



CLINICAL GUIDELINES

Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines

Effective: September 1, 2026

VERSION 2.0.2026

EviCore

By ~~EVERNORTH~~

EviCore healthcare Clinical Decision Support Tool Diagnostic Strategies: This tool addresses common symptoms and symptom complexes. Imaging requests for individuals with atypical symptoms or clinical presentations that are not specifically addressed will require physician review. Consultation with the referring physician, specialist, and/or individual's Primary Care Physician (PCP) may provide additional insight.

EviCore's Clinical Review Criteria ("CRC") and related content is made available for the limited uses of: reference; and individual use, only limited to facilitating the determination of medically necessary and appropriate clinical treatment by clinicians for specific delegated patients under their care. The CRC and related content is proprietary information of EviCore, and copyrighted to the full extent of the law. Except as expressly permitted, you may not modify, copy, reproduce, republish, upload, post, transmit, hyperlink to or from, or distribute in any way the CRC, nor may you sell, transfer, distribute, assign, lease, reproduce, or otherwise use the CRC in commerce, in a manner that competes with us or infringes upon our rights, or for any public or commercial endeavor without our prior and express written consent.

CPT® (Current Procedural Terminology) is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT® five-digit codes, nomenclature and other data are copyright 2026 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. No fee schedules, basic units, relative values or related listings are included in the CPT® book. AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. AMA assumes no liability for the data contained herein or not contained herein.

Table of Contents

Guideline	Page
General Guidelines (MS-1)	3
Imaging Techniques (MS-2)	12
3D Rendering (MS-3)	16
Nuclear Medicine (MS-28)	20
Arthritis and Joint Degeneration (MS-12)	26
Capsular/Synovial and Meniscal Dysfunction (MS-29)	36
Foreign and Loose Bodies (MS-6)	41
Fractures (MS-5)	45
Infection (MS-9)	52
Joint Instability and Dysfunction (MS-30)	58
Ligament and Fascia Injury (MS-31)	64
Limb Length Discrepancy (MS-17)	71
Muscle/Tendon Unit Injuries/Diseases (MS-11)	75
Chondral/Osteochondral Lesions (MS-13)	84
Osteonecrosis/Avascular Necrosis (MS-4)	88
Osteoporosis (MS-14)	93
General Pain (MS-32)	98
Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16)	103
Tissue Growths, Masses and Cysts (MS-10)	111

General Guidelines (MS-1)

Guideline	Page
Procedure Codes Associated with Musculoskeletal Imaging (MS).....	4
General Guidelines (MS-1.0).....	8
Evidence Discussion (MS-1).....	10
References (MS-1).....	11

Procedure Codes Associated with Musculoskeletal Imaging (MS)

MS.GG.ProcedureCodes.A
v2.0.2026

MRI/MRA	CPT®
MRI Upper Extremity, other than joint, without contrast	73218
MRI Upper Extremity, other than joint, with contrast	73219
MRI Upper Extremity, other than joint, without and with contrast	73220
MRI Upper Extremity, any joint, without contrast	73221
MRI Upper Extremity, any joint, with contrast	73222
MRI Upper Extremity, any joint, without and with contrast	73223
MR Angiography Upper Extremity without or with contrast	73225
MRI Lower Extremity, other than joint, without contrast	73718
MRI Lower Extremity, other than joint, with contrast	73719
MRI Lower Extremity, other than joint, without and with contrast	73720
MRI Lower Extremity, any joint, without contrast	73721
MRI Lower Extremity, any joint, with contrast	73722
MRI Lower Extremity, any joint, without and with contrast	73723
MR Angiography Lower Extremity without or with contrast	73725
MRI Pelvis without contrast	72195
MRI Pelvis with contrast	72196

MRI/MRA	CPT®
MRI Pelvis without and with contrast	72197

CT/CTA	CPT®
CT Upper Extremity without contrast	73200
CT Upper Extremity with contrast	73201
CT Upper Extremity without and with contrast	73202
CT Angiography Upper Extremity without and with contrast	73206
CT Lower Extremity without contrast	73700
CT Lower Extremity with contrast	73701
CT Lower Extremity without and with contrast	73702
CT Angiography Lower Extremity without and with contrast	73706
CT Pelvis without contrast	72192
CT Pelvis with contrast	72193
CT Pelvis without and with contrast	72194
Bone Mineral Density CT, one or more sites, axial skeleton	77078

Ultrasound	CPT®
Ultrasound, complete joint (ie, joint space and peri-articular soft tissue structures) real-time with image documentation	76881
Ultrasound, limited, joint or other nonvascular extremity structure(s) (e.g., joint space, peri-articular tendon[s], muscle[s], nerve[s], other soft tissue structure[s], or soft tissue mass[es]), real-time with image documentation	76882

Ultrasound	CPT®
Ultrasound, pelvic (nonobstetric), real time with image documentation	76857

Nuclear Medicine	CPT®
Bone Marrow Imaging, Limited	78102
Bone Marrow Imaging, Multiple	78103
Bone Marrow Imaging, Whole Body	78104
Bone or Joint Imaging Limited	78300
Bone or Joint Imaging Multiple	78305
Bone Scan Whole Body	78306
Bone Scan 3 Phase Study	78315
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); planar, single area (e.g., head, neck, chest, pelvis), single day imaging	78800
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); planar, 2 or more areas (eg, abdomen and pelvis, head and chest), 1 or more days imaging or single area imaging over 2 or more days	78801
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); planar, whole body, single day imaging	78802
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); tomographic (SPECT), single area (e.g., head, neck, chest, pelvis), single day imaging	78803

Nuclear Medicine	CPT®
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); tomographic (SPECT) with concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT) transmission scan for anatomical review, localization and determination/detection of pathology, single area (e.g., head, neck, chest, pelvis), single day imaging	78830
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); tomographic (SPECT), minimum 2 areas (e.g., pelvis and knees, abdomen and pelvis), single day imaging, or single area imaging over 2 or more days	78831
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); tomographic (SPECT) with concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT) transmission scan for anatomical review, localization and determination/detection of pathology, minimum 2 areas (e.g., pelvis and knees, abdomen and pelvis), single day imaging, or single area imaging over 2 or more days	78832

General Guidelines (MS-1.0)

MS.GG.0001.0

v2.0.2026

General Criteria

Information regarding the purpose, development, management and review/revision of these guidelines can be found in the **Preface to the Imaging Guidelines** (the Preface). The Preface provides guidance on the clinical documentation and information that should be submitted in order to establish the medical necessity of advanced imaging. The Preface also contains information on special considerations that may be pertinent to criteria-based reviews for advanced imaging (e.g., pediatric vs. adult, gender identity, health plan policies, national or local mandates). Providers should review and be familiar with all information in the Preface.

These musculoskeletal (MSK) imaging guidelines are intended for the further investigation of non-spinal, MSK conditions or concerns (e.g., shoulder, elbow, wrist, hand, arm, pelvis, hip, knee, ankle, leg, foot). Imaging guidelines for spinal conditions or concerns are located in **Spine Imaging Guidelines**.

Before any request for advanced diagnostic imaging of musculoskeletal concerns will be considered ALL of the following must be complete and clearly documented. The following criteria apply to all requests for advanced imaging, details, or exceptions, will be provided within each specific section throughout the guideline:

- There must be an in-person clinical evaluation, as well as a clinical re-evaluation following any required conservative care as outlined throughout the guideline.
- An in-person clinical evaluation that includes a complete relevant medical history, physical examination, appropriate laboratory studies and initial non-advanced imaging (e.g., plain radiographs) should have been completed after the current episode of symptoms began. The in-person clinical evaluation may be, at least, either the initial or the re-evaluation.
 - Prior to advanced imaging consideration, the results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the provider that is requesting the advanced imaging.
- When necessary, a failed trial of provider directed conservative treatment following the initial clinical evaluation and non-advanced imaging may be required:
 - The trial of conservative care lasting at least six weeks in duration
 - A clinical re-evaluation or documentation of other meaningful contact (e.g., email, telephone, video consult) with the provider's office following the initial evaluation

- Details will be in the specific criteria sections throughout this publication regarding when conservative treatment is required.

Repeat, Serial or Multiple Studies

The need for repeat or serial advanced imaging, whether CT or MRI, should be considered carefully and may not be medically necessary. This includes serial imaging for surveillance of healing or recovery from musculoskeletal disease. In the majority of musculoskeletal conditions, the medical evidence (i.e., documentation) does not support the need for repeat imaging.

In hand and wrist advanced imaging, as well as in foot and ankle imaging, studies are frequently ordered of both areas. This is not medically necessary since wrist imaging will capture from above the wrist to the mid-metacarpal area, and ankle imaging will capture from above the ankle to the mid-metatarsal area. Only one CPT® code per area should be reported.

Health Equity Considerations

Health equity is the highest level of health for all people; health inequity is the avoidable difference in health status or distribution of health resources due to the social conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, and age. Social determinants of health are the conditions in the environment that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality of life outcomes and risks. Examples include safe housing, transportation, and neighborhoods; racism, discrimination and violence; education, job opportunities and income; access to nutritious foods and physical activity opportunities; access to clean air and water; and language and literacy skills.

Evidence Discussion (MS-1)

MS.GG.0001.0

v2.0.2026

Advanced imaging is typically not necessary for the initial evaluation of individuals with a musculoskeletal complaint. A diagnosis can often be made based on a detailed history, physical examination, appropriate laboratory tests and/or plain radiographs or initial ultrasound (US).¹⁻⁵ Many individual's complaints can improve within a few weeks to months with conservative care.

The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria recommended that x-rays, or US in a few select scenarios, as the initial imaging modality for most musculoskeletal complaints.^{1,3} These initial images can be helpful in determining if a course of conservative treatment is recommended. In some instances, the initial x-rays or US may help determine a diagnosis or that more advanced images or procedures are appropriate.⁶ If conservative treatment is unsuccessful or more advanced study is needed the initial images can be helpful in determining the next course of action.^{6,7} These initial images can be helpful in pre-operative planning when surgery is being considered.^{1,3}

Advanced imaging can often demonstrate abnormalities that have no relevance to the patient's symptoms. Studies have shown that advanced imaging of asymptomatic middle age individuals commonly demonstrate musculoskeletal abnormalities that do not affect their quality of life.⁸ Focusing on incidental findings can lead to overtreatment through unnecessary referral to medical specialists or unneeded medical procedures. Advanced imaging can have additional risks to the individual beyond those of initial plain images. There are risks associated with additional exposure to radiation. There can also be complications due to implantable devices or metallic foreign bodies in the individual. Risk mitigation needs to be weighed when advanced imaging is being considered, especially in relation to repeat or serial advanced imaging.⁹

In many instances for musculoskeletal complaints, current evidence has supported the recommendation of obtaining initial plain x-rays, or US when appropriate, and having the individual follow a course of provider directed conservative care prior to seeking advanced imaging studies.¹ The potential clinical benefit of starting conservative care and not needing advanced images or additional procedures may outweigh the potential harm of a brief delay of obtaining said imaging. It may also help to reduce the risk of unnecessary exposures to radiation/chemicals associated with the advanced imaging techniques.^{7,9}

References (MS-1)

MS.GG.0001.0**v2.0.2026**

1. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/list>. Accessed 2/18/2025.
2. Karel YH, Verkerk K, Endenburg S, Metselaar S, Verhagen AP. Effect of routine diagnostic imaging for patients with musculoskeletal disorders: A meta-analysis. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2015 Oct;26(8):585-95. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2015.06.018.
3. Garner HW, Wessell DE, Lenchik L et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Soft Tissue Masses. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69434/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Accessed 2/26/25.
4. Alfuraih AM, Almutairi FN, Alotaibi SB, Alshmrani AA. Semi-quantitative scoring of imaging modalities in detecting soft tissue foreign bodies: an in vitro study. *Acta Radiol*. 2022 Apr;63(4):474-480. doi: 10.1177/0284185121999654.
5. Davis J, Czerniski B, Au A, Adhikari S, Farrell I, Fields JM. Diagnostic Accuracy of Ultrasonography in Retained Soft Tissue Foreign Bodies: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2015 Jul;22(7):777-87. doi: 10.1111/acem.12714.
6. Cuff A, Parton S, Tyer R et al. Guidelines for the use of diagnostic imaging in musculoskeletal pain conditions affecting the lower back, knee, and shoulder: A scoping review. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2020;18(4):546-554. doi: 10.1002/msc.1497.
7. Pompan DC. Appropriate use of MRI for evaluating common musculoskeletal conditions. *Am Fam Physician*. 2011 Apr 15;83(8):883-4.
8. Schwartzberg R, Reuss BL, Burkhart BG, et al. High prevalence of superior labral tears diagnosed by MRI in middle-aged patients with asymptomatic shoulders. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2016 Jan 5;4(1):2325967115623212. doi: 10.1177/2325967115623212. PMID: 26779556; PMCID: PMC4710128.
9. Watson RE, Yu L. Safety Considerations in MRI and CT. *Continuum (Minneapolis)*. 2023 Feb 1;29(1):27-53.

Imaging Techniques (MS-2)

Guideline	Page
Imaging Techniques (MS-2).....	13

Imaging Techniques (MS-2)

MS.IM.0002.0

v2.0.2026

Plain Radiograph

Plain radiographs (x-ray) are often the initial imaging for musculoskeletal conditions. The results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the provider requesting advanced imaging, unless otherwise noted, to rule out those situations that do not often require advanced imaging, such as: osteoarthritis, acute/healing fracture, dislocation, osteomyelitis and bone tumor amenable to biopsy or radiation therapy (in known metastatic disease). Unless otherwise noted in the guidelines, x-rays should be performed before requesting advanced imaging.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is often a preferred advanced imaging modality in MSK conditions because it can be superior in visualizing the soft tissues and physiological processes happening due to an injury or medical condition. As MRI uses magnetic fields, it does not expose the individual to ionizing radiation and may be a better choice when exposure is a concern. However, individuals with implanted devices may not be able to undergo MRI.

