diagnostic testing for a no-call NIPS result due to low fetal fraction if maternal blood for NIPS was drawn at an appropriate gestational age. A repeat blood draw is NOT appropriate.”
  o “Informing all pregnant women, as part of pretest counseling for NIPS, of the availability of the expanded use of screening for sex chromosome aneuploidies.”
    ▪ “Offering aneuploidy screening other than NIPS in cases of significant obesity.”
The ACMG specifically recommended *against* the following:

- “NIPS to screen for genome-wide CNVs. If this level of information is desired, then diagnostic testing (e.g., chorionic villous sampling or amniocentesis) followed by CMA is recommended.”
- “NIPS to screen for autosomal aneuploidies other than those involving chromosomes 13, 18, and 21.”

In 2016, The **American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)** and the **Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM)** published a joint practice bulletin stating the following:⁶

- “All women should be offered the option of aneuploidy screening or diagnostic testing for fetal genetic disorders, regardless of maternal age.”
- “Cell-free DNA screening tests for microdeletions have not been validated clinically and are not recommended at this time.”

In 2015, The **American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)** and the **Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM)** published a joint committee opinion stating the following:⁷

- “Given the performance of conventional screening methods, the limitations of cell-free DNA screening performance, and the limited data on cost-effectiveness in the low-risk obstetrics population, conventional screening methods remain the most appropriate choice for first-line screening for most women in the general obstetrics population.”
- “Although any patient may choose cell-free DNA analysis as a screening strategy for common aneuploidies regardless of her risk status, the patient choosing this testing should understand the limitations and benefits of this screening paradigm in the context of alternative screening and diagnostic options.”

The **International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis (ISPD)** first issued a position statement on NIPT in January 2011 and then updated its recommendations in April 2013 and again in April 2015. ISPD summarizes that:⁸

- “The following protocol options are currently considered appropriate:
  - cfDNA screening as a primary test offered to all pregnant women.
  - cfDNA secondary to a high risk assessment based on serum and ultrasound screening protocols (options 4-9 below).”

The **Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine** (SMFM, 2015) published an expert opinion on NIPT, reiterating its position regarding the use of NIPT in high-risk pregnancies, while also clearly stating that “routine screening for microdeletions with cfDNA is not recommended.”⁹,¹⁰

The **National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC, 2013; currently being updated 2016)** practice guideline includes NIPT as an option for patients at increased risk for chromosome aneuploidy:¹¹

- “Patients who desire screening information may be offered NIPT due to the high detection rates and low false positive rates. NIPT should only be offered in the context of informed consent, education, and counseling by a qualified provider, such as a genetic counselor. Standard confirmatory diagnostic testing should be offered as follow-up to positive NIPT results. High risk patients who decline NIPT but remain interested in screening
should be made aware of alternate screening options as appropriate based on gestational age and screening availability.”

Criteria

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Prenatal Screening:
  - Cell-free fetal DNA-based prenatal screening for fetal aneuploidy (trisomy 13, 18, and 21) is considered medically necessary when all of the following criteria are met:
    - Singleton pregnancy, AND
    - Gestational age within the window validated by the selected testing laboratory, AND
    - Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

- Cell-free fetal DNA testing is not considered medically necessary in the following circumstances:
  - Singleton pregnancies in which the demise of a twin has occurred.
  - Multiple gestation pregnancies, which may be defined by the presence of one of the following ICD codes: O30.X, O31.X
  - More than one cell-free fetal DNA test performed per pregnancy defined as no more than one paid cell-free fetal DNA procedure code within 10 weeks
  - When karyotyping, aneuploidy FISH, and/or array CGH have already been performed on the pregnancy defined as any of these procedure codes paid within 10 weeks of the cell-free fetal DNA test

- Additional testing:
  - This policy applies to only cell-free fetal DNA-based prenatal screening for common aneuploidies of chromosomes 13, 18, and 21.
  - Screening for aneuploidy of the X and Y chromosomes and/or detection of less common trisomies, are not separately reimbursable under these coverage guidelines. Additional procedure codes billed with cell-free fetal DNA testing for this purpose are not eligible for reimbursement.
  - Detection of microdeletions by cell-free fetal DNA based screening is not eligible for reimbursement. Additional procedure codes billed with cell-free fetal DNA testing for this purpose are not eligible for reimbursement.

- Additional prenatal diagnostic testing:
  - Prenatal diagnosis by amniocentesis or CVS following NIPT is generally only indicated when NIPT results are abnormal or additional information becomes available throughout the pregnancy that suggests additional risk factors. Amniocentesis or CVS billed after NIPT is subject to medical necessity review.
Billing and Reimbursement Considerations:

- Non-specific procedure codes (e.g. 81479, 81599, 84999) or any procedure codes that do not accurately describe the test methodology performed (e.g. 88271) are not eligible for reimbursement.

References

What Is Oncotype DX for Breast Cancer Prognosis?

- Oncotype DX® is a gene expression assay designed to determine the risk of a breast cancer recurrence within 10 years of the original diagnosis.¹
- It is intended for early stage, hormone receptor-positive, lymph node-negative breast cancer.¹⁻⁴
- Oncotype DX should be used with other standard methods of breast cancer assessment such as disease staging, grading, and other tumor markers.¹⁻²
- Oncotype DX results appear to correlate with chemotherapy benefit,⁵⁻⁶ which may help with the decision between tamoxifen only and adjuvant chemotherapy. Studies have demonstrated that the addition of Oncotype DX results changed treatment recommendations and decisions in 25% to 44% of patients, with the majority of recommendations changing from chemotherapy plus tamoxifen to tamoxifen only.⁷⁻⁹

Test Information

- Oncotype DX measures the expression level of 21 genes (16 cancer and 5 reference) from paraffin-embedded breast tumor tissue.¹ These sixteen genes consistently correlated with distant recurrence-free survival in three studies that explored the expression of 250 genes in breast tumor samples.⁵
- The results are provided as a Recurrence Score® (RS, 0-100) with higher scores reflecting higher risk of recurrence. Three risk categories help characterize prognosis:¹⁻²
  - Low risk (RS<18), ~50% of patients tested
    - Least aggressive tumors
    - Metastasis unlikely
    - 7% recurrence by 10 yrs
  - Intermediate risk (RS 18-30), ~25% of patients tested
    - More aggressive tumors
    - Metastasis more likely
    - 14% recurrence by 10 yrs
  - High risk (RS 31 or higher), ~25% of patients tested
    - Most aggressive tumors
    - Metastasis most likely
- 31% recurrence by 10 yrs
- Patients with high scores benefit the most from chemotherapy, showing a substantial reduction in 10 year recurrence. Patients with intermediate scores show questionable benefit from chemotherapy, whereas those with low scores benefit the least from chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{2,5,6}

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) breast cancer treatment guidelines include Oncotype DX Breast ("21-gene RT-PCR assay") in their treatment algorithm for hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer.\textsuperscript{10}
  - They recommend considering the Oncotype DX assay in the following circumstances:
    - Histology: Ductal, Lobular, Mixed, Metaplastic
    - Tumor >0.5 cm (T1b-T3)
    - pN0 or pN1mi (<2mm axillary node metastasis)
  - In the discussion, NCCN guidelines state: "Pending the results of prospective trials, the Panel considers the 21-gene RT-PCR assay [Oncotype DX] as an option when evaluating patients with primary tumors characterized as 0.6-1.0cm with unfavorable features or >1cm, and node-negative, hormone receptor positive and HER2-negative (category 2A). In this circumstance, the recurrence score may be determined to assist in estimating likelihood of recurrence and benefit from chemotherapy."\textsuperscript{10} (Category 2B: The recommendation is based on lower level evidence and there is non-uniform NCCN consensus, but no major disagreement).
  - In V1.2015 of the NCCN guidelines, a footnote (bb in 2015 guidelines; cc in V2.2016) was added that states “The 21-gene RT-PCR assay recurrence score can be considered in select patients with 1-3 involved ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes to guide the addition of combination chemotherapy to standard hormone therapy. A retrospective analysis of a prospective randomized trial suggests that this test is predictive in this group similar to its performance in node-negative disease.”\textsuperscript{10}

- The 14th St Gallen International Breast Cancer Conference (2015) Expert Panel confirmed previously published recommendations:
  - Regarding Oncotype DX, the 2011 recommendations stated: “Several tests are available which define prognosis. These may indicate a prognosis so good that the doctor and patient decide that chemotherapy is not required. A strong majority of the Panel agreed that the 21-gene signature (Oncotype DX) may also be used where available to predict chemotherapy responsiveness in an endocrine responsive cohort where uncertainty remains after consideration of other tests…”\textsuperscript{11}
  - In 2015, the Panel “considered the role of multiparameter molecular marker assays for prognosis separately in years 1-5 and beyond 5 years and their value in selecting patients who require chemotherapy.”
Panel concluded that “only Oncotype DX commanded a majority in favor of its value in predicting the usefulness of chemotherapy.”

- The **Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention Working Group (EGAPP, 2009 and updated in 2016)** found:
  - “Insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against the use of tumor gene expression profiles to improve outcomes in defined populations of women with breast cancer. In the updated 2016 publication, evidence of clinical validity for Oncotype DX was confirmed as adequate. With regard to clinical utility, although there was evidence from prospective retrospective studies that the Oncotype DX test predicts benefit from chemotherapy, and there was adequate evidence that the use of Oncotype DX gene expression profiling in clinical practice changes treatment decisions regarding chemotherapy, no direct evidence was found that the use of Oncotype DX testing leads to improved clinical outcomes. Until definitive evidence for clinical utility is available, clinicians must decide on a case-by-case basis whether to offer the test to patients.”

- The 2007 evidence-based guidelines from the **American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)** about breast cancer tumor marker use state:
  - "In newly diagnosed patients with node-negative, estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer, the Oncotype DX assay can be used to predict the risk of recurrence in patients treated with tamoxifen. Oncotype DX may be used to identify patients who are predicted to obtain the most therapeutic benefit from adjuvant tamoxifen and may not require adjuvant chemotherapy. In addition, patients with high recurrence scores appear to achieve relatively more benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy (specifically (C)MF) than from tamoxifen. There are insufficient data at present to comment on whether these conclusions generalize to hormonal therapies other than tamoxifen, or whether this assay applies to other chemotherapy regimens.”
  - In 2016, the **American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)**, stated “If a patient has ER/PgR-positive, HER2-negative (node-negative) breast cancer, the clinician may use the 21-gene recurrence score (RS; Oncotype DX; Genomic Health, Redwood City, CA) to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic chemotherapy. Type: evidence based. Evidence quality: high. Strength of recommendation: strong.”

- Additional clinical application issues:
  - **Male gender.** No studies specific to the application of Oncotype DX in men with breast cancer have been identified. In general, the NCCN breast cancer treatment guidelines do not differentiate treatment on the basis of gender, which suggests Oncotype DX would not be excluded for males who meet NCCN clinical criteria for considering such testing.
  - **Multiple primary breast tumors.** No studies specific to the application of Oncotype DX in those with multiple breast primary cancers have been identified. Guidelines do not address this issue. A single poster summarized data in a study that used the Oncotype DX test to help...
assess if synchronous breast cancers were independent neoplastic events or spread of a single tumor. Of 11 patients who met criteria, 5 had different risk scores by Oncotype DX testing (with 3 of these patients having tumors assigned to different risk categories). Of these 5 with significantly different scores, 4 involved bilateral tumors and the other involved tumors in different quadrants. Comparing tumors by histology, 4 of 5 had clearly different histology and 1 had equivocal histology. Of the 6 with similar risk scores, 3 had the same histology, 2 equivocal, and in only 1 case was histology clearly different between the two tumors. This very limited data suggests OncotypeDX may be useful in multiple primaries when tumors independently meet criteria. A study published in 2016 noted that “Among women with synchronous bilateral ER-positive HER2-negative breast cancer, Oncotype DX recurrence scores were concordant in 67 % of cases. These data suggest that testing of both tumors should be considered in patients who are candidates for adjuvant chemotherapy.”

- **Positive lymph nodes.** There is currently insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed literature regarding the use of Oncotype DX in women with early stage (ER+/HER2-) node-positive breast cancer who are considering adjuvant chemotherapy.
  - Several prospective and retrospective-prospective studies were identified evaluating the use of Oncotype DX in early stage, node-positive breast cancer, and results suggest that use of Oncotype DX allows for prognostic risk stratification. However, without chemotherapy, the risk of recurrence for patients with positive nodes appears to be notably higher than patients with negative nodes, and as such, it is not clear if patients with positive nodes can safely avoid chemotherapy treatment regimens based on Oncotype DX test results.
  - There is at least one clinical trial underway, RxPonder, to evaluate the utility of the Oncotype DX Breast Cancer assay for women with 1-3 positive lymph nodes (ER/PR-positive, HER2-negative). This trial aims to support chance findings from a retrospective subset analysis of the SWOG-8814 trial data that suggested Oncotype DX high and low risk scores were able to predict chemotherapy benefit regardless of node status. An abstract presented at the European Breast Cancer Conference in 2016 presented the 5-year outcome data from a prospective trial with the conclusion of: WSG PlanB for the first time shows excellent 5-year disease free survival of 94% in a population of high risk node-negative and node-positive (pN1) (41.1% had node-positive disease) early BC patients (HR+ HER2−) who omitted adjuvant CT based on RS ≤ 11. Together recurrence score and classical clinical-pathological markers, despite of substantial heterogeneity in their assessment, provided independent prognostic information. These 5 year outcome data from a prospective trial incorporating the assay in combination with nodal status, grade
and tumor size for adjuvant treatment decisions in early HR+ HER2− breast cancer. However, evidence to support use in node-positive disease remains limited and use in this population is controversial. Therefore, while NCCN has addressed this indication in a footnote, use of Oncotype DX Breast Cancer assay in this population is not yet considered standard of care.

- **Ductal Carcinoma In Situ.** There is currently insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed literature regarding the use of Oncotype DX in women with ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) who are considering radiation therapy.
  - Rakovitch et al. (2015) conducted a population cohort study (n=3320 women with DCIS) with a median follow-up period of 9.6 years. Study authors demonstrated that the DCIS Score independently predicted the risk of local recurrence in women with DCIS treated with breast conserving surgery (HR, 2.15; 95% CI, 1.43-3.22). Patients considered low risk via the DCIS Score (62%) had 10-year local recurrence of 13%; intermediate risk (17%) patients had 10-year local recurrence of 33%; and high risk (21%) patients had 10-year local recurrence of 28%. The DCIS Score is intended to provide a quantified risk score for local recurrence to help clinicians guide treatment decisions and potentially reduced the effects of overtreatment with radiotherapy. Study results of this trial and others indicate that despite the ability of Oncotype DX to reclassify patients into different risk groups, it is not clear if the risk estimation is accurate enough to induce changes in treatment strategies or disease management, or if the 10-year local recurrence of approximately 13% is still low enough for patients to successfully avoid radiation therapy and the risk of its associated complication.

**Criteria**

- **Previous Testing:**
  - No repeat Oncotype DX® testing on the same sample when a result was successfully obtained, and
  - No previous gene expression assay (e.g. Prosigna) performed on the same sample when a result was successfully obtained, AND

- **Testing Multiple Samples:**
  - When more than one breast cancer primary is diagnosed:
    - There should be reasonable evidence that the tumors are distinct (e.g., bilateral, different quadrants, different histopathologic features, etc.), and
    - There should be no evidence from either tumor that chemotherapy is indicated with or without knowledge of the Oncotype DX test result (e.g., histopathologic features or previous Oncotype DX result of one tumor suggest chemotherapy is indicated), and
• If both tumors are to be tested, both tumors must independently meet the required clinical characteristics outlined below.

- Required Clinical Characteristics:
  - Invasive breast cancer meeting all of the following criteria:
    - Tumor size >0.5cm (5mm) in greatest dimension (T1b-T3), and
    - Estrogen receptor positive (ER+), and
    - HER2 negative, and
  - Patient has no regional lymph node metastasis (pN0) or only micrometastases (pN1mi, malignant cells in regional lymph node(s) not greater than 2.0mm), and
  - Chemotherapy is a treatment option for the patient; results from this Oncotype DX test will be used in making chemotherapy treatment decisions, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

### Medicaid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy &amp; Billing Guidance and CPT Codes</th>
<th>Specific Tests</th>
<th>Test-Specific Criteria?</th>
<th>Require d ICD Codes?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Update: Vol. 30, No. 1</td>
<td>Oncotype DX Breast</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NY Medicaid Update: Vol. 30, No. 1
(http://www.health.ny.gov/health_care/medicaid/program/update/2015/jan15_mu.pdf)

### References


What Is the Oncotype DX Colon Cancer Assay?

- The Oncotype DX® Colon Cancer Assay measures the expression of a panel of genes in stage II colon cancer tumors to predict the risk of future recurrence.\(^1\)
- Stage II colon cancer is defined by a primary tumor that has grown into or through the outermost layers of the colon, but has not spread to nearby lymph nodes or more distant metastasis.\(^2\) At least 12 to 13 lymph nodes should be evaluated.\(^3,4\)
- Stage II colon cancer is often treated with surgery alone with good prognosis.\(^3,4\) Adjuvant chemotherapy is not routinely recommended because it does not appear to improve 5-year survival rates by more than 5% among all people with stage II disease.\(^3,4\)
- However, up to 25% of people with stage II disease will have a recurrence within 5 years.\(^3\) The decision about adjuvant chemotherapy is currently influenced by factors that help predict a higher recurrence risk, including:\(^3,4\)
  - Inadequately sampled lymph nodes
  - Tumor characteristics such as T4 lesion (tumor penetrates to visceral peritoneum or adheres/invaded other organs\(^2\)), perforation, poorly differentiated histology
  - Microsatellite instability and/or mismatch repair expression test results (particularly if considering 5-FU therapy only)
- These prognostic markers are imperfect and the need for additional validated prognostic markers is recognized.\(^3\)
- The OncotypeDX Colon Cancer Assay proposes an additional method for stratifying recurrence risk to assist in the adjuvant chemotherapy decision. Genomic Health, who markets the assay, suggests the optimal use may be for people with "standard risk" stage II colon cancer (T3 tumor, mismatch repair proficient/microsatellite stable) following surgery, where other accepted prognostic factors do not make the chemotherapy decision clearer.\(^1\)

Test Information

- The Oncotype DX Colon Cancer Assay quantifies the expression of 12 genes from paraffin-embedded primary colon cancer tissue samples.\(^1\)
Seven cancer genes associated with recurrence-free interval: Ki-67, C-MYC, MYBL2, FAP, BGN, INHBA, GADD45B

Five reference genes (to normalize expression levels): ATP5E, PGK1, GPX1, UBB, VDAC2

- The results are provided as a Recurrence Score, which translates into a percent recurrence risk at three years. Further risk information is provided based on such characteristics as T3/T4 tumor grade and mismatch repair results.⁴

### Guidelines and Evidence

- The **National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016)** colon cancer guidelines state "There are insufficient data to recommend the use of multi-gene assay panels to determine adjuvant therapy" when outlining the adjuvant therapy and surveillance options by cancer stage.⁴

- The **Comparative Effectiveness Research in Genetics in Colorectal Cancer (CERGEN, 2010)**, an independent research team commissioned by the **National Cancer Institute (NCI)** [NCI RC 2-CA148471] to conduct comparative effectiveness research with funds secured from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, concluded:⁵,⁶

  - "To date, no prospective studies have been conducted to establish the clinical utility of the OncotypeDX colon cancer assay."
  - "Although Genomic Health launched the Oncotype Dx colon cancer assay worldwide in January 2010, additional research is clearly needed before the value of this assay for clinical practice can be determined."

- A prospective, multi-center study of the OncotypeDx Colon Cancer Assay did demonstrate a change in physician decision-making behavior:⁸

  - Analyses on 141 stage IIA colon cancer patients enrolled from 17 centers showed that treatment recommendations changed for 63 patients (45%; 95% CI: 36%–53%), with treatment intensity decreasing for 47 (33%) and increasing for 16 (11%). Recommendations for chemotherapy decreased from 73 patients (52%) to 42 (30%), following review of recurrence score (RS) results. Increased treatment intensity was more often observed at higher RS values, and decreased intensity was observed at lower values (p = .011).
  - Improvement in patient outcomes based on this change in behavior were not included in the study.

- Analyses of test validation data from a subset of stage II colon cancer patients (n=1436) in the QUASAR study (randomized to chemotherapy versus observation) demonstrated:⁸

  - The Recurrence Score (RS) was shown to be significantly associated with recurrence risk (p=0.004). T stage and mismatch repair status were the best pathology predictors of recurrence, but the RS predicted prognosis beyond those markers.
  - A "treatment score" was also calculated, but it did not predict chemotherapy benefit.
The laboratory that developed this assay, Genomic Health, and collaborators originally published candidate gene study results that led to the selection of seven recurrence-risk genes and five reference genes for further validation.\(^9\)

While not evidence, it is worthwhile noting that Palmetto GBA Medicare instituted coverage for this test stating:\(^{10}\)

"Palmetto GBA has completed the Oncotype DX Colon Cancer Assay assessment and determined that the test meets criteria for analytical and clinical validity and clinical utility as a reasonable and necessary Medicare benefit. Effective September 18, 2011, Palmetto GBA will reimburse Oncotype DX Colon Cancer Assay services for patients diagnosed with Stage II colon cancer."

### Criteria

This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.

- Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.

- In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.

### References


PCA3 Testing for Prostate Cancer

What Is Prostate Cancer Antigen 3 (PCA3)?

- Prostate cancer gene 3 (PCA3) is a non-protein-coding messenger RNA (mRNA) that is highly overexpressed in prostate cancer tissue compared with normal prostate tissue or benign prostatic hyperplasia.
- The strong association between PCA3 mRNA levels and prostate cancer led to the development of a urinary assay to measure this analyte to aid in cancer detection.\(^1\)

Test Information

- Following a digital rectal examination, the PCA3 gene can be quantified in urine specimens together with the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) to generate a PCA3 score.
- A high (>25) PCA3 Score indicates an increased likelihood of a positive biopsy. A low (<25) PCA3 Score is associated with a decreased likelihood of a positive biopsy.\(^2\)
- A multi-center study found that at a score cutoff of 25 for men with at least one previous negative biopsy, PCA3 demonstrated 77.5% sensitivity, 57.1% specificity, and negative and positive predictive values of 90% and 33.6%, respectively. Men with a PCA3 score of <25 were 4.56 times more likely to have a negative repeat biopsy than men with a score of >25.\(^3\)

Guidelines and Evidence

- Data from many peer-reviewed publications suggest that PCA3 gene testing, when used with other patient information, may help address some of the well-known challenges urologists face, such as identifying prostate cancers while reducing unnecessary repeat biopsies.\(^4-6\)
- The U.S Food and Drug Administration (2012) approved the Progensa PCA3 assay with the following intended use:\(^7\)
  - “The PROGENSA® PCA3 Assay is indicated for use in conjunction with other patient information to aid in the decision for repeat biopsy in men 50 years of age or older who have had one or more previous negative
prostate biopsies and for whom a repeat biopsy would be recommended by a urologist based on current standard of care, before consideration of PROGENSA PCA3 Assay results."

  o The Clinical Study only included men who were recommended by urologists for repeat biopsy. Therefore, the performance of the PROGENSA PCA3 Assay has not been established in men for whom a repeat biopsy was not already recommended.

  o "Black Box Warning: The PROGENSA PCA3 Assay should not be used for men with atypical small acinar proliferation (ASAP) on their most recent biopsy. Men with ASAP on their most recent biopsy should be treated in accordance with current medical guidelines."

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) guidelines for prostate cancer early detection recognize the FDA-approved use of PCA3 testing and state:\(^8\)

  o "It is well known that a negative prostate biopsy does not preclude a diagnosis of prostate cancer on subsequent biopsy. Those patients with negative prostate biopsies should be followed with DRE and PSA. Tests that improve the specificity in the post-biopsy state—including 4Kscore, PHI, percent free PSA, PCA3, and ConfirmMDx—should be considered in patients thought to be higher risk despite a negative prostate biopsy."

  o "Biomarkers that improve the specificity of detection are not recommended as first-line screening tests. However, there may be some patients who meet PSA standards for consideration of prostate biopsy, but for whom the patient and/or the physician wish to further define the probability of high grade cancer. A percent free PSA <10%, PHI >35 or 4Kscore (which provides an estimate of the probability of high-grade prostate cancer) are potentially informative in patients who have never undergone a biopsy or after a negative biopsy; a PCA3 score >35 is potentially informative after a negative biopsy."

- The American Urological Association (AUA 2013) guideline on the early detection of prostate cancer concluded:\(^9\)

  o At this point, the use of DRE, PSA derivatives (PSA density and age specific reference ranges) and PSA kinetics (velocity and doubling time), PSA molecular forms (percent free PSA and proPSA), novel urinary markers (PCA3), and prostate imaging should be considered secondary tests (not primary screening tests) with potential utility for determining the need for a prostate biopsy, but with unproven benefit as primary screening tests.

  o The Panel recognizes that these tests can be used as adjuncts for informing decisions about the need for a prostate biopsy— or repeat biopsy— after PSA screening, but emphasizes the lack of evidence that these tests will increase the ratio of benefit to harm.
Criteria
Prostate cancer antigen testing (PCA3) may be indicated in males with **ALL** of the following:

- Age >50 years, and
- One or more previous negative prostate biopsies, and
- Continued clinical suspicion of prostate cancer based on digital rectal exam (DRE) or elevation of prostate specific antigen (PSA) of >3 ng/mL, and for whom a repeat biopsy would be recommended by a urologist based on current standard of care, and
- Atypical small acinar proliferation (ASAP) was NOT identified on the most recent biopsy.
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Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STK11 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK11 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STK11 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Peutz-Jeghers Syndrome?

- Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS) is a genetic disorder characterized by the development of polyps (hamartomas) in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, most commonly the small intestine. Polyps also occur in the stomach and colon and on occasion in the renal pelvis, urinary bladder, ureters, lungs, nares, and gallbladder.\(^1\) About a third of affected individuals present with polyps by age 10, and by age 20, about half have clinical signs and symptoms.\(^2\)

- Affected people also typically have mucocutaneous pigmented lesions — lip freckling is classic, but pigmentation may also develop in the mouth, gums, nose, perianal area, and on the fingers and toes.\(^{1,2}\)

- In addition to gastrointestinal polyps and cancer, people with PJS have an increased risk for other cancers, including those of the pancreas, lung, breast, uterus, cervix, ovaries, and testes.\(^{1,2}\)

- PJS is caused by mutations in the STK11 gene. STK11 is a tumor suppressor gene. Its normal role is to control growth and development of cells in the GI tract. Mutations in STK11 cause cells to grow and divide uncontrollably, leading to the development of polyps and an increased risk for cancer.\(^1\)

- PJS is inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern. Children of an affected person have a 1 in 2 (50%) chance to be affected. “In large series, 60-78% of individuals with PJS had affected relatives and 17-40% of individuals represented isolated cases within their families”\(^1\) The proportion of a new (de novo) mutation is unclear due to variable expressivity and the frequency of subtle signs in parents is unknown.\(^1\)

- Because of the potential early onset of polyp growth, surveillance is complex and involves monitoring at-risk individuals for related cancers, starting with baseline colonoscopy and upper GI endoscopy at age 8.\(^{1-4}\)
Test Information

- Over 200 distinct STK11 gene mutations or deletions have been identified in people with PJS. Molecular genetic testing is performed in parallel by two methods:\(^1\)
  - **STK11 Sequence Analysis** is used to identify smaller mutations in STK11. Approximately 81% of individuals with PJS will have a mutation detected by this method.
  - **STK11 Deletion/Duplication Analysis** is used to identify larger deletions. Approximately 15% of individuals with PJS will have a mutation detected by this method.
  - Ninety-four to 96% of individuals with PJS will have an STK11 pathogenic variant.\(^5,6\) The detection rate in familial versus sporadic cases is 87% and 97.8%, respectively.\(^6\)
- **STK11 Known Familial Mutation Analysis**: Once an STK11 mutation is identified in an affected person, predictive testing is available for at-risk family members, as is prenatal or preimplantation genetic diagnosis\(^1\) Family members should be tested using the method that can accurately identify the familial mutation.
- A multi-gene panel can also be used to test individuals suspected of having PJS.

Guidelines and Evidence

- Evidence-based guidelines for the diagnosis and management of PJS were published in 2010.\(^2\) These guidelines outline clinical diagnostic criteria for PJS and surveillance recommendations, but do not specifically address the utility of genetic testing.
  - A clinical diagnosis of PJS may be made in an affected person when any ONE of the following is present (directly quoted):
    - Two or more histologically confirmed PJ polyps
    - Any number of PJ polyps detected in one individual who has a family history of PJS in close relative(s)
    - Characteristic mucocutaneous pigmentation in an individual who has a family history of PJS in close relative(s)
    - Any number of PJ polyps in an individual who also has characteristic mucocutaneous pigmentation
  - "No clear genotype-phenotype correlation has been demonstrated in PJS, and no clear differences found between cases with STK11 mutation and in those in whom no mutation has been detected."
- The **National Comprehensive Cancer Network (2016)**\(^3\) guidelines outline similar clinical diagnostic criteria and provide some guidance on surveillance, but do not address the use of genetic testing.
  - "A clinical diagnosis of PJS can be made when an individual has two or more of the following features:
    - Two or more Peutz-Jeghers-type hamartomatous polyps of the small intestine"
Mucocutaneous hyperpigmentation of the mouth, lips, nose, eyes, genitalia, or fingers

- Family history of PJS
  - The majority of cases occur due to mutations in the STK11 (LKB1) gene and clinical genetic testing is available.
  - Screening procedures and intervals are outlined for breast, colon, stomach, pancreatic, small intestine, cervical, ovarian, uterine, and testicular cancers.
- Clinical diagnostic criteria have been validated by genetic testing in one series of 71 patients. Of 56 patients who met clinical criteria for PJS, 94% had an STK11 mutation found by a combination of sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis. Twelve patients had only a "presumptive diagnosis" of PJS based on the presence of hyperpigmentation or isolated PJS polyps, with no known family history. No STK11 mutations were found in those 12 patients.

**A 2016 expert-authored review** states:
  - "Testing of at-risk asymptomatic adults for Peutz-Jeghers syndrome is available after the disease-causing STK11 mutation has been identified in an affected family member."
  - "Testing for the disease-causing mutation in the absence of definite symptoms of the disease is predictive testing. At-risk asymptomatic adult family members may seek molecular genetic testing in order to make personal decisions regarding medical surveillance, reproduction, financial matters, and career planning."
  - "Because early detection of at-risk individuals who have an STK11 mutation affects medical management, particularly surveillance, testing of at-risk individuals during childhood is beneficial."

The **American Society of Clinical Oncologists (ASCO)** position statement on genetic testing (originally published 1996, revised/affirmed in 2003, 2010, and 2015) outlines general recommendations for genetic testing for hereditary cancer syndromes and specifically addresses issues around genetic testing in at-risk children:
  - "Indications for Genetic Testing: ASCO recommends that genetic testing be offered when 1) the individual has personal or family history features suggestive of a genetic cancer susceptibility condition, 2) the test can be adequately interpreted, and 3) the results will aid in diagnosis or influence the medical or surgical management of the patient or family members at hereditary risk of cancer."
  - "Special Issues in Testing Children for Cancer Susceptibility: ASCO recommends that the decision to offer testing to potentially affected children should take into account the availability of evidence-based risk-reduction strategies and the probability of developing a malignancy during childhood. Where risk-reduction strategies are available or cancer predominantly develops in childhood, ASCO believes that the scope of parental authority encompasses the right to decide for or against testing."
Tests for high-penetrance mutations in appropriate populations have clinical utility, meaning that they inform clinical decision making and facilitate the prevention or amelioration of adverse health outcomes."

Criteria

STK11 (LKB1) gene testing may be considered for individuals with a suspected or known clinical diagnosis of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, or a known family history of a STK11 (LKB1) mutation.

Testing is indicated for individuals whose medical and/or family history is consistent with ANY of these1,4:

- A relative with a known deleterious STK11 (LKB1) gene mutation; OR
- A clinical diagnosis of PJS based on at least two of the following features:
  - At least two PJS-type hamartomatous polyps of the gastrointestinal tract
  - Mucocutaneous hyperpigmentation of the mouth, lips, nose, eyes, genitalia, or fingers
  - A family history of PJS
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Prader-Willi Syndrome Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNRPN/UBE3A Methylation Analysis</td>
<td>81331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISH Analysis for 15q11-q13 Deletion</td>
<td>88271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome 15 Uniparental Disomy</td>
<td>81402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imprinting Center Defect Analysis</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imprinting Center Known Familial</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Prader-Willi Syndrome?

- Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) is characterized by:
  - Decreased muscle tone (hypotonia) and feeding difficulties in early infancy
  - Insatiable appetite in childhood that often results in obesity
  - Developmental delay
  - Short stature
  - Behavior problems
  - Small hands and feet
  - Underdeveloped genitalia and infertility

- Features of Prader-Willi syndrome are caused when the Prader-Willi critical region (PWCR) on chromosome 15 is only inherited from the mother and there is no copy from the father. Prader-Willi syndrome can be caused by a chromosome deletion, uniparental disomy (two copies of the maternal chromosome), or imprinting defect. There are several genetic tests available that can help diagnose Prader-Willi syndrome.¹²

Test Information

- **SNRPN Methylation Analysis**: This test is typically the first test in the evaluation of both Angelman syndrome and Prader-Willi syndrome. It will detect about 80% of patients with Angelman syndrome and >99% of patients with Prader-Willi syndrome. However, DNA methylation analysis does not identify the underlying cause, which is important for determining the risk to future siblings. This risk ranges from less than 1% to up to 50%, depending on the genetic mechanism. Follow-up testing for these causes may be appropriate.

- **FISH Analysis for 15q11-q13 Deletion**: If DNA methylation analysis for Angelman (AS) or Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) is abnormal, deletion analysis is typically the next step. Approximately 70% of cases of both AS and PWS have a deletion in one copy of chromosome 15 involving the 15q11.2-q13 region. When looking specifically for this deletion, FISH (fluorescence in situ hybridization)
Prader-Willi Syndrome analysis is most commonly performed. However, chromosome microarray can also detect such deletions (see that policy for guidance). If chromosomal microarray (CMA, array CGH) has already been done, FISH is not likely to be necessary.

- **Chromosome 15 Uniparental Disomy (UPD):** If DNA methylation analysis is abnormal but deletion analysis is normal, UPD analysis next may be appropriate for evaluation of both Angelman (AS) and Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS). About 28% of PWS cases are due maternal UPD (both chromosome 15s are inherited from the mother). Both parents must be tested to diagnose UPD.

- **Imprinting Center Defect Analysis:** This test may be considered in the evaluation of Angelman syndrome (AS) and Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) when methylation is abnormal, but FISH (or array CGH) and UPD studies are normal. Individuals with such results are presumed to have an imprinting defect. An abnormality in the imprinting process has been described in a minority of cases. However, imprinting center deletions may be familial, and if familial, the recurrence risk can be up to 50%.

- **Imprinting Center Known Familial Mutation Analysis:** If a mutation in the imprinting center has been identified in an affected family member, testing for just the known familial mutation in the imprinting center can be performed for at-risk relatives, including at-risk pregnancies.

**Guidelines and Evidence**

- The American College of Medical Genetics and American Society of Human Genetics (2006) recommends two equally-accepted tiered approaches to testing for individuals exhibiting symptoms of Prader-Willi syndrome.²
  - **Approach one:**
    - **Methylation analysis** will detect >99% of individuals with PWS including those with deletion, uniparental disomy, or imprinting defect.
      - If methylation testing is abnormal, it confirms the clinical diagnosis. However, to help determine whether there are risks of PWS in other family members it may be necessary to perform FISH, UPD and/or Imprinting Center testing to determine the exact cause of the abnormal methylation.
    - **FISH 15q11-q13 (deletion analysis)**
      - If FISH testing is abnormal (70% of individuals with PWS will have a deletion) chromosome analysis may be considered to rule out a familial chromosome rearrangement (rare)
      - If FISH testing is normal, it is appropriate to consider UPD analysis.
    - **Uniparental Disomy (UPD) analysis of chromosome 15** determines if the patient inherited both copies of chromosome 15 from the mother.
      - If methylation analysis is abnormal, but FISH and UPD analysis are normal, it is usually assumed there is an **imprinting center**
Prader-Willi Syndrome

mutation (which carries a higher recurrence risk than other causes). There is limited clinical testing available.¹

Approach two:

- **FISH 15q11-q13 (deletion analysis)**²
  - If abnormal, a diagnosis of PWS is confirmed. Chromosome analysis may be considered to rule out a familial chromosome rearrangement (rare)
  - If normal then proceed to methylation analysis.

- **Methylation analysis**
  - If methylation analysis is abnormal, PWS diagnosis is confirmed, but UPD testing can occur to better understand recurrence risk

- **Uniparental Disomy (UPD) analysis of chromosome 15**
  - If methylation analysis is abnormal, but FISH and UPD analysis are normal, it is usually assumed there is an imprinting center mutation (which carries a higher recurrence risk than other causes). There is limited clinical testing available.³

Some of the same authors of the ACMG guidelines separately suggested the following:

- “Clinical findings that should prompt diagnostic testing have been proposed based on analysis of diagnostic criteria met in individuals in whom the diagnosis of PWS has been molecularly confirmed. These differ by age group. The presence of all of the following findings at the age indicated is sufficient to justify DNA methylation analysis for PWS.”¹

- "A DNA methylation analysis consistent with PWS is sufficient for clinical diagnosis but not for genetic counseling, which requires identification of the underlying genetic mechanism.”¹

- "Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) is a complex disorder whose diagnosis may be difficult to establish on clinical grounds and whose genetic basis is heterogeneous.”²

**Criteria**

**SNRPN Methylation Analysis**

- **Genetic Counseling:**
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- **Previous Testing:**
  - No previous SNRPN methylation analysis, AND

- **Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:**
  - Developmental delay or intellectual disability, and
  - Some combination of the following:
    - Neonatal hypotonia, or
    - Feeding problems (i.e., poor suck) or poor growth in infancy, or
Prader-Willi Syndrome

- Obesity and/or food-related behavior problems (i.e., hyperphagia; obsession with food), or
- Characteristic facial features, or
- Hypogonadism AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

FISH Analysis for 15q11-q13 Deletion

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous 15q11-q13 deletion analysis, and
  - No previous chromosomal microarray, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Developmental delay or intellectual disability, and
  - Some combination of the following:
    - Neonatal hypotonia, or
    - Feeding problems (i.e., poor suck) or poor growth in infancy, and
    - Obesity and/or food-related behavior problems (i.e., hyperphagia; obsession with food), or
  - Characteristic facial features, or
  - Hypogonadism, AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

Chromosome 15 Uniparental Disomy

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - SNRPN methylation analysis results are abnormal, and
  - 15q11-q13 deletion analysis is negative, and
  - No previous chromosome 15 UPD studies, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Developmental delay or intellectual disability, and
  - Some combination of the following:
    - Neonatal hypotonia, or
    - Feeding problems (i.e., poor suck) or poor growth in infancy, or
    - Obesity and/or food-related behavior problems (i.e., hyperphagia; obsession with food), or
    - Characteristic facial features, or
    - Hypogonadism AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
Imprinting Center Defect Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - SNRPN methylation analysis results are abnormal, and
  - 15q11-q13 deletion analysis is negative, and
  - Previous chromosome 15 UPD studies negative, and
  - No previous imprinting center (IC) analysis, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Developmental delay or intellectual disability, and
  - Some combination of the following:
    - Neonatal hypotonia, or
    - Feeding problems (i.e., poor suck) or growth failure in infancy, or
    - Obesity and/or food-related behavior problems (i.e., hyperphagia; obsession with food), or
    - Characteristic facial features, or
    - Hypogonadism AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

Imprinting Center Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous imprinting center defect analysis testing, AND

- Family History:
  - Familial imprinting center defect mutation known in blood relative, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy
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Prenatal Aneuploidy FISH Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FISH Analysis for Aneuploidy</td>
<td>88271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What Is a Chromosome Abnormality?**

- Humans usually have 23 pairs of chromosomes. Each chromosome has a characteristic appearance that should be the same in each person.
- A chromosome abnormality is any difference in the structure, arrangement, or amount of genetic material packaged into the chromosomes. Aneuploidy refers to an abnormal number of chromosomes (i.e. extra or missing).
- Chromosome abnormalities can lead to a variety of developmental and reproductive disorders. Common chromosome abnormalities that affect development include: Down syndrome (trisomy 21), trisomy 18, trisomy 13, Turner syndrome, and Klinefelter syndrome.
- About 1 in 200 newborns has some type of chromosome abnormality and a higher percentage of pregnancies are affected but lost during pregnancy. According to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), “Fetuses affected with Down syndrome often do not survive pregnancy; between the first trimester and full term, an estimated 43% of pregnancies end in miscarriage or stillbirth.”
- The risk of having a child with an extra chromosome, notably Down syndrome, increases as a woman gets older. Historically, invasive prenatal diagnosis was routinely offered to women 35 and over due to this risk. However, many babies with Down syndrome are born to women under 35. Prenatal screening for Down syndrome and certain other chromosome abnormalities is now routinely offered to all pregnant women. Prenatal diagnosis is also an option for any woman, although it is most commonly done for women with recognized risk factors.

**Test Information**

- Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) can be used to assess how many copies of a chromosome or smaller piece of DNA is in a cell.
  - FISH uses fluorescent probes that bind only to certain regions of a chromosome.
  - After binding, these fluorescent signals can be viewed by microscopy and counted in a sample of cells to determine if the appropriate number of copies is present.
Because chromosomes come in pairs, most normal cells will have two fluorescent signals for each probe.

- FISH analysis of prenatal samples (amniocentesis and CVS) is widely available for the chromosomes that are most commonly involved in prenatal chromosome abnormalities: 13, 18, 21, X, and Y.\(^4\)
- FISH does not require dividing cells like conventional karyotyping. Therefore, results are generally available much more quickly (often within 2 days of the procedure) than for standard chromosome analysis (which usually takes at least 7 days).
- While FISH results have been shown to be highly accurate, most experts recommend that no irreversible decisions be made unless the FISH results are either confirmed by karyotyping or the abnormal result fits with the remainder of the clinical findings (e.g., ultrasound anomalies are consistent with the particular chromosome abnormality).\(^3,4\)

Guidelines and Evidence

- The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2016) issued prenatal diagnosis guidelines recommending the following:\(^5\)
  - “All pregnant women should be offered prenatal assessment for aneuploidy by screening or diagnostic testing regardless of maternal age or other risk factors.”
  - ACOG recommended the following in regards to FISH testing:\(^5\)
    - “When structural abnormalities are detected by prenatal ultrasound examination, chromosomal microarray will identify clinically significant chromosomal abnormalities in approximately 6% of the fetuses that have a normal karyotype. For this reason, chromosomal microarray analysis should be recommended as the primary test (replacing conventional karyotype) for patients undergoing prenatal diagnosis for the indication of a fetal structural abnormality detected by ultra- sound examination. If a structural abnormality is strongly suggestive of a particular aneuploidy in the fetus (eg, duodenal atresia or an atrioventricular heart defect, which are characteristic of trisomy 21), karyotype with or without FISH may be offered before chromosomal microarray analysis.”
    - “An abnormal FISH result should not be considered diagnostic. Therefore, clinical decision making based on information from FISH should include at least one of the following additional results: confirmatory traditional metaphase chromosome analysis or chromosomal microarray, or consistent clinical information (such as abnormal ultrasonographic findings or a positive screening test result for Down syndrome or trisomy 18).”
- The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) and the American Society of Human Genetics (ASHG) issued a joint position statement on FISH in 2000. For prenatal FISH application, they state:\(^4\)
"For management of the fetus, it is reasonable to report positive FISH test results. Clinical decision-making should be based on information from two of three of the following: positive FISH results, confirmatory chromosome analysis, or consistent clinical information."

Criteria
Testing with aneuploidy FISH is allowed once per pregnancy AND only when a result is needed in less than one week in order to exercise some pregnancy management option AND at least one of the following indicate an increased risk for a chromosome abnormality:

- Screening result suggests aneuploidy
- Advanced maternal age
- One major or at least two minor fetal structural defects found on ultrasound
- Previous fetus or child with aneuploidy
- Parent of this pregnancy has a structural chromosome abnormality (e.g., translocation, inversion) involving chromosome 21, 13, 18, X, or Y
- Parent of this pregnancy has an extra chromosome (e.g., Down syndrome, XXX syndrome, Klinefelter syndrome)
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Prenatal Chromosome Analysis

MOL.TS.219.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome Analysis, Amniocentesis</td>
<td>88235 88267 88269 88291 88280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome Analysis, CVS</td>
<td>88235 88267 88269 88291 88280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is a Chromosome Abnormality?

- Humans usually have 23 pairs of chromosomes. Each chromosome has a characteristic appearance that should be the same in each person.
- A chromosome abnormality is any difference in the structure, arrangement, or amount of genetic material packaged into the chromosomes.¹
- Chromosome abnormalities can lead to a variety of developmental and reproductive disorders. Common chromosome abnormalities that affect development include Down syndrome (trisomy 21), trisomy 18, trisomy 13, Turner syndrome, and Klinefelter syndrome.
- About 1 in 200 newborns has some type of chromosome abnormality² and a higher percentage of pregnancies are affected but lost during pregnancy. According to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), “Fetuses affected with Down syndrome often do not survive pregnancy; between the first trimester and full term, an estimated 43% of pregnancies end in miscarriage or stillbirth.”³
- The risk of having a child with an extra chromosome, notably Down syndrome, increases as a woman gets older.³ Historically, invasive prenatal diagnosis was only offered to women over the age of 35. However, many babies with Down syndrome are born to women under 35. Prenatal screening for Down syndrome and certain other chromosome abnormalities is now routinely offered to all pregnant women. As a result, prenatal diagnosis is now an option for most pregnant women.

Test Information

- Chromosome analysis — also called karyotyping — requires stimulating cells to divide, arresting cell division at metaphase when the chromosomes can be seen
microscopically, and staining to visualize the banding patterns. Routine chromosome analysis allows visualization of about 400-550 bands per karyotype. High resolution chromosome analysis allows visualization of finer details and up to 1000 bands per karyotype. 

- Chromosome analysis can be done on fetal cells from amniotic fluid (amniocentesis) or placenta (from CVS), as well as blood, tissue from a pregnancy loss, and other tissues when necessary. These tests are discussed separately.
- Once the chromosomes are prepared, chromosome analysis will identify any differences from normal that can be seen under the microscope. This includes entire missing or extra chromosomes, deletions or duplications within a chromosome that are large enough to be seen by microscope, and rearrangements including translocations and inversions.
- Chromosome analysis will not detect submicroscopic abnormalities, such as microdeletions. Specific probes or array CGH is required.
- Chromosome analysis also cannot detect any single gene disorders (such as cystic fibrosis, Tay-Sachs, etc.).
- Prenatal diagnosis usually takes about 2 weeks to complete.

Guidelines and Evidence

- Prenatal diagnosis through amniocentesis and CVS is standard of care in obstetrics practice.
- Consensus guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2016) recommend that:
  o "All pregnant women should be offered prenatal assessment for aneuploidy by screening or diagnostic testing regardless of maternal age or other risk factors."
  o "Prenatal genetic testing cannot identify all abnormalities or problems in a fetus, and any testing should be focused on the individual patient’s risk, reproductive goals, and preferences."
  o "Genetic testing should be discussed as early as possibly in pregnancy, ideally at the first obstetrics visit, so that first trimester options are available."
- Practice Committee opinion from the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) and American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM, 2008) indicates that "Prenatal diagnostic testing to confirm the results of PGD is encouraged strongly because the methods used for PGD have technical limitations that include the possibility for a false negative result."
- The Genetics Committee of the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC, 2006) indicate, "Couples considering IVF-ICSI for male-factor infertility should receive information, and if necessary formal genetic counseling, about the increased risk of de novo chromosomal abnormalities (mainly sex chromosomal anomalies) associated with their condition. Prenatal diagnosis by chorionic villus sampling (CVS) or
amniocentesis should be offered to these couples if they conceive. (Evidence level II-2A)."

Criteria
Amniocentesis or CVS will be allowed once per pregnancy when at least one of the following criteria is met, indicating an increased risk of a chromosome abnormality in the pregnancy:

- Advancing maternal age; OR
- Abnormal first or second trimester nuchal translucency or maternal serum screening result; OR
- Abnormal cell-free fetal DNA screening result; OR
- Previous pregnancy with a chromosome abnormality; OR
- Parental chromosome abnormality; OR
- Abnormal fetal ultrasound; OR
- Family history of known or suspected chromosome problem; OR
- Pregnancy was conceived after preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD); OR
- Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) due to male-factor infertility.
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Prenatal Maternal Serum Screening

What Is Prenatal Maternal Serum Screening?

- About 3% of pregnancies have some type of birth defect.\(^1\) Down syndrome and neural tube defects (NTDs) are among the most common serious birth defects. Down syndrome affects about 1 in 700 live births.\(^2\) NTDs, such as spina bifida and anencephaly, affect about 3000 pregnancies per year in the United States.\(^3,4\)
- Some factors predict an increased risk for Down syndrome and NTDs, such as maternal age, family history, and maternal diabetes or seizure disorder. However, there are no recognizable risk factors to explain the vast majority of babies born with these birth defects.\(^4,5\) As a result, prenatal screening to identify affected pregnancies is routinely offered to all pregnant women.\(^5,6\)
- While not the focus of maternal serum screening programs, other birth defects (such as abdominal wall and heart defects) and general risks for poor pregnancy outcome may also be identified.

Test Information

- Prenatal screening relies on maternal serum markers, and sometimes nuchal translucency ultrasound data (ACOG recommended technique when available)\(^6\) to predict a pregnancy's risk for Down syndrome, open neural tube defects, and other rarer birth defects such as trisomy 18. Typical marker patterns for these birth defects are seen in the first and second trimesters. Measurements are provided as multiples of the median (MoM), which compare results to normal population medians. Therefore, values are higher or lower relative to 1.0. Risk assessment algorithms evaluate several factors, so pregnancies may be at-risk without each marker being abnormal.
- Screening results are generally reported as "screen positive" for Down syndrome or trisomy 18 if the predicted risk exceeds a laboratory-determined risk cut-off.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) covered by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal Maternal Serum Screening, Two Markers</td>
<td>81508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal Maternal Serum Screening, Three Markers, First Trimester</td>
<td>81509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal Maternal Serum Screening, Three Markers, Second Trimester</td>
<td>81510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal Maternal Serum Screening, Four Markers</td>
<td>81511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatal Maternal Serum Screening, Five Markers</td>
<td>81512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A pregnancy is screen-positive for neural tube defect if the maternal serum \text{AFP} exceeds a cut-off, which is usually 2.5 MoM. \cite{4} However, different MoM calculations or cut-offs may be used for those with recognized risk factors or multiple gestations. \cite{7}

### Guidelines and Evidence

- Practice guidelines from the \textbf{American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2016)} address prenatal screening for chromosome abnormalities. ACOG recommends the following:
  - "Aneuploidy screening or diagnostic testing should be discussed and offered to all women early in pregnancy, ideally at the first prenatal visit." [evidence level B: "limited or inconsistent scientific evidence"]\cite{6}
  - "All women should be offered the option of aneuploidy screening or diagnostic testing for fetal genetic disorders, regardless of maternal age." [evidence level B]\cite{6}
  - Several other level A and B recommendations are made about test effectiveness, choice, patient counseling, and follow-up.
- The \textbf{American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG)}\cite{7} and the \textbf{American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP)}\cite{5} each subsequently published prenatal screening statements that echoed ACOG's recommendations.
- While the ACOG guidelines focus primarily on Down syndrome screening, they do include this recommendation about ONTD screening: "Women who undergo first-trimester screening should be offered second-trimester assessment for open fetal defects (by ultrasonography, MSAFP screening, or both) and ultrasound screening for other fetal structural defects." [evidence level A]\cite{6} A 2003 ACOG practice guideline more directly addressed NTD screening: "Maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein evaluation is an effective screening test for NTDs and should be offered to all pregnant women." [evidence level A]\cite{4}

### Criteria

Testing by ONE of the following methods is covered one time per pregnancy:
- First trimester screening – \text{AFP}, and/or total or free beta-HCG, PAPP-A, and/or dimeric inhibin-A (DIA) levels performed on a maternal serum sample performed in conjunction with an ultrasound measurement of fetal nuchal translucency (NT)*
- Second trimester screening – human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), unconjugated estriol (uE3), and dimeric inhibin-A (DIA) performed on a maternal serum sample
- Integrated, step-wise sequential, or contingent sequential screening – combines results of first and second trimester screening in various testing algorithms.

