Cigna Medical Coverage Policies – Musculoskeletal Grafts

Effective July 1, 2025





Instructions for use

The following coverage policy applies to health benefit plans administered by Cigna. Coverage policies are intended to provide guidance in interpreting certain standard Cigna benefit plans and are used by medical directors and other health care professionals in making medical necessity and other coverage determinations. Please note the terms of a customer's particular benefit plan document may differ significantly from the standard benefit plans upon which these coverage policies are based. For example, a customer's benefit plan document may contain a specific exclusion related to a topic addressed in a coverage policy.

In the event of a conflict, a customer's benefit plan document always supersedes the information in the coverage policy. In the absence of federal or state coverage mandates, benefits are ultimately determined by the terms of the applicable benefit plan document. Coverage determinations in each specific instance require consideration of:

- 1. The terms of the applicable benefit plan document in effect on the date of service
- 2. Any applicable laws and regulations
- 3. Any relevant collateral source materials including coverage policies
- 4. The specific facts of the particular situation

Coverage policies relate exclusively to the administration of health benefit plans. Coverage policies are not recommendations for treatment and should never be used as treatment guidelines.

This evidence-based medical coverage policy has been developed by eviCore, Inc. Some information in this coverage policy may not apply to all benefit plans administered by Cigna.

CPT® (Current Procedural Terminology) is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT® five digit codes, nomenclature and other data are copyright 2025 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. No fee schedules, basic units, relative values or related listings are included in the CPT® book. AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. AMA assumes no liability for the data contained herein or not contained herein.

©Copyright 2025 eviCore healthcare

CM	M-612:	Grafts
----	--------	---------------

CMM-612.1: General Guidelines

CMM-612.2: Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein (rhBMP-2) (InFuse®)

CMM-612.3: Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate (BMAC)

CMM-612.4: Bone Graft Substitutes

CMM-612.5 Non-Indications

Codes (CMM-612)

Evidence Discussion (CMM-612)

References (CMM-612)

CMM-612.1: General Guidelines

- ➤ The determination of medical necessity for grafts (orthobiologics) is always made on a case-by-case basis.
- ➤ For additional timing and documentation requirements, see <u>CMM-600.1: Prior</u> <u>Authorization Requirements</u>.

<u>CMM-612.2: Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein</u> (rhBMP-2) (InFuse®)

Application of Guideline

- ➤ The clinical criteria in this policy section is intended to only address the scope and clinical indications for Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein 2 (rhBMP-2) (InFuse®) in **spinal fusion** surgeries.
 - ◆ This policy is not intended to address Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein – 2 (rhBMP-2) (InFuse®) for use in the appendicular skeleton (e.g., tibial fracture non-union repair surgery).
- ➤ These criteria are developed to manage individuals that are considered very unlikely to fuse without rhBMP-2.
 - ◆ Individuals that are considered very likely to fuse without rhBMP-2 include the following: most pediatric individuals; healthy individuals undergoing one-level lumbar fusion procedures; and, healthy individuals undergoing routine anterior and posterior cervical fusions. For specific criteria, see the applicable surgery type for which rhBMP-2 is being requested.

Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein (rhBMP-2) (InFuse®) Indications

Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein – 2 (rhBMP-2) (InFuse®) is considered **medically necessary** when performed for **ANY** of the following procedures when **ALL** of the associated criteria are met:

Anterior or Posterior Cervical Fusion

- ➤ The individual does not have any known contraindications including pregnancy or hypersensitivity/allergy
- > Performed for an associated approved spinal fusion surgery
- ➤ The individual has **EITHER** of the following conditions that would place the individual at high-risk for fusion failure without rhBMP-2:
 - Neuromuscular scoliosis
 - Occipitocervical pathology

Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion (ALIF)

- Performed for an associated approved stand-alone anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF)
- The individual does not have any known contraindications including pregnancy or hypersensitivity/allergy
- ➤ The individual is skeletally mature

<u>Posterolateral Lumbar Fusion (PLF), Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion (PLIF),</u> and Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion (TLIF)

- ➤ The individual does not have any known contraindications including pregnancy or hypersensitivity/allergy
- Performed for an associated approved spinal fusion surgery
- ➤ There is a high risk for fusion failure due to **ANY** of the following clinical scenarios:
 - ◆ High-risk for fusion failure using traditional autogenous bone grafting in **ANY** of the following planned surgeries:
 - Revision spinal fusion surgery for pseudoarthrosis following one or more previous failed spinal fusion surgery(ies)
 - Spinal fusion surgery in a compromised graft bed (e.g., prior radiation therapy)
 - Thoracolumbar fusion for correction of spinal deformity performed at more than one level
 - Multi-level spinal fusion (i.e., 3 or more spinal motion segments)
 - Long posterior fusions to the sacrum in adult individuals undergoing correction or stabilization of spinal deformity
 - Single-level lumbar or lumbosacral fusion with or without interbody when there is Meyerding Grade III or greater spondylolisthesis
 - High risk of fusion failure using traditional autogenous bone grafting due to ANY of the following metabolic or other conditions:
 - Current smoker
 - Insulin diabetic with poor glycemic control
 - Chronic renal disease
 - Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD)
 - Corticosteroid dependence
 - Neuromuscular scoliosis
 - Traditional autogenous bone graft is not available, is inadequate in volume, or is of poor quality due to ANY of the following:
 - Rheumatoid arthritis
 - Osteoporosis
 - Trauma with concomitant pelvic injury
 - Individuals at high risk for post-harvest iliac crest fracture

