DermTech Melanoma Test

DermTech Melanoma Test

MOL.TS.282.A

v1.0.2026

Introduction

Pigmented Lesion Assay (DermTech Melanoma Test) is addressed by this guideline.

Procedures addressed

The inclusion of any procedure code in this table does not imply that the code is under management or requires prior authorization. Refer to the specific Health Plan's procedure code list for management requirements.

Procedures addressed by this guideline	Procedure code
Pigmented Lesion Assay (DermTech Melanoma Test)	0089U

Criteria

Requests for Pigmented Lesion Assay (DermTech Melanoma Test) are reviewed using the following criteria.

This test is considered Experimental, Investigational, or Unproven.

- Experimental, Investigational, or Unproven (E/I/U) refers to tests, or uses of tests, that
 have insufficient data to demonstrate an overall health benefit. This typically means
 there is insufficient data to support that a test accurately assesses the outcome of
 interest (analytical and clinical validity) and significantly improves patient health
 outcomes (clinical utility). Such tests are also not generally accepted as the standard
 of care in the evaluation or management of a particular condition.
- In the case of laboratory testing, FDA approval or clearance is not a reliable standard given the number of laboratory developed tests that currently fall outside of FDA oversight. In addition, FDA approval or clearance often does not include an assessment of clinical utility.

What is melanoma?

According to the American Cancer Society (ACS), the incidence of primary cutaneous melanoma varies by age and sex. The incidence of melanoma has been reported to be increasing at a rate of 3% annually among women ages 50 and older.¹

Melanoma accounts for the majority of skin cancer related deaths, but treatment is nearly always curative with early detection of disease. Minimal depth (thin) melanomas have a cure rate of nearly 100%, while tumors with a Breslow depth of greater than 4mm have a 10-year survival rate of less than 50%.

Standard of care for the assessment of clinically suspicious pigmented skin lesions is surgical biopsy with pathologic evaluation. However, histopathology is believed to have inherent limitations. Some lesions that are likely to be true melanomas based on clinical behavior do not meet the complete set of histologic criteria to establish a melanoma diagnosis. There is also considerable interrater variability with visual image and pattern recognition of skin lesions.³ In an effort to improve patient survival, a number of novel noninvasive techniques have been developed to classify pigmented skin lesions at an earlier stage.4

Test information

The DermTech Melanoma Test is a non-invasive method for the biopsy of clinically atypical pigmented lesions or moles using an adhesive patch to obtain mRNA from the surface of the suspicious lesion.

According to the manufacturer, this test assesses gene expression consistent with melanoma and is intended as a decision making aid for the clinician to determine whether or not to biopsy a pigmented skin lesion, clinically suspicious for melanoma.⁵ The test is intended for use on pigmented lesions suspicious for melanoma that meet at least one of the A (asymmetry) B (border) C (color) D (diameter) E (evolving) criteria for which the clinician would like additional information prior to surgical biopsy. Uses include the following: lesions being followed for change; lesions in cosmetically sensitive areas of the body; lesions on individuals with possible risks for complications during surgical biopsy; or lesions among individuals who refuse biopsy.⁵

The DermTech Melanoma Test is a non-invasive method for the assessment of clinically atypical pigmented lesions or moles using an adhesive patch to obtain mRNA from the surface of the suspicious lesion. The method of adhesive tape stripping has been used to obtain RNA from the stratum corneum for gene expression of other disorders, such as allergic and irritant skin reactions and psoriasis. ⁶ This test detects the expression of 2 specific genes, PRAME and LINC00518, both of which are believed to play key roles in oncogenesis and both of which have been shown to be elevated in melanoma. If sufficient material is available, a DNA-based TERT Add-On Assay can be performed to detect TERT promoter mutations as well. If one or more of these biomarkers is detected, the test is considered positive. The positive lesions generally undergo surgical biopsy to definitively establish a melanoma diagnosis. The test manufacturer notes that this assay cannot be used on mucous membranes, palms of the hands, or soles of the feet. ^{3,5}

Guidelines and evidence

American Academy of Dermatology

The American Academy of Dermatology (AAD) acknowledged that the clinical and prognostic significance of the use of biomarkers and mutational analysis is still unclear and there are gaps regarding their clinical usefulness that have yet to be addressed. The 2019 guideline stated:⁴