*Limits on prenatal ultrasonography will depend on the insurer’s ultrasound coverage policy and are outside the scope of this program.
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Prosigna Breast Cancer Prognostic Gene Signature Assay

MOL.TS.222.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oncology (Breast), mRNA analysis of 58 genes using hybrid capture, on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue, prognostic algorithm reported as a risk score</td>
<td>0008M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Prosigna?

- Prosigna is a gene expression test designed to predict the chance of 10 year recurrence of breast cancer.
- Prosigna is indicated in post-menopausal women with hormone receptor positive, node negative (Stage I or II) or node positive (Stage II), early stage breast cancer.¹,²
- This assay is intended to assist patients and providers considering treatment with adjuvant chemotherapy.¹,²

Test Information

- Prosigna is based on the 50 gene expression signature called PAM50. This assay uses RNA from formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) samples to calculate a risk score.¹,²
- The algorithm used for the Prosigna score uses the 50-gene expression profile in combination with clinical variables to classify breast cancer into one of the following four types: Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2-enriched, and Basal-like.¹,²
- A risk of recurrence (ROR) score is also calculated using gene expression and clinical variables. This ROR score is reported as 0-100 and reflects the probability of disease recurrence at 10 years.¹,²
  - A ROR score of 1-10 corresponds to a 10 year distant recurrence of 0%. This risk increases to approximately 15% and then 33.3% when the ROR score reaches 61-70 and 91-100, respectively.³

Guidelines and Evidence

- Evidence-based clinical guidelines from the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) 2016 state:⁴
  - "If a patient has ER/PgR-positive, HER2-negative (node-negative) breast cancer, the clinician may use the PAM50 risk of recurrence (ROR) score..."

- If a patient has ER/PgR-positive, HER2-negative (node-positive) breast cancer, the clinician should not use the PAM50-ROR to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy. Type: evidence based. Evidence quality: intermediate. Strength of recommendation: moderate.”

- If a patient has HER2-positive breast cancer, the clinician should not use the PAM50-ROR to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy. Type: informal consensus. Evidence quality: insufficient. Strength of recommendation: strong.”

- If a patient has TN breast cancer, the clinician should not use the PAM50-ROR to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy. Type: informal consensus. Evidence quality: insufficient. Strength of recommendation: strong.”

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines for Breast Cancer state the following:5

- The NCCN Panel members acknowledge that many assays have been clinically validated for prediction of prognosis. However, based on the currently available data, the panel believes that the 21-gene assay has been best validated for its use as a prognostic test as well as in predicting who is most likely to respond to systemic chemotherapy.”

- “The 21-gene assay using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) on RNA isolated from paraffin-embedded breast cancer tissue (Oncotype DX) is among the best-validated prognostic assays, and there are data showing that it can predict who is most likely to respond to systemic chemotherapy.”

The European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) 2015 published new clinical practice guidelines and stated the following:6

- “Gene expression profiles, such as MammaPrint (Agendia, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), Oncotype DX Recurrence Score (Genomic Health, Redwood City, CA), Prosigna (Nanostring Technologies, Seattle, WA) and EndoPredict (Myriad Genetics), may be used to gain additional prognostic and/or predictive information to complement pathology assessment and to predict the benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy. The three latter tests are designed for patients with ER-positive early breast cancer only.”

- “In cases of uncertainty regarding indications for adjuvant chemotherapy (after consideration of other tests), gene expression assays, such as MammaPrint, Oncotype DX, Prosigna and EndoPredict, may be used, were available.”

- “In cases when decisions might be challenging, such as luminal B HER2-negative and node-negative breast cancer, commercially available molecular signatures for ER-positive breast cancer, such Oncotype DX, EndoPredict, Prosigna, and for all types of breast cancer (pN0–1), such as
MammaPrint and Genomic Grade Index, may be used in conjunction with all clinicopathological factors, to help in treatment decision making."

- The **St. Gallen International Expert Consensus (2015)** stated the following: They said "The Panel considered the role of multi-parameter molecular marker assays for prognosis separately in years 1-5 and beyond 5 years, and their value in selecting patients who require chemotherapy. Oncotype DX®, MammaPrint®, PAM-50 ROR® score, EndoPredict® and the Breast Cancer Index® were all considered usefully prognostic for years 1-5. Beyond 5 years, the Panel was divided almost equally on the prognostic value of Oncotype DX (despite the available data from NSABP Trial B-14 [32]); EndoPredict® (despite the report of Dubsky et al. [36]); and Breast Cancer Index (despite the report of Zhang et al. [37]). (All these reports show the respective tests to be prognostic beyond 5 years.) PAM50 ROR® score was agreed to be clearly prognostic beyond 5 years, and a clear majority rejected the prognostic value of MammaPrint® in this time period."

- The **Molecular Oncology Advisory Committee 2013** published a comparison of Oncotype DX with MammaPrint, PAM50, Adjuvant! Online, Ki-67, and IHC. Their recommendation is as follows: They said "In cases of breast carcinoma where Oncotype DX is indicated for clinical prognosis and treatment decisions, other assays should not currently be considered equivalent with respect to data generated or risk stratification."

- The **National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) 2013** stated the following: They said "MammaPrint, IHC4 and Mammostrat are only recommended for use in research in people with ER+, LN− and HER2− early breast cancer, to collect evidence about potentially important clinical outcomes and to determine the ability of the tests to predict the benefit of chemotherapy (see section 7 of the original guideline document). The tests are not recommended for general use in these people because of uncertainty about their overall clinical benefit and consequently their cost effectiveness."

- The **US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)** cleared Prosigna for clinical use in 2013.10

### Criteria

- **Previous Testing:**
  - No repeat Prosigna testing on the same sample when a result was successfully obtained, and
  - No previous gene expression assay (e.g. OncotypeDx Breast) performed on the same sample when a result was successfully obtained, AND

- **Testing Multiple Samples:**
  - When more than one breast cancer primary is diagnosed:
- There should be reasonable evidence that the tumors are distinct (e.g., bilateral, different quadrants, different histopathologic features, etc.), and
- There should be no evidence from either tumor that chemotherapy is indicated with or without knowledge of the Prosigna test result (e.g., histopathologic features or previous Gene Expression Assay result of one tumor suggest chemotherapy is indicated), and
- If both tumors are to be tested, both tumors must independently meet the required clinical characteristics outlined below, AND

- Required Clinical Characteristics:
  o Invasive breast cancer meeting all of the following criteria:
    i. Tumor size $\geq 0.4$cm (4mm) in greatest dimension (T1b-T3),\(^3\) and
    ii. Hormone receptor positive (ER+/PR+), and
    iii. HER2 negative, and
  o Patient has no regional lymph node metastasis, and
  o Chemotherapy is a treatment option for the patient; results from this Prosigna test will be used in making chemotherapy treatment decisions, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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PTEN Hamartoma Tumor Syndromes
Testing

### Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81322</td>
<td>81322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81321</td>
<td>81321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81323</td>
<td>81323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### What Is PTEN Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome?

- **PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome** (PHTS) is used to describe the group of conditions caused by PTEN mutations that include hamartomatous growths: Cowden syndrome, Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome, Proteus syndrome and Proteus-like syndrome, and autism spectrum disorder with macrocephaly. Historically, these conditions have been considered clinically distinct but share an underlying genetic etiology, and show some overlap in families.¹

  - **Cowden syndrome** (CS) is characterized by an increased risk for benign and malignant tumors of the breast, endometrium, and thyroid (non-medullary).¹,² Other common features include macrocephaly and growths on the skin or mucous membranes (mucocutaneous lesions). Prevalence is estimated to be 1 in 200,000 individuals, although CS is believed to be underdiagnosed.¹ Up to 85% of people with a clinical diagnosis of CS have a PTEN mutation.¹

  - **Lhermitte-Duclos disease** (LDD) is a rare, benign tumor of the cerebellum called dysplastic gangliocytoma that may present in childhood or adulthood.¹,² Most adult-onset LDD is caused by a PTEN mutation even when no other signs of CS are present.¹

  - **Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome** (BRRS) is a genetic disorder characterized by macrocephaly, multiple benign intestinal polyps (hamartomatous type), lipomas, colored spots on the tip of the penis (pigmented macules of the glans penis), and hemangiomas. Some people with BRRS have intellectual disability and/or birth defects. There may be an increased risk for several types of cancer, including breast, thyroid and endometrial.² Up to 65% of people with a clinical diagnosis of BRRS have a PTEN mutation.¹

  - **Proteus and Proteus-like syndromes** are highly variable conditions characterized by overgrowth of several different tissues usually in a patchy asymmetric pattern (mosaic) that is often present from birth but gets worse over time.¹ Clinical signs and symptoms include connective tissue and epidermal nevi (hamartomatous growths), ovarian cystadenomas, parotid monomorphic adenomas, lipomas, capillary/venous/lymphatic
malformations, and a characteristic facial dysmorphology. Up to 50% of people with Proteus-like syndrome and 20% of people with Proteus syndrome have a PTEN mutation.  

- Autism spectrum disorder with macrocephaly, defined as >2.5 SDs above the age mean or ≥97th percentile, may be caused by a mutation in the PTEN gene.  

An estimated 3-20% of all people with ASD/macrocephaly have a PTEN mutation. The likelihood may be greater if other family members have signs and symptoms in the PHTS spectrum.

- An online tool is available to estimate the likelihood of identifying a PTEN mutation based on clinical findings: [http://www.lerner.ccf.org/gmi/ccscore/](http://www.lerner.ccf.org/gmi/ccscore/).

- People with CS need heightened cancer surveillance starting at age 18 (or earlier if warranted: “For those with a family history of a particular cancer type at an early age screening may be initiated five to ten years prior to the youngest diagnosis in the family”)  

The exception is children should have a yearly thyroid ultrasound from the time of diagnosis and skin check with physical examination. Because of the overlap in clinical phenotypes, people with other PTEN-related conditions are advised to follow the same heightened cancer surveillance guidelines as for CS.

- The lifetime risk for breast cancer is 25-50% with an average age at diagnosis of 38-46 years. However, a 2012 publication by Tan et al. reports that this lifetime risk may be as high as 85%, particularly in individuals with PTEN promoter mutations. The lifetime risk for thyroid cancer can range from 10% to as high as 35%. Benign thyroid growths are also found in up to 75% of people with CS. However, the high frequency of thyroid disease in the general population means that when taken on their own, thyroid neoplasms have a low predictive value for identifying mutations carriers.

- Endometrial cancer has an estimated 5-10% lifetime risk, although this is not well-defined. Tan et al. reports a lifetime risk of up to 28%. The gastrointestinal polyp risk (often colonic) in patients with CS may be 80% or higher. and the lifetime risk for colorectal cancer is estimated to be 9%.  

- Early onset colorectal cancer has been reported in 13% of patients with PTEN associated CS indicating earlier and more frequent colonoscopy is warranted in this population.  

- Additionally, an increased lifetime risk for kidney cancer (approximately 34%) and melanoma (about 5-6%) has been reported.

- PTEN mutations are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner, meaning that a person only needs a mutation in one copy of the gene to be affected. A child of an affected person has a 50% chance to inherit the mutation. Nearly all people with a PTEN mutation will develop symptoms (complete penetrance).
Test Information

- **PTEN Sequencing**: Evaluates each DNA nucleotide to identify mutations throughout the gene. Such testing will detect a mutation in about 80% of people with a clinical diagnosis of CS and 60% of people with a clinical diagnosis of BRRS.\(^1\)
  - **Sequencing of the promoter region** will detect an additional 10% of PTEN mutations that cause CS.\(^1\) NCCN recommends comprehensive testing, which should include full sequencing, gene deletion/duplication analysis, and promoter analysis of the PTEN gene.\(^2\) As such, it is important to determine whether or not the selected laboratory includes PTEN promoter analysis in their testing.\(^2\)
- **PTEN Deletion/Duplication Analysis**: Used in cases where a mutation is not found by sequencing. The likelihood of identifying a deletion or duplication in people with clinically diagnosed CS is unknown, but expected to be relatively low.\(^1\) About 11% of people with BRRS have large PTEN gene deletions.\(^1\)
- **PTEN Known Familial Mutation Analysis**: Once the familial mutation is identified, testing for that one mutation can be offered to at-risk relatives. Such testing is much less expensive than complete gene testing and the results are highly reliable.

Guidelines and Evidence

- Evidence-based guidelines (Category 2A) from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) support the use of PTEN genetic testing in those with clinical features or a family history. They recommend PTEN genetic testing in any of the following situations:\(^2\)
  - Family history of a known PTEN mutation [PTEN known familial mutation testing is appropriate]
  - A personal history of any of the following:
    - Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome (BRRS)
    - Adult-onset Lhermitte Duclos disease (cerebellar dysplastic gangliocytoma)
    - Autism spectrum disorder and macrocephaly (≥97th percentile)
    - Two or more biopsy proven trichilemmomas
    - Macrocephaly and at least one other major* criteria
    - Three major* criteria without macrocephaly
    - One major* and three or more minor** criteria
    - Four or more minor** criteria
  - At-risk relative of someone clinically diagnosed with Cowden syndrome or BRRS (who has not had genetic testing), when the at-risk relative has at least one major* or two minor** criteria. Ideally, the at-risk person is a first-degree relative (parent, sibling, child) of someone clinically diagnosed, but testing more distant relatives is acceptable if closer relatives are not available or willing to have testing.
**Major:**
- Breast cancer
- Endometrial cancer
- Follicular thyroid cancer
- Multiple GI hamartomas or ganglioneuromas
- Macrocephaly (at least 97th percentile: 58cm in adult women and 60cm in adult men)
- Macular pigmentation of glans penis
- Mucocutaneous lesions: one biopsy-proven trichilemmoma, multiple palmarplantar keratoses, multifocal or extensive oral mucosal papillomatosis, multiple cutaneous facial papules (often verrucous)

**Minor:**
- Autism spectrum disorder
- Colon cancer
- ≥ 3 esophageal glycogenic acanthoses
- Lipomas
- Intellectual disability (IQ≤75)
- Papillary or follicular variant of papillary thyroid cancer
- Thyroid structural lesions (e.g., adenoma, nodule(s), goiter)
- Renal cell carcinoma
- Single GI hamartoma or ganglioneuroma
- Testicular lipomatosis
- Vascular anomalies (including multiple intracranial developmental venous anomalies)

- Note that these NCCN defined major and minor criteria for genetic testing do not fully align with the major and minor criteria required for a clinical diagnosis.

- The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2008) issued consensus practice guidelines on the genetics evaluation of autism. They propose an evaluation scheme with three tiers. The first tier includes routine studies such as chromosome analysis and fragile X genetic testing. PTEN gene testing is recommended as a second tier test when the head circumference is >2.5 SDs above the mean (if no diagnosis is made via first tier testing).

- An expert-authored review (2014) of the PTEN hamartoma syndromes states:
  - The diagnosis of PHTS is made only when a PTEN mutation is identified.
  - The appropriate order of PTEN testing to optimize yield:
    1. Sequence all PTEN coding exons 1-9 and flanking intronic regions. If no pathogenic variant is identified, perform:
    2. Deletion/duplication analysis. If no pathogenic variant is identified, consider:
    3. Sequence analysis of the promoter region for variants that decrease gene expression
  - The most serious consequences of PHTS relate to the increased risk of cancers including breast, thyroid, endometrial, and to a lesser extent, renal. In this regard, the most important aspect of management of any individual with a PTEN pathogenic variant is increased cancer surveillance to detect any tumors at the earliest, most treatable stages.
Criteria

PTEN gene testing may be considered in individuals with a suspected or known clinical diagnosis of Cowden syndrome, Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome (BRRS), or another PTEN-related hamartoma syndrome; or who have a known family history of a PTEN mutation.

PTEN Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of PTEN, AND
- Diagnostic and Predisposition Testing:
  - Known deleterious family mutation in PTEN identified in 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, or 3\textsuperscript{rd} degree biologic relative(s), AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

PTEN Sequencing with promoter analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of PTEN, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals
  - Personal history of ANY of the following:
    - Bannayan Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome; or
    - Adult Lhermitte-Duclos disease (LDD); or
    - Autism spectrum disorder and macrocephaly; or
    - At least two biopsy-proven trichilemmomas; or
    - At least two major criteria\(^*\) (one must be macrocephaly); or
    - Three major criteria\(^*\) without macrocephaly; or
    - One major and at least three minor criteria\(^*\); or
    - Four or more minor criteria\(^*\), OR
- Predisposition testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  - At-risk person with a family history of:
    - A relative (includes first-degree relative or more distant relatives if the first-degree relative is unavailable or unwilling to be tested) with a clinical diagnosis of Cowden syndrome or BRR (no previous genetic testing); and
    - One major OR two minor criteria\(^*\) in the at-risk person, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
PTEN Deletion/Duplication Analysis:

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Testing:
  - Sequence analysis of PTEN has been performed and resulted negative, and
  - No previous deletion/duplication testing, AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**Criteria for testing purposes are:**

*Major:*
- Breast cancer
- Endometrial cancer
- Follicular thyroid cancer
- Multiple GI hamartomas or ganglioneuromas
- Macrocephaly (at least 97th percentile: 58cm in adult women and 60cm in adult men)
- Macular pigmentation of glans penis
- Mucocutaneous lesions: one biopsy-proven trichilemmoma, multiple palmoplantar keratoses, multifocal or extensive oral mucosal papillomatosis, multiple cutaneous facial papules (often verrucous)

**Minor:*
- Autism spectrum disorder
- Colon cancer
- ≥ 3 esophageal glycogenic acanthoses
- Lipomas
- Intellectual disability (IQ≤75)
- Papillary or follicular variant of papillary thyroid cancer
- Thyroid structural lesions (e.g., adenoma, nodule(s), goiter)
- Renal cell carcinoma
- Single GI hamartoma or ganglioneuroma
- Testicular lipomatosis
- Vascular anomalies (including multiple intracranial developmental venous anomalies)
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What Is Rett Syndrome?

- Rett syndrome is an X-linked disorder of brain development that affects about 1 in 10,000 females.\(^1\) Males are rarely affected with less than 100 affected patients reported.\(^2\)
- Girls with Rett syndrome appear normal when they are born and as infants but by 6 to 18 months begin to lose motor and language skills, which eventually stabilizes.\(^1\)
- Signs and symptoms of Rett syndrome usually include:\(^1,2\)
  - Mental retardation/developmental delay
  - Specific hand movements, like hand "wringing" and clapping for no reason
  - Loss of speech
  - Problems with sleep
  - Seizures
  - Growth failure
  - Autistic behaviors
- The description above describes "classic Rett syndrome." Rett syndrome can be more mild or severe than usual, and these cases are called "atypical" or "variant" Rett syndrome.\(^1,2\)
- Classic Rett syndrome is generally diagnosed by established clinical diagnostic criteria.\(^1,2\) Diagnostic criteria have also been suggested for variant Rett syndrome, but diagnostic criteria are imperfect for reliably diagnosing Rett syndrome.\(^1,2\) Genetic testing may be useful to confirm a diagnosis (particularly when unclear based on clinical criteria) and to identify the mutation for genetic counseling purposes.
- Rett syndrome is caused by genetic changes (mutations) in the MECP2 gene, located on the X chromosome. Females have two X chromosomes and males have one X chromosome and one Y chromosome.\(^1\)
  - When a male has a MECP2 mutation, he has no second normal copy of the gene to help lessen the effect of the mutation. This can cause a severe disease called neonatal encephalopathy and these boys usually die before 2 years of age.\(^1\) Surviving males generally have an abnormal gait or truncal movements and severe speech delay and intellectual disability.\(^2\)
• Genetic testing for Rett syndrome can involve a two-step approach and usually starts with sequencing at least parts of the MECP2 gene most likely to have a mutation in people with Rett syndrome.\(^1\) The presence of a mutation in the MECP2 gene alone does not diagnose Rett syndrome. MECP2 mutations may cause conditions other than Rett syndrome.\(^5\) Conversely, some people who meet the clinical diagnostic criteria for Rett syndrome do not have an identifiable MECP2 mutation.\(^5\)

• Treatment for Rett syndrome is based on the symptoms and usually involves therapies to help with movement and communication.\(^1\) Medications can control difficult behavior and/or seizures when present.\(^1\)

• People with Rett syndrome are at risk for irregular heart rhythm (arrhythmia). They may need heart monitoring and should avoid certain drugs that are known to affect heart rhythm.\(^1\)

Test Information

• MECP2 sequencing identifies an MECP2 gene mutation in about 80% of people with classic Rett syndrome and 40% of people with atypical Rett syndrome.\(^1\)

• When MECP2 gene sequencing is normal, deletion/duplication analysis can be performed to look for other types of gene mutations. About 8% of people with classic Rett syndrome and 3% of people with atypical Rett syndrome will have an MECP2 gene deletion.\(^1\)

• If a MECP2 mutation is found in an affected person, other family members may be offered testing.\(^1\) A female who is found to be a MECP2 mutation carrier would have a 50% chance to pass the mutation to her children. Prenatal testing is available when the MECP2 mutation in the family is known.\(^1-3\)

Guidelines and Evidence

• The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) released evidence-based guidelines titled “Autism: Recognition, referral and diagnosis of children and young people on the autism spectrum,” in 2011. The guidelines state that Rett syndrome should be considered in the case of developmental regression and that genetic testing for such conditions should be considered on an individual basis.

• The consensus guideline from the American Academy of Pediatrics (2006)\(^4\) on the clinical genetic evaluation of a child with mental retardation (MR) or developmental delays (DD) states that:
  o "Molecular genetic diagnostic testing is used to establish the genetic etiology for DD/MR when the diagnosis is considered established clinically (e.g. a girl who fulfills established clinical diagnostic criteria for typical Rett syndrome) or suspected clinically."
  o "The clinical geneticist may suggest testing for MECP2 mutation when the patient does not fulfill the clinical diagnostic criteria for the syndrome in question but when deemed appropriate to address the question of an 'atypical presentation' of the known clinical syndrome."
• No evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines for carrier testing are identified.
• Approximately 99% of cases of Rett syndrome are the result of a new genetic change (de novo mutation) in the affected person and are not inherited from a carrier parent.\textsuperscript{1-3} Cases of minimally affected or unaffected female carriers of MECP2 mutations have been reported.\textsuperscript{1,4}
• Cases of MECP2 mutations in only the germline (egg or sperm) of parents of affected people have been reported.\textsuperscript{1-3} In one study, prenatal diagnosis was offered to nine couples who had a previous child with Rett syndrome due to a known de novo MECP2 mutation.\textsuperscript{3} One of the nine pregnancies was found to have the same MECP2 mutation as in the affected sibling.\textsuperscript{3} Another similar study of three families did not find the known de novo familial MECP2 mutation during prenatal diagnosis.\textsuperscript{5} However, these authors suggest that since germline mosaicism cannot be predicted or ruled out in families who have a child with Rett syndrome, prenatal diagnosis should be offered. If a mutation of unclear significance is found in an affected person, testing both the mother and the father may be appropriate to help to determine if the mutation is actually causing the disease.\textsuperscript{1}

Criteria

MECP2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
• Previous Testing:
  o No previous genetic testing of MECP2, and
  o MECP2 mutation identified in 1\textsuperscript{st} degree biologic relative, OR
• Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  o MECP2 mutation identified in a previous child of either parent.

MECP2 Sequencing

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
• Previous Testing:
  o No previous MECP2 sequencing/scanning, and
  o No known MECP2 mutation in family, AND
• Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Meets clinical diagnostic criteria for classic Rett syndrome, atypical Rett syndrome or probable or possible Rett syndrome, and
  o Genetic testing is necessary because there is uncertainty in clinical diagnosis.
MECP2 Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Previous testing:
  - No previous deletion/duplication analysis of MECP2, and
  - No mutations detected in full sequencing/scanning of MECP2.
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What Is Sept9 Methylation Analysis for Colorectal Cancer?

- Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common types of cancers, with over 136,000 new cases identified each year in the United States.\(^1\) It typically affects adults over 55 years old, with a median age at diagnosis of 68 years.\(^1\)

- Screening programs for CRC allow for its early detection. The earlier CRC is caught, the better chance a person has of surviving. Five year survival rates are 89.8% for localized cancer, 70.5% for cancer that has spread regionally, and 12.9% for CRC with distant metastasis.\(^1\)

- Standard recommended screening for CRC includes guaiac-based fecal occult blood test (gFOBT), fecal immunochemical test (FIT), multtargeted stool DNA test (FIT-DNA), colonoscopy, CT colonography, and flexible sigmoidoscopy. Screening begins at age 50 years and continues until at least age 75 for people at average risk for CRC.\(^2\)

- Although several screening tests have been endorsed and found to be cost-effective, compliance with CRC screening recommendations is limited. According to 2010 data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the percentage of adults over 50 years who reported their CRC screening was up to date ranged from 54.1% to 75.2%, depending on the state. The CDC estimates that 28 million Americans are not up-to-date on CRC screening.\(^3\)

- Two tests designed to detect colorectal cancer by analyzing Sept9 methylation will be addressed in this guideline: Epi proColon and ColoVantage.

