



CLINICAL GUIDELINES

CMM-210: Implantable Intrathecal Drug Delivery Systems

Effective: August 04, 2026

VERSION 1.0.2026

EviCore

By ~~EVERNORTH~~

EviCore healthcare Clinical Decision Support Tool Diagnostic Strategies: This tool addresses common symptoms and symptom complexes. Imaging requests for individuals with atypical symptoms or clinical presentations that are not specifically addressed will require physician review. Consultation with the referring physician, specialist, and/or individual's Primary Care Physician (PCP) may provide additional insight.

EviCore's Clinical Review Criteria ("CRC") and related content is made available for the limited uses of: reference; and individual use, only limited to facilitating the determination of medically necessary and appropriate clinical treatment by clinicians for specific delegated patients under their care. The CRC and related content is proprietary information of EviCore, and copyrighted to the full extent of the law. Except as expressly permitted, you may not modify, copy, reproduce, republish, upload, post, transmit, hyperlink to or from, or distribute in any way the CRC, nor may you sell, transfer, distribute, assign, lease, reproduce, or otherwise use the CRC in commerce, in a manner that competes with us or infringes upon our rights, or for any public or commercial endeavor without our prior and express written consent.

CPT® (Current Procedural Terminology) is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT® five-digit codes, nomenclature and other data are copyright 2026 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. No fee schedules, basic units, relative values or related listings are included in the CPT® book. AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. AMA assumes no liability for the data contained herein or not contained herein.

Table of Contents

Guideline	Page
Definitions.....	3
General Guidelines.....	6
Indications.....	8
Non-Indications.....	13
Codes (CMM-210).....	15
References (CMM-210).....	19

Definitions

Guideline	Page
Definitions.....	4

Definitions

CMM.PN.DF.210

v1.0.2026

Ashworth Scale (AS)

a tool used to classify the degree of spasticity and muscle tone. The following represents the clinical description of spasticity in the muscle being evaluated:

- **0:** No increase in tone
- **1:** Slight increase in tone giving a catch when the limb is moved in flexion or extension
- **2:** More marked increase in muscle tone, but limb easily flexed
- **3:** Considerable increase in tone, passive movement difficult
- **4:** Limb rigid in flexion or extension

Implantable Intrathecal Drug Delivery System (pain pump or baclofen pump)

a device used for the continuous infusion of a drug directly into the cerebrospinal fluid via a catheter placed in the intrathecal or epidural space. A pump is placed in the subcutaneous tissue of the abdomen and connected to the catheter. The pump reservoir holds the medication(s), and the pump is programmed to give a set dose of medication over time. For most individuals, it should be used as part of a program to facilitate restoration of function and return to activity, and not just for pain reduction. An intrathecal drug delivery trial can be accomplished by either a single intrathecal bolus injection or an intrathecal catheter infusion.

Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS)

a tool used to classify the degree of spasticity and muscle tone. The following represents the clinical description of spasticity in the muscle being evaluated:

- **0:** No increase in tone
- **1:** Slight increase in muscle tone, manifested by a catch and release or by minimal resistance at the end of the range of motion when the affected part(s) is(are) moved in flexion or extension
- **1+:** Slight increase in muscle tone, manifested by a catch, followed by minimal resistance throughout the remainder (less than half) of the range of motion (ROM)
- **2:** More marked increase in muscle tone through most of the ROM, but affected part(s) easily moved
- **3:** Considerable increase in tone, passive movement difficult
- **4:** Limb rigid in flexion or extension

Penn Spasm Frequency Score (PSFS)

a measure that assesses an individual's perception of spasticity frequency. The following represents spasm frequency scoring:

- **0:** No spasm
- **1:** Mild spasms induced by stimulation
- **2:** Infrequent full spasms less than once per hour
- **3:** Spasms occurring more than once per hour
- **4:** Spasms occurring more than 10 times per hour