CMM-612.3: Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate (BMAC)

Definition/Technique for BMAC

- ➤ Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate (BMAC) is intended as a high concentration of viable connective tissue osteoprogenitor cells.
 - ◆ The aspiration technique requires that no more than 2 mL of blood is aspirated from any given area in the iliac crest to avoid dilution with peripheral blood. The aspiration of 80 to 100 cc of marrow from the iliac crest is performed using a sequential technique (Muschler) through a small incision made over the iliac crest through different trajectories until the desired amount is obtained. (A single aspiration instead of using a sequential technique produces the lowest yield of viable cells.) The aspirate is then transferred to the concentrating device (centrifuge) that removes the red blood cell fractions and plasma.
 - To fabricate composite hybrid grafts, the BMAC can be admixed to the osteoconductive biocompatible substrates of choice (e.g., collagen sponges, hydroxyapatite [HA] substrates and other porous ceramics as well as particulate demineralized bone matrix [DBM]).

BMAC Indications

Bone marrow aspirate concentrate (BMAC) is considered **medically necessary** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- ➤ BMAC is obtained using the **sequential technique** (as outlined in the **Definition/Technique for BMAC** section)
- Used as hybrid or composite grafting (combined osteoinductive and osteoconductive) including autologous corticocancellous iliac crest bone graft (ICBG)
- ➤ Performed for an associated approved **postero-lateral lumbar spinal fusion** surgery (spondylodesis) with or without spinal instrumentation.

•

CMM-612.4: Bone Graft Substitutes

> See Bone Graft Substitutes in CMM-615: Non-Indications.

CMM-612.5: Non-Indications

Not Medically Necessary

Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein (rhBMP-2) (InFuse)

➤ Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein – 2 (rhBMP-2) (InFuse®) performed without meeting the criteria in **CMM-612.1: General Guidelines** and the

applicable section of <u>CMM-612.2</u> (<u>anterior or posterior cervical fusion</u>; <u>ALIF</u>; or, <u>PLF</u>, <u>PLIF</u>, and <u>TLIF</u>) is considered **not medically necessary**.

- ➤ Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein 2 (rhBMP-2) (InFuse®) is considered **not medically necessary** when performed for **EITHER** of the following (unless there is a high risk for fusion failure without rhBMP-2):
 - Routine anterior or posterior cervical fusion surgery
 - Routine pediatric spine fusion procedures including correction of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis

Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate (BMAC)

- ➤ Bone marrow aspirate concentrate (BMAC) is considered **not medically necessary** when **ANY** of the following apply:
 - ◆ BMAC is combined with allograft or synthetic scaffold as a substitute for autologous bone graft for spinal fusion surgery (spondylodesis) with or without spinal instrumentation
 - Application to cervical/thoracic spinal fusion surgery with or without instrumentation
 - ◆ Anterior spinal fusion surgery with or without instrumentation
 - ◆ Application to spinal decompression without fusion
 - Disc arthroplasty surgery
 - Use of lumbar interspinous devices
 - Use of unfractionated BMAC
 - Infection (e.g., discitis, epidural abscess, osteomyelitis)
 - Primary or metastatic neoplastic disease of the spine

Bone Graft Substitutes

- ➤ ALL of the following bone graft substitutes (for the enhancement of bone healing) are considered **not medically necessary**:
 - rhBMP-7 (i.e., OP-1™)
 - ◆ INFUSE/MASTERGRAFT[™] posterolateral revision device
 - ◆ Human amniotic membrane bone graft substitute
 - Cell-based substitutes other than a bone marrow aspirate (e.g., mesenchymal stem cell therapy, Osteocel[®], ViviGen[®], Trinity[®]) when used to enhance bone healing
 - Human growth factors (e.g., fibroblast growth factor, insulin-like growth) when used to enhance bone healing
 - Platelet rich plasma (e.g., autologous platelet derived growth factor) when used to enhance bone healing
 - Allograft bone graft substitutes used exclusively as stand-alone stabilization devices for fusion (e.g., TruFuse[®] for isolated facet fusion, NuFix[™] for isolated facet fusion, BacFast[®] HD for isolated facet fusion)
 - ◆ Bone graft substitutes used to reduce donor site morbidity (e.g., iliac crest donor site reconstruction)
 - Ceramic-based products (e.g., β-TCP)
 - OptiMesh® deployable grafting system

Codes (CMM-612)

The inclusion of any code in this table does not imply that the code is under management or requires prior authorization. Refer to the applicable health plan for management details. Prior authorization of a code listed in this table is not a guarantee of payment. The Certificate of Coverage or Evidence of Coverage policy outlines the terms and conditions of the member's health insurance policy.