- "Ancillary diagnostic molecular techniques (eg, CGH, FISH, GEP) may be used for equivocal melanocytic neoplasms."
- "Routine molecular testing, including GEP [gene expression profiling], for
 prognostication is discouraged until better use criteria are defined. The application
 of molecular information for clinical management (eg, sentinel lymph node eligibility,
 follow-up, and/or therapeutic choice) is not recommended outside of a clinical study
 or trial."
- "Once a lesion has been identified as clinically concerning, dermoscopy can improve diagnostic accuracy and/or help direct optimal and adequate tissue sampling in the case of very large lesions or those in cosmetically or functionally sensitive areas.
 Newer noninvasive techniques (eg, reflectance confocal microscopy [RCM], as well as electrical impedance spectroscopy, gene expression analysis, optical coherence tomography, and others can also be considered as these become more readily available."
- "Lingering questions remain regarding the degree to which the selected gene sets
 represent genes associated with tumor progression, how they compare with current
 well-characterized prognostic factors and AJCC eighth edition survival data, and
 whether they improve prognostic models enough to affect patient management and
 outcomes. As such, the WG discourages routine baseline GEP for prognostication."
- "There is insufficient evidence to recommend routine molecular profiling assessment
 for baseline prognostication. Evidence is lacking that molecular classification should
 be used to alter patient management outside of current guidelines (eg, NCCN and
 AAD). The criteria for and the utility of prognostic molecular testing, including GEP,
 in aiding clinical decision making (eg, SLNB eligibility, surveillance intensity, and/or
 therapeutic choice) needs to be evaluated in the context of clinical study or trial."
- "Noninvasive genomic methods (eg, adhesive patch "biopsy") are being investigated
 to further classify melanocytic lesions as either benign or malignant to guide the
 need for further biopsy. The uptake of 1 or more of these technologies will eventually
 depend on cumulative evidence regarding their effectiveness, clinical utility, cost
 versus benefit, and competing strategies."

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN, 2025) made no recommendation to use the DermTech Melanoma Test in the evaluation of skin lesions suspicious for melanoma. With regard to the evaluation of melanocytic lesions for the possibility of melanoma, the NCCN offered the following guidance relevant to the DermTech Melanoma Test:⁷

"Melanocytic neoplasms of uncertain biologic potential present a unique challenge
to pathologists and treating clinicians. Ancillary tests to differentiate benign from
malignant melanocytic neoplasms include immunohistochemistry (IHC), and
molecular testing via comparative genomic hybridization (CGH), fluorescence
in situ hybridization (FISH), gene expression profiling (GEP), single-nucleotide
polymorphism (SNP) array, and next-generation sequencing (NGS). These tests may
facilitate a more definitive diagnosis and guide therapy in cases that are diagnostically
uncertain or controversial by histopathology. Ancillary tests should be used as
adjuncts to clinical and expert dermatopathologic examination and therefore be
interpreted within the context of these findings."

In the discussion of follow-up recommendations following a melanoma diagnosis, the NCCN stated the following:

 "Prediagnostic clinical modalities (ie, dermoscopy, total-body photography, sequential digital dermoscopy), noninvasive imaging and other technologies (eg, reflectance confocal microscopy, electrical impedance spectroscopy) may aid in surveillance for new primary melanoma, particularly in patients with high mole count and/or presence of clinically atypical nevi. For melanocytic neoplasms that are clinically/ dermoscopically suspicious for melanoma, pre-diagnostic noninvasive patch testing may also be helpful to guide biopsy decisions."

Selected Relevant Publications

There is insufficient evidence to support the use of the DermTech Melanoma Test to accurately discriminate between early melanoma and non-melanoma in individuals with clinically suspicious lesions. ^{2,3,8-23} A recurring limitation within the evidence base is the assumption that non-biopsied lesions with negative results are true negatives without follow up assessment for confirmation. Additional limitations include retrospective study designs, small individual study populations, overlapping patient populations, short follow up times, and a lack of reported health outcomes.

Based on the current evidence, the DermTech Melanoma Test may have a high negative predictive value and influence clinical management decisions regarding biopsy but it remains unclear if these decisions result in meaningful clinical outcomes. Well-designed studies that report the impact on clinical management decisions together with the health outcomes that result from those decisions are needed to confirm the utility of the DermTech Melanoma Test.

Note:

This benefit/harm statement only applies to those jurisdictions that do not have Medicare guidance. Based upon the guidelines and evidence provided in the clinical policy, following EviCore's criteria for DermTech will ensure that members will not receive testing for which there is not a body of evidence demonstrating clinical utility and is therefore considered experimental, investigational, or unproven. Use of a test that does not have evidence to support clinical utility can lead to negative consequences. These include but are not limited to physical implications, psychological implications, treatment burden, social implications, and dissatisfaction with healthcare. However, it is possible that there will be a delay in care while providers search for an appropriate test with sufficient evidence (analytical validity, clinical validity, and clinical utility).