- The Epi proColon Test (Epigenomics) is a Septin 9 assay that measures the presence of methylated Septin 9 DNA in a blood sample. It is intended to identify early stage colorectal cancer.\(^4\) It offers an alternative to current screening options.

- The ColoVantage Test (Quest Diagnostics) is a Septin 9 assay that measures the presence of methylated Septin 9 DNA in a blood sample. This test “aids in the detection of colorectal cancer in patients non-adherent to current testing approaches.”\(^5\)
Test Information

- Both Epi proColon and ColoVantage are performed on a blood sample. No bowel preparation or dietary or medication restrictions are required to complete either test.\textsuperscript{4,5}
- Both tests measure methylation of Septin 9 DNA, which is increased in colorectal cancer.\textsuperscript{4,5} Tumors often have increased methylation of Septin 9. When tumor DNA is shed into the bloodstream, this increase in methylation of Septin 9 can be found in the blood.\textsuperscript{4}
- Epi proColon provides a qualitative result: positive or negative. People who receive positive results should be referred for a diagnostic colonoscopy. Those with negative results can continue with standard CRC screening recommendations.\textsuperscript{4}

Guidelines and Evidence

- There are currently no US guidelines that specifically address the use of either Epi proColon or ColoVantage testing.
- Current CRC cancer screening guidelines from the U.S. Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF, 2016) recommend the use of gFOBT, FIT, FIT-DNA, colonoscopy, CT colonography, and flexible sigmoidoscopy for individuals ages 50 years to 75 years at average risk of colorectal cancer.\textsuperscript{2} These guidelines specifically state the following regarding Septin DNA testing:
  - “Although a serology test to detect methylated SEPT9 DNA was included in the systematic evidence review, this screening method currently has limited evidence evaluating its use (a single published test characteristic study met inclusion criteria, which found it had a sensitivity to detect colorectal cancer of <50%). It is therefore not included in this table.”\textsuperscript{2}
- For other age groups, the USPSTF guidelines recommend the following:
  - “For older adults aged 76 to 85 years, the benefits of screening for colorectal cancer decline, and the risk of experiencing serious associated harms increases. The most important consideration for clinicians and patients in this age group is whether the patient has previously been screened. Patients in this age group who have never been screened for colorectal cancer are more likely to benefit than those who have been previously screened.”\textsuperscript{2}
  - “Screening [in adults aged 76 to 85 years] would be most appropriate among adults who 1) are healthy enough to undergo treatment if colorectal cancer is detected and 2) do not have comorbid conditions that would significantly limit their life expectancy.”\textsuperscript{2}
  - “The USPSTF does not recommend routine screening for colorectal cancer in adults 86 years and older. In this age group, competing causes of mortality preclude a mortality benefit that would outweigh the harms.”\textsuperscript{2}
- The U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved Epi proColon in 2016 as an in vitro diagnostic.\textsuperscript{6}
"The Epi proColon test is indicated to screen adults of either sex, 50 years or older, defined as average risk for CRC, who have been offered and have a history of not completing CRC screening."

"The Epi proColon test is not intended to replace colorectal cancer screening tests that are recommended by appropriate guidelines (e.g., 2008 USPSTF guidelines) such as colonoscopy, sigmoidoscopy and high sensitivity fecal occult blood testing."

"The Epi proColon test is not intended for patients who are willing and able to undergo routine colorectal cancer screening tests that are recommended by appropriate guidelines."

"Tests that are available and recommended in the USPSTF 2008 CRC screening guidelines should be offered and declined prior to offering the Epi proColon test."

- **Epi proColon**
  - "The performance of Epi proColon has been established in cross-sectional (i.e., single point in time) studies. Programmatic performance of Epi proColon (i.e., benefits and risks with repeated testing over an established period of time) has not been studied. Performance has not been evaluated for patients who have been previously tested with Epi proColon. Non-inferiority of Epi proColon programmatic sensitivity as compared to other recommended screening methods for CRC has not been established."7
  - "Screening with Epi proColon in subsequent years following a negative test result should be offered only to patients who after counseling by their healthcare provider, again decline CRC screening methods according to appropriate guidelines. The screening interval for this follow-up has not been established."6
  - The frequency interval that follow up Epi proColon testing should be performed has yet to be established.6,7
  - A large, prospective multicenter trial (PRESEPT) evaluated men and women between the ages of 50 to 85 years who were at average risk for colorectal cancer.8
    - Clinical performance of the Epi proColon test in terms of sensitivity and specificity was based on 1544 samples from subjects whose colorectal cancer status was determined by colonoscopy.
    - Sensitivity was determined to be 68.2% with a specificity of 78.8%. Positive predictive value (PPV) was 2.4% with a negative predictive value (NPV) of 99.7%.
  - In 6 clinical validation studies, values of sensitivity and specificity of the Epi proColon test were reported.9-14 Sensitivity ranged from 72% to 79%, and specificity ranged from 82% to 96%. One study showed increasing sensitivity for higher CRC stages (~89% at Stage IV). In a comparative clinical validation trial, Epi proColon showed better sensitivity but worse specificity, when compared with gFOBT or FIT. Another study showed that the performance of the test is negatively impacted by risk factors frequently observed in CRC screening populations, such as early-stage disease, age > 65 years, diabetes, arthritis, and arteriosclerosis."
Specifically, increased age was associated with increased rates of false positive and false negative results.

- Results of a recent meta-analysis/systematic review indicate that the area under the receiver operating curve (AUC) for the pooled diagnostic accuracy results for Epi proColon test was 0.8709. In head-to-head comparisons, the AUC of the combined results of 1) Epi proColon and mSEPT 9 tests and 2) FOBT for CRC diagnosis were 0.7857 and 0.6571, respectively.  

- **ColoVantage**
  - The analytical validity, clinical validity, and clinical utility of the ColoVantage test for detecting CRC has not been established.
  - ColoVantage Plasma is currently undergoing clinical trials in Australia. 

### Criteria

**Epi proColon and ColoVantage testing** are considered investigational and/or experimental.

- Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
- In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility

### References

Spinal Muscular Atrophy Testing

What Is Spinal Muscular Atrophy?

- Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a severe, autosomal recessive neuromuscular disease that affects 1 in 8000 to 1 in 10,000 people.\(^1,2\)
- SMA is caused by loss of lower motor neurons (anterior horn cells) in the spinal cord, resulting in progressive symmetrical muscle weakness and atrophy.\(^1,3\)
- SMA has historically been divided into three to five clinical subtypes based on age of onset and clinical course. While genetic testing has shown these clinical subtypes are not completely distinct, they are still widely used, and include:\(^1,3\)
  - Prenatal onset form ("Type 0" proposed) is characterized by polyhydramnios, decreased fetal movements, breech presentation, arthrogryposis multiplex congenita, respiratory failure at birth, and life span less than 6 months.
  - Type I (infantile or Werdnig-Hoffmann type) is the most common form (60-70% of cases). It presents before 6 months of age with death often before age 2 due to respiratory failure. Affected children have severe, generalized weakness and do not ever sit without support.
  - Type II (intermediate type) causes muscle weakness with onset after 6 months, although children often are able to sit alone and often survive early childhood. Intelligence is normal.
  - Type III (juvenile, Kugelberg-Welander type) is milder. Onset ranges from infancy to youth, but affected people usually walk unassisted albeit with frequent falls or trouble with stairs. Survival is prolonged and intelligence is normal.
  - Type IV (adult type) has much later onset with muscle weakness generally presenting at 20-30 years of age. People may or may not become wheelchair dependent, have normal lifespan and normal intelligence.
- SMA is caused by mutations in the SMN1 gene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMN1 Deletion/Duplication Analysis</td>
<td>81400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMN1/SMN2 Dosage Analysis</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMN2 Gene Copy Analysis*</td>
<td>81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMN1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMN1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Large gene deletions (exon 7 +/- exon 8) cause SMA in the vast majority (95-98%) of affected individuals. The remaining 2-5% of individuals with SMA have a deletion in one SMN1 gene and a different mutation in the other.

- SMN2 is another gene that is almost identical to SMN1 and located on the same chromosome. SMN2 gene mutations do not cause SMA. In fact, about 15% of unaffected people have no copies of the SMN2 gene. However, SMN2 has been shown to modify the disease severity in people with SMA. More copies (usually 3 or more) of SMN2 are associated with milder disease course. Individuals may have between 0-5 copies of SMN2.
- SMA is inherited in an autosomal recessive manner. An affected person has two SMN1 gene mutations. Most do not have a known family history of the condition. People with only one mutation in the SMN1 gene are called carriers. Carriers do not show symptoms of SMA, but have a 50% chance of passing on their mutation to their children. SMA is present in all ethnic groups. About 1 in 40 to 1 in 60 people are carriers. Two carriers of SMA have a 25% chance of having a child with the disorder. About 2% of SMA patients have a de novo (new) mutation in one of their two SMN1 genes. In this case, only one parent is a carrier of SMA.

Test Information

- **SMN1 Deletion Analysis**: Diagnostic testing in an affected individual begins with deletion or copy number analysis, which will identify a deletion of exon 7 in the SMN1 gene. For most affected individuals, both SMN1 genes will be missing exon 7. If both SMN1 genes do not have an exon 7 deletion, SMN1 gene sequencing can be considered.
- **SMN1 Sequencing Analysis** is typically performed in reflex, when one or no deletions are identified by deletion analysis. About 2-5% of affected individuals fall into this group. Sequencing detects the other mutation in virtually all cases. Carrier testing is usually performed by quantitative analysis that determines the dosage, or copy number, of exon 7-containing SMN1 genes. Gene dosage ranges from one to three copies in most people. Asymptomatic carriers typically have one intact copy of the SMN1 gene and one SMN1 gene with the common deletion. However, some unaffected carriers have two intact copies of the SMN1 gene. These may be on the same chromosome with no intact SMN1 gene on the other chromosome. Rare mutations and those carrying two SMN1 genes on the same chromosome will not be detected by gene dosage analysis. Therefore, a negative gene dosage analysis reduces the carrier risk but cannot completely rule out that a person is an SMA carrier. The detection rate of carrier screening varies based on ethnicity, ranging from 71% in African Americans to 95% in Caucasians.
• **SMN2 Gene Copy Number Analysis** is performed by quantitative PCR to determine the number of copies of the SMN2 gene.
  o Most people have 0-3 copies of SMN2, although copy numbers as high as 5 have been reported.³
  o The clinical severity of SMA can be influenced by the number of copies a person has of the SMN2 gene.³ Although a higher copy number of SMN2 is generally associated with a milder phenotype, SMA is still a highly variable disease. It is difficult to use SMN2 copy number to reliably predict the clinical manifestations of SMA in an affected person because sequence variation in SMN2 may also influence disease course regardless of copy number.⁴

• Once mutations have been identified in carriers or affected individuals, family members can be tested for the known familial mutation(s). Preimplantation diagnosis and prenatal testing can be considered when both parents are known SMA carriers.

### Guidelines and Evidence

**Diagnostic Testing**

- The **International Standard of Care Committee for Spinal Muscular Atrophy** issued a consensus statement in 2007 that stated the following⁶:
  o "The first diagnostic test for a patient suspected to have spinal muscular atrophy should be the SMN gene deletion test."⁶
  o "The current literature suggests SMN2 copy numbers correlate with spinal muscular atrophy clinical phenotypes. However, although a higher copy number of SMN2 is correlated with milder phenotype, phenotypes can vary substantially given SMN2 copy number. Therefore, predicting clinical phenotype using SMN2 copy number can be risky and is not currently recommended."⁶

- The **European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS, 2011)** published guidelines on the molecular diagnosis of various neuromuscular disorders.¹ Regarding SMA testing they state:
  o "Screening for SMN1 deletions is indicated in SMA I-III to confirm the diagnosis and provide genetic counseling (Level B)."¹
  o "In adult-onset SMA, genetic testing for SBMA should be considered in males with bulbar manifestations, gynecomastia and X-linked inheritance (Level B)."¹
  o "As nearly all of these studies have a retrospective design and look for a specific mutation in a previously ascertained and clinically diagnosed cohort of patients, the highest achievable recommendation level will be B."¹

**Carrier Testing**

- There is debate about whether SMA carrier screening should be offered to all couples considering pregnancy because of the relatively high carrier frequency.
Guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2008)\(^4\) and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2009)\(^5\) agree that carrier testing is indicated for adults with a family history of SMA.

However, these organizations disagree about whether testing is indicated for general population carrier screening. ACMG guidelines endorse population-based SMA carrier screening.\(^4\) However, ACOG guidelines state that carrier testing should not be offered to all couples because testing is complex, expensive, and available at only a few labs. They cite a lack of evidence that population carrier screening is cost-effective, and the challenges of adequate patient education regarding testing.\(^5\)

In 2011 the Association of Molecular Pathology issued their statement on SMA carrier screening stating that it is “a technology on the threshold of feasibility.”\(^7\) They outlined 6 concerns, 2 of which related to population carrier frequencies, another regarding the need for pilot programs, need for genotype/phenotype research, and another about technical issues with SMN1/SMN2 as outlined above.

Criteria

**SMN1 Exon 7 Deletion**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing of the SMN1 gene, AND
- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Child with hypotonia and weakness (generally symmetrical, proximal more than distal), or
  - Young adult (through twenties) onset of weakness more severely affecting the legs than arms (may be associated with frequent falls, difficulty with stairs), and
  - No obvious signs of different neurological disorder, OR
- Carrier Screening:
  - SMN1 exon 7 deletion testing is not suitable for carrier screening. SMN1/SMN2 dosage analysis (section 1-B) is necessary, OR
- Prenatal Testing:
  - Both parents are carriers of an SMA mutation (at least one of which is an exon 7 deletion mutation), AND
- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**SMN1/SMN2 Dosage Analysis**

- Genetic Counseling:
Spinal Muscular Atrophy

- Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

  - Previous Testing:
    - No previous genetic testing of the SMN1 gene in the carrier testing setting, or
    - Non-diagnostic results from SMN1 exon 7 deletion testing (not homozygous SMN1 deletion) in the diagnostic setting, AND

  - Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
    - Index of suspicion for SMA remains high despite non-diagnostic SMN1 exon 7 deletion testing based on:
      - Proximal greater than distal weakness, and
      - Normal creatine kinase (CK), and
      - Neurogenic EMG, OR

  - Carrier Screening:
    - Have a family history of a close relative (first-, second-, or third-degree) with SMA or SMA-like disease, or
    - Have a reproductive partner who is a carrier of SMA, or
    - Have a reproductive partner with SMA, OR

  - Prenatal Testing:
    - SMN1/SMN2 Dosage Analysis is not suitable for preimplantation/prenatal diagnosis. Other forms of SMA testing may be indicated based on the mutation status of parents. See those sections for guidance, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

SMN1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for known SMN1 family mutation(s), AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Known family SMN1 point mutation(s) in biological relative, OR

- Carrier Screening
  - Known family SMN1 point mutation(s) in biological relative, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

SMN1 Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
Spinal Muscular Atrophy

- SMN1 exon 7 deletion testing did not reveal a homozygous SMN1 deletion and SMN1/SMN2 gene dosage analysis identified a single copy of SMN1 exon 7 in the diagnostic setting, or
- SMN1/SMN2 gene dosage analysis did not confirm carrier status of an exon 7 deletion in the carrier testing setting, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - Individual suspected to have compound heterozygous SMA based previous test results (see number II above) and:
  - Proximal greater than distal weakness, and
  - Normal creatine kinase (CK), and
  - Neurogenic EMG, OR

- Carrier Screening:
  - Have one of the following increased risk indication with a noninformative SMN1/SMN2 gene dosage analysis result:
    - Have a reproductive partner who is a carrier of SMA, or
    - Have a reproductive partner with SMA, OR

- Prenatal Testing:
  - mbryos or At-Risk Fetuses:
    - SMN1 full gene sequencing is not generally necessary for preimplantation/prenatal diagnosis as parental mutation status should have already been determined with SMN1 exon 7 deletion testing (section 1-A) +/- SMN1 known familial variant analysis (section 1-C). AND
  - Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

*SMN2 Gene Copy Analysis

Genetic testing is not approved for SMN2 gene copy analysis for the purposes of predicting SMA prognosis because it is currently considered experimental, investigational or is unproven.
References

## Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms and Dissections (TAAD) Panel Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL3A1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL5A1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL5A2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFEMP2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBN1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBN2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLNA Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED12 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYLK Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOD1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRKG1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC2A10 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAD3 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAD4 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR1 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFBR2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFB2 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFB3 Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplication/deletion analysis panel, must include analyses for TGFBR1, TGFBR2, MYH11, and COL3A1</td>
<td>81411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aortic dysfunction or dilation; genomic sequencing analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 9 genes, including FBN1, TGFBR1, TGFBR2, COL3A1, MYH11, ACTA2, SLC2A10, SMAD3, MYLK

### What Are Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms And Dissections (TAAD)?
- The major cardiac problems seen in individuals with Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms and Dissections (TAAD) include “dilatation of the ascending thoracic aorta at the
level of the sinuses of Valsalva or ascending aorta or both"\(^1\) and “dissections of the thoracic aorta involving either the ascending (Stanford type A dissections) or descending aorta (Stanford type B)"\(^1\). In some cases, vascular manifestations may be the only manifestation.\(^1\)

- TAAD can be diagnosed by various imaging studies, including echocardiography, computed tomography (CT), MRI, and angiography.\(^1\)
- The age of aortic dissection and the severity of the disease can range.\(^1\)
- Treatment for TAAD may involve medications. Surgical repair of the aorta may be necessary in some cases to help prevent aortic dissection.\(^1\)
- Cardiac problems seen with TAAD are associated with approximately 15,000 deaths every year.\(^1\)
- Genetic testing can be helpful to determine if there is an underlying genetic condition causing the TAAD. There are many genes which can predispose someone to TAAD. Some of these genes are associated with specific genetic conditions which may require additional management or surveillance. Medical management, including timing of surgery, may differ based on the underlying genetic etiology.\(^2,3\) In many cases, a careful clinical examination by a specialist familiar with clinical features of these conditions can help to point toward one condition. In these cases, testing for gene(s) associated with a single condition would be most appropriate.
- Specific genetic conditions that have TAAD as a clinical manifestation:
  - **Marfan syndrome (MFS)** – MFS is an autosomal dominant disorder that affects connective tissue in many parts of the body.\(^4\) MFS is caused by mutations in the FBN1 gene. Approximately 70-93% of people meeting diagnostic criteria for Marfan will have a mutation in this gene. Diagnostic criteria, called the Ghent criteria, exists for Marfan syndrome. Major manifestations of the disease include aortic enlargement and ectopia lentis. Other features include, but are not limited to, bone overgrowth and joint laxity, long arms and legs, scoliosis, sternum deformity (pectus excavatum or carinatum), long thin fingers and toes, dural ectasia (stretching of the dural sac), hernias, stretch marks on the skin, and lung bullae. Symptoms can present in males or females at any age. Symptoms typically worsen over time. Infants who present with symptoms typically have the most severe disease course.\(^4\)
  - **Loeys-Dietz syndrome (LDS)** - LDS is an autosomal dominant disorder that affects many parts of the body.\(^5\) LDS is mostly caused by mutations in either the TGFBR1 gene (20%) or TGFBR2 gene (70%). However, a small percentage of people with LDS may have mutations in the SMAD3 gene (5%) or TGFBR2 gene (1%). Major manifestations of this condition include “vascular findings (dilatation or dissection of the aorta, other arterial aneurysms or tortuosity), skeletal findings (pectus excavatum or pectus carinatum, scoliosis, joint laxity or contracture, long thin fingers and toes, cervical spine malformation and/or instability), craniofacial findings (widely spaced eyes, bifid uvula/cleft palate, craniosynostosis), and cutaneous findings (translucent skin, easy bruising, dystrophic scars)."\(^5\) Given that
there is no clinical diagnostic criteria established for LDS, genetic testing can help with the diagnosis.⁵

- **Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, Type IV (EDS type IV)** – EDS type IV is an autosomal dominant condition. It is caused by mutations in the COL3A1 gene. Major manifestations of this condition include “thin, translucent skin; easy bruising; characteristic facial appearance (in some individuals); and arterial, intestinal, and/or uterine fragility.”⁶ Many adults present with the following symptoms: vascular dissection or rupture, gastrointestinal perforation, or organ rupture. Infants and children may present with congenital dislocation of the hips, clubfoot, inguinal hernia, pneumothorax, and/or recurrent joint subluxation or dislocation.⁶

- **Familial TAAD (TGFBR2, TGFBR1, MYH11, ACTA2, MYLK, and SMAD3)** – Familial TAAD is diagnosed based on the following: “dilatation and/or dissection or the thoracic aorta, absence of clinical features of MFS, LDS or EDS Type IV, and a positive family history of TAAD.”¹ Only 20% of people with a clinical diagnosis of Familial TAAD will have a mutation found in one of the above genes.¹

### Test Information

- Many laboratories offer testing for at least 9 genes that have been associated with TAAD in their panels, including the genes that cause MFS, LDS, EDS type IV and Familial TAAD. Detection rates of expanded panels vary by laboratory and depend on the genes included and the methods used for testing.¹ In most cases, a careful and comprehensive clinical evaluation along with imaging studies will point to a specific diagnosis. Testing for conditions that are clinically indicated is the most appropriate place to start. Testing multiple genes, without supporting clinical features, has the potential to obtain results which may be hard to interpret. The chance that a variant of uncertain significance will be found increases as more genes are tested. However, given that many of the symptoms of conditions associated with TAAD overlap, if a person presents with overlapping features of more than one condition, a panel approach may be considered.

- Without symptoms of a specific genetic condition associated with TAAD, mutations in the ACTA2 gene are the most common. Mutations in this gene account for approximately 10-14% of Familial TAAD.¹

- Once a mutation is identified in a family member, the known familial mutation can be specifically identified in asymptomatic or symptomatic family members.

### Guidelines and Evidence


  - Predictive genetic testing for at-risk relatives is addressed in the following guidelines statement:
"If the mutant gene (FBN1, TGFBR1, TGFBR2, COL3A1, ACTA2, MYH11) associated with aortic aneurysm and/or dissection is identified in a patient, first-degree relatives should undergo counseling and testing. Then, only the relatives with the genetic mutation should undergo aortic imaging."7 [Evidence level I: “Evidence from only expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care.” Recommendation classification C: “Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective.”]7

- ACTA2 sequencing is addressed in the following guidelines statement:
  - “Sequencing of the ACTA2 gene is reasonable in patients with a family history of thoracic aortic aneurysms and/or dissections to determine if ACTA2 mutations are responsible for the inherited predisposition (Pannu et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2006; Loeys et al., 2006; Stheneur et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2009).” [Evidence level IIa: “Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care.” Recommendation classification B: “Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective.”]7

- Additional genetic testing is addressed in the following guidelines statement:
  - “Sequencing of other genes known to cause familial thoracic aortic aneurysms and/or dissection (TGFBR1, TGFBR2, MYH11) may be considered in patients with a family history and clinical features associated with mutations in these genes (Pannu et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2006; Loeys et al., 2006; Stheneur et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2009).” [Evidence level IIb: “Greater conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies.” Recommendation classification B: “Recommendation's usefulness/efficacy less well established.”]7

Criteria

Known Familial Mutation(s) for TAAD

- Genetic Counseling
  - Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No previous genetic testing for TAAD, AND

- Diagnostic or Predisposition Testing for Symptomatic or Presymptomatic Individuals*:
  - TAAD family mutation in 1st degree biological relative, AND
• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider for service per the Health Plan policy

*NOTE: Since symptoms may occur in childhood, testing of children who are at-risk for a pathogenic mutation may be considered.

**TAAD Genetic Testing Sequencing Panel**

**Note:** Gene panels that are specific to TAAD that include the following genes will be eligible for coverage according to the criteria outlined in this policy: FBN1, TGFBR1, TGFBR2, COL3A1, MYH11, ACTA2, SLC2A10, SMAD3, and MYLK. This sequencing panel will only be considered for coverage when billed under the appropriate panel CPT code: 81410. For criteria specific to Marfan syndrome, please see the policy *Marfan Syndrome Genetic Testing*.

• Genetic Counseling
  o Pre and post-test counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous panel testing for TAAD, AND

• Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  o Cardiology examination consistent with a diagnosis of TAAD, and
  o Clinical features are not sufficiently specific to suggest a single condition, and
  o The results of the test will directly impact the diagnostic and treatment options that are recommended for the patient, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider for service per Health Plan policy

**TAAD Genetic Testing Duplication/Deletion Panel**

**Note:** This duplication/deletion panel will only be considered for coverage when billed under the appropriate panel CPT code: 81411.

• Criteria for TAAD Genetic Testing Sequencing panel met, AND
• No mutations found in TAAD Sequencing panel, AND
• No previous deletion/duplication analysis for TAAD

**References**


Tay-Sachs Disease Testing

MOL.TS.226.A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEXA Known Familial Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEXA Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEXA Sequencing</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-Hexosaminidase A Enzyme Analysis</td>
<td>83080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Tay-Sachs Disease?

- Tay-Sachs disease is a neurodegenerative genetic disorder. Affected individuals typically present in infancy with progressive weakness, loss of motor skills, decreased attentiveness, and increased startle response between 3-6 months of age. Eventually they develop seizures and blindness, with death in early childhood. There is no cure for Tay-Sachs disease and treatment is supportive.

- Rare, less severe, Tay-Sachs variants exist that are associated with later onset, and less progressive symptoms, and cause more variable neurological problems. These variants include juvenile, chronic, and adult-onset forms.

- Tay-Sachs disease is caused by mutations in the HEX A gene. HEX A gene mutations lead to reduced activity of the β-hexosaminidase A enzyme, allowing toxic substances to build up in the cells of the brain and spinal cord. Eventually, neurons are destroyed, causing the signs and symptoms of Tay-Sachs disease.