Code	Code Description/Definition
+20930	Allograft, morselized, or placement of osteopromotive material, for spine surgery only (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure
+20931	Allograft, structural, for spine surgery only (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
+20936	Auto graft for spine surgery only (includes harvesting the graft); local (e.g., ribs, spinous process, or laminar fragments) obtained from same incision (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
+20937	Auto graft for spine surgery only (includes harvesting the graft); morselized (through separate skin or fascial incision) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
+20938	Auto graft for spine surgery only (includes harvesting the graft); structural, bicortical or tricortical (through separate skin or fascial incision) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
+20939	Bone marrow aspiration for bone grafting, spine surgery only, through separate skin or fascial incision (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure).

Evidence Discussion (CMM-612)

Grafts

Risks and complications associated with the use of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2) have been well documented in the literature. Complications include, but are not limited to, the following: swelling; edema; heterotopic ossification; osteolysis; seroma/hematoma; infection; arachnoiditis; dysphagia/airway obstruction (anterior cervical surgery); and, neurological deficits (myelopathy, radiculopathy). Given the potential possibility for serious complications, proper surgical candidacy selection is critical to minimize the risk benefit ratio.

There have been over 20 randomized control trials which have shown equivalent or superior efficacy of rhBMP-2 to iliac crest bone graft (ICBG). The literature supports the use of rhBMP-2 for stand-alone anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF). rhBMP-2 is also supported for posterolateral lumbar fusion (PLF), posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF), and transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) when there is high risk for nonunion with autogenous bone graft or in those individuals with inadequate or poor quality autogenous bone available. The North American Spine Society (NASS) Coverage Policy Recommendations: Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein (rhBMP-2) includes the following high-risk conditions: revision posterior fusion; individuals with poor quality or unavailable iliac crest autograft (trauma with concomitant pelvic injury, rheumatoid arthritis, etc.); multilevel surgeries (>3 levels), particularly those extending to the sacrum or pelvis; elderly individuals with osteoporosis; previous radiation or other insult to the fusion bed; metabolic factors that are likely to interfere with proper autograft incorporation (e.g., smokers and diabetics).

Use of rhBMP-2 is not recommended for routine single level posterior/posterolateral fusions in healthy adults and routine pediatric spine fusion procedures (e.g., adolescent idiopathic scoliosis). Due to risks of airway obstruction and dysphagia with the use of rhBMP-2 as an adjunct in cervical spine surgery, it is only recommended for individuals at very high risk for fusion failure (neuromuscular scoliosis, occipitocervical pathology) and not for routine anterior and posterior cervical fusion procedures. rhBMP-2 is not recommended for individuals who are skeletally immature. It should also be of note that there is insufficient evidence to support the use of rhBMP-7.

The literature pertaining to the use of bone marrow aspirate concentrate (BMAC) in spine surgery consists mainly of small observational studies. There have been few randomized controlled trials and the trials contained low numbers of participants. Although the studies have supported the use of BMAC, strong evidence-based conclusions are unable to be made at this time.

There is also lack of sufficient high-level evidentiary support for the use of the following: platelet rich plasma; mesenchymal stem cell therapy; human amniotic membrane bone graft substitute; human growth factors (e.g., fibroblast growth factor, insulin-like growth); bone graft substitutes used to reduce donor site morbidity; ceramic based products; allograft graft substitutes used exclusively as stand-alone stabilization devices for fusion; OptiMesh® deployable grafting system; and, INFUSE/MASTERGRAFT™ posterolateral revision device for the enhancement of bone healing.

As risk for major complications exists with grafting procedures, it is critical to optimally ensure that individuals receive treatment that is appropriate, safe and effective. Although the use of any coverage criteria includes the possible risk of delayed care, application of evidence based criteria best ensure patient safety and highly outweigh any clinical harms.