Currently there is inadequate scientific evidence to support the necessity of positional MRI (also referred to as dynamic, weight-bearing, standing or kinetic MRI) and delayed gadolinium enhanced MRI of cartilage (dGEMRIC). These imaging modalities should be considered not medically necessary.

Computed Tomography

Computed tomography (CT) is preferred for imaging cortical bone anatomy. It is useful for studying complex fractures, dislocations, and assessing delayed union or non-union of fractures when x-rays are equivocal. CT can also be the imaging choice in individuals who cannot undergo an MRI.

Positional CT (also referred to as weight-bearing or cone beam CT) may be useful in imaging of the foot and ankle. Requests for positional CT for the foot and ankle are subject to the same condition-specific criteria as contained throughout this guideline publication. Positional CT of anatomic areas other than the foot and ankle are considered not medically necessary.

Ultrasound

Ultrasound (US) uses sound waves to produce images that can be used to evaluate a variety of musculoskeletal disorders. US is highly operator dependent and proper training and experience are required to perform consistent, high-quality evaluations.

Nuclear Medicine

A bone scan is a nuclear medicine imaging study in which an amount of radioactive material is injected, and images are obtained at different time intervals, depending on the condition. A bone scan is done to reveal problems with bone metabolism. Areas where bone cells are repairing themselves show the most activity. It can help diagnose a number of bone conditions, including cancer of the bone or metastasis, location of bone inflammation, fracture, and bone infection.

Nuclear Medicine WBC Scan is performed using radioactive material which is tagged to the white blood cells. When injected into the body, the material attaches to sites of inflammation/infection. Once distributed in these areas, the sites of suspected infection/inflammation can be seen on nuclear imaging equipment. These can be imaged as a planar study, SPECT study, or SPECT/CT study.

Bone Marrow Imaging is used in combination with a WBC Scan to help differentiate between true infection and physiological marrow uptake. The bone marrow scan provides a map of the normal physiological white cell uptake that is then compared to the white blood cell scan. Any discordance in white cell uptake (e.g., more WBC uptake than marrow uptake) between the two studies indicates a focus of infection.

Positron Emission Tomography

Positron Emission Tomography (PET/CT) is a nuclear medicine/CT fusion study that uses a positron emitting radiotracer to create cross-sectional and volumetric images based on tissue metabolism. PET/CT allows for better anatomic localization of the areas of abnormal increased tissue activity. PET/CT can be helpful for imaging certain musculoskeletal conditions when MRI or CT is equivocal or cannot be performed.

- See: **Nuclear Medicine (MS-28)** and condition specific guidelines for specific indications.

Contrast Issues

Most musculoskeletal imaging (MRI or CT) is completed without contrast media; however, contrast may be useful and will be indicated throughout the condition-specific criteria in these guidelines. Some examples may be tumors, osteomyelitis, infection, arthrograms, detection of rheumatoid arthritis. For individuals with contrast contraindication, the corresponding advanced imaging without contrast may be approved as an alternative. However, in some cases the non-contrast study may not

provide as adequate evaluation of the condition of concern and would therefore not be necessary.

3D Rendering (MS-3)

Guideline	Page
3D Rendering (MS-3.1).....	17
Evidence Discussion (MS-3).....	18
References (MS-3).....	19

3D Rendering (MS-3.1)

MS.TD.0003.1

v2.0.2026

3-D image post-processing of conventional images is medically necessary for preoperative planning when conventional imaging is insufficient for the following:

- Complex fractures (comminuted or displaced)/dislocations of any joint
- Spine fractures, pelvic/acetabulum fractures, intra-articular fractures.
- Preoperative planning for other complex surgical cases.

The code assignment for 3-D rendering depends upon whether the 3-D post-processing is performed on the scanner workstation (CPT® 76376) or on an independent workstation (CPT® 76377)

- 2-D reconstruction (i.e., reformatting axial images into the coronal plane) is considered part of the tomography procedure, is not separately reportable, and does not meet the definition of 3-D rendering.
- It is not medically necessary to report 3-D rendering in conjunction with CTA and MRA because those procedure codes already include the post-processing.
- In addition to the term "3-D," the following terms may also be used to describe 3-D post-processing:
 - Maximum intensity projection (MIP)
 - Shaded surface rendering
 - Volume rendering
- Additionally - If multiple CPT codes are performed for the same indication on the same day, one 3D rendering code is required. If they are performed on separate days, 3D rendering codes are required for each study on each day.
- The 3-D rendering codes require concurrent supervision of image post-processing 3-D manipulation of volumetric data set and image rendering.

Evidence Discussion (MS-3)

MS.TD.0003.0

v2.0.2026

In some complex clinical scenarios, initial and conventional advanced images alone may not sufficiently reveal bony displacement and other incongruities. Accurate radiographic characterization of complex fractures and injuries is important for appropriate treatment decisions. The American College of Radiology, in multiple of their Appropriateness Criteria (ACR) publications, supports the use of 3D image post-processing of conventional images of complex fractures and injuries when said images are insufficient to visualize bone displacement and/or incongruity, and further clarification will aid in treatment decisions.¹⁻³

Evidence has shown that 3D modeling can improve the accuracy of fracture classification. In a cohort study comparing the use of 2D CT images alone or combined with 3D segmentation or 3D models, both trauma surgeons and residents were more accurate with their classification of proximal humerus fractures when they used digital 3D models.⁴ In a systematic review, inter- and intra-observer agreement in proximal humeral fracture classification increased as image technology advanced from radiographs to 2D CT to 3D CT.⁵ Fracture gaps of 100µm were identified at a consistent rate of 80-100% in CT images with displacements of up to 400µm visible in digital 3D models. However, rates were dependent on CT technology and post-processing effects of rendering, thus original CT images should still be used along with any 3D rendering for verification of fractures prior to surgery.⁶

In their Appropriateness Criteria for acute trauma to the hand and wrist, the ACR supports the use of 3D rendering as a visualization tool for more accurate preoperative planning in the management of some complex surgical procedures.⁷ Additional evidence demonstrated the utility of 3D rendering in preoperative planning for various complex musculoskeletal conditions. In a retrospective study of the planning of reinforcement cages for total-hip replacement revision surgery 3D templating accurately predicted cage size in 29/27 surgeries, compared to only 15/27 using conventional 2D templating.⁸ 3D preoperative planning for reverse total shoulder arthroplasty has been shown to result in minimal deviation of the procedure from the plan with high levels of agreement in screw angle, length and prosthetic component size.⁹ In a comparison of 2D versus 3D preoperative planning in total hip arthroplasty, 3D templating was found to result in higher accuracy restoration of native joint mechanics.¹⁰

References (MS-3)

MS.TD.0003.0

v2.0.2026

1. Bartolotta R, Ha A, Bateni C et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hip Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3082587/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024. Accessed 5/13/24.
2. Chen KC, Ha AS, Bartolotto RJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Elbow and Forearm Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3195154/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024.
3. Laur O, Ha A, Bartolotta R et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Shoulder Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69433/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024. Accessed 5/13/25.
4. Dauwe J, Mys K, Putzeys G, et al. Advanced CT visualization improves the accuracy of orthopaedic trauma surgeons and residents in classifying proximal humeral fractures: a feasibility study. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2022 Dec;48(6):4523-4529. doi: 10.1007/s00068-020-01457-3.
5. Bougher H, Nagendiram A, Banks J, Hall LM, Heal C. Imaging to improve agreement for proximal humeral fracture classification in adult patient: A systematic review of quantitative studies. *J Clin Orthop Trauma*. 2020 Feb;11(Suppl 1):S16-S24. doi: 10.1016/j.jcot.2019.06.019.
6. Bittner-Frank M, Strassl A, Unger E, Hirtler L, Eckhart B, Koenigshofer M, Stoegner A, Staats K, Kainberger F, Windhager R, Moscato F, Benca E. Minimal Detectable Bone Fracture Gaps in CT Images and Digital Three-Dimensional (3D) Radii Models. *J Imaging Inform Med*. 2025 Feb;38(1):84-93. doi: 10.1007/s10278-024-01185-9.
7. Torabi M, Lenchik L, Beaman FD, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Hand and Wrist Trauma. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69418/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
8. Winter P, Fritsch E, König J, Wolf M, Landgraeber S, Orth P. Comparison of the Accuracy of 2D and 3D Templating for Revision Total Hip Replacement. *J Pers Med*. 2023 Mar 12;13(3):510. doi: 10.3390/jpm13030510.
9. Lilley BM, Lachance A, Peebles AM, Powell SN, Romeo AA, Denard PJ, Provencher CMT. What is the deviation in 3D preoperative planning software? A systematic review of concordance between plan and actual implant in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2022 May;31(5):1073-1082.
10. Fontalis A, Yasen AT, Kayani B, Luo TD, Mancino F, Magan A, Plastow R, Haddad FS. Two-Dimensional Versus Three-Dimensional Preoperative Planning in Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2024 Sep;39(9S1):S80-S87. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2024.05.054.

Nuclear Medicine (MS-28)

Guideline	Page
Nuclear Medicine (MS-28.1).....	21
Evidence Discussion (MS-28).....	24
References (MS-28).....	25

Nuclear Medicine (MS-28.1)

MS.NM.0028.1

v2.0.2026

As mentioned in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), results of plain x-rays after the current episode of symptoms started or changed must be available to the requesting provider, unless otherwise specified below, prior to advanced imaging. A trial of conservative care may also be required, as specified below.

NOTE: SPECT scan may be medically necessary for any of the same criteria for which a bone scan is medically necessary as listed in this section if:

- The request is for CPT® 78300 and CPT® 78803, then only CPT® 78803 is to be approved if medical necessity is established.
- The request is for CPT® 78305 or CPT® 78306 and CPT® 78803, then two CPT® codes may be approved if medical necessity is established.

Nuclear Medicine may be medically necessary in the evaluation of some musculoskeletal disorders, and other rare criteria as follows:

- Evaluation of suspected aseptic loosening of orthopedic prostheses when recent plain x-ray is nondiagnostic:
 - Bone scan (CPT® 78315) OR
 - Distribution Of Radiopharmaceutical Agent SPECT (CPT® 78803, or 78831) OR
 - Hybrid SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830)
 - Criteria for non-nuclear medicine imaging can be found in: **Joint Replacement Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16.1)**
- For detection of ischemic or infarcted regions in sickle cell disease:
 - Nuclear medicine bone marrow imaging (CPT® 78102, 78103, or 78104) OR
 - SPECT (CPT® 78803) OR
 - Hybrid SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830)
 - See also: **Modality General Considerations (PEDMS-1.3)**
- Evaluation of complex regional pain syndrome or reflex sympathetic dystrophy, after failure of six weeks provider-directed conservative treatment, including re-evaluation:
 - Triple phase bone scan (CPT® 78315)
 - Criteria for non-nuclear medicine imaging of CRPS of the foot can be found in: **General Pain (MS-32.1)**
- Evaluation of Paget's disease
 - Bone scan (CPT® codes: 78300, 78305, or 78306) OR
 - Distribution Of Radiopharmaceutical Agent SPECT (CPT® 78803) OR

- Hybrid SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830)
- Criteria for non-nuclear medicine imaging can be found in: **Bone Lesion (MS-10.2)**
- Suspected fractures
 - If the following criteria listed below (as also found in **Occult, Stress or Insufficiency Fracture or Reaction (MS-5.2)**) (excluding peri-prosthetic fractures) are met:
 - For suspected hip/femoral neck, tibia, pelvis/sacrum, tarsal navicular, proximal fifth metatarsal, or scaphoid occult/stress/insufficiency fractures, and suspected atypical femoral shaft fractures related to bisphosphonate use when ALL the following apply:
 - The initial evaluation, history, and physical exam fails to establish a definitive diagnosis AND
 - The initial plain x-ray findings are negative or equivocal
 - CT without contrast can be performed as an alternative to MRI for suspected occult/insufficiency fractures of the pelvis/hip and suspected atypical femoral shaft fractures related to bisphosphonate and suspected occult fractures of the scaphoid
 - Or for all other suspected occult/stress/insufficiency fractures when ANY of the following apply:
 - Initial plain x-rays obtained a minimum of 14 days after the onset of symptoms are non-diagnostic for fracture OR
 - Repeat plain x-rays remain non-diagnostic for fracture after a minimum of 10 days of provider-directed conservative treatment
 - For suspicion of shin splints, a 6-week trial of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, is also required prior to requesting advanced imaging.
 - For stress reaction surveillance or "return to play" decisions, advanced imaging is not medically necessary regarding a stress reaction identified on an initial imaging study.
 - For stress fracture follow-up imaging for "return to play" evaluation at least 3 months after the initial imaging study.
 - **And MRI cannot be performed**, the following imaging is medically necessary:
 - Tc-99m bone scan whole-body (CPT® 78306) with SPECT of the area of interest (CPT® 78803) OR
 - Hybrid SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830) OR
 - Bone scan (CPT® 78315, 78305, or 78300)
 - **Or for peri-prosthetic fractures when MRI cannot be performed**, the following imaging is medically necessary:

- Tc-99m bone scan whole-body (CPT® 78306) with SPECT of the area of interest (CPT® 78803) OR
- Hybrid SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830) OR
- Bone scan (CPT® 78315, 78305, or 78300)
- Evaluation of suspected bone infection if MRI or CT cannot be done and when infection is multifocal, or when the infection is associated with orthopedic hardware or chronic bone alterations from trauma or surgery:
 - **Note** - For suspected infection following joint replacement, joint aspiration is the initial evaluation after plain x-ray for a painful joint replacement. Joint aspiration should be negative or inconclusive prior to requesting advanced imaging.
 - Criteria for non-nuclear medicine imaging regarding specific joints post-operative from replacement surgery can be found in: **Joint Replacement Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16.1)**
 - FDG PET/CT (CPT® 78815 for multifocal infection, or CPT® 78811 for unifocal/limited area of interest) if MRI or CT is equivocal or cannot be done
 - At this time, FDG is the only indicated radiotracer for use with PET/CT in the imaging of musculoskeletal conditions
 - Bone scan (CPT® 78315, 78300, 78305, or 78306) OR
 - Distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent SPECT (CPT® 78803 or 78831) OR
 - Hybrid SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830 or 78832)
 - A labeled leukocyte scan (radiopharmaceutical inflammatory imaging - one of CPT® codes: 78800, 78801, 78802, or 78803) in concert with Tc-99m sulfur colloid marrow imaging (one of CPT® codes: 78102, 78103, or 78104)

Evidence Discussion (MS-28)

MS.NM.0028.0

v2.0.2026

Many musculoskeletal complaints can be diagnosed based on a detailed history, physical examination and initial x-rays. In more complex clinical situations, x-rays can be useful in determining whether advanced diagnostic imaging is needed and which specific advanced diagnostic imaging study is warranted. MRI or CT are typically the study of choice if x-rays are non-diagnostic or equivocal in evaluating musculoskeletal complaints. While nuclear medicine scans may demonstrate high sensitivity to certain diagnoses, the increased exposure to radiation must be considered in comparison to other advanced imaging options.^{1,2}

The American College of Radiology (ACR) has recommended the use of MRI, when possible, as the next image after x-rays for investigation of suspected infection, stress fracture and post-surgical complications following joint replacement. MRI can be as sensitive as nuclear medicine scans but also considerably more specific, while creating less radiation exposure. However, there may be situations where MRI or CT are not available, contraindicated or image results would be unclear.^{1, 3-7}

Implanted hardware, devices, or foreign objects may create image artifacts making diagnosis uncertain. In these situations, nuclear medicine scans may be the next best option in imaging. Practical planning to keep radiation exposure as low as possible must be taken into account.² Bone scans have been shown to be as sensitive to stress fracture as MRI, allowing earlier detection than may be shown on plain x-ray.³ When an alternative imaging modality to other advanced imaging is necessary to evaluate suspected infection, bone scans have demonstrated high sensitivity to osteomyelitis. However, their specificity has been shown to be limited (45%), especially when there are other underlying conditions (e.g., arthritis), recent fracture, or recent surgery.^{4,5} Bone scan may also have similar sensitivity and specificity as MRI when evaluating suspected complex regional pain syndrome, type 1 and may be useful to rule-out the diagnosis.⁸

References (MS-28)

MS.NM.0028.0**v2.0.2026**

1. Palestro C, Clark A, Grady E, Heiba S, Israel O, Klitzke A, Love C, Sathekge M, Treves ST, Yarbrough TL. Appropriate Use Criteria for the Use of Nuclear Medicine in Musculoskeletal Infection Imaging. *J Nucl Med*. 2021 Sep 30;62(12):1815–31. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.121.262579.
2. Salvatori M, Rizzo A, Rovera G, Indovina L, Schillaci O. Radiation dose in nuclear medicine: the hybrid imaging. *Radiol Med*. 2019 Aug;124(8):768-776. doi: 10.1007/s11547-019-00989-y. Epub 2019 Feb 15.
3. Morrison W, Deely D, Fox M et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Stress (Fatigue-Insufficiency) Fracture Including Sacrum Excluding Other Vertebrae. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69435/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024.
4. Baker J, Northrup B, Ahlawat S et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Suspected Osteomyelitis of the Foot in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69340/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2025.
5. Pierce JL, Perry MT, Wessell DE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094201/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
6. Walker EA, Fox MG, Blankenbaker DG, et. al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Available at: <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69430/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2023.
7. Weissman BN, Palestro CJ, Fox MG, et. al. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging. ACR Appropriateness 7. Criteria® Imaging After Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Am Coll Radiol (ACR)*; Revised: 2023. Available at: <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094200/Narrative/>.
8. Tafur M, Bencardino J, Roberts C et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Foot Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69424/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2020.

Arthritis and Joint Degeneration (MS-12)

Guideline	Page
Osteoarthritis (MS-12.1).....	27
Inflammatory Arthritis (MS-12.2).....	29
Evidence Discussion (MS-12).....	31
References (MS-12).....	34

Osteoarthritis (MS-12.1)

MS.OT.0012.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning of osteoarthritis. See specific details below regarding any requirements for conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging.

Non-surgical and surgical treatment planning, other than joint replacement

- Osteoarthritis - CT without contrast for further investigation of osteoarthritis is medically necessary when ALL of the following apply:
 - Requested for treatment planning, AND
 - Congenital or significant atypical post-traumatic arthritic deformities are identified on plain x-ray, AND
 - The aforementioned deformities require further evaluation of their clinical significance, AND
 - The request is related to the shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, or ankle
- Reconstructive joint sparing/salvage surgery planning - MRI arthrogram or CT arthrogram for joint sparing/salvage reconstructive surgery planning is medically necessary for the following:
 - Suspected concomitant rotator cuff tear of the shoulder
 - Suspected concomitant labral tear of the shoulder or hip
 - Suspected concomitant internal derangement of the knee

Joint replacement planning, non-customized-to-patient (not for intra-operative navigation)

- **Elbow, wrist, hip, knee or ankle** - CT without contrast of the elbow (CPT® 73200), wrist (CPT® 73200), hip (CPT® 73700 or 72192), knee (CPT® 73700) or ankle (CPT® 73700) for non-customized-to-patient joint replacement planning (prior to surgery/not for intra-operative navigation) is medically necessary when ALL of the following apply:
 - Initial x-rays have been performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed and results are available to the requesting provider AND
 - Congenital or significant atypical post-traumatic arthritic deformities are identified on plain x-ray AND
 - The aforementioned deformities require further evaluation of their clinical significance

- **Shoulder** - CT without contrast (CPT® 73200) and/or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221) of the shoulder for non-customized-to-patient joint replacement planning (prior to surgery/not for intra-operative navigation) is medically necessary when ALL of the following apply:
 - Initial x-rays have been performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed and results are available to the requesting provider

Joint replacement planning and intraoperative navigation, customized-to-patient

- CT without contrast or MRI without contrast of the **shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, or ankle** for customized-to-patient joint replacement surgery planning and intraoperative navigation (e.g., MAKOpasty) is medically necessary when ALL of the following apply:
 - Initial x-rays have been performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed and results are available to the requesting provider AND
 - The joint replacement surgery has been approved or does not require prior authorization
- The preoperative imaging listed above is considered not medically necessary if any of the following are deemed not medically necessary, not a covered benefit, or experimental, investigational, or unproven by the health plan:
 - Joint replacement surgery
 - Customized-to-patient implant
 - Computer assisted surgical navigation (e.g. MAKOpasty)
- See: Unlisted **Procedures/Therapy Treatment Planning (Preface-4.3)** in the Preface Imaging Guidelines

Inflammatory Arthritis (MS-12.2)

MS.OT.0012.2

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning of inflammatory arthritis (e.g., Gout, Pseudogout, Pigmented Synovitis, Rheumatoid arthritis).

Gout/Pseudogout

CT without contrast, MRI without contrast, or MRI without and with contrast of the area of interest is medically necessary for investigation of suspected gout/pseudogout when ALL of the following are met:

- Initial plain x-ray to rule out other potential disease processes
- Infection or neoplasm is in the differential diagnosis for soft-tissue tophi

Pigmented Villonodular Synovitis (PVNS)

Results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider prior to requesting advanced imaging for PVNS

MRI without contrast of the affected joint, or CT with contrast if MRI contraindicated, is medically necessary for the investigation of PVNS following plain x-rays.

Rheumatoid Arthritis

Plain x-ray, physical exam, and appropriate laboratory studies are required prior to advanced imaging for rheumatoid arthritis

- Results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider
- Examples of appropriate laboratory studies may include: Lyme titers, rheumatoid factor (RF), anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP), sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and antinuclear antibody (ANA), joint fluid analysis

US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast OR MRI without and with contrast is medically necessary for investigation of rheumatoid arthritis in the most symptomatic joint, or of the dominant hand or wrist, in ALL of the following situations:

- When diagnosis is uncertain prior to initiation of drug therapy
- To study the effects of treatment with disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy

- To identify seronegative RA individuals that might benefit from early DMARD therapy
- To determine change in treatment, such as:
 - Switching from standard DMARD therapy to tumor necrosis factor (TNF) therapy.
 - Changing to a different TNF drug therapy, then one MRI (contrast as requested) of a single joint can be performed.
 - Addition of other treatments, including joint injections
- MRI or US is not medically necessary for routine follow-up of treatment

Evidence Discussion (MS-12)

MS.OT.0012.0

v2.0.2026

Current ACR appropriateness criteria for chronic pain in the shoulder, knee, and hip all recommended plain x-ray as the initial image of choice when investigating suspected osteoarthritis (OA).¹⁻³ Advanced imaging is typically not necessary for the initial evaluation of OA, a diagnosis can be made based on history, physical exam and plain x-rays.⁴ For most individuals diagnosed with OA, treatment does not rely on advanced imaging findings, and many can improve with conservative care.⁵⁻⁸

When individuals with OA poorly respond to conservative care or there is a concern for concomitant joint pathology, advanced imaging may be able to identify additional sources of symptoms.⁹ MRI is generally considered the next appropriate imaging study for chronic joint pain when x-rays are inconclusive or further investigation is warranted. CT may be appropriate in specific situations to provide additional bony detail for treatment planning or when MRI is contraindicated.¹⁻³

Plain x-rays are typically sufficient for preoperative planning for the majority of patients undergoing joint replacement surgery. However, for those with congenital or significant atypical post-traumatic arthritic deformities, CT scan can be of value for further evaluation/planning.¹⁰ Advanced imaging will also be required prior to the replacement surgery if there will be the use of custom implants, patient specific instrumentation or computer assisted navigation.¹¹

The American College of Radiology (ACR) Appropriateness Criteria for Chronic Extremity Joint Pain-Suspected Inflammatory Arthritis, Crystalline Arthritis, or Erosive Osteoarthritis (revised 2022) has recommended plain radiography as the initial imaging study for chronic extremity joint pain where crystalline arthritis is suspected.¹² X-ray sensitivity can be lower than other imaging modalities, however they may contain sufficient findings for the diagnosis of gout or calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease (CPPD). The benefits to using x-rays as an initial imaging study is to potentially avoid the need for further testing, treatment delays. Early onset gout/pseudogout may not be evident on any imaging, so reliance on a complete clinical history, evaluation and synovial fluid testing is important in any initial examination when gout/pseudogout is suspected.¹³

Advanced imaging is typically not required for the evaluation of patients with suspected crystalline arthropathy. The presence of monosodium urate crystals or calcium pyrophosphate crystals on synovial fluid microscopy can be definitive of a diagnosis of gout. However, additional imaging can be helpful in the evaluation of an individual's symptoms when differential diagnoses are suspected.¹³

Significant advances in imaging have made the visualization of gout/pseudogout much better than in previous years, especially when using US and CT technology. The 2018 EULAR recommendations stated that US offers the best potential for diagnosis of gout and dual-energy CT is showing great promise in its utility when compared to other imaging options.¹³ Research since those recommendations have added more support that US and dual-energy CT are modalities of choice. A small cross-sectional study demonstrated that US is more specific in detecting early stage gout and that both US and CT have similar accuracy for middle and late stage disease identification.¹⁴ A systematic review in 2022 of 28 studies determined that the sensitivity and specificity for US to detect gout was 84% and 84% respectively for both early and late staged, and for dual-energy CT to be 89% and 91% for chronic gout (75% and 85% for earlier staged disease).¹⁵ A 2019 meta-analysis found that dual-energy CT was only less sensitive for as early as 6 weeks since the start of symptoms.¹⁶ In 2018, two systematic reviews analyzing the accuracy of and comparing dual energy CT other imaging modalities concluded it was better than x-ray or normal CT and equal to US in diagnosing gout.^{17,18} There is limited evidence to lend any support of the utility of other advanced image options in the investigation of gout/pseudogout. The most recent ACR recommended that CT with contrast or MRI of any method are usually not appropriate when investigating gout/pseudogout.¹² The 2018 EULAR recommendations are similar, that while MRI has some ability to detect crystalline deposit there is limited research in the diagnostic utility of the images, especially when compared to other imaging options.¹³ The utility of MRI may change as research and technology continue to advance.