- Before widespread carrier screening, Tay-Sachs disease affected about 1 in 3,600 Ashkenazi Jewish births.

- Tay-Sachs disease is an autosomal recessive disorder. An affected individual must inherit a HEX A gene mutation from both parents.

  - Individuals who inherit only one mutation are called carriers. Carriers do not show symptoms of Tay-Sachs disease, but have a 50% chance of passing on the mutation to their children.

  - About 1 in 30 Ashkenazi Jewish individuals are carriers for Tay-Sachs disease.

  - Two carriers of Tay-Sachs disease have a 25% chance of having a child with the disorder.

- Individuals at increased risk to have a child with Tay-Sachs should routinely be offered carrier screening. This includes those with:

  - Ashkenazi Jewish, French Canadian, or Cajun ancestry
  - A family history of Tay-Sachs disease (regardless of ethnicity)
  - A partner who is a known carrier of Tay-Sachs (or affected with a late-onset variant)

- Carrier screening for Tay-Sachs disease is widely available as part of an "Ashkenazi Jewish Panel" that includes several other genetic disease that are
more common in this population (See the Ashkenazi Jewish Carrier Screening Test Summary).

Test Information

- **Hexosaminidase A (HEXA) enzyme analysis** measures the activity of HEXA in the serum or white blood cells. This test is used both for diagnostic testing of symptomatic individuals, and carrier screening.
  - Individuals with classic Tay-Sachs have little to no HEX A enzyme activity in the presence of normal or elevated activity of the beta-hexosaminidase B (HEX B) isoenzyme. HEX A enzyme activity levels correctly diagnose the vast majority of people with all forms of Tay-Sachs disease.
  - Carriers have about 50% of the normal level of HEX A activity.\(^1,2\) HEX A enzyme analysis detects 97%-98% of carriers, regardless of ethnicity.\(^3,4\) Enzyme analysis is recommended as the first step for all people being screened.\(^5\)
  - A small percentage of individuals will get a false positive result by enzyme analysis. This means that they have enzyme activity that appears to be in the carrier range, but they are not actually carriers of a disease-causing mutation. These individuals carry a "pseudodeficiency allele."\(^1\) Inconclusive enzyme analysis results are also possible where enzyme activity is in the overlap range between carrier and normal levels.\(^1\) If HEXA enzyme analysis is abnormal or inconclusive, HEXA mutation analysis may be considered.\(^1,3\)
  - Prenatal diagnosis in an at-risk pregnancy can be performed by HEXA enzyme activity measurement in a fetal sample obtained from chorionic villus sampling (CVS) or amniocentesis.\(^1\)

- **HEXA mutation panel.** This genetic test looks for the most common HEXA gene mutations (such as +TATC1278, +1 IVS 12, +1 IVS 9, G269, R247W, and R249W), which account for up to 98% of all Ashkenazi Jewish Tay-Sachs mutations.\(^1\) The detection rate of standard HEXA mutation panels is much lower in other ethnicities. Some panels include mutations more common in other at-risk ethnic groups (e.g., a 7.6kb deletion more common in French Canadians).\(^1\) If using mutation panels in non-Ashkenazi Jewish, providers should confirm those mutation panels include any ethnicity-specific mutations.

- **HEXA sequencing** analyzes the entire coding region of the HEXA gene and finds the vast majority of HEXA mutations that cause Tay-Sachs disease. Sequencing is most useful for individuals diagnosed by enzyme analysis, but for whom mutation panels found only one or no disease-causing mutations.\(^1\)

- **HEXA known familial mutation analysis:** Once the disease-causing mutations have been identified in an affected family member or known carriers, other at-risk relatives can be tested for just those mutations. Prenatal diagnosis can be performed by mutation analysis if both parental mutations are known. This method may also be used in reflex if HEX A enzyme activity testing is performed first and is inconclusive.\(^1\)
Guidelines and Evidence

- Professional guidelines support population-based Tay-Sachs carrier screening for those at increased risk. They do not generally recommend a specific testing strategy (enzyme and/or mutation analysis) for Ashkenazi Jewish individuals, but do recommend enzyme analysis as a first-line test for non-Jewish individuals.²,³
- Consensus guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2005) recommend:³
  - "Screening for TSD should be offered before pregnancy if both members of a couple are of Ashkenazi Jewish, French–Canadian, or Cajun descent. Those with a family history consistent with TSD also should be offered screening."
  - "When one member of a couple is at high risk (ie, of Ashkenazi Jewish, French–Canadian, or Cajun descent or has a family history consistent with TSD) but the other partner is not, the high-risk partner should be offered screening...If the high-risk partner is determined to be a carrier, the other partner also should be offered screening. If the woman is already pregnant, it may be necessary to offer screening to both partners simultaneously to ensure that results are obtained promptly and that all options are available to the couple."
  - "Biochemical analysis should be used for individuals in low-risk populations."
- Consensus guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2008) recommend carrier screening for a group of disorders that includes Tay-Sachs disease when at least one member of the couple is Ashkenazi Jewish and that couple is pregnant or planning pregnancy.²
- No evidence-based U.S. testing guidelines that address Tay-Sachs diagnostic testing have been identified.
- A 2006 comprehensive literature review states that: "The diagnosis of hexosaminidase A deficiency relies upon the demonstration of absent to near-absent beta-hexosaminidase A (HEX A) enzymatic activity."¹ HEXA mutation analysis can be used in follow-up to resolve inconclusive results or to identify the familial mutations for reproductive purposes.¹
- Professional guidelines generally recommend prenatal testing for Tay-Sachs disease in any of the following situations:¹,⁴
  - HEX A enzyme activity testing revealed both parents to be carriers of Tay-Sachs disease and pseudodeficiency alleles have been ruled out.
  - Disease-causing mutations in HEXA have been identified in both parents.
  - One parent is a known carrier and HEX A enzyme activity testing in the other parent was inconclusive.
  - The mother is a known carrier and the father is unknown or unavailable for testing.
- Guidelines do not generally recommend a specific testing strategy (HEX A enzyme activity and/or mutation analysis). However, the clinical circumstances may deem one strategy more accurate than the other. For instance, mutation analysis is most accurate if both of the parental mutations are known.
• The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2005) guidelines for Tay-Sachs disease state: "If both partners are determined to be carriers of Tay-Sachs disease, genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis should be offered." ³

• The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG, 2009) guidelines for Ashkenazi Jewish carrier screening state: "Carrier screening for TSD, Canavan disease, cystic fibrosis, and familial dysautonomia should be offered to Ashkenazi Jewish individuals before conception or during early pregnancy so that a couple has an opportunity to consider prenatal diagnostic testing options. If the woman is already pregnant, it may be necessary to screen both partners simultaneously so that the results are obtained in a timely fashion to ensure that prenatal diagnostic testing is an option… Carrier couples should be informed of the disease manifestations, range of severity, and available treatment options. Prenatal diagnosis by DNA-based testing can be performed on cells obtained by chorionic villus sampling and amniocentesis." ⁶

Criteria

HEXA Known Familial Mutation Analysis

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o No previous molecular genetic testing of HEXA, AND

• Carrier Screening:
  o Known family mutation in HEXA identified in 1ˢᵗ, 2ⁿᵈ, or 3ʳᵈ degree biologic relative(s), OR

• Prenatal Testing for At-Risk Pregnancies:
  o HEXA mutation identified in both biologic parents, and
  o Pseudodeficiency allele mutation has been ruled out, AND

• Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

HEXA Targeted Mutation Analysis for Common Mutations and Pseudodeficiency Alleles

• Genetic Counseling:
  o Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

• Previous Genetic Testing:
  o This same test has not been performed previously, and
  o No known HEXA mutation in family, AND

• Diagnostic Testing:⁶
  o Abnormal or indeterminate HEX A enzymatic activity in serum, white blood cells, or other tissues, and clinical symptoms of TSD, but diagnosis remains uncertain, or
- Asymptomatic individual with abnormal HEXA enzymatic activity in order to test for a pseudodeficiency allele, or
- Children under the age of 6 months with
  - Progressive weakness and loss of motor skills, or
  - Decreased attentiveness, or
  - Increased startle response, or
  - Macular cherry red spot, or
  - Seizures, or
  - Blindness, or
- Young children with
  - Ataxia and incoordination, or
  - Speech, life skills and cognition decline, or
  - Spasticity and seizures, or
  - Loss of vision, sometimes with:
    - Cherry red spot, or
    - Optic atrophy, or
    - Retinitis pigmentosa, or
- Adolescent/adult (and SMA type Kugelberg-Welander disease or early onset ALS has been ruled out) with
  - Progressive dystonia, or
  - Spinocerebellar degeneration, or
  - Motor neuron disease, or
  - Cognitive dysfunction, dementia, recurrent psychotic depression or bipolar symptoms, or
- French Canadian, Cajun, or Old Order Amish descent regardless of symptoms, OR

- Preconception/Prenatal Carrier testing
  - Ashkenazi Jewish descent, and
  - Intention to reproduce, AND
- Carrier testing for Individuals with Family History or Partners of Carriers:
  - 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative with Tay-Sachs clinical diagnosis, family mutation unknown, and affected relative unavailable for testing, or
  - Partner is monoallelic or biallelic for HEXA mutation, and
  - Have the potential and intention to reproduce, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

**HEXA Sequencing**

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND
- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - No mutations found on targeted mutation analysis, and
  - No previous full sequencing of HEXA, AND
- Diagnostic Testing:
Abnormal or indeterminate HEX A enzymatic activity in serum, white blood cells, or other tissues, and clinical symptoms of TSD, but diagnosis remains uncertain, OR

Children under the age of 6 months with one or more of the following:
- Progressive weakness and loss of motor skills,
- Decreased attentiveness
- Increased startle response
- Macular cherry red spot
- Seizures
- Blindness, or

Young children, with one or more of the following:
- Ataxia and incoordination
- Speech, life skills and cognition decline
- Spasticity and seizures
- Loss of vision, sometimes with:
  - Cherry red spot
  - Optic atrophy
  - Retinitis pigmentosa, or

Adolescence/adult (and SMA type Kugelberg-Welander disease or early onset ALS has been ruled out), with one or more of the following:
- Progressive dystonia
- Spinocerebellar degeneration
- Motor neuron disease
- Cognitive dysfunction, dementia, recurrent psychotic depression or bipolar symptoms, and

Carrier testing for Individuals with Family History or Partners of Carriers:
- 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree biologic relative with Tay-Sachs clinical diagnosis, and family mutation unknown, and affected relative unavailable for testing, or
- Partner is monoallelic or biallelic for a HEXA mutation, and
- Have the potential and intention to reproduce, AND

Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.

References


Tissue of Origin Testing for Cancer of Unknown Primary

**Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
<th>Procedure(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81479</td>
<td>Unlisted molecular pathology procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84999</td>
<td>Unlisted chemistry procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81504</td>
<td>Tissue of Origin Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81540</td>
<td>CancerTYPE ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What Is Cancer of Unknown Primary Testing?**

In order to determine the most effective treatment regimen for a patient with cancer it is important to identify the cancer cell type.¹

- When a cancer is found in one or more metastatic sites but the primary site is not known, it is called a cancer of unknown primary (CUP).² This happens in a small portion of cancers.
- The most commonly used techniques to identify tissue of origin (TOO) for CUP include light microscopy, immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining and computed tomography (CT) or positron emission tomography (PET) imaging.¹
- With advances in technology, some laboratory tests utilize gene expression profiling or other molecular techniques in cancer cells. Ramaswamy et al. found that a gene expression signature distinguished primary from metastatic adenocarcinomas.³ By comparing the pattern of gene expression in the CUP sample to the patterns seen with other known types of cancer, a CUP may be identified as belonging to a particular cancer type.

**Test Information**

- A number of different companies and approaches are being utilized to diagnose metastatic neoplasms for patients with CUP. These include but are not limited to:
  - Tissue of Origin Test from Cancer Genetics Incorporated - uses microarray analysis to measure the expression of over two thousand genes.⁴
Cancer Type ID from Biotheranostics analyzes the expression of 92 genes.\(^5\)

Cancer Origin Test from Rosetta Genomics- uses a RT-PCR platform to analyze the expression levels of 64 microRNAs (miRNAs).\(^6\)

Guidelines and Evidence

- Under 2016 NCCN guidelines for CUP (occult primary), gene signature profiling for tissue of origin is not recommended for standard management at this time. The panel states that “there may be diagnostic benefit, not necessarily clinical benefit” and characterizes the use of gene signature profiling for CUP as a category 3 recommendation. The panel also states that “until more robust outcomes and comparative effectiveness data are available, pathologists and oncologists must collaborate on the judicious use of these modalities on a case by case basis.”\(^7\)

- In a systematic review of cancer of unknown primary site in Lancet, gene-profiling diagnosis was noted to have high sensitivity, but additional prospective studies were deemed necessary to establish whether patients’ outcomes are improved by its clinical use.\(^8\)

Criteria

This test is considered investigational and/or experimental.

- Investigational and experimental (I&E) molecular and genomic (MolGen) tests refer to assays involving chromosomes, DNA, RNA, or gene products that have insufficient data to determine the net health impact, which typically means there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of interest (analytical and clinical validity), significantly improves health outcomes (clinical utility), and/or performs better than an existing standard of care medical management option. Such tests are also not generally accepted as standard of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.

- In the case of MolGen testing, FDA clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight and FDA clearance often does not assess clinical utility.

References


5. CancerTYPE ID. Biotheranostics Website. Available at: http://www.biotheranostics.com
TPMT Testing for Thiopurine Drug Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPMT Genotyping</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurine Methyltransferase Enzyme Analysis</td>
<td>82542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurine Methyltransferase Enzyme Analysis</td>
<td>83789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurine Methyltransferase Enzyme Analysis</td>
<td>82657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What Is Thiopurine Drug Toxicity?

- The thiopurine drugs – azathioprine (AZA), 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP), and 6-thioguanine (6-TG) – are commonly used to treat hematological malignancies, autoimmune conditions, inflammatory bowel disease, and solid organ transplant rejections.\(^1\)

- These drugs have a relatively narrow therapeutic window\(^1\) and adverse drug reactions are frequent, with estimates ranging from 5% to 40%.\(^2\) Drug toxicity can result in myelosuppression or hepatotoxicity, and can be life-threatening.\(^3\) People taking thiopurine should have regular complete blood cell count (CBC) monitoring.\(^4\)

- These drugs are metabolized by the enzyme TPMT (thiopurine methyltransferase). Genetic variants in the TPMT gene are associated with lower enzyme activity, leading to an increased risk for drug toxicity.\(^3\)

- TPMT enzyme activity is largely influenced by polymorphisms (changes) in the TPMT gene. About 29 TPMT variants have been identified. TPMT\(^*2\), TPMT\(^*3A\), TPMT\(^*3C\) and account for 85-90% of intermediate or low TPMT enzyme activity.\(^5\)

- About 1 in 300 (0.3%) people have deficient or undetectable TPMT activity, 11% have low (intermediate) activity and 89% have normal activity. Evidence of a fourth group of ultra-high TPMT activity has recently been found in about 2% of the population.\(^4-6\)

- The overall distribution of low, intermediate and normal TPMT activity does not appear to vary among Caucasians, Asians or African-Americans. However, the TPMT variants are not equally distributed among ethnic populations. The frequency of the variant alleles for which commercial genetic testing is currently available is highest in Caucasians and African-Americans. These variants are less common in Southeast (Indonesian, Thai, Filipino, Taiwanese) and Southwest (Indian, Pakistani) Asians.\(^4,7\)
TPMT activity can account for up to 75% of the cases of neutropenia associated with thiopurines. People with absent TPMT activity treated with normal doses of thiopurines are at approximately 100% risk of developing severe or fatal myelosupression. People with low TPMT activity have a 30-40% risk of developing adverse reactions to thiopurines when treated with standard doses.

Test Information

- **Phenotyping** quantifies TPMT enzyme activity. Testing laboratories generally interpret results as normal, intermediate, or low. Some also report a high enzyme activity level. Phenotyping will detect any lowered enzyme activity, regardless of the specific underlying genetic variation. However, phenotyping results may not be accurate for:
  - People who have received recent blood transfusions (within the last four months).
  - People currently treated with thiopurine drugs.
  - People currently taking drugs that inhibit TPMT, including: naproxen, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, furosemide, sulfasalazine, mesalamine, olsalazine, mefenamic acid, thiazide diuretics, and benzoic acid inhibitors. Patients should abstain from these drugs for at least 48 hours prior to blood collection.

- **Genotyping** for TPMT sensitivity is done by targeted analysis for the most common variant alleles. TPMT*1 is the normal (wild-type) allele; the TPMT*2, *3A, *3B, and *3C alleles are variants common in the general population. Genetic test results are not affected by medication use or blood transfusion.

Although FDA labeling for thiopurine drugs does not specify a testing method, phenotyping (for enzyme activity) is more common and preferred over genotyping (identifying specific variants), in the absence of a contraindication.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The US Food and Drug Administration (2004) revised the labeling for azathioprine, 6-mecaptopurine and 6-thioguanine:
  - Azathioprine: "It is recommended that consideration be given to either genotype or phenotype patients for TPMT. Phenotyping and genotyping methods are commercially available. The most common non-functional alleles associated with reduced levels of TPMT activity are TPMT*2, TPMT*3A and TPMT*3C. Patients with two non-functional alleles (homozygous) have low or absent TPMT activity and those with one non-functional allele (heterozygous) have intermediate activity. Accurate phenotyping (red blood cell TPMT activity) results are not possible in patients who have received recent blood transfusions. TPMT testing may also be considered in patients with abnormal CBC results that do not respond to dose reduction. Early drug discontinuation in these patients is advisable."
6-mecaptopurine (6-MP): “Homozygous-deficient patients (two non-functional alleles), if given usual doses of Mercaptopurine, accumulate excessive cellular concentrations of active thioguanine nucleotides predisposing them to Mercaptopurine toxicity. Heterozygous patients with low or intermediate TPMT activity accumulate higher concentrations of active thioguanine nucleotides than people with normal TPMT activity and are more likely to experience Mercaptopurine toxicity. TPMT genotyping or phenotyping (red blood cell TPMT activity) can identify patients who are homozygous deficient or have low or intermediate TPMT activity.”

6 thioguanine (6-TG): “There are individuals with an inherited deficiency of the enzyme thiopurine methyltransferase (TPMT) who may be unusually sensitive to the myelosuppressive effects of Thioguanine and prone to developing rapid bone marrow suppression following the initiation of treatment. Substantial dosage reductions may be required to avoid the development of life-threatening bone marrow suppression in these patients. Prescribers should be aware that some laboratories offer testing for TPMT deficiency.”

Guidelines from the American College of Gastroenterology (2010) and the American Gastroenterological Association (2006) mirror the FDA recommendations and support testing of TPMT activity for people treated with thiopurines.10,11

Ideally, TPMT activity testing should occur prior to initiating treatment with thiopurines, so that alternative treatment strategies can be considered in those at higher risk for toxicity.5-7,10-13

- Thiopurine use in patients with deficient TPMT activity is contraindicated.4,6
- Patients with intermediate TPMT activity should be treated with a reduced dose. Some guidelines have suggested a reduction of 50-67%.6,13

TPMT testing may also be considered in patients with abnormal blood cell counts or when clinical evidence of severe toxicity does not respond to dose reduction.4

The TARGET trial (TPMT: Azathioprine Response to Genotyping and Enzyme Testing) was a randomized controlled trial evaluating TPMT genotyping prior to treatment with azathioprine. Results from this trial indicated that individuals with homozygous TPMT variants were at risk for severe neutropenia whereas heterozygotes were not at increased risk when taking standard doses of azathioprine.14

Criteria
TPMT testing by phenotyping or genotyping is indicated in individuals considering treatment with any thiopurine drug:

- azathioprine (AZA, Imuran®, Azasan®)
- 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP, Mercaptopurinum®, Purinethol®)
- thioguanine (6-TG, Tabloid®, Thioguanine®)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APC Sequencing</td>
<td>81201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF V600 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT Targeted Sequence Analysis</td>
<td>81272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT D816 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS Exon 2 Targeted Mutation Analysis</td>
<td>81275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS Targeted Mutation Analysis, Additional Variants</td>
<td>81276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT Promoter Methylation Analysis</td>
<td>81287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLH1 Sequencing</td>
<td>81292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH6 Sequencing</td>
<td>81298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS Exon 2 and Exon 3 Analysis</td>
<td>81311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFRA Targeted Sequence Analysis</td>
<td>81314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS2 Sequencing</td>
<td>81317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN Sequencing</td>
<td>81321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Organ Neoplasm Molecular Profiling</td>
<td>81445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Organ or Hematolymphoid Neoplasm Molecular Profiling - Expanded</td>
<td>81455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Molecular Tumor Marker Test</td>
<td>81400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Are Molecular Tumor Markers?

- Tumor markers refer to substances in the body that are altered in the presence of cancer. The alterations may be used to diagnose and subtype cancer, predict prognosis, make therapeutic decisions, and monitor disease progression. Tumor markers may be detected as abnormal protein levels, gene expression (RNA) patterns, chromosome abnormalities, or DNA changes.¹
- Molecular tumor markers are broadly defined here as any change in DNA, RNA, or chromosomes found in tumor tissue that is used to make cancer management decisions.
- Molecular tumor markers are increasingly useful for therapy selection. Many cancer therapies are targeted at particular gene functions (therapeutic targets) and some require information about tumor genetics to use the therapies effectively (companion diagnostics). In these cases, NCCN as well as the FDA have outlined tumor testing that is recommended for specific cancers and the associated treatment implications.²⁻⁵

Test Information

- The specific methodology used to identify molecular tumor markers is dependent upon the type of tumor marker being investigated.
  - DNA mutations are generally detected through direct analysis of hotspots or sequencing either parts of the gene or the whole gene.
  - Chromosome abnormalities, such as translocations or deletions, may be detected through direct visualization of the chromosomes (karyotyping), in
situ hybridization of probes (e.g., FISH) to detect deletions or duplications that are too small to see directly, or DNA-based methods that identify deletions or translocation breakpoints.

- Gene expression profiling simultaneously measures the amount of RNA or protein being made by many genes. Expression patterns may be used to predict the type of cancer present, tumor aggressiveness, and therapy needs.
- Whole exome sequencing is used to identify multiple molecular tumor markers, at the same time, which may be present in a tumor.

- The efficiency of next generation sequencing (NGS) has led to an increasing number of large, multi-gene molecular tumor marker panels. Given that tumors can have multiple and unexpected genetic changes, these panels may provide physicians with information about therapeutic targets that would not otherwise be considered. The following are examples of molecular tumor marker panels (not intended to be a complete list):
  - Foundation Medicine: FoundationOne tumor marker panel
  - Caris Life Sciences: Caris Tumor profiling
  - Paradigm: Paradigm Cancer Diagnostic (PCDx) Panel
  - GenPath Diagnostics: OnkoMatch
  - University of Washington: UW-OncoPlex-Cancer Gene Panel

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) provides the following types of guidance.
  - NCCN Guidelines for Treatment of Cancer by Site provide detailed guidelines on the use of individual tumor markers for each cancer type addressed.\(^5\)
  - NCCN also makes the following recommendations specifically for using multi-gene panels in the evaluation of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): “The NCCN NSCLC Guidelines Panel strongly endorses broader molecular profiling with the goal of identifying rare driver mutations for which effective drugs may already be available, or to appropriately counsel patients regarding the availability of clinical trials. Broad molecular profiling is a key component of the improvement of care of patients with NSCLC.”\(^2\)
  - NCCN has not recommended a panel approach for any other cancer types as of early 2016.
  - NCCN also maintains a biomarker compendium stating “the goal of the NCCN Biomarkers Compendium is to provide essential details for those tests which have been approved by NCCN Guideline Panels and are recommended by the NCCN Guidelines.”\(^4\) Biomarkers for specific cancer types that are listed in the NCCN Biomarker Compendium have a level of evidence associated with their clinical utility.

- The National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry (NACB, 2009) issued general tumor marker quality practice guidelines “to encourage more appropriate use of
tumor marker tests.” They provide the following guidelines to determine if a tumor marker is useful:

- “The marker results are appropriate precisely for the required application (i.e., risk assessment, screening, diagnosis, prognosis, prediction, or post-treatment monitoring).”
- “The marker results separate patients into two or more populations whose outcomes differ so strikingly that they and their caregiver would treat one group differently than another.”
- “The estimate of the separation in outcomes for marker positive and negative is reliable.”

- Some FDA labels require results from tumor marker tests to effectively or safely use the therapy for a specific cancer type. A list of all Pharmacogenomic Biomarkers included in FDA labeling and associated implications can be found here.

Criteria

This policy applies to all molecular tumor marker testing intended for use in solid tumors. This policy does not apply to tumor marker testing for hematologic malignancies. This policy also does not apply to tumor markers found by liquid biopsy. Please see Liquid Biopsy Testing – Solid Tumors. This policy also does not apply when testing for germline (inherited) mutations in genes related to hereditary cancer syndromes (e.g., Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer, Lynch syndrome, etc.). Although some of the same genes may be tested for inherited or acquired mutations, this policy addresses only testing for acquired mutations from tumor tissue.

Coverage criteria differ based on the type of testing being performed (i.e., individual tumor markers separately chosen based on the cancer type versus pre-defined panels of tumor markers) and how that testing will be billed (one or more individual tumor marker-specific procedure codes, specific panel procedure codes, or unlisted procedure codes).