References (CMM-612)

- 1. Agarwal R, Williams K, Umscheid CA, Welch WC. Osteoinductive bone graft substitutes for lumbar fusion: a systematic review. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2009;11(6):729-740. doi:10.3171/2009.6.SPINE08669.
- 2. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). *Technology Assessment: The Role of Bone Growth Stimulating Devices and Orthobiologics in Healing Nonunion Fractures.* Sept 2005. Rockville, MD. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Prepared by ECRI Evidence-based Practice Center (EPC). Available at: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coverage/DeterminationProcess/Downloads/id29TA.pdf.
- 3. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). *Technology Assessment: Bone Morphogenetic Protein: The State of Evidence for On-Label and Off-Label Use (Project ID: BMPE0109)*. Aug 2010 [errata Dec 2010]. Rockville, MD. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Prepared by Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center Evidence-Based Practice Center (EPC). Available at: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coverage/DeterminationProcess/Downloads/id75ta.pdf.
- 4. Ajiboye RM, Hamamot JT, Eckardt MA, Wang JC. Clinical and radiolgraphic outcomes of concentrated bone marrow aspirate with allograft and demineralized bone matrix for posterolateral and interbody lumbar fusion in elderly patients. *Eur Spine J.* 2015;24(11):2567-2572. doi:10.1007/s00586-015-4117-5.
- 5. Allen RT, Lee YP, Stimson E, Garfin SR. Bone Morphogenetic Protein-2 (BMP-2) in the Treatment of Pyogenic Vertebral Osteomyelitis. *Spine*. 2007;32(26):2996-3006. doi:10.1097/brs.0b013e31815cde3e.
- 6. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS)[®]. *OrthoInfo: Nonunions*. May 2024. ©American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Available at: https://orthoinfo.aaos.org/en/diseases--conditions/nonunions/.
- 7. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS)®. Ortholnfo: SpinalFfusion. Feb 2022. ©American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Available at: https://orthoinfo.aaos.org/en/treatment/spinal-fusion/.
- 8. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS)[®]. Research: Statistics on Orthopedic Patients and Conditions. 2006.
- 9. Aryan HE, Lu DC, Acosta FL, Ames CP. Corpectomy followed by the placement of instrumentation with titanium cages and recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein–2 for vertebral osteomyelitis. *J Neurosurg*. 2007;6(1):23-30. doi:10.3171/spi.2007.6.1.23.
- 10. Badhiwala JH, Fehlings MG. Use of OP-1 (rhBMP-7) in posterolateral lumbar arthrodesis. *J Spine Surg.* 2016;2(4):338-344. doi:10.21037/jss.2016.12.02.
- 11. Bains RS, Mitsunaga L, Mayur Kardile, et al. Bone morphogenetic protein (BMP-2) usage and cancer correlation: An analysis of 10,416 spine fusion patients from a multi-center spine registry. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2017;43:214-219. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2017.05.007.