General Guidelines

Guideline	Page
General Guidelines.....	7

General Guidelines

CMM.PN.GG.210

v1.0.2026

Application of Guideline

- The guideline criteria are only applicable to the use of an implantable intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system for the treatment of ANY of the following conditions:
 - non-malignant, chronic intractable pain (e.g., failed back surgery syndrome with low back pain and/or radicular pain, post-herpetic neuralgia, complex regional pain syndrome)
 - severe, refractory spasticity or chronic intractable dystonia with documentation that the individual is unresponsive to, cannot tolerate, or has a contraindication to oral antispasmodic drugs (i.e., baclofen [Lioresal®])
 - cancer-related pain
- The guideline criteria are not applicable to an implantable intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system for obstetrical or surgical epidural anesthesia use.
- The determination of medical necessity for the performance of an implantable intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system is always made on a case-by-case basis.

Injectates

- The guideline criteria are not applicable to an intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system used with the following injectates: Spinraza, chemotherapy, neurolytic substances, antibiotics, antivirals, biologics (e.g., platelet-rich plasma, stem cells, amniotic fluid, etc.), or any other injectates that are considered **not in scope** of management.

Health Equity Considerations

Health equity is the highest level of health for all individuals; health inequity is the avoidable difference in health status or distribution of health resources due to the social conditions in which individuals are born, grow, live, work, and age. Social determinants of health are the conditions in the environment that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality of life outcomes and risks. Examples include the following: safe housing, transportation, and neighborhoods; racism, discrimination, and violence; education, job opportunities, and income; access to nutritious foods and physical activity opportunities; access to clean air and water; and language and literacy skills.

Indications

Guideline	Page
Non-Malignant, Chronic Intractable Pain.....	9
Severe, Refractory Spasticity/Chronic Intractable Dystonia.....	10
Cancer-Related Pain.....	11
Replacement.....	12

Non-Malignant, Chronic Intractable Pain

CMM.PN.IN.210

v1.0.2026

Trial

A trial with a percutaneous intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system for non-malignant, chronic intractable pain is considered **medically necessary** when ALL of the following criteria have been met:

- There is documented pathology of non-malignant, chronic intractable pain (e.g., failed back surgery syndrome with low back pain and/or radicular pain, post-herpetic neuralgia, complex regional pain syndrome).
- There has been a failure of provider-directed non-invasive pain management that includes BOTH of the following (unless there is a documented contraindication):
 - active rehabilitative exercise for at least six (6) months
 - a fixed schedule dosing of opioids or other analgesics for at least six (6) months
- Further surgical intervention or other treatment is not indicated or likely to be effective.
- Attestation by a behavioral health provider (i.e., a face-to-face or virtual assessment [with or without psychological questionnaires and/or psychological testing]) reveals no evidence of inadequately controlled mental and/or behavioral health conditions/issues (e.g., substance use disorders, depression, or psychosis)
- Individual agrees to a 50% reduction in systemic opioids prior to undergoing an intrathecal opioid trial.

Permanent

Permanent implantation of an intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system for non-malignant, chronic intractable pain is considered **medically necessary** when BOTH of the following criteria have been met:

- The above criteria have been met for a trial of intrathecal or epidural opioid administration.
- The trial resulted in BOTH of the following:
 - documented pain relief of >50% for eight (8) hours
 - documented concomitant increase in function

Severe, Refractory Spasticity/Chronic Intractable Dystonia

CMM.PN.IN.210

v1.0.2026

Trial

A trial with a percutaneous intrathecal drug delivery system for severe, refractory spasticity or chronic intractable dystonia is considered **medically necessary** for EITHER of the following clinical scenarios:

- There is documentation that the individual is unresponsive to, cannot tolerate, or has a contraindication to a trial of BOTH of the following:
 - oral antispasmodic drugs (i.e., baclofen [Lioresal®]) for at least six (6) weeks
 - physical therapy for at least six (6) weeks
- Individual has BOTH of the following (as defined in **Definitions**):
 - a baseline average Ashworth Score of at least three (3) or a Modified Ashworth Score of two (2)
 - a Penn Spasm Frequency Score of at least two (2)

Permanent

Permanent implantation of an intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system for the treatment of severe, refractory spasticity or chronic intractable dystonia is considered **medically necessary** when ALL of the following criteria have been met:

- The above criteria have been met for a trial of intrathecal antispasmodic drug administration.
- The trial resulted in a beneficial clinical response as evidenced by EITHER of the following:
 - at least a 2-point reduction in the Ashworth Score or Modified Ashworth Score for four (4) hours following an intrathecal trial bolus of baclofen
 - at least a 2-point reduction in the Penn Spasm Frequency Score for four (4) hours following an intrathecal trial bolus of baclofen

Cancer-Related Pain

CMM.PN.IN.210

v1.0.2026

Trial

A trial with a percutaneous intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system for cancer-related pain is considered **medically necessary** when there is failure, intolerance, or contraindication to non-invasive methods of pain control, including systemic opioids.

Permanent

Permanent implantation of an intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system for cancer-related pain is considered **medically necessary** when the above criteria have been met for a trial and the trial resulted in documented pain relief of at least 50%.

- **Criteria exception:** A trial with a percutaneous intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system for cancer-related pain is **not required** in the presence of advanced disease, when survival time is limited, and when the individual is considered high-risk for procedures.

Replacement

CMM.PN.IN.210**v1.0.2026**

Replacement of an implanted intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system is considered **medically necessary** for ANY of the following:

- The existing device is documented to be nearing end of battery life.
- The existing device will no longer be functional and cannot be repaired.
- A built-in device component provides notification of impending failure.

Non-Indications

Guideline	Page
Non-Indications.....	14

Non-Indications

CMM.PN.NI.210

v1.0.2026

Not Medically Necessary

- An implantable intrathecal or epidural drug delivery system (trial, permanent, or replacement) placed without meeting the criteria in the **Definitions**, the **General Guidelines**, and the **Indications** sections is considered **not medically necessary**.
- Replacement of an implantable intrathecal infusion pump is considered **not medically necessary** when the existing infusion pump and/or components remain functional.

Codes (CMM-210)

Guideline	Page
Codes (CMM-210).....	16

Codes (CMM-210)

CMM.PN.PC.210

v1.0.2026

The inclusion of any code in this table does not imply that the code is under management or requires prior authorization. Refer to the applicable health plan for management details. Prior authorization of a code listed in this table is not a guarantee of payment. The Certificate of Coverage or Evidence of Coverage policy outlines the terms and conditions of the member's health insurance policy.

Code	Code Description/Definition
62320	Injection(s), of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (e.g., anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, including needle or catheter placement, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, cervical or thoracic; without imaging guidance.
62321	Injection(s), of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (e.g., anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, including needle or catheter placement, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, cervical or thoracic; with imaging guidance (i.e., fluoroscopy or CT)
62322	Injection(s), of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (e.g., anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, including needle or catheter placement, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, lumbar or sacral (caudal); without imaging guidance.
62323	Injection(s), of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (e.g., anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, including needle or catheter placement, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, lumbar or sacral (caudal); with imaging guidance (i.e., fluoroscopy or CT)
62324	Injection(s), including indwelling catheter placement, continuous infusion or intermittent bolus, of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (e.g., anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, cervical or thoracic; without imaging guidance.

Code	Code Description/Definition
62325	Injection(s), including indwelling catheter placement, continuous infusion or intermittent bolus, of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (e.g., anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, cervical or thoracic; with imaging guidance (i.e., fluoroscopy or CT)
62326	Injection (s), including indwelling catheter placement, continuous infusion or intermittent bolus, of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (e.g., anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, lumbar or sacral (caudal); without imaging guidance.
62327	Injection(s), including indwelling catheter placement, continuous infusion or intermittent bolus, of diagnostic or therapeutic substance(s) (e.g., anesthetic, antispasmodic, opioid, steroid, other solution), not including neurolytic substances, interlaminar epidural or subarachnoid, lumbar or sacral (caudal); with imaging guidance (i.e., fluoroscopy or CT)
62350	Implantation, revision or repositioning of tunneled intrathecal or epidural catheter, for long-term medication administration via an external pump or implantable reservoir/infusion pump; without laminectomy
62351	Implantation, revision or repositioning of tunneled intrathecal or epidural catheter, for long-term medication administration via an external pump or implantable reservoir/infusion pump; with laminectomy
62355	Removal of previously implanted intrathecal or epidural catheter
62360	Implantation or replacement of device for intrathecal or epidural drug infusion; subcutaneous reservoir
62361	Implantation or replacement of device for intrathecal or epidural drug infusion; nonprogrammable pump
62362	Implantation or replacement of device for intrathecal or epidural drug infusion; programmable pump, including preparation of pump with or without programming