The ACR Appropriateness Criteria for Chronic Extremity Joint Pain-Suspected Inflammatory Arthritis, Crystalline Arthritis, or Erosive Osteoarthritis (revised 2022) recommended plain radiography as the initial imaging study for chronic extremity joint pain where Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is suspect.¹² Clinical and laboratory assessment of RA remains the cornerstone of diagnosis and determining a response to treatment.¹⁹ Inconclusive or non-diagnostic imaging results can be further evaluated with advanced imaging. MRI allows assessment of all structures as well as bone edema and baseline bone edema on low and high field MRI in patients with early RA is predictive of future radiographic damage.²⁰ Joints and bones in the hand are often affected in RA and assessing changes in these joints can help in therapy monitoring.²¹ MRI and US play important roles in detecting subclinical disease in patients with inflammatory arthritis. These modalities have higher sensitivity in detecting subclinical synovitis, tenosynovitis, osteitis, and early erosive disease compared with physical exam and xray, therefore useful in early diagnosis and evaluating response to treatment.²²

Investigation of Pigmented Villonodular Synovitis (PVNS) may be further aided with advanced imaging. Radiographs are non-specific and may appear normal 20% of the time but joint effusion, soft-tissue swelling, extrinsic erosion of bone, absence of calcification, preservation of joint space, and/or normal bone mineralization may be seen

in diffuse intraarticular PVNS. Localized form may appear normal on plain radiographs.²³ MRI may be necessary for diagnosis.²⁴ A retrospective review of 122 histologically confirmed PVNS cases demonstrated that MRI was helpful in obtaining a correct diagnosis 83% of the time.²⁵ MRI is also optimal for demonstrating the relationship of extraarticular lesions to the tendon sheath to suggest the diagnosis.²³

References (MS-12)

MS.OT.0012.0

v2.0.2026

1. Nancy N, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Shoulder Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101482/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revision 2022.
2. Fox M, Chang E, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Knee Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69432/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
3. Jawetz S, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Hip Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69425/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
4. Henry-Blake C, Marshall M, Treadwell K, Parmar S, Higgs J, Edwards JJ, Peat G. The use of plain radiography in diagnosing osteoarthritis: A systematic review and time trend analysis. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2023 Jun;21(2):462-477. doi: 10.1002/msc.1718.
5. Brophy RH, Fillingham YA. AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline Summary. Management of osteoarthritis of the knee (nonarthroplasty), third ed. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2022;30:e721-e729. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-21-01233.
6. Van Doormaal MCM, Meerhoff GA, Vliet Vlieland TPM, Peter WF. A clinical Practice guideline for physical therapy in patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2020;18:575-595. doi: 10.1002/msc.1492.
7. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guidelin for the Non-surgical management of Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis 2020. US Department of Veterans Affairs/US Department of Defense.
8. Michener LA, Heitzman J, Abbruzzese LD, Bondoc SL, Bowne K, Henning PT, Kosakowski H, Leggin BG, Lucado AM, Seitz AL. Physical Therapist Management of Glenohumeral Joint Osteoarthritis: A Clinical Practice Guideline from the American Physical Therapy Association. *Phys Ther*. 2023 Jun 5;103(6):pzad041. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzad041.
9. Deshpande BR, Losina E, Smith SR, Martin SD, Wright RJ, Katz JN. Association of MRI findings and expert diagnosis of symptomatic meniscal tear among middle-aged and older adults with knee pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2016 Apr 11;17:154.
10. Shi XT, Li CF, Cheng CM, Feng CY, Li SX, Liu JG. Preoperative Planning for Total Hip Arthroplasty for Neglected Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip. *Orthop Surg*. 2019 Jun;11(3):348-355.
11. O'Connor MI, Kransdorf MJ. Customized knee arthroplasty and the role of preoperative imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2013 Sep;201(3):W443-50.
12. Subhas N, Wu F, Fox MG, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Chronic Extremity Joint Pain-Suspected Inflammatory Arthritis, Crystalline Arthritis, or Erosive Osteoarthritis. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3097211/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
13. Richette P, Doherty M, Pascual E et al. 2018 updated European League Against Rheumatism evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of gout. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2020;79(1):31-38. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215315.
14. Zhang B, Yang M, Wang H. Diagnostic value of ultrasound versus dual-energy computed tomography in patients with different stages of acute gouty arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2020;39:1649-53.
15. Shang J, Zhou LP, Wang H, Liu B. Diagnostic Performance of Dual-energy CT Versus Ultrasonography in Gout: A Meta-analysis. *Acad Radiol*. 2022 Jan;29(1):56-68. doi: 10.1016/j.acra.2020.08.030.
16. Gamala M, Jacobs JW, van Laar JM. The diagnostic performance of dual energy CT for diagnosing gout: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2019;58:2117-21.
17. Ramon A, Bohm-Sigraand A, Pottecher P, Richette P, Maillefert JF, Devilliers H, Ornetti P. Role of dual-energy CT in the diagnosis and follow-up of gout: systematic analysis of the literature. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2018 Mar;37(3):587-595. doi: 10.1007/s10067-017-3976-z.
18. Yu Z, Mao T, Xu Y, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of dual-energy CT in gout: a systematic review and meta analysis. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2018;47:1587-93.
19. Kgoebane K, Ally MMTM, Duim-Beytell MC, Suleman FE. The role of imaging in rheumatoid arthritis. *S Afr J Rad*. 2018; 22(1), a1316. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajr.v22i1.1316>.

20. Cohen SB, Potter H, Deodhar A, et al. Extremity magnetic resonance imaging in rheumatoid arthritis: updated literature review. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2011;63(5):660-665. doi:10.1002/acr.20413.
21. Schleich C, Buchbender C, Sewerin P, Miese F, Aissa J, Brinks R, Evaluation of a simplified version of the Rheumatoid Arthritis Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (RAMRIS) comprising 5 joints (RAMRIS). *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2015; 33(2): 209-215.
22. Teslev L, Ostergaard M. doi: 10.2147/JIR.S284405. Rheumatoid Arthritis Relapse and Remission - Advancing Our Predictive Capability Using Modern Imaging. *eCollection J Inflamm Res*. 2021 Jun 16;14:2547-2555.
23. Murphey MD, Rhee JH, Lewis RB, et al. Pigmented villonodular synovitis: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Radiographics*. 2008;28:1493-1518. doi:10.1148/rg.285085134.
24. Gouin F, Noailles T. Localized and diffuse forms of tenosynovial giant cell tumor (formerly giant cell tumor of the tendon sheath and pigmented villonodular synovitis). *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2017 Feb;103(1S):S91-S97. doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2016.11.002.
25. Ottaviani S, Ayrat X, Dougados M, Gossec L. Pigmented villonodular synovitis: a retrospective single-center study of 122 cases and review of the literature. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2011 Jun;40(6):539-46. doi: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2010.07.005.

Capsular/Synovial and Meniscal Dysfunction (MS-29)

Guideline	Page
Capsular/Synovial and Meniscal Dysfunction (MS-29.1).....	37
Evidence Discussion (MS-29).....	39
References (MS-29).....	40

Capsular/Synovial and Meniscal Dysfunction (MS-29.1)

MS.CS.0029.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning for capsular and/or synovial dysfunction. See specific details below regarding any requirements for conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging.

- Initial plain x-ray imaging of the suspected area must first be performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed and results available to the requesting provider.
- Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started, **EXCEPT** as noted below

When the above criteria has been met, the following advanced imaging is medically necessary:

- **Shoulder**
 - Labral tear or dysfunction (e.g. SLAP, ALPSA, HAGL) – CT with contrast (CPT®73201), MRI without contrast (CPT®73221), or MRI with contrast (CPT®73222).
 - Adhesive capsulitis (frozen shoulder) – MRI without contrast (CPT®73221)
- **Hip**
 - Labral tear or dysfunction - CT with contrast (CPT® 73701), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), or MRI with contrast (CPT® 73722)
- **Knee**
 - Hemarthrosis, non-traumatic or spontaneous – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - **trial of conservative care not required**
 - Hemarthrosis, traumatic – see specific section related to the tissue trauma (e.g., fracture, joint stability, ligament, osteochondral injury, etc)
 - Meniscus tear - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), or CT with contrast (CPT® 73701) if MRI cannot be performed, is medically necessary for further investigation of suspected meniscal tear when all of the following have been met:
 - Plain x-rays have been performed after the beginning of the current episode of symptoms started

- Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started OR at least 2 of the following 4 criteria met:
 - positive McMurray's, Thessaly, or Apley's compression test
 - twisting or acute injury of the knee
 - locked knee: inability to fully extend knee on exam in comparison to the opposite knee
 - knee effusion
- For degenerative meniscal tear - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) is medically necessary for further investigation of suspected degenerative meniscus tear in an individual with osteoarthritis when all of the following have been met:
 - Plain x-rays have been performed after the beginning of the current episode of symptoms started
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started
- Synovial Plica or Medial Shelf – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)

Evidence Discussion (MS-29)

MS.CS.0029.0

v2.0.2026

Advanced imaging of synovial joint symptoms is typically not recommended as an initial diagnostic study. Research has demonstrated that asymptomatic individuals may commonly have tears or other findings on advanced images of capsular and synovial tissues (e.g., labrum, capsule, synovial lining).^{1,2} Abnormal findings could lead to a cascade of unnecessary treatment or even surgery as asymptomatic tissues typically do not require any treatment. The American College of Radiology (ACR) Appropriateness criteria recommended that plain x-ray is the initial imaging study for investigation of joint pain.³⁻⁶ When initial x-rays are inconclusive or conservative care does not result in amelioration more advanced images may be necessary.

Both CT and MRI technology, when necessary, may be useful for further investigation of labral tear at either the shoulder or hip. MR arthrography is considered the standard for labral imaging due to its high sensitivity and improved soft tissue contrast. However, there may be times, such as with acute trauma, effusion and pain, that MRI without contrast is preferred.^{3,5} MRI without contrast can show if capsular thickening is present in the investigation of suspected adhesive capsulitis at the shoulder. It has a relatively high sensitivity for detection of adhesive capsulitis. The introduction of contrast fluid within the rotator interval or along the axillary recess can create limitations in detecting findings of adhesive capsulitis, for this reason MRA is not recommended as next imaging when investigating adhesive capsulitis.⁴

When additional investigation of knee pain is appropriate following initial x-rays and possible conservative care, MRI without contrast is the recommended next image for suspicion of capsular or synovial pathology. MRI has been demonstrated to show the presence of medial plica.⁶ Further evaluation of suspected hemarthrosis may involve the use of advanced imaging to help identify the cause of bleeding, especially in recurring cases.^{7,8}

References (MS-29)

MS.CS.0029.0**v2.0.2026**

1. Schwartzberg R, Reuss BL, Burkhart BG, et al. High prevalence of superior labral tears diagnosed by MRI in middle-aged patients with asymptomatic shoulders. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2016;4(1).
2. Vahedi H, Aalirezai A, Azboy I, Daryoush T, Shahi A, Parvizi J. Acetabular Labral Tears Are Common in Asymptomatic Contralateral Hips With Femoroacetabular Impingement. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2019 May;477(5):974-979.
3. Laur O, Ha A, Bartolotta R et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Shoulder Pain Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69433/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
4. Nancy N, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Shoulder Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101482/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revision 2022.
5. Jawetz S, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Hip Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69425/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
6. Fox M, Chang E, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Knee Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69432/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
7. Sasho T, Ogino S, Tsuruoka H, et al. Spontaneous Recurrent Hemarthrosis of the Knee in the Elderly: Arthroscopic Treatment and Etiology. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery.* 2008;24(9):1027-1033.
8. van Vulpen LFD, Holstein K, Martinoli C. Joint disease in haemophilia: Pathophysiology, pain and imaging. *Haemophilia.* 2018;24 Suppl 6:44-49. doi:10.1111/hae.13449.

Foreign and Loose Bodies (MS-6)

Guideline	Page
Foreign and Loose Bodies (MS-6.1)	42
Evidence Discussion (MS-6).....	43
References (MS-6).....	44

Foreign and Loose Bodies (MS-6.1)

MS.FB.0006.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning.

Foreign Body

US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), CT without contrast or MRI without contrast or MRI without and with contrast for further investigation of foreign bodies is medically necessary after plain x-rays have ruled out the presence of radiopaque foreign bodies as follows:

- US is the preferred imaging for radiolucent foreign bodies (e.g., wood, plastic)
- CT without contrast is recommended when plain x-rays are negative and a radiopaque foreign body is still suspected
- MRI without and with contrast is an alternative to US and CT for assessing the extent of infection associated with a suspected foreign body

Loose Body

When the initial x-rays have been taken and the results are available to the provider, advanced imaging of symptomatic loose bodies in a joint is medically necessary as follows:

- **Shoulder** - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
- **Elbow** - CT without contrast (CPT® 73200), CT with contrast (CPT® 73201), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221) or MRI with contrast (CPT® 73222)
- **Hip** - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
- **Knee** - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT Knee with contrast (arthrogram) (CPT® 73701) if MRI cannot be performed
- **Ankle** - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)

Evidence Discussion (MS-6)

MS.FB.0006.0

v2.0.2026

Initial x-rays are recommended as a first imaging examination following acute trauma or when evaluating infection of the musculoskeletal system and there is suspicion of foreign objects or loose bodies.¹⁻³ X-rays are highly sensitive to embedded radiopaque materials, especially metals. X-rays provide an excellent overview of the anatomic area of interest allowing for a better overall assessment of symptoms.⁴

When a foreign body is not visualized on x-rays, ultrasound may be helpful to further evaluation.¹⁻³ Ultrasound has high sensitivity and specificity in detecting radiolucent objects like wood, and plastic. It has also been demonstrated as useful in detecting glass and metal.⁴⁻⁶ Ultrasound is widely available, accessible, and does not involve ionizing radiation. Ultrasound can also help to evaluate complications of foreign body such as infections and vascular or tendon injuries. Ultrasound does have limitations, such as, visualization will be limited to more superficial tissue depths and variability of the technology available during the assessment may limit image quality.^{5,6}

More advanced imaging may be necessary when suspected bodies are out of the range of ultrasound or are not clearly visualized on x-ray and ultrasound. CT has been shown as useful in detecting wood, aluminum, copper and some glass.⁵ MRI is recommended when there is suspicion of infection or soft tissue and osseous change in relation to a foreign or loose body.³ MRI is better than CT in the assessment of infection, however it was found to be less sensitive to actually visualizing a foreign body. There may also be image artifacts on an MRI if there are metallic foreign bodies.^{4,5}

References (MS-6)

MS.FB.0006.0**v2.0.2026**

1. Torabi M, Lenchick L, Beaman F. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hand and Wrist Trauma. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69418/Narrative/>. Revised 2018.
2. Gorbachova T, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the Foot. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70546/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2019.
3. Pierce J, Perry M, Wessell D. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Suspect Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094201/Narrative/>. Revised 2022.
4. Jarraya M, Hayashi D, de Villiers RV, et al. Multimodality imaging of foreign bodies of the musculoskeletal system. (*AJR*) *Am J Roentgenol*. 2014;203(1):W92-102. doi:10.2214/AJR.13.11743.
5. Alfuraih AM, Almutairi FN, Alotaibi SB, Alshmrani AA. Semi-quantitative scoring of imaging modalities in detecting soft tissue foreign bodies: an in vitro study. *Acta Radiol*. 2022 Apr;63(4):474-480. doi: 10.1177/0284185121999654.
6. Davis J, Czerniski B, Au A, Adhikari S, Farrell I, Fields JM. Diagnostic Accuracy of Ultrasonography in Retained Soft Tissue Foreign Bodies: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2015 Jul;22(7):777-87. doi: 10.1111/acem.12714.