- 12. Bansai S, Chauhan V, Sharma S, Maheshwari R, Juyal A, Raghuvanshi S. Evaluation of hydroxyapatite and beta-tricalcium phosphate mixed with bone marrow aspirate as a bone graft substitute for posterolateral spinal fusion. *Indian J Orthop.* 2009;43(3):234-239.
- 13. Bansal S, Chauhan V, Sharma S, Maheshwari R, Juyal A, Raghuvanshi S. Evaluation of hydroxyapatite and beta-tricalcium phosphate mixed with bone marrow aspirate as a bone graft substitute for posterolateral spinal fusion. *Indian J Orthop.* 2009;43(3):234-239. doi:10.4103/0019-5413.49387.
- 14. Bapat MR, Chaudhary K, Garg H, Laheri V. Reconstruction of large iliac crest defects after graft harvest using autogenous rib graft: a prospective controlled study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2008;33(23):2570-2575.
- 15. Baskin DS, Ryan P, Sonntag V, Westmark R, Widmayer MA. A prospective, randomized, controlled cervical fusion study using recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 with the CORNERSTONE-SR allograft ring and the ATLANTIS anterior cervical plate. *Spine*. 2003;28(12):1219-1225.
- 16. Bellamy J, Dilbone E, Schell A, et al. Prospective comparison of dysphagia following anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) with and without rhBMP-2. *Spine J.* 2022;22(2):256-264. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2021.09.001.
- 17. Benglis D, Wang MY, Levi AD. A comprehensive review of the safety profile of bone morphogenetic protein in spine surgery. *Neurosurgery*. 2008;62(5 Suppl 2):ONS423-ONS431.
- 18. Boakye M, Mummaneni PV, Garrett M, Rodts G, Haid R. Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion involving a polyetherethereketone spacer and bone morphogenetic protein. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2005;2:521-525.
- 19. Boden SD, Kang J, Sandhu H, Heller JG. Use of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 to achieve posterolateral lumbar spine fusion in humans: a prospective, randomized clinical pilot trial: 2002 Volvo Award in clinical studies. *Spine*. 2002;27(23):2662-2673.
- 20. Bohner M. Design of ceramic-based cements and putties for bone graft substitution. *Eur Cell Mater.* 2010;20:1-12.
- 21. Burkus JK, Gornet MF, Dickman CA, Zdeblick TA. Anterior lumbar interbody fusion using rhBMP-2 with tapered interbody cages. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* 2002;15(5):337-349. doi:10.1097/00024720-200210000-00001.
- 22. Burkus JK, Sandhu HS, Gornet MF, Longley MC. Use of rhBMP-2 in combination with structural cortical allografts: clinical and radiographic outcomes in anterior lumbar spinal surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2005;87 (6):1205-1212. doi:10.2106/JBJS.D.02532.
- 23. Buser Z, Brodke DS, Youssef JA, et al. Synthetic bone graft versus autograft or allograft for spinal fusion: a systematic review. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2016;25(4):509-516. doi:10.3171/2016.1.SPINE151005.
- 24. Camargo PM, Lekovic V, Weinlaender M, Vasilic N, Madzarevic M, Kenney EB. A reentry study on the use of bovine porous bone mineral, GTR, and platelet-rich plasma in the regenerative treatment of intrabony defects in humans. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent.* 2005;25(1):49-59.
- 25. Carragee EJ, Hurwitz EL, Weiner BK. A critical review of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 trials in spinal surgery: emerging safety concerns and lessons learned. *Spine J.* 2011;11(6):471-491.
- 26. Carragee EJ, Mitsunaga KA, Hurwitz EL, Scuderi GJ. Retrograde ejaculation after anterior lumbar interbody fusion using rhBMP-2: a cohort controlled study. *Spine J.* 2011;11(6):511-516.
- Carreon LY, Glassman SD, Anekstein Y, Puno RM. Platelet gel (AGF) fails to increase fusion rates in instrumented posterolateral fusions. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2005;30(9):E243-E246. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000160846.85397.44.
- 28. Carreon LY, Glassman SD, Brock DC, Dimar JR, Puno RM, Campbell MJ. Adverse events in patients reexposed to bone morphogenetic protein for spine surgery. *Spine*. 2008;33(4):391-393.
- 29. Carlisle E, Fischgrund JS. Bone morphogenetic proteins for spinal fusion. Spine. 2005;5:240S-249S.
- 30. Chaua AMT, Mobbs RJ. Bone graft substitutes in anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Eur Spine J.* 2009 18(4):449-464.
- 31. Choi HY, Hyun SJ, Lee CH, Youn JH, Ryu MY, Kim KJ. Safety and Efficacy of Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein-2 in Multilevel Posterolateral Lumbar Fusion in a Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Neurospine*. 2022;19(3):838-846. doi:10.14245/ns.2244464.232.
- 32. Cooper GS, Kou TD. Risk of Cancer Following Lumbar Fusion Surgery with Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenic Protein-2 (rhBMP-2): An Analysis Using a Commercially Insured Patient Population. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2018;12(2):260-268. doi:10.14444/50323.
- 33. Delawi D, Dhert WJ, Rillardon L, Gay E, Prestamburgo D, Garcia-Fernandez C. A prospective, randomized, controlled, multicenter study of osteogenic protein-1 in instrumented posterolateral fusions: report on safety and feasibility. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2010;35(12):1185-1191.
- 34. Delawi D, Jacobs W, van Susante JL, et al. OP-1 Compared with Iliac Crest Autograft in Instrumented Posterolateral Fusion: A Randomized, Multicenter Non-Inferiority Trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2016;98(6):441-448. doi:10.2106/JBJS.O.00209.
- 35. Dimar JR 2nd, Glassman SD, Burkus JK, Pryor PW, Hardacker JW, Carreon LY. Clinical and radiographic analysis of an optimized rhBMP-2 formulation as an autograft replacement in posterolateral lumbar spine arthrodesis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91(6):1377-1386.