When separate procedure codes will be billed for individual tumor markers (e.g., Tier 1 MoPath codes 81200-81355 or Tier 2 MoPath codes 81400-81408), each individually billed tumor marker test will be evaluated separately. The following criteria will be applied:

- The member has a tumor type that will benefit from information provided by the requested tumor marker test based on at least one of the following:
  - All criteria are met from a test-specific policy if one is available (See Table 1 for tumor marker tests that have separate test-specific policies.), or
  - An oncology therapy FDA label requires results from the tumor marker test to effectively or safely use the therapy for the member’s cancer type (See Table 1 for examples of currently recognized companion diagnostics), or
  - NCCN guidelines include the tumor marker test in the management algorithm for that particular cancer type and all other requirements are met (specific pathology findings, staging, etc.); however, the tumor marker
must be explicitly included in the guidelines and not simply included in a footnote as an intervention that may be considered, or

- The NCCN Biomarker Compendium has a level of evidence of at least 2A for the tumor marker’s application to the member’s specific cancer type, or

NOTE: If five or more individually billed tumor marker tests are under review together (a “panel”) and the member either has non-small cell lung cancer OR meets criteria for 5 or more individual tumor markers, the panel will be approved. However, the laboratory will be redirected to use a panel CPT code for billing purposes (e.g. 81445 or 81455).

When a multi-gene panel is being requested and will be billed with a single panel CPT code (e.g. 81445 or 81455), the panel will be considered medically necessary when the following criteria are met:

- The member has a diagnosis of non-small cell lung cancer, OR
- At least 5 tumor markers included in the panel individually meet criteria for the member’s tumor type based on one of the following:
  - All criteria are met from a test-specific policy if one is available (See Table 1 for tumor marker tests that have separate test-specific policies.), or
  - An oncology therapy FDA label requires results from the tumor marker test to effectively or safely use the therapy for the member’s cancer type (See Table 1 for examples of currently recognized companion diagnostics for available therapies.), or
  - NCCN guidelines include the tumor marker test in the management algorithm for that particular cancer type and all other requirements are met (specific pathology findings, staging, etc.); however, the tumor marker must be explicitly included in the guidelines and not simply included in a footnote as an intervention that “may be considered”, or
  - The NCCN Biomarker Compendium has a level of evidence of at least 2A for the tumor marker’s application to the member’s specific cancer type

NOTE: If the member meets criteria for less than 5 of the individual tumor markers in the panel, the panel will not be covered. The laboratory will be redirected to billing for individual tests for which the member meets criteria.

Table 1: Common cancer types and associated tumor markers. This list not all inclusive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer Type</th>
<th>Tumor Marker</th>
<th>CPT</th>
<th>Claim Code</th>
<th>Associated Treatments*</th>
<th>Applicable Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal* (Metastatic)</td>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>81210</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal* (Metastatic)</td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>81275</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>cetuximab*, panitumumab*</td>
<td>Test-specific criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In general, when there is an associated treatment, results from the referenced tumor marker are necessary for the safe or effective use of that therapy (companion diagnostics). The therapies and tumor markers are only included for cancer types approved for treatment according to FDA labeling.
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UGT1A1 Mutation Analysis for Irinotecan Response

What Are UGT1A1 and Irinotecan?

- Irinotecan is a chemotherapy drug often prescribed together with other standard agents for treating patients with metastatic and recurrent colorectal cancer.\(^1\)
- Irinotecan is metabolized by a gene called UGT1A1 in the liver. A common change, or variant, in the UGT1A1 gene called UGT1A1*28 can lead to reduced enzyme activity. This can cause a buildup of drug metabolites, resulting in toxicity.\(^1,2\)
- Several studies have confirmed an increased risk of having reduced white blood cell count, or neutropenia, in people with UGT1A1 genetic variants. Some studies, but not all, have shown an increased risk of severe diarrhea.\(^3\)
- About 10% of North Americans have two copies of the UGT1A1*28 mutation (homozygous, also referred to as UGT1A1 7/7) and 40% have just one copy (heterozygous).\(^2\)
- Not all people with UGT1A1*28 mutations will experience increased toxicity.\(^3\) People homozygous for the *28 mutation are 3.5 times more likely to develop severe neutropenia than those with the wild genotype.\(^1\)

Test Information

- Targeted mutation analysis of the UGT1A1 gene sequence by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) identifies any mutation in the region. The results are reported as negative, heterozygous or homozygous.\(^1,2,4\)
  - **Negative** = UGT1A1 6/6 (*1/*1) genotype; Wild-type genotype; No UGT1A1*28 mutation is identified. Low risk of severe toxicity from standard initial dosages of irinotecan.
  - **Heterozygous** = UGT1A1 6/7 (*1/*28) genotype; One wild-type allele and one UGT1A1*28 mutation allele identified. Increased risk for irinotecan toxicity, but initial standard doses may be still be tolerated.
  - **Homozygous** = UGT1A1 7/7 (*28/*28) genotype. Increased risk for severe toxicity from standard initial doses of irinotecan, thus irinotecan product labeling recommends considering a reduced initial dose.
Guidelines and Evidence

- In May 2010, the FDA announced a safety change to the prescribing information for Camptosar® (irinotecan) Injection:2,5
  - "When administered in combination with other agents, or as a single-agent, a reduction in the starting dose by at least one level of Camptosar® should be considered for patients known to be homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele. However, the precise dose reduction in this patient population is not known and subsequent dose modifications should be considered based on individual patient tolerance to treatment."
- A laboratory test is available to determine the UGT1A1 status of patients. Testing can detect UGT1A1 6/6, 6/7, 7/7 genotypes."  
- UGT1A1 *28 testing for irinotecan is recognized by the FDA as a valid genomic biomarker. 6
- Guidelines for genetic testing have not been established by organizations such as the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) and the Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) Working Group. However, both organizations recognize the availability and utility of testing UGT1A1 *28 prior to treatment with irinotecan.7,8
- The NCCN states the following:
  - "Also, a warning was added to the label for irinotecan indicating that a reduced starting dose of the drug should be used in patients known to be homozygous for UGT1A1*28."
  - "A practical approach to the use of UGT1A1*28 allele testing with respect to patients receiving irinotecan has been presented, although guidelines for the use of this test in clinical practice have not been established."
  - "UGT1A1 testing on a patient who has experienced irinotecan toxicity is not recommended since that patient will require a dose reduction regardless of the UGT1A1 test result." 7

Criteria

UGT1A1 testing is indicated in individuals with metastatic and/or recurrent colorectal cancer prior to the initiation of irinotecan therapy.
References

VeriStrat Testing for NSCLC TKI Response

What Is VeriStrat® Testing for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer?

- Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common type of lung cancer, and is associated with exposure to cigarette smoking.\(^1\)
- About 80-85% of NSCLC tumors express the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR).\(^1\) EGFR is a cell surface receptor that causes activation of the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain. Overexpression of EGFR results in increased proliferation and survival of cells, leading to the growth of tumors.\(^1\)
- Treatment selection in NSCLC may be guided by molecular genetic testing:
  - Approximately 15-25% of patients with NSCLC have activating mutations in the EGFR gene. These patients display improved progression-free survival following treatment with EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) therapy, such as erlotinib (Tarceva).\(^2,3\)
  - Another 5-7% of patients with NSCLC have the ALK or ROS-1 rearrangement and are treated with crizotinib (Xalkori).\(^4\)
- For the remaining 75-85% of patients, who are negative for both EGFR mutations and ALK/ROS-1 rearrangements, other therapies are used as first-line treatment. However, some of these patients who fail platinum-based chemotherapy or targeted therapies may still benefit from EGFR TKI therapy with erlotinib.\(^5,6\)
- The aim of the VeriStrat test is to help determine which patients with advanced NSCLC may benefit from second-line treatment with an EGFR TKI inhibitor, such as erlotinib, when EGFR mutation status is either negative (wild-type) or unknown.\(^1\)

Test Information

- VeriStrat is a proprietary, serum-based proteomic test using mass spectrometry and bioinformatics to stratify patients into two groups - those expected to have improved survival on EGFR TKI targeted therapy and those who are not expected to have improved survival on EGFR TKI therapy.
- The VeriStrat test result is reported as good, poor, or indeterminate.\(^1\)
  - **VSGood results:** patients are candidates for either single-agent chemotherapy or EGFR TKI targeted therapy, such as erlotinib, and may be candidates for multiple lines of therapy.
VeriStrat

- **VSPoor results**: patients are unlikely to benefit from erlotinib and should be considered for single-agent chemotherapy or best supportive care.
- **Indeterminate results**: In rare instances (<2%), a test result of indeterminate is reported, indicating that a VSGood or VSPoor classification could not be confirmed.

- VeriStrat is not a replacement for an EGFR mutation test. VeriStrat is designed to determine which patients with negative (wild-type) EGFR mutation status might still benefit from erlotinib since it does have some activity against NSCLC that is EGFR negative.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2016) guidelines for the treatment of NSCLC incorporate the use of proteomic tests in the evaluation of therapies for advanced NSCLC. For patients with progression of disease after first-line chemotherapy and good performance status, proteomic testing may help determine which patients may benefit from erlotinib. NCCN guidelines state:
  - “Recommend proteomic testing for patients with NSCLC and wild-type EGFR or with unknown EGFR status. A patient with a ‘poor’ classification should not be offered erlotinib in the second-line setting.”

- Demonstration of the clinical utility of VeriStrat testing centers on the results of the PROSE study (2014). In this prospective, biomarker-stratified, randomized, controlled trial of 263 patients, researchers evaluated the predictive utility of VeriStrat on overall survival (OS) for erlotinib vs. chemotherapy. Key findings include:
  - VSPoor patients had significantly better OS following treatment with chemotherapy vs. erlotinib.
  - VSGood patients demonstrated similar OS when treated with chemotherapy vs. erlotinib.
  - In the unadjusted analysis, VeriStrat classification is predictive of differential OS benefit for erlotinib vs. chemotherapy (HR = 1.85, 95% CI: 1.06-3.24, p=0.031).
  - A multivariate analysis confirmed VeriStrat classification is independently predictive of OS benefit between erlotinib vs. chemotherapy (p=0.022) when taking confounding variables such as treatment options (chemotherapy vs. erlotinib) smoking history, sex, histology (squamous vs. non-squamous), age, EGFR status and performance status (2 vs. 0 and 1) into account. Performance status was the only other independent predictor aside from VeriStrat.

- Akerley and colleagues (2013) published data regarding physician decision-making based on VeriStrat test results. In this observational analysis, 226 physicians voluntarily submitted pre- and post-test treatment recommendations for 403 VeriStrat tests. Results demonstrated that:
  - Post-test, physicians overwhelmingly recommended erlotinib in 90.3% of VSGood patients vs. 9.6% of VSPoor patients.
90.3% of post-test treatment recommendations correlated positively with test results (i.e., patients with VSGood results received erlotinib while patients with VSPoor results did not).

- Physicians changed their treatment recommendations following test results in 39.7% of cases.

- Two clinical trials involving VeriStrat are currently underway:
  - VeriStrat as Predictor of Benefit of First Line Non Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) Patients From Standard Chemotherapy (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02055144)

Criteria

- Clinical history
  - Advanced NSCLC, and
  - Good performance status (PS 0-2), and
  - Progression after (or are ineligible for) platinum-based doublet chemotherapy, AND

- Previous genetic testing
  - EGFR testing mutation status is wild-type (negative for an activating mutation)
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Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) Syndrome

- Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) syndrome is a hereditary cancer syndrome whose main clinical features include hemangioblastomas of the central nervous system (CNS) and retina, renal cysts and renal cell carcinoma, pheochromocytoma, and endolymphatic sac tumors.\(^1\)
  - The cardinal feature of VHL syndrome is hemangioblastoma. CNS hemangioblastomas present in 60%-80% of individuals, and retinal hemangioblastomas present in about 70-80% of individuals.\(^1,2\)
  - The risk to develop clear cell renal carcinoma by age 60 is as high as 70%, and is the leading cause of death for individuals with VHL syndrome.\(^1,2\)
  - Pheochromocytomas and endolymphatic sac tumors are less commonly seen in VHL syndrome than other manifestations.
  - Epididymal tumors have also been reported in VHL. Males with bilateral epididymal tumors may have infertility.\(^1\)
  - Clinical findings of VHL may include vision loss, hearing loss, gait disturbance, pain and sensory motor loss depending on the location of the tumor.\(^1\)
- The incidence of VHL is 1 in 36,000 people.\(^1\)
- VHL syndrome is caused by mutations in the VHL gene. More than 1500 germline and sporadic VHL gene mutations have been identified. The VHL gene is a tumor suppressor whose normal role is to control cell growth and proliferation.\(^1\) VHL mutations lead to a loss of function of the gene and an increased risk for uncontrolled growth of tumors and cysts.\(^1\)
- Most (80%) of VHL mutations are inherited (germline), and about 20% are new (de novo) mutations.\(^1\) VHL syndrome is an autosomal dominant condition with children of affected individuals having a 50% chance of inheriting the disease-causing mutation.\(^1\)
- Almost 100% of individuals with a VHL gene mutation show symptoms of the disease by age 65.\(^1\) Age of onset, disease severity, and tumor types vary between and within affected families.
- Surveillance recommendations for individuals diagnosed with or at-risk for inheriting VHL syndrome include annual ophthalmologic exams, MRI of the brain.
and total spine every two years starting at age 16 years, annual abdominal ultrasound, MRI of the abdomen every two years starting at 16 years, annual blood pressure monitoring, annual blood or urinary fractionated metanephrines starting at 5 years, and audiologic evaluation. Some of the screenings should begin at one year of age in at-risk/affected individuals. Early detection of VHL tumors may lead to improved outcome. However, at-risk individuals can forego screening if genetic testing for a known familial mutation is performed and they have a normal (negative) result.

Test Information

- **VHL full gene sequence analysis** checks all three exons and will find about 72% of mutations. Some laboratories perform only sequencing, while others do sequencing with reflex to deletion/duplication analysis or perform sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis concurrently.
- **VHL deletion/duplication analysis** detects partial or complete gene deletions which account for about 28% of VHL mutations.
- **VHL known familial mutation analysis**: Once a VHL mutation is identified in an affected person, predictive testing is available for at-risk family members, as is prenatal or preimplantation genetic diagnosis. Family members should be tested using the method that can accurately identify the familial mutation. This testing is typically less expensive than a full gene evaluation and provides clear results about whether the family member is predisposed to developing VHL syndrome.

Guidelines and Evidence

- Consensus-based clinical diagnostic guidelines state that the diagnosis of VHL can be made in the following circumstances:
  - "Patients with a family history, and a CNS haemangioblastoma (including retinal haemangioblastomas), phaeochromocytoma, or clear cell renal carcinoma are diagnosed with the disease."
  - "Those with no relevant family history must have two or more CNS haemangioblastomas, or one CNS haemangioblastoma and a visceral tumour (with the exception of epididymal and renal cysts, which are frequent in the general population) to meet the diagnostic criteria."
- **A 2012 expert-authored review** recommends the following testing strategy to confirm/establish the diagnosis in an affected individual:
  - "Genetic testing is indicated in all individuals known to have or suspected of having VHL syndrome."
  - "For individuals with manifestations of VHL syndrome who do not meet strict diagnostic criteria and who do not have a detectable VHL germline mutation, somatic mosaicism for a de novo VHL disease-causing mutation should be considered. In some instances, genetic testing of the offspring of such individuals reveals a VHL mutation."
The high sensitivity of the molecular test for VHL make confirming a diagnosis relatively straightforward in individuals who may have features of VHL but may not meet diagnostic criteria.¹

- A 2012 expert-authored review states: "Use of molecular genetic testing for early identification of at-risk family members improves diagnostic certainty and reduces the need for screening procedures in those at-risk family members who have not inherited the disease-causing mutation."¹

- The American Society of Clinical Oncologists (ASCO) position statement on genetic testing (originally published 1996⁶; revised/affirmed in 2003⁷, 2010⁸, and 2015⁹) considers VHL syndrome a Group 1 disorder: "Tests for families with well defined hereditary syndromes for either a positive or negative result will change medical or prenatal management, and for whom genetic testing may be utilized as part of the routine medical care."

  - The 2003 update specifically addresses issues around genetic testing in affected and at-risk children:
    - "ASCO recommends that the decision to offer testing to potentially affected children should take into account the availability of evidence-based risk-reduction strategies and the probability of developing a malignancy during childhood. Where risk-reduction strategies are available or cancer predominantly develops in childhood, ASCO believes that the scope of parental authority encompasses the right to decide for or against testing."

Criteria

VHL Known Familial Mutation Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous VHL gene testing that would have detected the family mutation, AND

- Diagnostic and Predisposition Testing*:
  - Known family mutation in VHL identified in 1st degree relative(s). (Note: 2nd or 3rd degree relatives may be considered when 1st degree relatives are unavailable or unwilling to be tested), AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

  * Includes prenatal testing for at-risk pregnancies.

VHL Sequencing

- Genetic Counseling:
Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Testing:
  - No previous VHL gene sequencing, and
  - No known familial mutation, AND

- Diagnostic Testing for Symptomatic Individuals:
  - A positive family history of VHL, and
    - Spinal or cerebellar hemangioblastoma, or
    - Retinal hemangioblastoma, or
    - Renal cell carcinoma, or
    - Pheochromocytoma, or
    - Multiple renal and/or pancreatic cysts, OR
  - No known family history of VHL-related findings, and
    - Two or more hemangioblastomas involving the retina, spine, and/or brain, or
    - A single hemangioblastoma and a characteristic visceral mass (such as renal cell carcinoma, pheochromocytoma, endolymphatic sac tumors, papillary cystadenomas of the epididymis or broad ligament, or neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas), OR

- Predisposition Testing for Presymptomatic/Asymptomatic Individuals:
  - A first-degree relative of someone with a clinical diagnosis of VHL who has had no previous genetic testing (Note that testing in the setting of a more distant affected relative will only be considered if the first-degree relative is unavailable or unwilling to be tested ); AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy

VHL Deletion/Duplication Analysis

- Genetic Counseling:
  - Pre and post-test genetic counseling by an appropriate provider (as deemed by the Health Plan policy), AND

- Previous Genetic Testing:
  - There is no known familial mutation, and
  - No previous deletion/duplication analysis of the VHL gene has been performed, and
  - Above criteria for VHL full gene sequence analysis are met, and
  - VHL sequencing was previously performed and no mutations were found, AND

- Rendering laboratory is a qualified provider of service per the Health Plan policy.
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What Is Whole Exome Sequencing?

- Whole exome sequencing (WES) utilizes DNA-enrichment methods and massively parallel nucleotide sequencing to identify disease-associated variants throughout the human genome.
- WES has been proposed for diagnostic use in individuals who present with complex genetic phenotypes suspected of having a rare genetic condition, who cannot be diagnosed by standard clinical workup, or when features suggest a broad differential diagnosis that would require evaluation by multiple genetic tests.
- The standard approach to the diagnostic evaluation of an individual suspected of having a rare genetic condition may include combinations of radiographic, biochemical, electrophysiologic, and targeted genetic testing such as a chromosomal microarray, single-gene analysis, and/or a targeted gene panel.¹
- WES is typically not an appropriate first-tier test, but can be appropriate if initial testing is unrevealing, or if there is no single-gene or panel test available for the particular condition.²
- Identifying a molecularly confirmed diagnosis in a timely manner for an individual with a rare genetic condition can have a variety of health outcomes,²⁻⁹ including:
  - guiding prognosis and improving clinical decision-making, which can improve clinical outcome by
    - application of specific treatments as well as withholding of contraindicated treatments for certain rare genetic conditions
    - surveillance for later-onset comorbidities
    - initiation of palliative care
    - withdrawal of care
  - reducing the financial & psychological impact of diagnostic uncertainty and the diagnostic odyssey (e.g., eliminating lower-yield testing and additional screening testing that may later be proven unnecessary once a diagnosis is achieved)
  - informing genetic counseling related to recurrence risk and prenatal diagnosis options
allowing for more rapid molecular diagnosis than a sequential genetic testing approach

Test Information

- WES is limited to the DNA sequence of coding regions (exons) and flanking intronic regions of the genome, which is estimated to contain 85% of heritable disease-causing variants.
- Pathogenic variants that can be identified by WES include missense, nonsense, splice-site, and small deletions or insertions.
- At the present time, WES typically fails to detect certain classes of disease-causing variants, such as structural variants (e.g., translocations, inversions), abnormal chromosome imprinting or methylation, copy-number variants, some mid-size insertions and deletions (ca. 10-500 bp), trinucleotide repeat expansion mutations, deeper intronic mutations, and low-level mosaicism.
- WES has the advantage of decreased turnaround time and increased efficiency relative to Sanger sequencing of multiple genes.
- WES is associated with technical and analytical variability, including uneven sequencing coverage, gaps in exon capture before sequencing, as well as variability in variant classification based on proprietary filtering algorithms and potential lack of critical clinical history or family samples.

Guidelines and Evidence

- The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2012) states the following regarding the clinical application of whole exome and whole genome testing:
  - “WGS/WES should be considered in the clinical diagnostic assessment of a phenotypically affected individual when:”
    - “The phenotype or family history data strongly implicate a genetic etiology, but the phenotype does not correspond with a specific disorder for which a genetic test targeting a specific gene is available on a clinical basis.”
    - “A patient presents with a defined genetic disorder that demonstrates a high degree of genetic heterogeneity, making WES or WGS analysis of multiple genes simultaneously a more practical approach.”
    - “A patient presents with a likely genetic disorder, but specific genetic tests available for that phenotype have failed to arrive at a diagnosis.”
    - “A fetus with a likely genetic disorder in which specific genetic tests, including targeted sequencing tests, available for that phenotype have failed to arrive at a diagnosis.”
    - “Prenatal diagnosis by genomic (i.e., next-generation whole-exome or whole-genome) sequencing has significant limitations. The current technology does not support short turnaround times, which are often expected in the prenatal setting. There are high rates of...
false positives, false negatives, and variants of unknown clinical significance. These can be expected to be significantly higher than seen when array CGH is used in prenatal diagnosis.”

- The following are recommended pretest considerations:
  - “Pretest counseling should be done by a medical geneticist or an affiliated genetic counselor and should include a formal consent process.”
  - “Before initiating WGS/WES, participants should be counseled regarding the expected outcomes of testing, the likelihood and type of incidental results that could be generated, and what results will or will not be disclosed.”
  - “As part of the pretest counseling, a clear distinction should be made between clinical and research-based testing. In many cases, findings will include variants of unknown significance that might be the subject for research; in such instances a protocol approved by an institutional review board must be in place and appropriate prior informed consent obtained from the participant.”

- The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, 2012) states the following regarding informed consent for whole exome and whole genome testing:
  - “Before initiating GS/ES, counseling should be performed by a medical geneticist or an affiliated genetic counselor and should include written documentation of consent from the patient.”
  - “Incidental/secondary findings revealed in either children or adults may have high clinical significance for which interventions exist to prevent or ameliorate disease severity. Patients should be informed of this possibility as a part of the informed consent process.”
  - “Pretest counseling should include a discussion of the expected outcomes of testing, the likelihood and type of incidental results that may be generated, and the types of results that will or will not be returned. Patients should know if and what type of incidental findings may be returned to their referring physician by the laboratory performing the test.”
  - “GS/ES is not recommended before the legal age of majority except for:
    - Phenotype-driven clinical diagnostic uses;
    - Circumstances in which early monitoring or interventions are available and effective; or
    - Institutional review board–approved research.”
  - “As part of the pretest counseling, a clear distinction should be made between clinical and research-based testing.”
  - “Patients should be informed as to whether individually identifiable results may be provided to databases, and they should be permitted to opt out of such disclosure.”
  - “Patients should be informed of policies regarding re-contact of referring physicians as new knowledge is gained about the significance of particular results.”
The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG, Updated 2016) published guidelines for the reporting of incidental findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing: 13,14 They state the following:
- “We continue to support the reporting of known or expected pathogenic variants, but we do not recommend reporting variants of uncertain significant as secondary findings (SFs).”
- This guideline includes a table of “ACMG SF v2.0 genes and associated phenotypes recommended for return of secondary findings in clinical sequencing.”

Evidence for the clinical utility of WES in individuals with multiple congenital anomalies and/or a neurodevelopmental phenotype includes numerous large case series. Relevant outcomes include improved clinical decision-making (e.g., application of specific treatments, withholding of contraindicated treatments, changes to surveillance), changes in reproductive decision making, and resource utilization. WES serves as a powerful diagnostic tool for individuals with rare genetic conditions in which the specific genetic etiology is unclear or unidentified by standard clinical workup.7,15,16

The average diagnostic yield of WES is 20-40% depending on the individual’s age, phenotype, previous workup, and number of comparator samples analyzed.5,15,17 Among individuals with a pathogenic or likely pathogenic findings by WES, 5-7% received a dual molecular diagnosis (i.e., two significant findings associated with non-overlapping clinical presentations).15,17

The use of family trio WES reduces the rate of uncertain findings, adds to the clinical sensitivity with regard to the interpretation of clinically novel genes, and increases the diagnostic utility of WES. For example, in three publications the positive rate ranges from 31-37% in patients undergoing trio analysis compared to 20-23% positive rate among proband-only WES.15,18,19

Re-evaluation of previously obtained exome sequence has the potential for additional diagnostic yield because of constant expansions of existing variant databases, as well as periodic novel gene discovery.20

Criteria

Whole exome sequencing (WES) is considered medically necessary when ALL of the following criteria are met:
- The patient and family history have been evaluated by a Board-Certified or Board-Eligible Medical Geneticist, AND
- Patient is <21 years of age, AND
- A genetic etiology is considered the most likely explanation for the phenotype, based on EITHER of the following:
  - Multiple congenital abnormalities defined by ONE of the following:
    - Two or more major anomalies affecting different organ systems*, or
    - One major and two or more minor anomalies affecting different organ systems*, OR
  - TWO of the following criteria are met:
• abnormality affecting at minimum a single organ system, and/or
• significant developmental delay, intellectual disability (e.g., characterized by significant limitations in both intellectual functioning and in adaptive behavior), and/or
• symptoms of a complex neurodevelopmental disorder (e.g., self-injurious behavior, reverse sleep-wake cycles), and/or
• severe neuropsychiatric condition (e.g., schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, Tourette syndrome), and/or
• family history strongly suggestive of a genetic etiology, including consanguinity, and/or
• period of unexplained developmental regression, and/or
• biochemical findings suggestive of an inborn error of metabolism, AND
  o Alternate etiologies have been considered and ruled out when possible (e.g., environmental exposure, injury, infection), AND
  o Clinical presentation does not fit a well-described syndrome for which single-gene or targeted panel testing (e.g., comparative genomic hybridization [CGH]/chromosomal microarray analysis [CMA]) is available, AND
  o There is a predicted impact on health outcomes including:
    ▪ Application of specific treatments, or
    ▪ Withholding of contraindicated treatments, or
    ▪ Surveillance for later-onset comorbidities, or
    ▪ Initiation of palliative care, or
    ▪ Withdrawal of care, AND
  o A diagnosis cannot be made by standard clinical work-up, excluding invasive procedures such as muscle biopsy

* Major structural abnormalities are generally serious enough as to require medical treatment on their own (such as surgery) and are not minor developmental variations that may or may not suggest an underlying disorder.