References

- Key Statistics for Melanoma Skin Cancer. American Cancer Society website. Available at: https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/melanoma-skin-cancer/about/key-statistics.html
- Gerami P, Alsobrook JP II, Palmer TJ, Robin HS. Development of a novel noninvasive adhesive patch test for the evaluation of pigmented lesions of the skin. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014; 71:237-244. doi:10.1016/ j.jaad.2014.04.042
- 3. Gerami P, Yao Z, Polsky D, et al. Development and validation of a noninvasive 2-gene molecular assay for cutaneous melanoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017; 76(1):114-120. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2016.07.038
- 4. Swetter SM, Tsao H, Bichakjian CK, et al. Guidelines of care for the management of primary cutaneous melanoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2019 Jan;80 (1): 208-250. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2018.08.055
- 5. Learn About the Noninvasive DermTech Melanoma Test. DermTech website. Available at: http://dermtech.com/products/pla/.
- Wachsman W, Morhenn V, Palmer T, et al. Noninvasive genomic detection of melanoma. *Br J Dermatol.* 2011; 164:797-806. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2011.10239.x
- 7. Swetter SM, Johnson D, Albertini MR, et al. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines Version 2.2025 January 28, 2025. Melanoma: Cutaneous, available at: https://www.nccn.org/ professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cutaneous_melanoma.pdf Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guideline®) for Melanoma: Cutaneous V2.2025 January 28, 2025. ©2025 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guideline® and illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form for any purpose without the express written permission of the NCCN. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guideline®, go online to NCCN.org.
- 8. Ferris LK, Jansen B, Ho J, et al. Utility of a noninvasive 2-gene molecular assay for cutaneous melanoma and effect on the decision to biopsy. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2017; 153(7):675-680. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2017.0473
- 9. Ferris LK, Moy RL, Gerami P, et al. Noninvasive analysis of high-risk driver mutations and gene expression profiles in primary cutaneous melanoma. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2019 May;139(5):1127-1134. doi:10.1016/j.jid.2018.10.041
- Ferris LK, Gerami P, Skelsey MK, et al. Real-world performance and utility of a noninvasive gene expression assay to evaluate melanoma risk in pigmented lesions. *Melanoma Res.* 2018;28(5):478-482. doi:10.1097/ CMR.0000000000000478
- 11. Ferris LK, Rigel DS, Siegel DM, et al. Impact on clinical practice of a non-invasive gene expression melanoma rule-out test: 12-month follow-up of negative test results and utility data from a large US registry study. *Dermatol Online J.* 2019;25(5):2.
- 12. Yao Z, Allen T, Oakley M, et al. Analytical characteristics of a noninvasive gene expression assay for pigmented skin lesions. *Assay Drug Dev Technol*. 2016;14(6):355-363. doi: 10.1089/adt.2016.724

JermTech Melanoma Test

- 13. Yao Z, Moy R, Allen T, et al. An adhesive patch-based skin biopsy device for molecular diagnostics and skin microbiome studies. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2017;16(10):979-986.
- Brouha B, Ferris LK, Skelsey MK, et al. Real-world utility of a non-invasive gene expression test to rule out primary cutaneous melanoma: A large US registry study. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2020;19(3):257-262. doi: 10.36849/ JDD.2020.4766
- 15. Beatson M, Weinstock MA. Further consideration of the pigmented lesion assay. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2019;155(3):393-393. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.5478
- 16. Rivers J, Copley M, Svoboda R, et al. Non-invasive gene expression testing to rule out melanoma. *Skin Therapy Lett.* 2018;23(5):1-4. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.1764.
- 17. Shah A, Hyngstrom J, Florell SR, et al. Use of the pigmented lesion assay to rapidly screen a patient with numerous clinically atypical pigmented lesions. *JAAD Case Reports*. 2019;5(12):1048-1050. doi: 10.1016/j.jdcr.2019.10.004
- 18. Robinson JK, Jansen B. Caring for melanoma survivors with self-detected concerning moles during COVID-19 restricted physician access: a cohort study. *SKIN*. 2020;4(3):248. doi: 10.25251/skin.4.3.5
- 19. Jackson SR, Jansen B, Yao Z, et al. Risk stratification of severely dysplastic nevi by non-invasively obtained gene expression and mutation analyses. *SKIN*. 2020;4(2):124-129. doi: 10.36849/JDD.2020.4766.
- Brouha B, Ferris L, Skelsey M, et al. Genomic atypia to enrich melanoma positivity in biopsied lesions: Gene expression and pathology findings from a large U.S. registry study. SKIN. 2021;5(1):13–18. doi.org/10.25251/ skin.5.1.3
- 21. Skelsey M, Brouha B, Rock J, et al. Non-invasive detection of genomic atypia increases real-world NPV and PPV of the melanoma diagnostic pathway and reduces biopsy burden. *SkIN*. 2021;5(5):512-523. doi: 10.25251/skin 5.5.9
- 22. Van Sambeek S, Friedlander E, Patino WD. A retrospective review: our experience with an adhesive-based pigmented lesion assay used to evaluate cutaneous lesions suspicious for melanoma. *Am J Dermatopathol*. 2024;46(11):729-733. doi: 10.1097/DAD.000000000002759
- 23. Kaufmann M, Skelsey M, Ferris L, et al. Real-world performance of a noninvasive cutaneous melanoma rule-out test: A multicenter U.S. registry study. *SKIN*. 2024;8(3):1528-1531. doi: 10.25251/skin.8.3.8
- 24. Korenstein D, Chimonas S, Barrow B, et al. Development of a conceptual map of negative consequences for patients of overuse of medical tests and treatments. *JAMA Inter Med*. 2018;178(10):1401-1407. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3573