Code	Code Description/Definition
62365	Removal of subcutaneous reservoir or pump, previously implanted for intrathecal or epidural infusion
62367	Electronic analysis of programmable, implanted pump for intrathecal or epidural drug infusion (includes evaluation of reservoir status, alarm status, drug prescription status); without reprogramming
62368	Electronic analysis of programmable, implanted pump for intrathecal or epidural drug infusion (includes evaluation of reservoir status, alarm status, drug prescription status); with reprogramming
95990	Refilling and maintenance of implantable pump or reservoir for drug delivery, spinal (intrathecal, epidural) or brain (intraventricular), includes electronic analysis of pump, when performed
95991	Refilling and maintenance of implantable pump or reservoir for drug delivery, spinal (intrathecal, epidural) or brain (intraventricular), includes electronic analysis of pump when performed; requiring skill of a physician or other qualified health care professional

References (CMM-210)

Guideline	Page
References (CMM-210).....	20

References (CMM-210)

CMM.PN.RF.210

v1.0.2026

1. Ackerman L, Follett K, Rosenquist R. Long-term outcomes during treatment of chronic pain with intrathecal clonidine or clonidine/opioid combinations. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2003;26(1):668-677.
2. Abd-Elseyed A, Karri J, Michael A, et al. Intrathecal drug delivery for chronic pain syndromes: a review of considerations in practice management. *Pain Physician*. 2020;23(6):E591-E617.
3. American Medical Association. Current Procedural Terminology. 2025 AMA CPT® Professional Edition.
4. Anderson V, Burchiel K. A prospective study of long term intrathecal morphine in the management of chronic nonmalignant pain. *Neurosurgery*. 1999;44:289-300.
5. Anderson V, Cooke B, Burchiel K. Intrathecal hydromorphone for chronic nonmalignant pain: a retrospective study. *Pain Med*. 2001;2(4):287-297.
6. Angel I, Gould H Jr, Carey M. Intrathecal morphine pump as a treatment option in chronic pain of nonmalignant origin. *Surg Neurol*. 1998;49(1):92-98.
7. Ashworth Scale/Modified Ashworth Scale. Shirley Ryan Ability Lab. Last updated 2021 Oct 20. Available at: <https://www.sralab.org/rehabilitation-measures/ashworth-scale-modified-ashworth-scale>.
8. Boswell M, Shah R, Everett C, et al. Interventional techniques: evidence-based practice guidelines in the management of chronic spinal pain. *Pain Physician*. 2007;10:7-111.
9. Brown J, Klapow J, Doleys D, et al. Disease-specific and generic health outcomes: a model for the evaluation of long-term intrathecal opioid therapy in noncancer low back pain patients. *Clin J Pain*. 1999;15:122-131.
10. Bottros M, Christo P. Current perspectives on intrathecal drug delivery. *J Pain Res*. 2014;7:615-626.
11. Dahm P, Nitescu P, Appelgren L, Curelaru I. Efficacy and technical complications of long-term continuous intraspinal infusions of opioid and/or bupivacaine in refractory nonmalignant pain: a comparison between the epidural and the intrathecal approach with externalized or implanted catheters and infusion pumps. *Clin J Pain*. 1998;14:4-16.
12. Dario A, Scamoni C, Picano M, et al. The infection risk of intrathecal drug infusion pumps after multiple refill procedures. *Neuromodulation*. 2005;8(1):36-39.
13. Deer T. Current and future trends in spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2001;5(6):503-509.
14. Deer T, Chapple I, Classen A, et al. Intrathecal drug delivery for treatment of chronic low back pain: report from the National Outcomes Registry for Low Back Pain. *Pain Med*. 2004;5(1):6-13.
15. Deer T, Krames ES, Hassenbusch SJ, Burton A, Caraway D, Dupen S, et al. Polyanalgesic Consensus Conference® 2007: recommendations for the management of pain by intrathecal (intraspinial) drug delivery. *Neuromodulation*. 2007;10(4):300-328. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1403.2007.00128.x.
16. Deer TR, Hayek SM, Grider JS, et al. The Polyanalgesic Consensus Conference (PACC)®: updates on clinical pharmacology and comorbidity management in intrathecal drug delivery for cancer pain. *Neuromodulation*. 2025;28(7):1029-1053. doi:10.1016/j.neurom.2024.08.006.
17. Deer TR, Hayek SM, Grider JS, et al. The Polyanalgesic Consensus Conference (PACC)®: intrathecal drug delivery guidance on safety and therapy optimization when treating chronic noncancer pain. *Neuromodulation*. 2024;27(7):1107-1139. doi:10.1016/j.neurom.2024.03.003.
18. Deer TR, Hayek SM, Pope JE, et al. The Polyanalgesic Consensus Conference (PACC)®: recommendations for training of intrathecal drug delivery infusion therapy. *Neuromodulation*. 2017;20(2):133-154. doi: 10.1111/ner.12543.
19. Deer TR, Kim C, Bowman R, Tolentino D, Stewart C, Tolentino W. Intrathecal ziconotide and opioid combination therapy for noncancer pain: an observational study. *Pain Physician*. 2009;12(4):E291-E296.
20. Deer TR, Smith HS, Burton AW, et al. Comprehensive consensus based guidelines on intrathecal drug delivery systems in the treatment of pain caused by cancer pain. *Pain Physician*. 2011;14:E283-E312.
21. Deer TR, Pope JE, Hayek SM, et al. The polyanalgesic consensus conference (PACC): recommendations on intrathecal drug infusion systems best practices and guidelines. *Neuromodulation*. 2017;20(2):96-132. doi:10.1111/ner.12538.