Exclusions and Other Considerations:
• WES is considered experimental/investigational for screening for genetic disorders in asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic individuals.
• Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) is considered investigational/experimental for all indications.

Billing and Reimbursement Considerations:
• WES will be considered for reimbursement when it is deemed more efficient and economical than the separate single-gene tests or panels that would be recommended based on the differential diagnosis (e.g., genetic conditions that demonstrate a high degree of genetic heterogeneity).
• WES will be considered for reimbursement only when billed with an appropriate CPT code:
  o 81415 should be billed for the proband. 81415 should only be billed when analyzing the entire whole exome sequence, rather than a targeted set of genes. At a minimum, genes associated with the clinical presentation and those constitutional mutations in genes listed on the ACMG minimum list entitled “Conditions, genes, and variants recommended for return of incidental findings in clinical sequencing” (Green et al)\textsuperscript{13} should be reported by the laboratory to the ordering clinician, regardless of the indication for which the exome sequence was ordered.
  o 81416 should be billed when a comparator exome is performed. A trio of the proband and both parents is generally preferred, although other family members may be more informative based on the clinical presentation. A maximum of two units of 81416 will be considered for reimbursement.
• 81415 is not reimbursable for a targeted exome analysis (e.g. XomeDxSlice). The appropriate GSP panel code, unlisted code (e.g. 81479), or Tier 1 or Tier 2 code(s) must be billed.
• 81415 will be reimbursable once per lifetime.
• When a single exome platform is used for more than one test (e.g., XomeDxSlice reflex to full exome analysis), all tests reported from the same exome analysis may be:
  o Billed together under one unit of 81415, or
  o Billed separately, but 81415 cannot be used. When billed separately, studies may be billed using Tier 1 codes, Tier 2 codes, or 81479 at an amount that does not exceed the cost of full exome analysis.
• 81417 is not an appropriate code for reflex from targeted to full exome.
• Re-evaluation of a previously obtained exome due to updated knowledge or for the purpose of evaluating a patient for an unrelated condition/syndrome on a different date of service will be considered for reimbursement only when billed using 81417.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adenoma</td>
<td>An ordinarily benign neoplasm of epithelial tissue. If an adenoma becomes cancerous, it is known as an adenocarcinoma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenomatous polyposis coli</td>
<td>Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) is a gene located on chromosome 5q. Inherited APC gene mutations are associated with Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP) and Attenuated FAP. Most colorectal cancer polyps have mutations in both copies of the APC gene, even in people that don't have FAP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjuvant therapy</td>
<td>When discussing cancer treatment, adjuvant therapy is given after a primary treatment (like surgery) to increase the chances of a cure. Adjuvant therapy may include chemotherapy, radiation therapy, hormone therapy, or biological therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adverse drug reaction</td>
<td>A harmful or unpleasant reaction to a drug that generally means the drug should be prescribed differently or avoided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerobic exercise</td>
<td>Any physical activity that causes the heart to pump faster and harder and breathing to quicken. Strengthens the heart muscle and may also help lower high blood pressure and increase good cholesterol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFAP</td>
<td>Attenuated FAP (AFAP) is a form of FAP characterized by a less dramatic proliferation of polyps (between 20-99 cumulative polyps) and age of onset for colorectal cancer of approximately 50 years. Polyps generally localize to the proximal (right-sided) colon. The American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) recommends genetic testing once a person has developed 20 or more cumulative polyps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amniotic fluid</td>
<td>The protective fluid that surrounds the developing baby. This fluid fills the amniotic sac, or &quot;bag of water&quot; inside the mother's uterus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ancestry</td>
<td>Can be represented by a family tree showing how biological family members are related to each other. It is sometimes used interchangeably with &quot;lineage.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anemia</td>
<td>A condition caused by too little oxygen in the blood, usually caused by too little hemoglobin or too few red blood cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angina</td>
<td>Pain, pressure, or a feeling of indigestion in the chest caused by too little oxygen-rich blood reaching the heart. Usually caused by coronary artery disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anticipation</td>
<td>A way certain genetic diseases are inherited that causes them to get worse over the generations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anticoagulant</td>
<td>Medications that prevent the blood from clotting -- often call &quot;blood thinners.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anticonvulsant drug</td>
<td>Medications used to prevent or treat seizures. Common anticonvulsant drugs include Dilantin, Zarontin, Klonopin, Valium, Tegretol, Depakote and others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antidepressant</td>
<td>A medication used to prevent or treat depression. Current antidepressants categories include SSRIs, MAOIs, tricyclics, tetracyclics, and others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antipsychotic</td>
<td>Medications used to treat schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder and other conditions that distort a person's grasp of reality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antiretroviral</td>
<td>A medication used to treat a retrovirus infection, such as HIV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB</td>
<td>A gene for the protein that normally helps deliver LDL cholesterol to the liver to be broken down. An ApoB gene mutation causes a person not to clear LDL from the body as well as usual and it builds up. ApoB mutations are one cause of familial hypercholesterolemia, although LDLR mutations are the most common.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aromatase inhibitor</td>
<td>A class of drugs used to treat postmenopausal women who have hormone-dependent breast cancer. Als work by blocking the enzyme aromatase responsible for converting androgen to estrogen. This limits the amount of estrogen available to promote breast cancer growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arrhythmia</td>
<td>Any variation from the normal heart rate or rhythm. The heart might beat faster than usual (tachycardia), slower than usual (bradycardia), or with an unusual pattern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>artery</td>
<td>Blood vessels that carry oxygen-rich blood throughout the body. The coronary arteries carry blood to the heart muscle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi Jewish</td>
<td>Jewish people whose ancestors are from Eastern Europe -- mostly Germany, Poland, Russia, and some parts of France. Whereas Sephardic Jewish people have ancestry from Spain, Portugal, parts of France, Italy, North Africa, and the Middle East. Most American Jews are Ashkenazi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atherosclerosis</td>
<td>A disease caused by plaque buildup inside the arteries that limits blood flow. Also called hardening of the arteries.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>term</strong></th>
<th><strong>definition</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>A genetic instruction passed from generation to generation. Genes are made of DNA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diabetes</td>
<td>A problem with the hormone insulin. People with diabetes either can't make insulin (type I) or can't use it well enough (type II).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>detection rate</td>
<td>A form of HIV virus that uses a protein on the outside of a cell, called the CXCR4 receptor, to enter and infect the cell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de novo mutation</td>
<td>A genetic condition where material from one chromosome breaks off and sticks to another chromosome, or switches places with a part of another chromosome. There are different types of translocations, and they can have different effects on health and development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A2</td>
<td>An enzyme involved in the metabolism of many drugs, including caffeine. Some people have a form of CYP1A2 that is particularly susceptible to tobacco smoke and may have adverse reactions when taking drugs metabolized by CYP1A2 while smoking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C19</td>
<td>An enzyme in the metabolism of many drugs, including several ulcer and reflux drugs. Variants in the gene can cause adverse reactions to drugs metabolized by CYP2C19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C9</td>
<td>An enzyme involved in the metabolism of many drugs, including warfarin and celecoxib. and several anti-inflammatories. Variants in the gene can cause adverse reactions to drugs metabolized by CYP2C9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>An enzyme involved in the metabolism of many drugs, including codeine, tamoxifen, and several antidepressants. Variants in the gene can cause adverse reactions to drugs metabolized by CYP2D6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cholesterol</td>
<td>A waxy, fat-like substance used by the body to make hormones, vitamin D, and other important substances. Eating too much cholesterol increases the risk of heart disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromosome</td>
<td>A threadlike strand of DNA that carries genes and transmits hereditary information. Each chromosome can contain hundreds or thousands of individual genes. The number of chromosomes in the normal human cell is 46 (23 pairs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromosomal translocation</td>
<td>A genetic condition where material from one chromosome breaks off and sticks to another chromosome, or switches places with a part of another chromosome. There are different types of translocations, and they can have different effects on health and development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRPE</td>
<td>Congenital Hypertrophy of Retinal Pigmented Epithelium - a benign eye abnormality common in those with FAP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close relative</td>
<td>A form of HIV virus that uses a protein on the outside of a cell, called the CXCR4 receptor, to enter and infect the cell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colon</td>
<td>Another name for the large intestine; the section of the large intestine extending from the cecum to the rectum. An adult colon is approximately five to six feet in length and is responsible for absorbing water and forming, storing, and expelling waste.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colectomy</td>
<td>The surgical removal of the colon. A total colectomy is the surgical removal of the colon and rectum. A subtotal colectomy is the surgical removal of the colon or portions of the colon only (not rectum).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colorectal cancer</td>
<td>Cancer that occurs in the rectum or the colon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCR4-tropic</td>
<td>A problem with the structure of the heart, or the vessels connected to it, which is present from birth. Many types of heart defects exist. They can affect how the blood flows through the heart, or its rhythm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>congenital heart defect</td>
<td>A problem with the structure of the heart, or the vessels connected to it, which is present from birth. Many types of heart defects exist. They can affect how the blood flows through the heart, or its rhythm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corneal arcus</td>
<td>Also called &quot;arcus cornealis&quot;. An accumulation of cholesterol around the cornea (the clear front surface of the eye) that causes a grey ring around the colored part of the eye. May be a normal feature of aging, but may also be a sign of unusually high cholesterol levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coenzyme P450</td>
<td>A threadlike strand of DNA that carries genes and transmits hereditary information. Each chromosome can contain hundreds or thousands of individual genes. The number of chromosomes in the normal human cell is 46 (23 pairs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de novo mutation</td>
<td>A genetic condition where material from one chromosome breaks off and sticks to another chromosome, or switches places with a part of another chromosome. There are different types of translocations, and they can have different effects on health and development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Analysis</td>
<td>Comprehensive Analysis is the most complete BRCA test. It looks at all the coding DNA of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes, to see if there are any changes or mutations. It can find: changes that are known to cause cancer, changes that are harmless, and changes whose link to cancer is unknown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>congenital heart defect</td>
<td>A problem with the structure of the heart, or the vessels connected to it, which is present from birth. Many types of heart defects exist. They can affect how the blood flows through the heart, or its rhythm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cholesterol</td>
<td>A waxy, fat-like substance used by the body to make hormones, vitamin D, and other important substances. Eating too much cholesterol increases the risk of heart disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromosome</td>
<td>A threadlike strand of DNA that carries genes and transmits hereditary information. Each chromosome can contain hundreds or thousands of individual genes. The number of chromosomes in the normal human cell is 46 (23 pairs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromosomal translocation</td>
<td>A genetic condition where material from one chromosome breaks off and sticks to another chromosome, or switches places with a part of another chromosome. There are different types of translocations, and they can have different effects on health and development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRPE</td>
<td>Congenital Hypertrophy of Retinal Pigmented Epithelium - a benign eye abnormality common in those with FAP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close relative</td>
<td>A close relative is defined as a mother, father, sister, brother or child.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drug interaction</strong></td>
<td>When a drug reacts with another drug (prescribed, over-the-counter, herbs, supplements, etc.), food, or other environmental exposure to cause an altered response. The effect may be an increased or decreased response or an adverse drug reaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environment</strong></td>
<td>When talking about what causes disease, environment refers to basically everything that isn't controlled by genetics. Environment can include what we eat, physical activity, medications we take, chemicals we are exposed to, our physical surroundings, and countless other factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enzyme</strong></td>
<td>A protein made by the body that encourages a biochemical reaction. Humans make hundreds of different enzymes from the instructions in our genes. If any one enzyme isn't working normally, it can cause a disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epithelium</strong></td>
<td>Membranous tissue constructed of one or more layers of cells that cover the internal and external surfaces of the body and its organs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnic Background</strong></td>
<td>The geographical and racial identity of a person's ancestors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnic Group</strong></td>
<td>A group of people whose ancestors lived in the same region of the world, and thus, who share a common genetic background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td>A group of people who frequently share some common ancestry and are, therefore, more likely to share certain genetic traits or mutations. May be based on descending from the same geographical location, a shared religion, a tribal connection, or other cultural practices. People often belong to more than one ethnic group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extensive Metabolizer</strong></td>
<td>Extensive metabolizers have two &quot;normal&quot; drug metabolism genes. They make the average amount of enzyme and usually have normal drug response. Most people are extensive metabolizers. People have many drug metabolism genes and can be different kinds of metabolizers for each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>False Negative</strong></td>
<td>A test result that is read as negative when the disease is present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>False Positive</strong></td>
<td>A test result that is read as positive when the disease is not present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Familial Adenomatous Polyposis</strong></td>
<td>Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP) is an inherited condition that causes the formation of hundreds to thousands of precancerous polyps within the colon, often before age 20. FAP is usually caused by an inherited mutation in one copy of the APC gene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Familial Hypercholesterolemia</strong></td>
<td>An inherited condition that causes people to have very high levels of LDL, or &quot;bad&quot;, cholesterol and a high risk for heart disease if not aggressively treated with cholesterol-lowering drugs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family History</strong></td>
<td>Family history may refer to whether or not you have any biological relative with a specific condition. It may also refer to the collective medical histories of all of your biological relatives. An accurate family history is one of the most important tools available to predict and prevent conditions that you may be at risk for.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fecal Immunochemical Test</strong></td>
<td>Fecal immunochemical test (FIT) is a test, similar to FOBT, to check for hidden blood in the stool. Blood may signal cancer or one of many non-cancer related causes of bleeding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fecal Occult Blood Test</strong></td>
<td>Fecal occult blood test (FOBT) is a test to check for hidden blood in the stool. The presence of blood in stool may be a sign of cancer or one of the many non-cancer related causes of bleeding (e.g. hemorrhoids).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fibrate</strong></td>
<td>A group of drugs that work to lower your &quot;bad&quot; (LDL) cholesterol by reducing your triglycerides (another type of fat) and raising your &quot;good&quot; (HDL) cholesterol. Commonly prescribed fibrates include fenofibrate (brand name examples include: Antara, Fenoglide, Lipofen, Lorbibra, TriCor, Triglide, and Lipidil) and gemfibrozil (brand name: Lopid).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flexible Sigmoidoscopy</strong></td>
<td>Procedure used to examine the rectum and lower third of the colon. A sigmoidoscope is a long, flexible, slender tube with a lens on the end used to visualize a portion of the colon to look for the presence of growths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional</strong></td>
<td>Functional refers to genes or proteins that are not affected by genetic changes that disrupt their normal structure or behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gastrointestinal Tract</strong></td>
<td>The digestive system, consisting of the esophagus, stomach, small intestine and large intestine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gene</strong></td>
<td>A piece of DNA that acts as an instruction to the body for how to make a specific protein (enzyme, hormone, etc.). Genes are inherited, passed from parent to child.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gene Sequencing</strong></td>
<td>A genetic test that is considered the gold standard for finding genetic changes known as mutations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genetic</strong></td>
<td>Refers to any trait that is inherited, or passed from generation to generation through genes. These traits may range from having specific diseases to our response to certain drugs to simply our physical characteristics, like eye and hair color.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic condition</td>
<td>A genetic condition is any disease, disorder, syndrome, or trait that is caused, at least in part, from alterations in genes or chromosomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic counseling</td>
<td>Genetic counseling is a process to help people learn about, cope with, and manage their risk of genetic disorders. This risk may be uncovered because the person is diagnosed with a condition, has a family history, has an affected child, and/or has an abnormal genetic test result.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic counselor</td>
<td>A healthcare professional with specialized training in how the science of genetics relates to medical care. A genetic counselor can evaluate your personal and family history, identify any risk factors for birth defects or genetic conditions, and help you understand and make decisions about testing or other options you may have.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic discrimination</td>
<td>Treatment or consideration based on genetic status or category rather than individual merit or actual conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic modifier</td>
<td>A gene that changes how another gene is expressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic predisposition</td>
<td>Any condition in which genetic make-up leaves the individual more susceptible to disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic test</td>
<td>A specific type of laboratory test that is designed to find out if a person has a genetic disorder, is a carrier of a genetic disease, or has a predisposition to develop a genetic problem. Genetic testing can look at chromosomes, genes, or proteins -- depending on the specific condition being tested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genomics</td>
<td>The study of the genome and its significance to pathology and disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genotype</td>
<td>The version of genes a person, organism, or cancer has.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genotyping</td>
<td>Tests that look specifically at the genetic information of a person, organism, or cancer. These tests may predict a certain characteristic (&quot;phenotype&quot;) but don't actually test for that characteristic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>A form of sugar made from carbohydrates we eat that the body uses for energy. Too much glucose in their blood may be a sign of diabetes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBB</td>
<td>A gene involved in making a piece of a protein called hemoglobin. Genetic changes, or mutations, in the HBB gene can cause sickle cell disease and beta-thalassemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>High density lipoprotein cholesterol. Also called the &quot;good&quot; cholesterol. High HDL lowers the risk for heart disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL2</td>
<td>A subtype of HDL (the &quot;good&quot; cholesterol). HDL2 is the &quot;best&quot; cholesterol because high levels give you the most protection against heart disease -- even more than just high total HDL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL3</td>
<td>A subtype of HDL (the &quot;good&quot; cholesterol). HDL3 is not as good for you as other types of HDL. Some studies show that high levels of HDL3 may actually increase your risk for heart disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heart</td>
<td>A muscular organ whose primary job is to pump blood to all parts of the body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heart attack</td>
<td>When the blood supply to part of the heart muscle is suddenly blocked. The heart muscle may be damaged or start to die if blood doesn't return quickly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heart disease</td>
<td>A general term for any condition that threatens the heart's ability to function normally. Because coronary artery disease (plaque buildup that may cause a heart attack) is by far the most common type, it is often just called heart disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemochromatosis</td>
<td>A condition in which too much iron builds up in the body, which can lead to organ damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemoglobin</td>
<td>A protein found in red blood cells that carries oxygen throughout the body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemoglobin analysis</td>
<td>A test that measures the different types of hemoglobin in the blood. It is used to diagnose diseases caused by abnormal hemoglobin, such as sickle cell anemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hereditary</td>
<td>Genetically transmitted -- or capable of being transmitted -- from parent to child.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer</td>
<td>Hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPPC) is an inherited disorder in which there is a tendency to develop colorectal cancer without a significant number of polyp precursors. HNPPC is specifically associated with inherited mutations in five mismatch repair genes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFE gene</td>
<td>The HFE gene makes a protein that regulates how much iron your body absorbs from your diet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high performance liquid chromatography</td>
<td>A laboratory procedure that can separate a liquid mixture into its individual compounds. As an example, this procedure is used is to separate different kinds of hemoglobins in a person's blood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNPCC-related cancer</td>
<td>Other primary cancers included in an inherited cancer syndrome because of the increased prevalence in syndrome carriers. In addition to colon cancer, HNPCC-related cancers include cancer of the endometrium, ovary, stomach, kidney/urinary tract, brain, biliary tract, central nervous system and small bowel.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Lab Management Guidelines

**hormone**  
Chemical messengers made mostly in our glands that influence our growth and development, sexual function, reproduction, mood, and metabolism. Hormone medications include oral contraceptive pills, patches or rings; hormonal treatments for infertility; hormone replacement therapy; or serum estrogen modifiers (sometimes taken to treat or prevent certain forms of cancer).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>human immunodeficiency virus</th>
<th>A retrovirus that attacks the human immune system, thus affecting the body's ability to fight off the organisms that cause disease. HIV is the cause of acquired immune deficiency syndrome or AIDS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hypertension</td>
<td>Blood pressure that stays at 140/90 mmHg or higher over a period of time. Average blood pressure is about 120/80 mmHg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in vitro fertilization</td>
<td>A laboratory procedure in which sperm fertilize eggs outside the body in a laboratory setting to facilitate pregnancy. The fertilized egg is then placed in the woman's uterus for implantation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inherited</td>
<td>Any trait that is passed from generation to generation through our genes. These traits may range from having a specific disease to how we respond to certain drugs to simply our physical characteristics, like eye and hair color.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inhibin A</td>
<td>A substance made by the placenta during pregnancy and found in the mother's blood. Also abbreviated &quot;DIA.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insulin</td>
<td>A hormone that helps glucose, the sugar used by the body for energy, get into the cells that need it. When you don't make enough insulin or you can't use insulin effectively, you are likely to develop diabetes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate metabolizer</td>
<td>Intermediate metabolizers have a drug metabolism gene that doesn't work properly. They make less of the enzyme coded for by those genes, but usually make enough to process most drugs. People have many drug metabolism genes and can have be different kinds of metabolizers for each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iron overload</td>
<td>A condition in which higher-than-usual amounts of iron collect in the tissues of the body. Over time, iron overload can damage organs like the liver and cause problems like diabetes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-RAS</td>
<td>A gene that when mutated contributes to converting a normal cell into a cancerous cell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Also called the &quot;bad&quot; cholesterol. High LDL increases the risk of heart disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR</td>
<td>Stands for low density lipoprotein receptor. The LDLR gene normally makes a protein that helps to remove LDL ((bad) cholesterol) from the blood. An LDLR gene mutation causes a person not to get rid of LDL as quickly and it builds up. LDLR mutations are the most common cause of familial hypercholesterolemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leukemia</td>
<td>A cancer that starts in blood-forming tissue, such as the bone marrow, and causes large numbers of abnormal blood cells to be produced and enter the bloodstream.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lifestyle</td>
<td>In talking about health conditions, lifestyle generally refers to factors within your control like diet, physical activity, smoking, alcohol use, and use of other preventive health measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid</td>
<td>A fat that acts as a source of energy and helps the body use certain vitamins. Cholesterol and triglycerides are examples of lipids. High lipid levels increase the risk for heart disease and diabetes and may be caused by eating too much fat, alcohol use, inactivity, inherited conditions, and certain medications and disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipoprotein a</td>
<td>Lp(a) stands for lipoprotein a -- a type of &quot;bad&quot; cholesterol. High Lp(a) increases the risk of heart disease 2 to 10 times more than just high total LDL levels and may cause heart disease earlier than usual. Drug therapy is usually needed. Lp(a) is under strong genetic control so close relatives of someone with high Lp(a) should also consider testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver</td>
<td>An organ involved in a wide range of functions, including helping with digestion and the detoxification of chemicals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver biopsy</td>
<td>A surgical procedure that removes a small piece of liver so it can be examined in a lab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lymphoma</td>
<td>Cancer that begins in the cells of the immune system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maintenance dose</td>
<td>The amount of drug that is needed over the long-term to reach a stable, therapeutic response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malignant</td>
<td>Cancerous. Malignant tumors, or cancer, have the ability to invade adjacent tissues and spread throughout the body. Thus, malignant tumors can become life threatening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mammogram</td>
<td>An X-ray picture of the breast. The x-ray images make it possible to detect tumors that cannot be felt. They can also find microcalcifications that may signal the presence of cancer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maraviroc</td>
<td>The generic name of Selzentry, a drug used to treat HIV infection that only works in people whose HIV uses a specific receptor (CCR5) to infect the cell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maternal serum screening test</td>
<td>A blood test that looks at the levels of certain substances in a pregnant woman's blood. These tests are used to find the risk for having certain birth defects. They can't tell for sure whether a pregnancy has a birth defect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MCH
An abbreviation for "mean corpuscular hemoglobin". The average amount of hemoglobin in the average red blood cell. The normal range for the MCH is 27 - 32 picograms. MCH is a standard part of a CBC (complete blood count) test.

MCV
An abbreviation for "mean corpuscular volume". The average size of a red blood cell. The normal range for the MCV is 80 - 100 femtoliters. MCV is a standard part of the CBC (complete blood count) test.

Mediterranean
Someone whose ancestors come from one of the countries bordering the Mediterranean Sea. These countries include but are not limited to: Spain, southern France, Italy, and Greece.

metabolic syndrome
Also called "insulin resistance". A combination of factors (like abnormal cholesterol, abdominal obesity, high blood sugar, and high blood pressure) that increases the risk of getting both heart disease and diabetes.

metabolism or metabolize
The way drugs and other substances are broken down for use in the body and elimination.

metastasis
The spread of cancer from one part of the body to another.

methylene
A process by which a methyl group is added to the DNA base cytosine. This process often decreases the amount of gene product that is made. For example, tumor suppressor genes are often methylated which decrease their function and lead to cancer.

mlh1
A mismatch repair (MMR) gene located on chromosome 3. Mutations in MLH1 are associated with Lynch syndrome (also called HNPCC) and greatly increase the chance of cancer -- especially colon.

MMR gene
Mismatch repair gene, a gene that functions as a part of the "spell check" system of a cell. Mutations in MMR genes are involved in causing some hereditary cancer syndromes.

morbidly
A diseased state.