Fractures (MS-5)

Guideline	Page
Acute Fractures (MS-5.1).....	46
Occult, Stress, Insufficiency Fracture or Reaction (MS-5.2).....	47
Other Indications (e.g., Delayed Union, Preoperative) (MS-5.3).....	49
Evidence Discussion (MS-5).....	50
References (MS-5).....	51

Acute Fractures (MS-5.1)

MS.FX.0005.1**v2.0.2026**

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning.

CT or MRI without contrast of the area of interest is medically necessary for the investigation of suspected acute complex fracture when ANY of the following apply:

- The initial plain x-ray findings demonstrate a complex (comminuted or displaced) fracture with or without dislocation
 - CT is preferred unless there is a known or suspected association of the fracture with neoplastic disease or other contraindication of CT imaging. If CT is contraindicated, then MRI without/with contrast is preferred
- Preoperative planning - Refer to **3D Rendering (MS-3.1)** for medical necessity criteria of 3D processing

For suspected Lisfranc (tarsometatarsal) fracture (with or without dislocation), CT or MRI without contrast of the foot is medically necessary for further investigation when the following apply:

- Initial plain x-rays have been obtained and results are available to the ordering provider
- For suspicion of Lisfranc dislocation, or ligament, injury (without fracture) see: **Joint Instability and Dysfunction (MS-30.1)** for dislocation or **Ligament and Fascia Injury (MS-31.1)** for ligament injury

For osteochondral fracture or osteochondral injury, see: **Osteochondral Lesions and Fractures (MS-13.1)**

Occult, Stress, Insufficiency Fracture or Reaction (MS-5.2)

MS.FX.0005.2

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning. See specific details below regarding any requirements for conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging.

MRI without contrast is medically necessary for suspected **hip/femoral neck, tibia, pelvis/sacrum, tarsal navicular, proximal fifth metatarsal, or scaphoid** occult/stress/insufficiency fractures, and suspected **atypical femoral shaft** fractures **related to bisphosphonate use** when ALL of the following apply:

- The initial evaluation, history, and physical exam fails to establish a definitive diagnosis AND
- The initial plain x-ray findings are negative or equivocal
- CT without contrast can be performed as an alternative to MRI for suspected occult/insufficiency fractures of the pelvis/hip and suspected atypical femoral shaft fractures related to bisphosphonate and suspected occult fractures of the scaphoid

CT or MRI without contrast of the area is medically necessary for the investigation of all other suspected occult/stress/insufficiency fractures when ANY of the following apply, except as otherwise noted:

- Initial plain x-rays obtained a minimum of 14 days after the onset of symptoms are non-diagnostic for fracture OR
- Repeat plain x-rays remain non-diagnostic for fracture after a minimum of 10 days of provider-directed conservative treatment
- **For Tarsal navicular stress/occult fracture** - MRI Foot without contrast (CPT® 73718)
 - CT Foot without contrast (CPT® 73700) for follow-up of healing fractures
 - X-ray results are available to the requesting provider
- **Note** - When MRI cannot be performed to investigate suspected fractures see: **Nuclear Medicine (MS-28.1)**

MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718) is medically necessary for suspicion of shin splints when both of the following are met:

- Initial plain x-ray results are available to the requesting provider AND

- Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started

For **stress fracture follow-up** imaging for "return to play" evaluation at least 3 months after the initial imaging study, MRI without contrast of the area of interest is medically necessary

For **stress reaction surveillance** or "return to play" decisions, advanced imaging is not medically necessary regarding a stress reaction identified on an initial imaging study.

Periprosthetic fractures related to joint replacement see: **Joint Replacement Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16.1)**

Other Indications (e.g., Delayed Union, Preoperative) (MS-5.3)

MS.FX.0005.3**v2.0.2026**

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning.

CT or MRI without contrast after recent (within 30 days) plain x-ray if ONE of the following is present:

- Concern for delayed union or non-union of fracture, osteotomy, or joint fusions
- Part of preoperative evaluation for a planned surgery of a complex fracture with or without dislocation

Evidence Discussion (MS-5)

MS.FX.0005.0

v2.0.2026

It is widely accepted that the initial imaging for a patient with a suspected fracture should be plain radiographs. The American College of Radiology (ACR) has recommended plain x-ray as the initial image for a majority of both acute and chronic musculoskeletal pain situations, including suspected fractures.¹ When the initial x-rays are negative or unclear, yet fracture is still suspected the ACR has recommended CT as the next method of investigation as it can provide detailed information on bony structure and allow for further evaluation and treatment planning.¹ MRI may be an alternative image to CT in a situation where CT is contraindicated or there has been complex and/or extensive trauma and surrounding tissues also need to be evaluated.²⁻⁴

The American College of Radiology also recommended that x-rays should be the initial imaging for a suspected stress fracture. Initial x-rays may not identify the fracture and if there is continued suspicion repeat x-ray imaging in 10 - 14 days is supported.⁵ Repeat radiographs may show osseous reaction confirming the presence of an occult or stress fracture. However, if repeat x-rays remain negative and there is still suspicion of an occult or stress fracture, MRI is recommended as it has been shown to be the most sensitive and specific imaging modality for workup of suspected stress injuries.^{5,6} In addition, there exists a subset of occult/stress/insufficiency fractures that have an increased risk of fracture progression, delayed healing, non-union and avascular necrosis. For these high-risk injuries, advanced imaging is recommended if initial x-rays are negative or indeterminate as these injuries require early diagnosis and immediate treatment.^{5,7,8}

The evaluation of patients with chronic stress syndromes (e.g., shin splints) includes a detailed history, physical examination and plain x-rays.⁵ Many individuals can improve with conservative care. If the individual fails to respond to an adequate trial of conservative care, MRI is recommended as the next imaging option for further investigation.⁹

For the assessment of bony healing, serial x-ray imaging is usually sufficient. However, if there are still concerns for delayed union or non-union, CT scanning can provide detail as to the presence or absence of bridging callus. MRI can also assist in the evaluation of bone healing.

References (MS-5)

MS.FX.0005.0**v2.0.2026**

1. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/list> . Accessed 6/9/25
2. Bartolotta R, Ha A, Bateni C et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hip Pain. Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3082587/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
3. Laur O, Ha A Bartolotta R et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Shoulder Pain, Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69433/Narrative/> . American College of Radiology.
4. Taljanovic M, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the knee. Revised 2019. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
5. Morrison WB, Deely D, Fox MG, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Stress (Fatigue-Insufficiency) Fracture including Sacrum Excluding Other Vertebrae. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69435/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024.
6. Wright AA, Hegedus EJ, Lenchik L, Kuhn KJ, Santiago L, Smoliga JM. Diagnostic Accuracy of Various Imaging Modalities for Suspected Lower Extremity Stress Fractures: A Systematic Review With Evidence-Based Recommendations for Clinical Practice. *Am J Sports Med*. 2016 Jan;44(1):255-63.
7. McInnis KC, Ramey LN. High-Risk Stress Fractures: Diagnosis and Management. *PM R*. 2016 Mar;8(3 Suppl):S113-24
8. Blood T, Feller RJ, Cohen E, Born CT, Hayda R. Atypical fractures of the femur: Evaluation and treatment. *JBJS Reviews*. 2015 Mar 3;3:3.
9. Galbraith RM, Lavalley ME. Medial tibial stress syndrome: conservative treatment options. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. 2009 Oct 7;2(3):127-33.

Infection (MS-9)

Guideline	Page
General Infection/Osteomyelitis (MS-9.1)	53
Charcot and Septic Joint (MS-9.2)	54
Evidence Discussion (MS-9)	56
References (MS-9)	57

General Infection/Osteomyelitis (MS-9.1)

MS.OI.0009.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning.

US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast, MRI without and with contrast, CT without contrast, or CT with contrast of the affected area for further investigation of infection is medically necessary after plain x-ray(s) have been obtained in the following scenarios:

- Plain x-ray(s) do not demonstrate infection, AND
- Plain x-ray(s) do not suggest alternative diagnoses such as neuropathic arthropathy (see Charcot Joint (MS-9.2)) or fracture, AND
- Soft tissue or bone infection (osteomyelitis) is suspected

OR

- Plain x-ray(s) are positive for infection, AND
- The extent of infection into the soft tissues and any skip lesions require evaluation

For Individuals with **diabetic foot infections** after plain x-rays - as a compliment to plain x-ray - MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73720), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718), CT without contrast (CPT® 73700) or CT with contrast (CPT® 73701)

For individuals with suspected spinal infections please use the spine imaging guidelines, see: **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**

For nuclear medicine studies appropriate in specific scenarios, see: **Nuclear Medicine (MS-28)**

Charcot and Septic Joint (MS-9.2)

MS.OI.0009.2

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning. See specific details below regarding any requirements for conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging.

Charcot Joint

Following the initial x-ray and the failure of the required 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started, the following advanced imaging is medically necessary for the investigation of suspected Charcot joint:

- Charcot ankle - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
- Charcot foot - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718) or MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73720)

Septic Joint

MRI without and with contrast, MRI without contrast, CT without contrast, CT with contrast, or Ultrasound (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) of the affected joint for further investigation of joint sepsis is medically necessary when **standard or image-guided arthrocentesis is contraindicated, unsuccessful, or non-diagnostic**, and the clinical documentation satisfies ALL of the following criteria:

- History and physical examination findings [One of the following]:
 - Development of an acutely hot and swollen joint (< 2 weeks)
 - Decreased range of motion due to pain
 - Documented fever
- Laboratory tests [One of the following]:
 - Leukocytosis
 - Elevated ESR or C-reactive protein
 - Analysis of the joint fluid is non-diagnostic
- Plain x-ray of the joint - Results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider

MRI without and with contrast, MRI without contrast, CT without contrast, or CT with contrast of the affected joint to evaluate the extent of infection into the soft tissues and any skip lesions that would require evaluation is medically necessary after plain x-rays if

the arthrocentesis is diagnostic and if there is a confirmed septic joint. Results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider.

For the criteria for further investigation of post-operative joint replacement septic infection please see joint specific criteria in **Joint Replacement Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16.1)**

Evidence Discussion (MS-9)

MS.OI.0009.0

v2.0.2026

The American College of Radiology (ACR) has recommended that x-rays should be used for the initial evaluation of musculoskeletal infections, including osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, and soft tissue infection.¹ They also recommended x-rays as the initial image for the evaluation of feet in individuals with Diabetes Mellitus, which often present with symptoms consistent with infection.² Initial radiographs can provide an excellent overview of the anatomic area of interest and can help to exclude other causes of swelling or pain.³ Radiographs can help with the interpretation of future imaging studies such as CT, MRI, US, and nuclear medicine scans.

The clinical presentation of a suspected infected area or joint has a wide differential diagnosis. Infection is primarily a clinical diagnosis based on physical examination and laboratory testing of blood and/or synovial fluid, which may require prompt arthrocentesis and culture.³⁻⁵ In select situations when a full examination and initial imaging is unclear, advanced imaging may be necessary to better visual the extent of suspected infection and associated effusion or other tissue damage or injury.^{3,4}

US, MRI, or CT can be appropriate as the next imaging study for suspected septic arthritis, soft tissue infection, or osteomyelitis following normal radiographs.^{1,2} MRI is often the next image of choice for suspect musculoskeletal infection due to its superior contrast resolution of soft tissues which can be helpful in detecting the spread of infection.^{3,4,6} They may also be helpful to evaluate the adjacent soft tissues for infection. MRI is also recommended as the next image for evaluation of suspected Charcot joint of the foot. Charcot joints often present with complex symptoms including infection and potential ulceration that may be best visualized with MRI.² US can be helpful in detecting effusion and for guiding aspiration for more superficial areas in question.^{3,7} CT may also be appropriate in situations when MRI is contraindicated, such as in areas with implanted metal or suspected foreign metal, or MRI is unavailable.^{3,4}

References (MS-9)

MS.OI.0009.0**v2.0.2026**

1. Pierce JL, Perry MT, Wessel DE, et. al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). Available at: <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094201/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
2. Baker J, Northrup B, Ahlawat S et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Suspected Osteomyelitis of the Foot in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus.Revised 2025. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69340/Narrative/> . American College of Radiology.
3. Benito N, Martínez-Pastor JC, Lora-Tamayo J et al. Executive summary: Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of septic arthritis in adults and children, developed by the GEIO (SEIMC), SEIP and SECOT. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin (Engl Ed)*. 2024 Apr;42(4):208-214. doi: 10.1016/j.eimce.2023.07.007.
4. Ravn C, Neyt J, Benito N, Abreu MA, et al. The Sanjo Guideline Group. Guideline for management of septic arthritis in native joints (SANJO). *J Bone Jt Infect*. 2023 Jan 12;8(1):29-37. doi: 10.5194/jbji-8-29-2023.
5. Chan BY, Crawford AM, Kobes PH, et al. Septic Arthritis: An Evidence-Based Review of Diagnosis and Image Guided Aspiration. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2020;215:568-81.
6. Simpfendorfer CS. Radiologic Approach to Musculoskeletal Infections. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 2017;31:299-324.
7. Porrino J, Richardson ML, Flaherty E, et al. Septic Arthritis and Joint Aspiration: The Radiologist's Role in Image-Guided Aspiration for Suspected Septic Arthritis. *Semin Roentgenol*. 2019;54:177-89 t

Joint Instability and Dysfunction (MS-30)

Guideline	Page
Joint Instability and Dysfunction (MS-30.1).....	59
Evidence Discussion (MS-30).....	62
References (MS-30).....	63

Joint Instability and Dysfunction (MS-30.1)

MS.IN.0030.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required, **EXCEPT** for situations specifically listed as not required in this section, prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning. See specific details throughout the section regarding any requirements for when conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging is required.