- 36. Dawson E, Bae HW, Burkus JK, Stambough JL, Glassman SD. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 on an absorbable collagen sponge with an osteoconductive bulking agent in posterolateral arthrodesis with instrumentation. A prospective randomized trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91(7):1604-1613.
- 37. Dimar JR, Glassman SD, Burkus KJ, Carreon LY. Clinical outcomes and fusion success at 2 years of single-level instrumented posterolateral fusions with recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2/compression resistant matrix versus iliac crest bone graft. *Spine*. 2006;31(22):2534-2539.
- 38. Dmitriev AE, Lehman RA Jr, Symes AJ. Bone morphogenetic protein-2 and spinal arthrodesis: the basic science perspective on protein interaction with the nervous system. *Spine J.* 2011;11(6):500-505.
- 39. Dusseldorp JR, Mobbs RJ. Iliac crest reconstruction to reduce donor-site morbidity: technical note. *Eur Spine J.* 2009;18(9):1386-1390. doi:10.1007/s00586-009-1108-4.
- 40. Einhorn TA. Clinical applications of recombinant human BMPs: early experience and future development. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003;85-A(Suppl 3):82-88.
- 41. Epstein NE. Complications due to the use of BMP/INFUSE in spine surgery: The evidence continues to mount. Surg Neurol Int. 2013;4(Suppl 5):S343-S352. doi:10.4103/2152-7806.114813.
- 42. Epstein NE. Pros, cons, and costs of INFUSE in spinal surgery. Surg Neurol Int. 2011;2:10.
- 43. Epstein NE, Hollingsworth R. Does donor site reconstruction following anterior cervical surgery diminish postoperative pain?. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* 2003;16(1):20-26. doi:10.1097/00024720-200302000-00004.
- 44. Etchebarne M, Fricain JC, Kerdjoudj H, et al. Use of Amniotic Membrane and Its Derived Products for Bone Regeneration: A Systematic Review. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2021;9:661332. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2021.661332.
- 45. Feldman MD. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 for spinal surgery and treatment of open tibial fractures. *Technology Assessment*. San Francisco, CA. California Technology Assessment Forum (CTAF). February 16, 2005.
- 46. Friedlaender GE, Perry CR, Cole JD, et al. Osteogenic protein-1 (bone morphogenetic protein-7) in the treatment of tibial nonunions: a prospective, randomized clinical trial comparing rhOP-1 with fresh bone autograft. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2001;83-A Suppl 1 (Pt 2):S151-S158.
- 47. Garrison KR, Donell S, Ryder J, Shemilt I, Mugford M, Harvey I, Song F. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of bone morphogenetic proteins in the non-healing of fractures and spinal fusion: a systematic review. *Health Technol Assess*. 2007;11(30):1-150, iii-iv. doi:10.3310/hta11300.
- 48. Gautschi OP, Frey SP, Zellweger R. Bone morphogenetic proteins in clinical applications. *ANZ J Surg.* 2007;77(8):626-631.
- 49. Glassman SD, Dimar JR 3rd, Burkus K, Hardacker JW, Pryor PW, Boden SD, Carreon LY. The efficacy of rhBMP-2 for posterolateral lumbar fusion in smokers. *Spine*. 2007;32(15):1693-1698.
- 50. Glassman SD, Dimar JR, Carreon LY, Campbell MJ, Puno RM, Johnson JR. Initial fusion rates with recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2/compression resistant matrix and a hydroxyapatite and tricalcium phosphate/collagen carrier in posterolateral spinal fusion. *Spine*. 2005;30(15):1694-1698.
- 51. Granjeiro JM, Oliveira RC, Bustos-Valenzuela JC, Sogayar MC, Taga R. Bone morphogenetic proteins: from structure to clinical use. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 2005;38(10):1463-1473.
- 52. Hart R, Komzák M, Okái F, Náhlík D, Jajtner P, Puskeiler M. Allograft alone versus allograft with bone marrow concentrate for the healing of the instrumented posterolateral lumbar fusion. *Spine J.* 2014;14(7):1318-1324. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2013.12.014.
- 53. Helgeson MD, Lehman RA Jr, Patzkowski JC, Dmitriev AE, Rosner MK, Mack AW. Adjacent vertebral body osteolysis with bone morphogenetic protein use in transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion. *Spine J.* 2011;11(6):507-510.
- 54. Helm GA, Gazit Z. Future uses of mesenchymal stem cells in spine surgery. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2005;19(6):E13. doi:10.3171/foc.2005.19.6.14.
- 55. Infuse™ Bone Graft/Medtronic Interbody Fusion Device (0381148E Rev. K) [eManual]. Medtronic. Available at: https://www.medtronic.com/content/dam/emanuals/spinal/0381148E_Infuse_eManual_revK.pdf. Accessed June 7, 2024
- 56. James AW, LaChaud G, Shen J, et al. A Review of the Clinical Side Effects of Bone Morphogenetic Protein-2. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev.* 2016;22(4):284-297. doi:10.1089/ten.TEB.2015.0357.
- 57. Johnson RG. Bone marrow concentrate with allograft equivalent to autograft in lumbar fusions. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39(9):695-700. doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000000254.
- 58. Johnsson R, Stromqvist B, Aspenberg P. Randomized radiostereometric study comparing osteogenic protein-1 (BMP-7) and autograft bone in human noninstrumented posterolateral lumbar fusion: 2002 Volvo Award in clinical studies. *Spine*. 2002;27(23):2654-2661.
- 59. Kanayama M, Hashimoto T, Shigenobu K, Yamane S, Bauer TW, Togawa D. A prospective randomized study of posterolateral lumbar fusion using osteogenic protein-1 (OP-1) versus local autograft with ceramic bone substitute: emphasis of surgical exploration and histologic assessment. *Spine*. 2006;31(10):1067-1074.
- 60. Khan SN, Sandhu HS, Lane JM, Cammisa FP Jr, Girardi FP. Bone morphogenetic proteins: relevance in spine surgery. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2002;33(2):447-463.