22. Deer TR, Prager J, Levy R, et al. Polyanalgesic Consensus Conference® 2012: recommendations for the management of pain by intrathecal (intraspinale) drug delivery: report of an interdisciplinary expert panel. *Neuromodulation*. 2012;15(5):436-464. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1403.2012.00476.x.
23. Deer TR, Prager J, Levy R, et al. Polyanalgesic Consensus Conference® 2012: recommendations on trialing for intrathecal (intraspinale) drug delivery: report of an interdisciplinary expert panel. *Neuromodulation*. 2012;15(5):420-35. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1403.2012.00450.x.
24. Du Pen S, Du Pen A, Hillyer J. Intrathecal hydromorphone for intractable nonmalignant pain: a retrospective study. *Pain Med*. 2006;7(1):10-15.
25. FDA News Release. *FDA alerts doctors, patients about risk of complications when certain implanted pumps are used to deliver pain medications not approved for use with the devices*. 2018 Nov 14. <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-alerts-doctors-patients-about-risk-complications-when-certain-implanted-pumps-are-used-deliver>.
26. Grider JS, Harned ME, Etscheidt MA. Patient selection and outcomes using a low-dose intrathecal opioid trialing method for chronic nonmalignant pain. *Pain Physician*. 2011;14(4):343-351.
27. Guillaume D, Van Havenbergh A, Vloeberghs M, et al. A clinical study of intrathecal baclofen using a programmable pump for intractable spasticity. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2005;86:2165-2171.
28. Hamza M, Doleys DM, Saleh IA, Medvedovsky A, Verdolin MH, Hamza M. A prospective, randomized, single-blinded, head-to-head long-term outcome study, comparing intrathecal (IT) boluses with continuous infusion trialing techniques prior to implantation of drug delivery systems (DDS) for the treatment of severe intractable chronic nonmalignant pain. *Neuromodulation*. 2015;18(7):636-48.
29. Hamza M, Doleys D, Wells M, et al. Prospective study of 3-year follow-up of low-dose intrathecal opioids in the management of chronic nonmalignant pain. *Pain Med*. 2012;13(10):1304-1313. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4637.2012.01451.x.
30. Hassenbusch S, Portenoy R, Cousins M, et al. Polyanalgesic Consensus Conference 2003: an update on the management of pain by intraspinal drug delivery--report of an expert panel. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2004;27(6):540-563.
31. Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain by using intrathecal drug therapy compared with conventional pain therapies: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *J Neurosurg*. 2002;97(4):803-810.
32. Miele V, Price K, Bloomfield S, et al. A review of intrathecal morphine therapy related granulomas. *Eur J Pain*. 2006;10(3):251-261.
33. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, et al. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: guidance and recommendations. *Pain Physician*. 2013;16(2 Suppl):S49-S283.
34. Manchikanti L, Falco FJ, Singh V, et al. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part I: introduction and general considerations. *Pain Physician*. 2013;16(2 Suppl):S1-S48.
35. McIntyre A, Mays R, Mehta S, et al. Examining the effectiveness of Intrathecal baclofen on spasticity in individuals with chronic spinal cord injury: a systemic review. *J Spinal Cord Med*. 2014;37(1):11-18.
36. Modified Ashworth scale and spasm frequency score in spinal cord injury: reliability and correlation. *Spinal Cord*. 2016;54:702-708.
37. North American Spine Society (NASS). *NASS Coverage Policy Recommendations: Intrathecal Drug Delivery Systems*. Burr Ridge, IL: North American Spine Society. March 2017.
38. Nguyen H, Garber J, Hassenbusch S. Spinal analgesics. *Anesth Clin of NA*. 2003;21(4).
39. Osenbach R, Harvey S. Neuraxial infusion in patients with chronic intractable cancer and noncancer pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2001;5(3):241-249.
40. Penn RD et al. Intrathecal baclofen for severe spinal spasticity. *N Engl J Med*. 1989;320:1517-1521.
41. Penn Spasm Frequency Scale. Shirley Ryan Ability Lab. Last updated 2013 Jan 04. <https://www.sralab.org/rehabilitation-measures/penn-spasm-frequency-scale>.
42. Perruchoud C, Dupoirion D, Papi B, Calabrese A, Brogan SE. Management of cancer-related pain with intrathecal drug delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical studies. *Neuromodulation*. 2023;26(6):1142-1152. doi:10.1016/j.neurom.2021.12.004.
43. Raffaelli W, Marconi G, Fanelli G, et al. Opioid-related side-effects after intrathecal morphine: a prospective, randomized, double-blind dose-response study. *Eur J Anaesthesiology*. 2006;23:605-610.