- Initial x-rays must have been performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed and the results are available to the requesting provider

Advanced imaging for further investigation of joint instability and/or articular dysfunction is medically necessary as follows:

- **Shoulder:**

- Glenohumeral dislocation/subluxation and/or Bankart or Hill-Sachs lesion – MRI with contrast (CPT®73222), MRI without contrast (CPT®73221), CT with contrast (CPT®73201) or CT without contrast (CPT®73200)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required in individuals over age 40 with a first time dislocation
 - Conservative treatment is not required for individuals 40 years of age or younger with a first-time dislocation, and individuals with recurrent dislocations
- Acromioclavicular dislocation/separation when ruling out possible rotator cuff tear – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
- Sternoclavicular (SC) dislocation/separation
 - **Initial x-rays are not required**
 - For evident or suspected SC dislocations - CT chest without contrast (CPT® 71250) or CT chest with contrast (CPT® 71260)
 - For differentiating physeal injury from SC dislocation in individuals 25 years of age or younger OR planning for operative repair - MRI chest without contrast (CPT® 71550) or MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 71552)
 - Proximal 1/3rd (one-third) clavicle fracture – CT chest with contrast (CPT® 71260), CT chest without contrast (CPT® 71250), MRI chest without contrast or MRI chest without and with contrast (CPT® 71552)

- **Wrist:**

- Distal Radioulnar joint instability – CT of both wrists, pronated and supinated positions, without contrast (CPT® 73200)
- **Pelvis:**
 - Osteitis Pubis/ Symphysis Pubis Diastasis – MRI without contrast (CPT® 72195)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Hip:**
 - Femoroacetabular Impingement, for preoperative planning – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or MRI with contrast (CPT® 73722) and CT without contrast (CPT® 73700) or CT Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72192)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Knee:**
 - Tibiofemoral dislocation following a significant trauma to evaluate for ligament and vascular injury – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) and/or either MRA lower extremity without and with contrast (CPT® 73725) or CTA lower extremity without and with contrast (CPT® 73706)
 - Patellar dislocation/subluxation following an acute injury with consideration of surgery and concern for osteochondral fragment – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Recurrent Patellar instability if consideration for surgery – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Ankle:**
 - Impingement, anterior, anterior-lateral or posterior – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), MRI with contrast (CPT® 73722), or CT with contrast (CPT® 73701)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Instability, preoperative planning – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or MRI with contrast (CPT® 73722)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Foot:**
 - Lisfranc (tarsometatarsal) dislocation - MRI Foot without contrast (CPT® 73718) or CT Foot without contrast (CPT® 73700)

- For suspicion of Lisfranc ligament injury or fracture (with out without dislocation) see: **Ligament and Fascia Injury (MS-31.1)** for ligament injury or **Acute Fracture (MS-5.1)** for fracture
- Tarsal Coalition, preoperative planning – MRI Ankle without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT Ankle without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- Sinus Tarsi Syndrome, if diagnosis is unclear of for preoperative evaluation – MRI Ankle without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required

Evidence Discussion (MS-30)

MS.IN.0030.0

v2.0.2026

The American College of Radiology (ACR) has recommended that x-rays be the initial image of choice for evaluation of acute and chronic joint instability symptoms (e.g., dislocation, subluxation) and articular dysfunction or abnormality (e.g., bony impingement, coalition).¹⁻⁶ X-rays, along with a full history and clinical examination, may be sufficient to determine a diagnosis and treatment plan. In many instances, having an individual follow a course of provider directed conservative care may be sufficient to reduce symptoms and aid in the return of normal function.^{7,8} Early advanced imaging could expose individuals to unnecessary risks and additional healthcare costs.

Some acute injuries involving significant trauma may require advanced imaging prior to conservative care. Damage to surrounding anatomy can occur that would need prompt treatment. MRI is typically recommended as the next image for many acute joint injuries as it has excellent capability in discriminating soft tissues and surrounding anatomy. CT may also be appropriate when MRI is contraindicated, unavailable or better visualization of bony alignment is required, such as in surgical planning.^{1,2,4,6,9}

When conservative care has not been effective at improving function, or an individual presents with re-occurring and chronic problems, both MRI and CT are recommended as next images to further investigate joint instability and dysfunction.^{3,5,7,10} MRI is recommended as useful in assessing the integrity of tissues that support the joint (e.g., labrum, capsule, ligament) and damage that may have occurred over time to chondral surfaces of both the hip and shoulder.^{7,10} MRI was shown to have good inter- and intra-rater reliability in assessing patellofemoral instability.¹¹

References (MS-30)

MS.IN.0030.0**v2.0.2026**

1. Laur O, Ha A, Bartolotta R et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Shoulder Pain. Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69433/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
2. Torabi M, Lenchik L, Beaman F et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hand and Wrist Trauma. Revised 2018. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69418/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
3. Jawetz S, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Hip Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69425/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
4. Smith S, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the Ankle. Revised 2020. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69436/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
5. Chang E, Tadros A, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Ankle Pain. Revised 2017. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69422/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
6. Gorbachova T, Change E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the Foot. Revised 2019. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70546/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
7. Lowry V, Lavigne P, Zidarov D, Matifat E, Cormier AA, Desmeules F. A Systematic Review of Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Management of Various Shoulder Disorders. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2024 Feb;105(2):411-426. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2023.09.022.
8. Chona DV, Minetos PD, LaPrade CM, Cinque ME, Abrams GD, Sherman SL, Safran MR. Hip Dislocation and Subluxation in Athletes: A Systematic Review. *Am J Sports Med*. 2022 Aug;50(10):2834-2841. doi: 10.1177/03635465211036104.
9. Taljanovic M, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the knee. Revised 2019. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
10. Schmaranzer F, Kheterpal AB, Bredella MA. Best Practices: Hip Femoroacetabular Impingement. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2021 Mar;216(3):585-598. doi: 10.2214/AJR.20.22783.
11. Geraghty L, Humphries D, Fitzpatrick J. Assessment of the reliability and validity of imaging measurements for patellofemoral instability: an updated systematic review. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2022 Dec;51(12):2245-2256. doi: 10.1007/s00256-022-04110-9. test

Ligament and Fascia Injury (MS-31)

Guideline	Page
Ligament and Fascia Injury (MS-31.1).....	65
Evidence Discussion (MS-31).....	68
References (MS-31).....	70

Ligament and Fascia Injury (MS-31.1)

MS.LM.0031.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning. See specific details throughout the section regarding any requirements for when conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging is required.

- Initial plain x-ray imaging of the suspected area must first be performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed with results available to the requesting provider

Advanced imaging for further investigation of ligament and fascia injury is medically necessary as follows:

- **Elbow**

- Ulnar Collateral Ligament tear, following acute or repetitive trauma (including overhead throwing athletes) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221), MRI with contrast (CPT® 73222) or CT with contrast (CPT® 73201)

- **Wrist**

- Intrinsic ligament tear and/or Triangular Fibrocartilage Complex injury – MRI with contrast (CPT® 73222) or CT with contrast (CPT® 73201).
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required

- **Hand**

- Ulnar Collateral Ligament tear, if ruling out a Stener lesion or a complete ligament tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73218)

- **Knee**

- Cruciate and/or collateral ligament tear – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - A failed trial of conservative treatment is not required if any of the following signs are positive in comparison to the opposite knee:
 - Anterior Drawer
 - Lachman
 - Pivot Shift
 - Posterior Drawer or Posterior Sag

- Valgus Stress
 - Varus Stress
 - Otherwise, failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- Patellofemoral and Patellotibial ligament tear – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Ankle**
 - Lateral/Medial Ligament injury (e.g., sprained ankle), including avulsion fracture – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Syndesmosis Injury (e.g., high ankle sprain) – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- **Foot**
 - Lisfranc (tarsometatarsal) ligament injury - MRI Foot without contrast (CPT® 73718) or CT Foot without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - For suspicion of Lisfranc dislocation injury or fracture (with out without dislocation) see: Joint Instability and Dysfunction (MS-30.1) for dislocation or Acute Fracture (MS-5.1) for fracture.
 - Plantar Fascia
 - Fascia tear (partial or complete) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Fasciitis preoperative planning – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718)
 - Failure of provider-directed conservative treatment lasting at least 6 months or more, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Plantar plate (e.g., Turf Toe injury) – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718)

- Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required

Evidence Discussion (MS-31)

MS.LM.0031.0

v2.0.2026

Initial imaging of MSK injuries where ligament or fascia is suspected to be involved is typically done with plain x-rays. The American College of Radiology has recommended x-ray as the initial image for evaluation of most acute injuries.¹ Even in suspected ligament or fascia injury, initial x-rays can be beneficial in determining if there is damage or malalignment to bone that needs to be considered during a thorough evaluation.

A trial of conservative care following negative x-rays may be beneficial for some suspected ligament and fascia injuries prior to immediately seeking advanced imaging. Individuals that show improvement over time with conservative care could avoid the additional potential exposure and expense of advanced imaging or unnecessary treatment or surgery. In their 2018 revised Appropriateness Criteria for Acute Hand and Wrist Trauma, the ACR recommended that symptomatic individuals with negative initial x-rays may use a trial of immobilization and repeat x-rays.² Current injury rehabilitation guidelines have recommended that individuals with suspected lateral ankle sprains follow a 4-6 week conservative care program with advanced imaging only being performed for those with persistent symptoms despite following the whole program.³ Furthermore, guidelines also indicate that individuals with suspected plantar fascial pain usually do not require imaging studies unless they fail a comprehensive conservative care program.⁴

When medically necessary, advanced imaging for ligament and fascia injury has demonstrated high accuracy. More superficial ligaments such as those in the hand, wrist and elbow may be appropriately visualized through ultrasound alone. Ultrasound has been shown to be 81% sensitive and 91% specific in detecting Ulnar collateral Ligament injury at the elbow and 96% sensitive and 90% specific at the thumb.⁵⁻⁷ Ultrasound demonstrated high sensitivity in evaluating heel/foot pain and may have utility in ruling out plantar plate injury.⁸

When further imaging beyond initial x-ray or ultrasound is necessary to investigate potential ligament or fascia injury, MRI is typically considered the standard next image option. ACR recommendations for imaging of the acute elbow, wrist, hand, knee, ankle and chronic foot all support MRI as the next imaging type for ligament or fascia investigation. Due to MRI's sensitivity to different tissues, it can be useful in evaluating not only ligament and fascia, but also any associated stress injury to bone or marrow, edema patterns, syndesmotic injury, meniscal involvement or other soft tissue injury.^{2,9-12} Having accurate imaging of all aspects of any injury will aid in appropriate management and treatment planning .

CT imaging may also be useful in some instances, such as if MRI is contraindicated for an individual or when evaluation of bone displacement is desired. When combined with contrast, CT can be helpful in more accurate visualization of malalignment of the small and compact joints of the wrist. CT arthrography has demonstrated sensitivity and specificity equal to, or higher than, MRI or arthroscopy in detection of scapholunate and lunotriquetral ligament injury.² CT imaging is supported in suspected "high-ankle" syndesmotic injury, while MRI is often still considered a better option, measurements from CT scans and displayed displacement have been demonstrated to identify syndesmotic injury seen on MRI.¹²

References (MS-31)

MS.LM.0031.0

v2.0.2026

1. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/list> . Accessed 6/9/25.
2. Torabi M, Lenchik L, Beaman F et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hand and Wrist Trauma. Revised 2018. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69418/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Accessed 5/15/25.
3. Martin RL, Davenport TE, Fraser JJ et al. Ankle Stability and Movement Coordination Impairments: Lateral Ankle Ligament Sprains Revision 2021 Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health From the Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapy of the American Physical Therapy Association. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2021;51(4):CPG1-CPG80. doi:10.2519/jospt.2021.0302.
4. Koc TA Jr, Bise CG, Neville C, Carreira D, Martin RL, McDonough CM. Heel Pain - plantar fasciitis: Revision 2023. Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health from the Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapy and American Academy of Sports Physical Therapy of the American Physical Therapy Association. *The Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*. Dec;53(12):CPG1-CPG39. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2023.0303.
5. Campbell RE, McGhee AN, Freedman KB, Tjoumakaris FP. Diagnostic Imaging of Ulnar Collateral Ligament Injury: A Systematic Review. *Am J Sports Med*. 2020 Sep;48(11):2819-2827. Doi: 10.1177/0363546520937302
6. Qamhawi Z, Shah K, Kiernan G, Furniss D, Teh J, Azzopardi C. Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging in detecting Stener lesions of the thumb: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol*. 2021 Nov;46(9):946-953. doi: 10.1177/1753193421993015.
7. Raheman FJ, Rojoa DM, Dhingra M, Siddiqui S, Macdonald CR. The role of ultrasonography in the assessment of ulnar collateral ligament injury of the thumb - a diagnostic test accuracy meta-analysis. *J Plast Surg Hand Surg*. 2021 Apr;55(2):83-95. doi: 10.1080/2000656X.2020.1838295.
8. Albright RH, Brooks BM, Chingre M, Klein EE, Weil LS Jr, Fleischer AE. Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) versus dynamic ultrasound for plantar plate injuries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Radiol*. 2022 Jul;152:110315. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2022.110315.
9. Chen K, Ha A, Bartolotta R et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Elbow and Forearm Pain. 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3195154/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
10. Taljanovic M, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the knee. Revised 2019. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
11. Smith S, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the Ankle. Revised 2020. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69436/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
12. Tafur M, Bencardino J, Roberts C et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Foot Pain, Revised 2020. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69424/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.