©2025 EviCore by EVERNORTH

- 61. Khashan M, Inoue S, Berven SH. Cell based therapies as compared to autologous bone grafts for spinal arthrodesis. *Spine*. 2013;38(21):1885-1891.
- 62. Kim HJ, Buchowski JM, Zebala LP, Dickson DD, Koester L, Bridwell KH. RhBMP-2 is superior to iliac crest bone graft for long fusions to the sacrum in adult spinal deformity: 4- to 14-year follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38(14):1209-1215. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31828b656d.
- 63. Kolcun JPG, Ghobrial GM, Crandall KM, Chang KH, Pacchiarotti G, Wang MY. Minimally Invasive Lumbar Interbody Fusion With an Expandable Meshed Allograft Containment Device: Analysis of Subsidence With 12-Month Minimum Follow-Up. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2019;13(4):321-328. doi:10.14444/6044.
- 64. Koslosky E, Gendelberg D. Classification in Brief. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2020;478(5):1125-1130. doi:10.1097/corr.00000000001153.
- 65. Leung VY, Chan D, Cheung KM. Regeneration of intervertebral disc by mesenchymal stem cells: potentials, limitations, and future direction. *Eur Spine J.* 2006;15(Suppl 3):S406-S413. doi:10.1007/s00586-006-0183-z.
- 66. Luhmann SJ, Bridwell KH, Cheng I, Imamura T, Lenke LG, Schootman M. Use of bone morphogenetic protein-2 for adult spinal deformity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005;30(Suppl 17):S110-S117.
- 67. Liu S, Wang Y, Liang Z, Zhou M, Chen C. Comparative Clinical Effectiveness and Safety of Bone Morphogenetic Protein Versus Autologous Iliac Crest Bone Graft in Lumbar Fusion: A Meta-analysis and Systematic Review. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2020;45(12):E729-E741. doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000003372.
- 68. Malham GM, Louie PK, Brazenor GA, Mobbs RJ, Walsh WR, Sethi RK. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 in spine surgery: recommendations for use and alternative bone substitutes-a narrative review. *J Spine Surg.* 2022;8(4):477-490. doi:10.21037/jss-22-23.
- 69. Malham GM, Parker RM, Ellis NJ, Blecher CM, Chow FY, Claydon MH. Anterior lumbar interbody fusion using recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2: a prospective study of complications. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2014;21(6):851-860. doi:10.3171/2014.8.SPINE13524.
- 70. McKay WF, Peckham SM, Badura JM. A comprehensive clinical review of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (INFUSE((R)) Bone Graft). *Int Orthop.* 2007;31(6):729-734.
- 71. Mehta S, Watson JT. Platelet rich concentrate: basic science and current clinical applications. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2008;22(6):432-438. doi:10.1097/BOT.0b013e31817e793f.
- 72. Minamide A, Yoshida M, Kawakami M, et al. The effects of bone morphogenetic protein and basic fibroblast growth factor on cultured mesenchymal stem cells for spine fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007;32(10):1067-1071. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000261626.32999.8a.
- 73. Mobbs RJ, Chung M, Rao PJ. Bone graft substitutes for anterior lumbar interbody fusion. *Orthop Surg.* 2013;5(2):77-85. doi:10.1111/os.12030.
- 74. Mulconrey DS, Bridwell KH, Flynn J, Cronen GA, Rose PS. Bone morphogenetic protein (RhBMP-2) as a substitute for iliac crest bone graft in multilevel adult spinal deformity surgery: minimum two-year evaluation of fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2008;33(20):2153-2159.
- 75. Mussano F, Ciccone G, Ceccarelli M, Baldi I, Bassi F. Bone morphogenetic proteins and bone defects: a systematic review. *Spine*. 2007;32(7):824-830.
- 76. Nandi SK, Roy S, Mukherjee P, Kundu B, De DK, Basu D. Orthopaedic applications of bone graft & graft substitutes: a review. *Indian J Med Res.* 2010;132:15-30.
- 77. Noh T, Zakaria H, Massie L, Ogasawara CT, Lee GA, Chedid M. Bone Marrow Aspirate in Spine Surgery: Case Series and Review of the Literature. *Cureus*. 2021;13(12):e20309. doi:10.7759/cureus.20309.
- 78. North American Spine Society (NASS). Coverage Policy Recommendations: Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein (rhBMP-2). May 2014. Burr Ridge, IL. North American Spine Society (NASS). Available at: https://www.spine.org.
- 79. Odri GA, Hami A, Pomero V, Seite M, Heymann D, Bertrand-Vasseur A, Skalli W, Delecrin J. Development of a per-operative procedure for concentrated bone marrow adjunction in postero-lateral fusion: radiological, biological and clinical assessment. *Eur Spine J.* 2012;21(12):2665-2672.
- 80. Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, Medical Advisory Secretariat. *Osteogenic protein-1 for long bone nonunion*. Health Technology Assessment Scientific Literature Review. Toronto, ON: Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; April 2005.
- 81. Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, Medical Advisory Secretariat. *Bone morphogenetic proteins and spinal surgery for degenerative disc disease*. Health Technology Scientific Literature Review. Toronto, ON: Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care; March 2004.
- 82. O'Shaughnessy BA, Kuklo TR, Ondra SL. Surgical Treatment of Vertebral Osteomyelitis With Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein-2. *Spine*. 2008;33(5):E132-E139. doi: 10.1097/brs.0b013e3181657ee3.
- 83. Papakostidis C, Kontakis G, Bhandari M, Giannoudis PV. Efficacy of autologous iliac crest bone graft and bone morphogenetic proteins for posterolateral fusion of lumbar spine: a meta-analysis of the results. *Spine*. 2008;33(19):E680-E692.