MSH2
A mismatch repair (MMR) gene located on chromosome 2. Mutations in MLH1 are associated with Lynch syndrome (also called HNPCC) and greatly increase the chance of cancer -- especially colon.

multifactorial inheritance
Conditions that are caused by an interaction between more than one gene and environmental (non-genetic) factors. Most common human diseases seem to be multifactorial, including diabetes, heart disease, mental illness, and most birth defects. A family history of a multifactorial condition usually increases the risk for other relatives.

multiple myeloma
Cancer that begins in the cells of the immune system.

multisite
Multisite Testing looks for the three BRCA gene mutations that cause 80% to 90% of all hereditary breast and ovarian cancers in Ashkenazi Jewish people. This test gives you a clear result: either you have one of these three mutations, or you don't. If you don't, it is possible to have a different BRCA mutation that was not tested for.

mutation
A change in the DNA code that may cause a gene not to function in the normal way.

newborn screening
Testing that is done routinely after birth, to look for serious developmental, genetic and metabolic disorders. This testing is done so that important medical treatments or other actions can start before symptoms develop.

niacin
Also called "nicotinic acid". Part of vitamin B3 found in foods like meat, fish, milk, eggs, green vegetables, and grains. Niacin supplements increase HDL, lower Lp(a), and to a lesser degree, lower LDL cholesterol. Common brand names include: Niacor, Niaspan, Nicolar, Nicotinex Elixir, and Slo-Niacin.

non-invasive procedure
Procedures that do not require insertion of an instrument or device through the skin or a bodily orifice for diagnosis or treatment.

Noonan syndrome
A genetic disorder that causes abnormal development of many parts of the body. It can be caused by a defect in one of four different genes (KRAS, PTPN11, RAF1, SOS1). Noonan syndrome may be inherited from a parent who has the condition, or may happen by chance in a pregnancy.

obesity
Having a high amount of body fat. Usually defined by a body mass index (BMI) of 30 or higher.

omega 3-fatty acid
Also called "fish oil". Omega-3 fatty acids from eating oily fish or taking fish oil supplements may lower triglycerides, slow the buildup of plaque in the arteries, and raise HDL ("good") cholesterol. Too much omega-3 fatty acid is dangerous, so you should always talk to your doctor before starting supplements.

organisms
A grouping of tissue that works together to perform a common function. Examples of organs include: stomach, lungs, and liver.

osteoma
Benign, bony tumors often on the skull or mandible (sometimes a clinical finding with FAP patients).

over-the-counter
OTC or over-the-counter drugs can be bought without a prescription. OTC drugs still carry certain risks and may interact with other drugs.
| **protein** | A protein on the surface of a cell that only binds with certain other molecules. When this happens, a cellular process can occur. |
| **receptor** | A protein on the surface of a cell that only binds with certain other molecules. When this happens, a cellular process can occur. |
| **PAPP-A** | Short for “pregnancy-associated plasma protein A”, a substance found in pregnant women's blood. Low levels of PAPP-A at 8-14 weeks of pregnancy have been associated with risk for Down syndrome and pregnancy complications. |
| **premenopausal** | The time when a woman is entering menopause until it is complete -- often defined as from the time periods become irregular until 12 months after the last period. |
| **phenotype** | Characteristics that can be seen or measured and are often the result of genes and environment working together. Examples include things like eye color, weight, IQ, cholesterol levels, or drug response. |
| **phenotyping** | Tests that measure specific traits or characteristics that can be caused by genes and/or environmental factors. This is in contrast to genotype testing that only looks at genetic information. |
| **placebo** | A phony treatment or "sugar pill". Researchers often compare people taking a drug with those taking a placebo to better measure the real effects of the drug. |
| **placenta** | Also called the afterbirth, the placenta is the tissue that connects the developing baby to the mother's uterus. It develops as part of the pregnancy and has the same DNA as the developing baby. The placenta allows for the exchange of nutrients, waste and gases between the developing baby and the mother. |
| **plaque** | Related to heart disease, plaque is the buildup of cholesterol, calcium, and other substances on the inside walls of the arteries causing the arteries to be more narrow and less flexible. |
| **plasma** | The liquid part of the blood that carries blood cells and other components. |
| **polymorphism** | Natural differences in a DNA sequence that are usually common and do not cause disease. |
| **polyp** | A usually non-cancerous growth or tumor protruding from the lining of an organ, such as the colon. Left untreated, polyps have an increased risk of becoming cancerous. |
| **poor metabolizer** | Produce inactive drug metabolism enzyme or no enzyme at all. Poor metabolizers may have a reduced response or no response and may have increased side effects. |
| **poor metabolizer** | Poor metabolizers have a pair of drug metabolism genes that don't work properly. They make very little or none of the enzyme coded for by that pair of genes. This causes slower metabolism or the inability to process certain drugs. People have many drug metabolism genes and can be different kinds of metabolizers for each. |
| **postmenopausal** | The time in a woman's life after menopause is complete -- often defined as starting 12 months after the last period. |
| **pre-cancerous** | Condition of the tissue, such as a polyp, that can turn into a cancer if not treated or removed. |
| **preconception** | Generally considered the period of time when a person is planning pregnancy but has not yet conceived (become pregnant). |
| **pre-diabetes** | Diagnosed when glucose (sugar) levels are higher than normal, but not high enough to make the diagnosis of diabetes -- usually a fasting glucose of 100 to 125 mg/dL or a glucose of 140 to 199 mg/dL after glucose tolerance test. |
| **predisposition** | Any condition, genetic or other, that renders an individual more susceptible to disease. |
| **preimplantation genetic diagnosis** | A technique used with in vitro fertilization to test early-stage embryos for disease-causing genes, so that embryos without the disease-causing genes can be implanted in the mother's uterus. |
| **prenatal diagnosis** | Testing for diseases in the fetus or embryo before it is born. |
| **preconception** | The stage prior to an individual presenting with symptoms that are clinically relevant to the disease in question. |
| **prophylactic bilateral mastectomy** | A risk-reducing treatment where both breasts, as well as some of the surrounding tissue, are surgically removed in order to keep cancerous cells from forming. |
| **prophylactic bilateral oophorectomy** | A risk-reducing treatment where ovaries are surgically removed in order to keep cancerous cells from forming; recommended after childbearing is complete. |
| **protein** | Large, complex molecules made of amino acids that form body structures, enzymes, hormones, and antibodies. Proteins are all made based on the instructions in our genes. The amino acids we need to make new proteins are consumed in the protein we eat or made by the body. |
| **protein(s)** | The molecules that form the body, allow it to grow, and regulate how it works. Our bodies make the proteins we need using the instructions from our genes. |
| **receptor** | A protein on the surface of a cell that only binds with certain other molecules. When this happens, a cellular process can occur. |
red blood cells | A cell in the blood that carries oxygen to all parts of the body. Also called an erythrocyte.
---|---
risk factor | Anything that increases the chance of developing a certain disease or having a child with a specific condition. Risk factors might include your family history, lifestyle, other health conditions, blood test results, age, gender, and countless other factors.
sarcoma | A cancer that begins in bone, cartilage, fat, muscle, blood vessels, or other connective or supportive tissues.
screening | In medicine, screening generally refers to a test or exam that is reasonably simple, inexpensive, and harmless that can be given to a large group of people in order to find a smaller group with a higher-than-average chance for a certain condition. These people will sometimes have more specific testing or be treated early before symptoms appear.
selective estrogen receptor modulator | Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulator (SERM) is a hormone-like drug that affects multiple tissues by interacting with receptors for the hormone estrogen. A particular SERM may have estrogen-like effects in some tissues and anti-estrogen effects in others.
Selzentry | The brand name of maraviroc, a drug used to treat HIV infection that only works in people whose HIV uses a specific receptor (CCR5) to enter the cell.
sequencing | A lab method that looks at each DNA nucleotide (A, T, G, and C) in a piece of DNA for differences (mutations) from the usual DNA sequence. A more labor intensive and expensive test that is often used when the specific mutations that cause a disease aren't known.
serum CA-125 | A blood test used in an effort to detect ovarian cancer.
serum ferritin | A protein your body makes when it stores iron.
siblings | Brothers and/or sisters.
sickle cell disease | An inherited disorder in which the red blood cells have an abnormal crescent shape that affects blood flow. This disorder causes anemia because the abnormal blood cells don't survive long.
sickle/beta-thalassemia | A disease that occurs when someone inherits a sickle-cell anemia gene mutation from one parent and a beta-thalassemia gene mutation from the other parent. Symptoms are usually very similar to sickle cell disease.
side effect | An unintended and usually undesired reaction to a drug or treatment.
Single Site | Single Site Testing looks for just one BRCA mutation. This test can only be done for people who know the DNA sequence of a BRCA mutation that is running in their family. This test gives you a clear result: Either you have the mutation that was tested for or you don't.
southeast Asian | Someone whose ancestors come from one of the countries south of China and east of India. These countries include but are not limited to: Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos, Burma, or Indonesia.
spleen | An organ in the abdomen that supports the immune system, destroys and filters out old blood cells, and holds a reserve of blood cells. People can live without a spleen.
sporadic | In reference to cancer, this means a cancer not caused by hereditary genetic mutations. Most cancers are sporadic.
statin | A group of drugs that lower the amount of cholesterol made naturally by the liver. When diet and exercise changes aren't enough, statins are often the first choice for drug therapy. Commonly prescribed statins include: Lovastatin (Mevacor, Altorem), Pravastatin (Pravachol), Simvastatin (Zocor), Fluvastatin (Lescol), Atorvastatin (Lipitor), and Rosuvastatin (Crestor).
Stevens-Johnson syndrome | An allergic reaction to a drug or infection that causes flu-like symptoms, skin wounds, and may affect other organs like the eyes and mouth.
stroke | Caused by a sudden lack of blood supply and oxygen to the brain. Usually happens because either a blood clot blocks a blood vessel in the brain (ischemic stroke) or a blood vessel breaks and bleeds into the brain (hemorrhagic stroke).
symptom | Any sign that a person has a condition or disease. Symptoms, like headache, fever, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, and pain, may not be specific but together point to an underlying cause.
symptoms | Changes or signs that are caused by or accompany a disease or condition. Symptoms are the evidence of that underlying disease or condition. Symptoms can be used to help diagnose a problem.
tamoxifen | A drug commonly used to treat patients with breast cancer, certain other cancers, and those at high risk for breast cancer. It works by interfering with the activity of the hormone estrogen, which feeds the growth of many, but not all breast cancers.
toxic epidermal necrolysis | A life-threatening allergic reaction started by certain drugs, infections, illnesses, and unknown factors. TEN can cause large areas of the skin to peel away, flu-like symptoms, and other complications. The condition gets worse quickly and usually requires hospitalization.
**transferrin saturation**
The percentage of transferrin (a protein that carries iron in the blood) that is currently carrying iron.

**translocation**
A genetic condition where material from one chromosome breaks off and sticks to another chromosome, or switches places with a part of another chromosome. There are different types of translocations, and they can have different effects on health and development.

**transvaginal ultrasound**
A type of ultrasound done by inserting an ultrasound probe into the vagina. This allows a view of a woman's reproductive organs, including the uterus, ovaries, cervix, and vagina.

**triglycerides**
A type of energy-rich fat. High triglycerides (over 200mg/dL) increase the risk for heart disease and stroke.

**tropism**
The specific cell types that a virus can recognize and infect.

**tumor**
An abnormal mass of tissue that results from excessive cell division. Tumors may be benign (not cancerous) or malignant (cancerous).

**Turner syndrome**
A genetic condition in which a girl or woman does not have the usual pair of two X chromosomes. Instead, some or all of her cells are missing an X chromosome, or part of an X chromosome. Symptoms are variable but usually include short stature and infertility.

**ultra metabolizer**
Have more than two functional copies of a drug metabolism gene, and produce a larger-than-normal amount of enzyme. Ultra metabolizers may have a reduced or no response and may have increased side effects.

**ultrarapid metabolizer**
Ultrarapid metabolizers have extra copies of a gene involved in drug metabolism, so they make more enzyme than the average person. This results in faster metabolism of drugs processed by that enzyme.

**umbilical cord**
The cord that connects the developing baby to the placenta, which is attached to the mother's uterus. The umbilical cord carries oxygen- and nutrient-rich blood to the developing baby.

**unconjugated estriol**
One of the three main estrogens produced by the body. Low levels of this substance are associated with risk for certain birth defects, including Down syndrome and trisomy 18. Also abbreviated "uE3."

**variant**
Gene variations contribute to diversity and make people unique. When a certain form of a gene is seen in at least 1% of people, but not most people, it is called a variant. Variants may also increase or decrease a person's risk for certain genetic diseases but usually don't cause the disease themselves.

**vein**
Blood vessels that carry blood low in oxygen back to the heart.

**virtual colonoscopy**
A method of examining the colon by taking a series of X-rays (called a CT scan) and using a high-powered computer to reconstruct 2-D and 3-D pictures of the interior surfaces of the colon from these X-rays.

**VKORC1**
A gene that tells the body how to make vitamin K epoxide reductase (VKOR), an enzyme important in forming blood-clotting factors. A common VKORC1 gene variant (-1639G>A) puts people at increased risk for complications when taking warfarin at standard doses.

**VLDL**
Very low density lipoprotein -- a type of "bad" cholesterol. High VLDL increases the risk for plaque buildup in the arteries and heart disease.

**VLDL3**
A subtype of VLDL (a "bad" cholesterol). High VLDL3 increases heart disease risk the most and is a risk factor even when total cholesterol levels are normal. Diet and exercise changes are very effective for lowering VLDL3.

**warfarin**
The most commonly prescribed drug for preventing harmful blood clots from forming or from growing larger. Belongs to a class of drugs called anticoagulants or "blood thinners."

**white blood cells**
A cell found in the blood whose primary job is to defend the body against infection.

**xanthoma**
Fat buildup that looks like a yellow lump under the skin, most commonly on the heels, hands, elbows, other joints, feet, and buttocks. Especially common in people with inherited high cholesterol like familial hypercholesterolemia.
Medicare Policies
Medicare: Hierarchy for Applying Coverage Decisions for Laboratory Testing

### Medicare Background

**Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs):**

eviCore healthcare follows Medicare rules when applying coverage decisions for laboratory testing under Medicare Advantage plans. Medicare has devised a system where various contractors, called Medicare Administrative Contractors (MAC), set coverage policy for various jurisdictions, which cover specific states. A complete list of MACs by state can be found [here](#).

**National Coverage Determinations (NCDs):**

NCDs are developed by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). They apply to Medicare coverage nationwide for a specific medical service, procedure, or device.

**Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs):**

LCDs are written by the different MACs. LCDs outline whether a certain medical service, procedure, or device is covered. However, the coverage guidelines outlined in LCDs are enforceable only in the states under the specific MAC’s jurisdiction.

- In the case of independent laboratories that perform their own billing, the MAC jurisdiction will be based on the state in which the performing lab is located.
- In the case of a reference lab, the MAC jurisdiction will be based on the state in which the billing is performed.

### Procedure(s) addressed by this policy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Pathology</td>
<td>81161 - 81479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multianalyte Assays with Algorithmic Analyses (MAAA)</td>
<td>81490 – 81599 0001M - 0010M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietary Laboratory Analyses (PLA)</td>
<td>0001U, 0004U, 0005U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Laboratory Codes</td>
<td>84999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular HCPCS Codes</td>
<td>S3800 - S3870 G0452, G0464, G9143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For purposes of prior authorization case reviews, eviCore healthcare will ask a series of questions to determine if there is a reference lab relationship that would impact jurisdiction. If a reference lab relationship is not disclosed or cannot be determined with the information provided, eviCore healthcare will default to the state in which the performing laboratory is located to determine MAC jurisdiction.

**Articles:**

Medicare contractors may issue Medicare Coverage Articles. Articles are non-LCD documents that contain coverage statements, coding guidelines or medical review related billing or claims considerations. They may include specific coding instructions and/or clarify existing medical review policies. Articles typically include guidance by ICD10 codes only; however, Articles may sometimes include verbiage containing test-specific medical review coverage criteria. Articles are posted, along with LCDs, in the Medicare Coverage Database.  

**MolDX® Program:**

Medicare contractors may choose to create their own LCDs or to defer to the MolDX program administered by the Palmetto GBA MAC for the development of LCDs for genetic tests. In addition to Palmetto GBA, the following contractors have implemented the MolDX program’s coverage criteria in their LCDs for genetic tests: Noridian, CGS, and WPS.

The MolDX program maintains a list of tests that can be considered for coverage if the patient meets either test-specific criteria (if available) or general Medicare coverage criteria of a reasonable and necessary service. Inclusion on this list is not a guarantee of coverage. A complete list of MolDX “covered” tests is available here. The MolDX program also maintains a list of tests that are excluded from coverage. A complete list of MolDX excluded tests is available here.

**Reasonable and Necessary Service:**

Medicare defines services as reasonable and necessary if they lead to “the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury or to improve the functioning of a malformed body member.”

**Medicare Criteria Hierarchy:**

When performing case reviews for genetic tests for Medicare members, eviCore will employ the following strategy:

- Identify any NCDs that apply to the test by searching the Medicare coverage database here;  
  - When applying an NCD, verify that the effective date of the NCD includes the date of service of the test.
If an applicable NCD is identified, then the test-specific coverage criteria from the NCD along with a determination that the service is reasonable and necessary (as described below), will be applied.

- If no NCD exists, identify any LCDs that apply to the test by searching the Medicare coverage database [here](#); To identify the applicable LCD, first look at the LCDs established by the appropriate MAC.

- In the case of independent laboratories that perform their own billing, the MAC jurisdiction will be based on the state in which the performing lab is located.

- In the case of a reference lab, the MAC jurisdiction will be the state in which the billing is performed.
  - For purposes of prior authorization case reviews, eviCore healthcare will ask a series of questions to determine if there is a reference lab relationship that would impact jurisdiction. If a reference lab relationship is not disclosed or cannot be determined with the information provided, eviCore healthcare will default to the state in which the performing laboratory is located to determine MAC jurisdiction.

- If there are no appropriate LCDs in the MAC jurisdiction, determine if the MAC has an existing relationship with Palmetto GBA and has implemented the MolDX criteria. If the MAC defers to the MolDX program, then identify any LCDs from the MolDX program that apply to the test.
  - Under the MolDX program, “covered” tests can be considered for coverage if the patient meets either test-specific criteria including any ICD10 code restrictions (if available) or general Medicare coverage criteria of a reasonable and necessary service. Inclusion on this list is not a guarantee of coverage. A complete list of MolDX “covered” tests is available [here](#).
  - The MolDX program also maintains a list of tests that are excluded from coverage because they do not meet a Medicare statutory benefit. A complete list of MolDX excluded tests is available [here](#).

- When applying an LCD, verify that the effective date of the LCD includes the date of service of the test.

- If an applicable LCD is identified, then the test-specific coverage criteria, from the LCD along with a determination that the service is reasonable and necessary (as described below), will be applied.

- If there are no applicable LCDs or Articles, or the applicable LCD does not include test-specific coverage criteria, then eviCore evidence-based criteria for diagnostic testing will be applied.
  - In addition to eviCore diagnostic testing criteria, patient-specific coverage approval or denial decisions may be made in accordance with the Medicare requirement that a service be reasonable and necessary for the treatment of an injury or illness. To determine whether a service is reasonable and
necessary, specific criteria will be applied that may include, but are not limited to, the following:\textsuperscript{1,9,10}

- The beneficiary must display clinical features of an associated disease, noting that coverage of molecular testing for carrier status or family studies (often referred to as pre-symptomatic or pre-disposition testing) is considered screening and is statutorily excluded from coverage\textsuperscript{6}; and

- The result of the test will directly impact the treatment being delivered to the beneficiary; and

- If, after history, physical examination, pedigree analysis, genetic counseling, and completion of conventional diagnostic studies, a definitive diagnosis remains uncertain, then such testing can be considered for coverage.

- If application of eviCore criteria has resulted in an “investigational/experimental” coverage determination for the test(s), but there is an applicable Article containing specific guidance including only ICD10 diagnosis codes, then that Article should be applied.

- If application of eviCore criteria has resulted in a “not medically necessary” coverage determination for the test(s) for a member, that decision will not be altered based on an Article containing guidance including only ICD10 diagnosis codes.
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“jurisdiction of payment requests for laboratory services furnished by an independent lab … lies with the A/B MAC (B) serving the area in which the laboratory test is performed. Jurisdiction is not affected by whether or not the independent laboratory uses a central billing office and whether or not the laboratory provides services to customers outside its A/B MAC (B)’s service area. The location where the independent laboratory performed the test determines the appropriate billing jurisdiction.”

Per Section 50.5.1 of the Medicare Claims Processing Manual, Chapter 16 Regardless of whether the laboratory that bills Medicare is the referring or reference laboratory, the laboratory that does the billing may bill only the A/B MAC (B) that services the jurisdiction in which the billing laboratory is physically located. The location of the draw station, when a separate draw station is employed, never determines claims filing jurisdiction.

Per Chapter 13 of Medicare Program Integrity Manual “Contractors use Medicare policies in the form of regulations, NCDs, coverage provisions in interpretive manuals, and LCDs to apply the provisions of the (Social Security) Act.

Per Chapter 3 of the Medicare Program Integrity Manual, “CMS issues national coverage determinations (NCDs) that specify whether certain items, services, procedures or technologies are reasonable and necessary under §1862(a) (1) (A) of the Act. In the absence of an NCD, Medicare contractors are responsible for determining whether services are reasonable and necessary. If no local coverage determination (LCD) exists for a particular item or service, the MACs, CERT, Recovery Auditors, and ZPICs shall consider an item or service to be reasonable and necessary if the item or service meets the following criteria: It is safe and effective; It is not experimental or investigational; and It is appropriate, including the duration and frequency in terms of whether the service or item is: Furnished in accordance with accepted standards of medical practice for the diagnosis or treatment of the beneficiary's condition or to improve the function of a malformed body member…”

Per section 90.5 of the Medicare Managed Care Manual, Chapter 4 “In coverage situations where there is no NCD, LCD, or guidance on coverage in original Medicare manuals, an MAO (Medicare Advantage Organization) may adopt the coverage policies of other MAOs in its service area. However, if the MAO decides not to use coverage policies of other MAOs in its service area, the MAO: Must make its own coverage determination; …Must provide CMS an objective evidence-based rationale relying on authoritative evidence…”

“eviCore will apply an exception to this criterion in the case of pre-symptomatic/ pre-disposition testing when there is a documented known familial mutation in a 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree relative. In such cases, eviCore will approve testing for the known familial mutation, even in the absence of symptoms, if all other criteria are met.”
### Molecular Pathology Tier 2 Molecular CPT Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure(s) addressed by this policy</th>
<th>Procedure Code(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 1 (eg, identification of single germline variant [eg, SNP] by techniques such as restriction enzyme digestion or melt curve analysis)</td>
<td>81400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 2 (eg, 2-10 SNPs, 1 methylated variant, or 1 somatic variant [typically using nonsequencing target variant analysis], or detection of a dynamic mutation disorder/triplet repeat)</td>
<td>81401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 3 (eg, &gt;10 SNPs, 2-10 methylated variants, or 2-10 somatic variants [typically using non-sequencing target variant analysis], immunoglobulin and T-cell receptor gene rearrangements, duplication/deletion variants of 1 exon, loss of heterozygosity [LOH], uniparental disomy [UPD])</td>
<td>81402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 4 (eg, analysis of single exon by DNA sequence analysis, analysis of &gt;10 amplicons using multiplex PCR in 2 or more independent reactions, mutation scanning or duplication/deletion variants of 2-5 exons)</td>
<td>81403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 5 (eg, analysis of 2-5 exons by DNA sequence analysis, mutation scanning or duplication/deletion variants of 6-10 exons, or characterization of a dynamic mutation disorder/triplet repeat by Southern blot analysis)</td>
<td>81404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 6 (eg, analysis of 6-10 exons by DNA sequence analysis, mutation scanning or duplication/deletion variants of 11-25 exons)</td>
<td>81405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology procedure, Level 7</td>
<td>81406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Description

This document outlines coding and billing policies related to the "Tier 2 Molecular Pathology" CPT codes in range 81400-81408. Tier 2 codes are intended to report a wide range of molecular pathology procedures for which Tier 1 or other test-specific CPT codes have not been assigned. Tier 2 codes are organized and assigned based on level of technical and interpretive effort required.

Requests to have a specific test assigned to a Tier 2 CPT code are reviewed and implemented by the AMA. The expectation is that labs will not self-assign Tier 2 codes based upon their own interpretation of required effort. If the test has not been assigned to the appropriate Tier 2 CPT code, unlisted CPT code 81479 is to be used.

The AMA has also issued a MoPath Gene Designation Chart that assigns a unique alphanumeric for every test (analyte) that has been assigned to a tier 2 code. Including this claim designation identifier in the narrative field of the claim in conjunction with a tier 2 procedure code allows for billing specificity. This chart is available at: https://download.ama-assn.org/resources/doc/cpt/x-pub/mopath-gene-designation-chart.pdf.

Please note: This administrative policy provides general guidance for billing any test using CPT codes 81400-81408. Clinical criteria may also apply. Please review the full list of policies to determine if a test-specific policy is available.

Criteria

Authorization Requirements

- CPT codes 81400 through 81408 require medical necessity review. The following information must be submitted for review:
  - Details about the test being performed (test name, description, and/or unique identifier), and
  - Laboratory that will be performing the test, and
  - All CPT codes and units that will be billed related to the entire test, and
Clinical information, which may include:

- All information required by test-specific policy, or
- Test indication, including any applicable signs and symptoms or other reasons for testing, and
- Any applicable test results (laboratory, imaging, pathology, etc.), and
- Any applicable family history, and
- How test results will impact patient care if available

Claims Review and/or Payment Rules for 81400-81408

- A tier 2 code should only be used when the AMA has specifically assigned the performed test to a tier 2 code (i.e., laboratory self-assigned tier 2 codes will not be accepted).
- All claims submitted for 81400 through 81408 should include the AMA Claim Designation code that applies to the performed test. Please see the AMA’s publication, MoPath Gene Designation Chart, for details. This chart is available at: [https://download.ama-assn.org/resources/doc/cpt/x-pub/mopath-gene-designation-chart.pdf](https://download.ama-assn.org/resources/doc/cpt/x-pub/mopath-gene-designation-chart.pdf). The Claim Designation code should be included in the narrative field:
  - Electronic claim: Loop 2400 or SV101-7
  - Paper claims: box 19
- All claims received for 81400 through 81408 are subject to the applicable authorization requirements.
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