Limb Length Discrepancy (MS-17)

Guideline	Page
Limb Length Discrepancy (MS-17.1).....	72
Evidence Discussion (MS-17).....	73
References (MS-17).....	74

Limb Length Discrepancy (MS-17.1)

MS.LL.0017.1**v2.0.2026**

A diagnostic advanced imaging CPT code (e.g., CPT® 73700, CPT® 73701, or CPT® 73702) is not medically necessary for the evaluation of limb length discrepancy.

Either plain radiograph or "CT scanogram," both reported with CPT® 77073, is appropriate to radiographically evaluate limb length discrepancy due to congenital anomalies, acquired deformities, growth plate (physeal injuries or surgery), or inborn errors of metabolism.

Evidence Discussion (MS-17)

MS.LL.0017.0**v2.0.2026**

The findings of recent systematic reviews support that x-ray is the most valid and reliable method for evaluation of limb length discrepancy. Advanced imaging modalities are not supported in current research as a method to evaluate leg length discrepancy. These technologies are more expensive, can have added risks such as sedation, and increased exposure to radiation. Furthermore, they can have added time it takes an individual to access the technology and may be contraindicated for some that have implantable devices. Full length, anterior-to-posterior x-ray images are recommended. Studies also reported excellent reliability using CT scanogram imaging, which may be an appropriate alternative to x-rays.^{1,2}

References (MS-17)

MS.LL.0017.0**v2.0.2026**

1. Pettit MH, Kanavathy S, McArthur N, Weiss O, Khanduja V. Measurement Techniques for Leg Length Discrepancy in Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review of Reliability and Validity. *J Arthroplasty*. 2022 Dec;37(12):2507-2516.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2022.05.029.
2. Alfuth M, Fichter P, Knicker A. Leg length discrepancy: A systematic review on the validity and reliability of clinical assessments and imaging diagnostics used in clinical practice. *PLoS One*. 2021 Dec 20;16(12):e0261457. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0261457.

Muscle/Tendon Unit Injuries/Diseases (MS-11)

Guideline	Page
Muscle and Tendon Injuries (MS-11.1).....	76
Compartment Syndrome (MS-11.2).....	81
Evidence Discussion (MS-11).....	82
References (MS-11).....	83

Muscle and Tendon Injuries (MS-11.1)

MS.MI.0011.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning. See specific details throughout the section regarding any requirements for when conservative care is required prior to requesting advanced imaging.

- Initial plain x-ray of the suspected area must first be obtained after the current episode of symptoms started or changed and results are available to the provider

Muscle belly strains/tears - Advanced imaging is not medically necessary. Advanced imaging will not change the treatment plan for muscle belly strains/tears. There is lack of evidence to support surgical treatment for these injuries. These injuries are nearly always treated through conservative, non-operative, care.

Tendons - Advanced imaging for further investigation of tendon injury and/or pathology is medically necessary as follows:

- MRI without contrast or US for imaging tendons not located in specific joints outlined below is medically necessary when either of the following apply:
 - Suspected partial tendon tear of a specific named tendon
 - Complete tendon tear of a specific named tendon for preoperative planning
- **Shoulder**
 - Impingement – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT®73221) or MRI with contrast (CPT®73222) or CT with contrast (CPT® 73201) if MRI is contraindicated
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Chronic tendon inflammation/irritation (e.g., tendinitis, bursitis) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT®73221)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Bicep Tendon (Long Head), complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT®73221)
 - Clinical exam must be inconclusive, or advanced imaging for preoperative planning/surgery is being considered

- Pectoralis Major/Minor complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT®73221) or MRI Chest without contrast (CPT®71550)
 - Clinical exam must be inconclusive or for preoperative planning
- Rotator Cuff tendon tear
 - Partial or complete tear from acute injury and surgery is being considered – MRI without contrast (CPT®73221), MRI with contrast (CPT®73222) or CT with contrast (CPT®73201)
 - Partial or complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT®73221) or MRI with contrast (CPT®73222) or CT with contrast (CPT®73201) if MRI is contraindicated
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- Other tendon injury or pathology not otherwise specified above, partial or complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT®73221)
 - Specific tendon must be named for partial tendon tear
 - Complete tears must be in relation to preoperative planning of a specific named tendon
- **Elbow**
 - Chronic tendon inflammation/irritation (e.g., tendinitis, bursitis)
 - Bursitis – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221) or MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73223)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Tendinitis – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Epicondylitis, medial or lateral – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
 - Symptoms must persist for longer than 6 months despite trial of at least 6 weeks of conservative treatment. Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required

- Bicep/Tricep insertion tendon, complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
 - Clinical examination must be inconclusive or imaging is for preoperative planning
- Other tendon injury or pathology not otherwise specified above, partial or complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
 - Specific tendon must be named for partial tendon tear
 - Complete tears must be in relation to preoperative planning of a specific named tendon
- **Wrist and Hand**
 - Chronic tendon inflammation/irritation (e.g., tendinitis) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221 for wrist or CPT® 73218 for hand) or MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73223 for wrist or CPT® 73220 for hand)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Other tendon injury or pathology not otherwise specified above, partial or complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221 for wrist or CPT® 73218 for hand) or MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73223 for wrist or CPT® 73220 for hand)
 - Specific tendon must be named for partial tendon tear
 - Complete tears must be in relation to preoperative planning of a specific named tendon
- **Pelvis**
 - Chronic tendon inflammation/irritation (e.g., tendinitis) – MRI without contrast (CPT® 72195) or MRI right and or left hip without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Tendon tear, partial or complete – MRI without contrast (CPT® 72195)
 - Specific tendon must be named
 - Athletic Pubalgia - MRI without contrast (CPT® 72195)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Piriformis Syndrome – This condition is imaged according to the criteria found in the Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Guidelines see: **Focal Neuropathy (PN-2.1)**

- **Hip**

- Chronic tendon inflammation/irritation (e.g., tendinitis, bursitis) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- Abductor tear/avulsion – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
- Other tendon injury or pathology not otherwise specified above, partial or complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Specific tendon must be named for partial tendon tear
 - Complete tears must be in relation to preoperative planning of a specific named tendon
- Piriformis Syndrome – See above in **Pelvis**

- **Knee**

- Chronic tendon inflammation/irritation (e.g., tendinitis) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- Quadriceps tendon (distal) complete tear, preoperative planning – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
- Other tendon injury or pathology not otherwise specified above, partial or complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Specific tendon must be named for partial tendon tear
 - Complete tears must be in relation to preoperative planning of a specific named tendon

- **Ankle**

- Chronic tendon inflammation/irritation (e.g., tibial or peroneal tendon dysfunction, subluxation, Achilles tendinitis) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- Achilles Tendon, complete tear, preoperative planning – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
- Other tendon injury or pathology not otherwise specified above, partial or complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)

- Specific tendon must be named for partial tendon tear
- Complete tears must be in relation to preoperative planning of a specific named tendon
- **Foot**
 - Chronic tendon inflammation/irritation (e.g., tendinitis) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - Tendons, partial or complete tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718)
 - Specific tendon must be named for partial tendon tear
 - Complete tears must be in relation to preoperative planning of a specific named tendon

For **inflammatory muscle disease imaging**, please see either: **Muscle Diseases (PN-8.5)** in the Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders Imaging Guidelines or **Inflammatory Muscle Diseases (PEDMS-10.3)** in the Pediatric Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.

Compartment Syndrome (MS-11.2)

MS.MI.0011.2

v2.0.2026

Acute - This is a surgical emergency and advanced imaging not medically necessary as this diagnosis is made clinically by direct measurement of muscle compartment pressure.

Chronic/Exertional - Advanced imaging should only be considered when ruling out other potential causes of extremity pain following a plain x-ray and conservative treatment as indicated. Results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider.

Evidence Discussion (MS-11)

MS.MI.0011.0

v2.0.2026

Initial evaluation of a patient with a suspected tendon injury should include an accurate history, careful examination and plain radiographs. The American College of Radiology has recommended x-ray as the initial image for evaluation of most acute and chronic injuries.¹ With negative x-rays, suspicion of tendon injury does not always require immediate advanced imaging. Best practice recommendations for MSK pain have stated that imaging be used selectively. Unnecessary imaging can drive up costs of care and may lead to over-medicalization of an individual's symptoms.^{2,3} Many muscle and tendon injuries can significantly improve through conservative care without advanced imaging.

After x-rays have been done, and any conservative care recommended has not resulted in a meaningful improvement of symptoms, advanced imaging may be beneficial for further assessment and treatment planning of certain muscle and tendon injuries. Both US and MRI are supported by the American College of Radiology as a next appropriate image in the evaluation of acute and chronic shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, ankle and foot injuries when initial x-rays are negative or unclear and muscle or tendon involvement is suspected.⁴⁻¹⁴ Evidence has shown that both US and MRI have been validated as excellent methods to evaluate the integrity of muscle and tendon, with MRI being reserved for instances of surgical planning, differential diagnosis or assessment of injury too deep for clear visualization by US.^{15,16}

Advanced imaging will typically not change the treatment plan for muscle belly strains/tears. These injuries are most often treated through conservative, non-operative, care. There is limited evidence to support the surgical repair of muscle belly strains/tears.¹⁷

Initial diagnosis of compartment syndrome is typically based on clinical presentation, perfusion pressure measurements and laboratory results. Acute compartment syndrome is considered a medical emergency for which a thorough clinical assessment and direct pressure measurements of the compartment can result in a diagnosis so the individual can quickly receive emergency care. Advanced imaging does not play a role in the diagnosis or management of this condition and may delay the time to surgical treatment.^{18,19} Chronic exertional compartment syndrome can be determined through dynamic intra-compartmental pressure measurements. These are considered gold standard for diagnosis of chronic compartment pressure concerns. MRI has lacked validity as a non-invasive diagnostic tool for this condition. However, MRI may be useful to rule out other possible sources of pain if plain x-rays fail to find a source.^{20,21}

References (MS-11)

MS.MI.0011.0

v2.0.2026

1. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/list>.
2. Lin I, Wiles L, Waller R, et al. What does best practice care for musculoskeletal pain look like? Eleven consistent recommendations from high-quality clinical practice guidelines: systematic review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2020;54:79-86.
3. Desmeules F, Roy JS, Lafrance S, et al. Rotator Cuff Tendinopathy Diagnosis, Nonsurgical Medical Care, and Rehabilitation: A Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2025 Apr;55(4):235-274. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2025.13182.
4. Laur O, Ha A, Bartolotta R et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Shoulder Pain, Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69433/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
5. Nacey N, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Shoulder Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101482/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revision 2022.
6. Chen KC, Ha AS, Bartolotta RJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Elbow and Forearm Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3195154/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024.
7. Thomas J, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Elbow Pain. Revised 2022. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69423/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
8. Torabi M, Lenchik L, Beaman F et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hand and Wrist Trauma. Revised 2018. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69418/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
9. Stensby J, Fox M, Nacey N et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Hand and Wrist Pain. Revised 2023. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69427/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
10. Bartolotta R, Ha A, Bateni C et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hip Pain. Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3082587/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
11. Jawetz S, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Hip Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69425/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
12. Taljanovic M, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the knee. Revised 2019. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
13. Fox M, Chang E, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Knee Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69432/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
14. Chang E, Tadros A, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Ankle Pain. Revised 2017. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69422/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
15. Bordalo M, Arnaiz J, Yamashiro E, Al-Naimi MR. Imaging of Muscle Injuries: MR Imaging-Ultrasound Correlation. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am*. 2023 May;31(2):163-179. doi: 10.1016/j.mric.2023.01.002.
16. Courage O, van Rooij F, Saffarini M. Ultrasound is more reliable than clinical tests to both confirm and rule out pathologies of the long head of the biceps: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2023 Feb;31(2):662-671. doi: 10.1007/s00167-022-07154-5.
17. Ramos LA, de Carvalho RT, Abdalla RJ, Ingham SJ. Surgical treatment for muscle injuries. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. 2015 Jun;8(2):188-92.
18. Osborn PM, Schmidt AH. Diagnosis and Management of Acute Compartment Syndrome. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2021 Mar 1;29(5):183-188. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-19-00858.
19. Sellei RM, Wollnitz J, Reinhardt N, de la Fuente M, Radermacher K, Weber C, Kobbe P, Hildebrand F. Non-invasive measurement of muscle compartment elasticity in lower limbs to determine acute compartment syndrome: Clinical results with pressure related ultrasound. *Injury*. 2020 Feb;51(2):301-306. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2019.11.027.
20. van der Kraats AM, Winkes M, Janzing HMJ, Eijkelenboom RPR, de Koning MTG. Review of Reliable and Valid Noninvasive Tools for the Diagnosis of Chronic Exertional Compartment Syndrome. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2023 Jan 13;11(1).
21. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Appropriate Use Criteria for the Diagnosis and Management of Acute Compartment Syndrome aaos.org/acsau Published September 20, 2019

Chondral/Osteochondral Lesions (MS-13)

Guideline	Page
Osteochondral Lesions and Fractures (MS-13.1).....	85
Evidence Discussion (MS-13).....	86
References (MS-13).....	87

Osteochondral Lesions and Fractures (MS-13.1)

MS.OC.0013.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning. The following must be met prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning of suspected osteochondral lesions or fractures (including osteochondritis dissecans):

- Initial plain x-ray imaging of the suspected area must first be performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed
- If plain x-rays show a non-displaced osteochondral fragment, follow-up imaging should be with plain x-rays. Advanced imaging is not necessary

When the initial x-rays are negative and an osteochondral injury is still suspected or the x-rays and clinical examination suggest an unstable osteochondral injury the following advanced imaging is medically necessary:

- **Elbow** - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221), MRI with contrast (CPT® 73222), CT without contrast (CPT® 73200) or CT with contrast (CPT® 73201)
- **Knee** MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), MRI with contrast (CPT® 73722), or CT with contrast (CPT® 73701)
- **Ankle** - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- **Other Joints** - MRI without contrast, MRI with contrast (arthrogram), or CT with contrast (arthrogram)
- **Follow-up of healing (including post-operative fixation)** - MRI without contrast or CT without contrast when healing cannot be adequately assessed on follow-up plain x-rays.