- 84. Patterson TE, Boehm C, Nakamoto C, Rozic R, Walker E, Piuzzi N, Muschler GF. The Efficiency of Bone Marrow Aspiration for Harvest of Connective Tissue Progenitors from the Human Iliac Crest. *JBJS*. 2017;99(19):1673-1682.
- 85. Piuzzi NS, Hussain ZB, Chahla J, Cinque ME, Moatshe G, Mantripragada V, Muschler GF, LaPrade RF. Variability in the Preparation, Reporting, and Use of Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate in Musculoskeletal Disorders: A Systematic Review of the Clinical Orthpaedic Literature. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2018;100:517-525.
- 86. Plantz MA, Gerlach EB, Hsu WK. Synthetic Bone Graft Materials in Spine Fusion: Current Evidence and Future Trends. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2021;15(s1):104-112. doi:10.14444/8058.
- 87. Pradhan BB, Bae HW, Patel VV, Delamarter RB. Graft resorption with the use of bone morphogenetic protein: lessons from anterior lumbar interbody fusion using femoral ring allografts and recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2. *Spine*. 2006;31(10):E277-E284.
- 88. Resnick DK. Reconstruction of anterior iliac crest after bone graft harvest decreases pain: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Neurosurgery*. 2005;57(3):526-529.
- 89. Singh K, Smucker JD, Boden SD. Use of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 as an adjunct in posterolateral lumbar spine fusion: a prospective CT-scan analysis at one and two years. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* 2006:19(6):416-423.
- 90. Skovrlj B, Koehler SM, Anderson PA, et al. Association Between BMP-2 and Carcinogenicity. *Spine*. 2015;40(23):1862-1871. doi:10.1097/brs.00000000001126.
- 91. Smucker JD, Rhee JM, Singh K, Yoon ST, Heller JG. Increased swelling complications associated with off-label usage of rhBMP-2 in the anterior cervical spine. *Spine*. 2006;31(24):2813-2819.
- 92. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data (SSED) PMA P970015: INTER FIX™ Threaded Fusion Device. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/P970015B.pdf.
- 93. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data (SSED) PMA P000054: InFUSE® Bone Graft. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/P000054B.pdf.
- 94. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data (SSED) PMA P000058: InFUSE™ Bone Graft/LT-CAGE™ Lumbar Tapered Fusion Device. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/P000058B.pdf.
- 95. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) H010002: *OP-1™Implant*. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfhde/hde.cfm?id=376037.
- 96. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) H020008: *OP-1 Putty for Posterolateral Spinal Fusions*. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfhde/hde.cfm?id=375512.
- 97. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) H040004: *INFUSE/MASTERGRAFT™ Posterolateral Revision Device*. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfhde/hde.cfm?id=375525.
- 98. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). 510(k) Summary K080736: *Actifuse™ Shape Substitute*. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm?ID=K080736.
- 99. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA). 510(k) Summary K043420: Osteofil™ Allograft Paste. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm?ID=K043420.
- 100. Vaccaro AR, Whang PG, Patel T, et al. The safety and efficacy of OP-1 (rhBMP-7) as a replacement for iliac crest autograft for posterolateral lumbar arthrodesis: minimum 4-year follow-up of a pilot study. *Spine J.* 2008;8(3):457-465.
- Vaidya R, Carp J, Sethi A, Bartol S, Craig J, Les CM. Complications of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion using recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2. *Eur Spine J.* 2007;16(8):1257-1265. doi:10.1007/s00586-007-0351-9.
- 102. Washington State Health Care Authority. Health Technology Assessment. *On- and off- label uses of rhBMP-2 or rhBMP-7 for spinal fusion*. February 14, 2012.
- 103. Woo EJ. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2: adverse events reported to the Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience database. *Spine J.* 2012;12(10):894-899. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2012.09.052.
- 104. Yamada T, Yoshii T, Sotome S, et al. Hybrid grafting using bone marrow aspirate combined with porous β-triacalcium phosphate and trephine bone for lumbar postero alteral fusion: a prospective, comparative study versus local bone grafting. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37(3):E174-E179. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182269d64.
- 105. Yu NY, Schindeler A, Little DG, Ruys AJ. Biodegradable poly (alpha-hydroxy acid) polymer scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biom.* 2010;93B(1):285-295. doi:10.1002/jbm.b.31588.