44. Rauck R, Wallace M, Leong M, et al; Ziconotide 301 Study Group. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of intrathecal ziconotide in adults with severe chronic pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2006;31(5):393-406.
45. Staal C, Arends A, Ho S. A self-report of quality of life of patients receiving intrathecal baclofen therapy. *Rehabil Nurs*. 2003;28(5):159-163.
46. Thimineur M, Kravitz E, Vodapally M. Intrathecal opioid treatment for chronic non-malignant pain: a 3-year prospective study. *Pain*. 2004;109(3):242-249.
47. Turner J, Sears J, Loeser J. Programmable intrathecal opioid delivery systems for chronic noncancer pain: a systematic review of effectiveness and complications. *Clin J Pain*. 2007;23(2):180-195.
48. van Hilten B, van de Beek W, Hoff J, et al. Intrathecal baclofen for the treatment of dystonia in patients with reflex sympathetic dystrophy. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343(9):625-630.
49. Waara-Wolleat K, Hildebrand K, Stewart G. A review of intrathecal fentanyl and sufentanil for the treatment of chronic pain. *Pain Med*. 2006;7:251-259.
50. Winkelmuller M, Winkelmuller W. Long-term effects of continuous intrathecal opioid treatment in chronic pain of nonmalignant etiology. *J Neurosurg*. 1996;85:458-467.
51. Yoshida G, Nelson R, Capen D, Nagelberg et al. Evaluation of continuous intraspinal narcotic analgesia for chronic pain from benign causes. *Am J Orthop*. 1996;25(10):693-694.