Evidence Discussion (MS-13)

MS.OC.0013.0

v2.0.2026

The American College of Radiography (ACR) has recommended that x-ray should be the first imaging test performed to evaluate joint pain suspected to be due to chondral/osteochondral lesions.¹⁻³ Open physes have a much higher potential for healing with conservative treatment. So, radiographs may help to exclude other causes of pain and to determine skeletal maturity, which significantly affects prognosis and management of Osteochondritis Dissecans lesions (OCD). Radiographs may also be sufficient for classification of lower grade lesions avoiding unnecessary advanced imaging.⁴ In clinical practice, serial radiographs may be sufficient for monitoring healing of juvenile OCD lesions.

In individuals with radiographs positive for Osteochondritis Dissecans (OCD) or subchondral insufficiency fracture, or with negative radiographs but osteochondral fracture is still suspected, MRI may be medically necessary to further evaluate cartilage for additional injuries and for grading of osteochondral fractures and OCD.¹⁻³ MRI has a high diagnostic value for assessing the stability of lesions, especially in higher grade lesions.^{4,5} This makes MRI useful in determining the best method of treatment, be that conservative or surgical.^{4,6} Repeat MRI is suggested only if radiographs are not diagnostic for healing and for worsening symptoms.⁶ CT without contrast may be medically necessary to evaluate patients with OCD to confirm loose bodies or when MRI is not definitive.¹⁻³ MR arthrography or CT arthrography is an effective test for locating intra-articular osteochondral fragments, loose bodies and grading chondral and osteochondral lesions. A combination of x-rays and MRI or CT is recommended to best evaluate the stability of lesions.⁷

References (MS-13)

MS.OC.0013.0**v2.0.2026**

1. Thomas J, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Elbow Pain. Revised 2022. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69423/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
2. Fox M, Chang E, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Knee Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69432/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
3. Chang E, Tadros A, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Ankle Pain. Revised 2017. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69422/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
4. Andriolo L, Solaro L, Altamura SA, Carey JL, Zaffagnini S, Filardo G. Classification Systems for Knee Osteochondritis Dissecans: A Systematic Review. *Cartilage*. 2022 Jul-Sep;13(3):19476035221121789. doi: 10.1177/19476035221121789.
5. Hu H, Zhang C, Chen J, Li P, Zhang XE, Deng Z, Du Y. Clinical Value of MRI in Assessing the Stability of Osteochondritis Dissecans Lesions: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2019 Jul;213(1):147-154. doi: 10.2214/AJR.18.20710. Epub 2019 Apr 17.
6. Nammour MA, Mauro CS, Bradley JP, Arner JW. Osteochondritis Dissecans Lesions of the Knee: Evidence-Based Treatment. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*. 2024;32(13):587-596. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5435/jaaos-d-23-00494>.
7. Pu A, Jauregui JJ, Salmons HI, Weir TB, Abzug JM, Gilotra MN. Radiographic evaluation of osteochondritis dissecans of the humeral capitellum: A systematic review. *J Orthop*. 2021 Sep 14;27:114-121. doi: 10.1016/j.jor.2021.09.005 .

Osteonecrosis/Avascular Necrosis (MS-4)

Guideline	Page
Osteonecrosis/Avascular Necrosis (MS-4.1).....	89
Evidence Discussion (MS-4).....	91
References (MS-4).....	92

Osteonecrosis/Avascular Necrosis (MS-4.1)

MS.AN.0004.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning. The following must be met prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning of suspected osteonecrosis (avascular necrosis):

- Initial plain x-ray imaging of the suspected area must first be performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed

When the initial x-rays are negative or equivocal and the clinical symptoms warrant further investigation of osteonecrosis the following advanced imaging is medically necessary:

- MRI without contrast, MRI without and with contrast, or CT without contrast of the area of interest

When the initial x-rays have confirmed osteonecrosis and advanced imaging is needed for further treatment planning the following advanced imaging is medically necessary for the follow situations:

- Shoulder - Humeral head - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73200)
- Wrist – Lunate/Scaphoid - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73200)
- Hip – Femoral head - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Knee – Distal Femur - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Ankle – Talus - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Foot – Tarsal Navicular/Metatarsal head - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)

Individuals with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and known or suspected osteonecrosis should be imaged according to guidelines in **Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (PEDONC-3.2)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

Known or suspected osteonecrosis in long-term cancer survivors should be imaged according to guidelines in **Osteonecrosis in Long Term Cancer Survivors (PEDONC-19.4)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

Evidence Discussion (MS-4)

MS.AN.0004.0

v2.0.2026

The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria (ACR) for Osteonecrosis (revised 2022) has recommended radiography as the initial imaging study for clinically suspected osteonecrosis. Radiographs are less sensitive for detection of early osteonecrosis but they help to exclude other causes of extremity pain such as fracture, primary arthritis, or tumor. In late stage osteonecrosis, x-rays will also show findings of secondary osteoarthritis.^{1,2} When x-rays are negative or equivocal, and osteonecrosis is still suspected, the ACR supports the use of advanced imaging to aid in further diagnosis and treatment planning.¹

Both CT and MRI are recommended as next step imaging options after plain x-rays are obtained. MRI has been shown to be both highly sensitive and specific to the detection of osteonecrosis. A 2018 meta-analysis demonstrated that MRI was 93% sensitive and 91% specific in the early detection of osteonecrosis of the femoral head.³ A recent Delphi model to better predict femoral head collapse identified subchondral fracture as a key predictor. CT scans can provide important insights into the structural integrity of subchondral bone allowing for better treatment planning for patients with osteonecrosis.⁴ Preoperative CT has been shown to more clearly display the extent of articular collapse than radiographs.⁵ Both MRI and CT have been shown to have similar accuracy in displaying femoral head lesions in more advanced stages of osteonecrosis (ARCO stage III or greater).⁶

References (MS-4)

MS.AN.0004.0**v2.0.2026**

1. Ha AS, Chang EY, Bartolotta FJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Osteonecrosis. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69420/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
2. Pierce TP, Jauregui JJ, Cherian JJ, Elmallah RK, Mont MA. Imaging evaluation of patients with osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*. 2015 Sep;8(3):221-7.
3. Zhang YZ, Cao CY, Li XC et al. Accuracy of MRI diagnosis of early osteonecrosis of the femoral head: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2018;13:167.
4. Yang TJ, Sun SY, Zhang L et al. A Delphi-based model for prognosis of femoral head collapse in osteonecrosis: a multi-factorial approach. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2024;19(1):762. Doi: 10.1186/s13018-024-05247-0.
5. Lee B, Lim JY, Lee DM, et al. Computed Tomography Staging of Osteonecrosis of the Femoral Head. *Surg Technol Int*. 2019;35:417-21.
6. Hu LB, Huang ZG, Wei HY et al. Osteonecrosis of the femoral head: using CT, MRI and gross specimen to characterize the location, shape and size of the lesion. *Br J Radiol*. 2015;88(1046):20140508.

Osteoporosis (MS-14)

Guideline	Page
Osteoporosis (MS-14.1).....	94
Evidence Discussion (MS-14).....	96
References (MS-14).....	97

Osteoporosis (MS-14.1)

MS.OP.0014.1

v2.0.2026

Initial plain x-ray imaging is not required to request advanced imaging for osteoporosis screening.

Quantitative CT (CPT[®] 77078) can be approved for **screening** when DXA scanner is unavailable or known to be inaccurate for ANY of the following populations:

- Women 65 years of age or older, Men 70 years of age or older
- Women less than 65 years of age who have the following additional risk factors for osteoporosis:
 - Estrogen deficiency
 - A history of maternal hip fracture that occurred after age 50 years
 - Low body mass (less than 127 lb or 57.6 kg)
 - History of amenorrhea (greater than 1 year before age 42 years)
- Women less than 65 years or men less than 70 years of age who have the following additional risk factors:
 - Current use of cigarettes
 - Loss of height, thoracic kyphosis
- Individuals of any age with the following:
 - Bone mass osteopenia or fragility fractures on imaging studies such as x-rays, CT, or MRI
 - Develop 1 or more insufficiency fractures
- Individuals 50 years of age and older who develop a wrist, hip, spine, or proximal humerus fracture with minimal or no trauma, excluding pathologic fractures.
- Premenopausal females, or males age 20 to 50 years, with risk factors known to potentially alter bone mineral density such as:
 - Chronic renal failure
 - Rheumatoid or other inflammatory arthritis
 - Eating disorders, including anorexia nervosa and bulimia
 - Organ transplantation
 - Prolonged immobilization
 - Conditions associated with secondary osteoporosis, such as gastrointestinal malabsorption or malnutrition, sprue, osteomalacia, vitamin D deficiency, endometriosis, acromegaly, chronic alcoholism or established cirrhosis, and multiple myeloma

- Individuals who have had gastric bypass for obesity
- Individuals with an endocrine disorder known to adversely affect bone mineral density (e.g., hyperparathyroidism, hyperthyroidism, or Cushing syndrome)
- Individuals receiving (or expected to receive) glucocorticoid therapy for >3 months
- Hypogonadal men older than 18 years of age and men with surgically or chemotherapeutically-induced castration
- Individuals beginning or receiving long-term therapy with medications known to adversely affect BMD (e.g., anti-convulsant drugs, androgen deprivation therapy, aromatase inhibitor therapy, or chronic heparin)

NOTE: Repeat screening quantitative computed tomography (QCT) can be approved no sooner than every two years.

Quantitative CT scan (CPT[®] 77078) can be approved for **monitoring/non-screening** when DXA scanner is unavailable or known to be inaccurate for ANY of the following circumstances:

- Follow-up in cases where QCT was the original study
- Multiple healed vertebral compression fractures
- Significant scoliosis
- Advanced arthritis of the spine due to increased cortical sclerosis often with large marginal osteophytes
- Obese individual over the weight limit of the dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) exam table
- Individuals with BMI >35kg/m²
- Extremes in body height (i.e., very large and very small individuals)
- Individuals with extensive degenerative disease of the spine
- A clinical scenario that requires sensitivity to small changes in trabecular bone density (parathyroid hormone and glucocorticoid treatment monitoring).

NOTE: Repeat monitoring/non-screening QCT can be approved no earlier than one year following a change in treatment regimen, and only when the results will directly impact a treatment decision.

Evidence Discussion (MS-14)

MS.OP.0014.0

v2.0.2026

The primary diagnostic test used to screen for osteoporosis is the central dual x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) which accurately measures bone mineral density at the hip and lumbar spine. DEXA accuracy and reproducibility has led to the established standards for diagnosis of osteoporosis by the World Health Organization.¹ The USA Bone Health and Osteoporosis Foundation has recommended bone mineral density testing in all women age 65 and older and all men age 70 and older, and in postmenopausal women younger than 65 years and men aged 50-69 years based on their risk factor profile, including if they had a fracture as an adult.² The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria supports DEXA as the primary diagnostic choice to screen women >65 years of age and men >70 years of age for osteoporosis, and for postmenopausal women <65 years of age with additional risk factors for fracture.³ Repeat bone mineral density assessments one to two years after initiating medical therapy for osteoporosis and every two years thereafter, but recognizes that testing more frequently may be warranted in certain clinical situations, and may be needed less frequently in patients without major risk factors or significant bone density loss on initial BMD testing. DEXA is currently the preferred method for monitoring treatment response.²

Quantitative CT (QCT) is regarded as a secondary tool after DEXA for screening for osteoporosis. QCT is highly accurate in determining tissue density within a region of interest. Indications for QCT are the same as for DEXA, however DEXA is recommended as the first-line screening and follow-up test for bone density. If DXA is not available, QCT may be used as a secondary technique and for serial/follow-up purposes.^{2,3,4} Selected conditions in which QCT is considered superior to DEXA include extremes in body height, BMI >35, clinical scenarios when an increased sensitivity to small changes in trabecular bone density is required, and in patients with advanced degenerative bony changes in the spine.³ A potential harm of Quantitative CT is increased radiation exposure (1-10 mSv) as compared to <0.1 mSv for DEXA scan. Whereas the radiation dose for both lumbar spine and hip scanning in a DEXA scan is approximately equivalent to that of a chest x-ray.

There is insufficient evidence to support the use of x-ray as a screening tool in patients suspected of having low bone mineral density. Patients whose x-rays report osteopenia and/or fragility fractures should be referred for DEXA for further characterization of bone density. There is also insufficient evidence to support the current use of quantitative ultrasound as a screening tool in patients suspected of having low bone mineral density.³

References (MS-14)

MS.OP.0014.0

v2.0.2026

1. Akkawi I, Zmerly H. Osteoporosis: Current Concepts. *Joints*. 2018 Jun 14;6(2):122-127. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1660790.
2. LeBoff MS, Greenspan SL, Insogna KL et al. The clinician's guide to prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int*. 2022 Oct;33(10):2049-2102. doi: 10.1007/s00198-021-05900-y. Epub 2022 Apr 28. Erratum in: *Osteoporos Int*. 2022 Oct;33(10):2243. doi: 10.1007/s00198-022-06479-8.
3. Yu J, Krishna N, Fox M, et. al. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Osteoporosis and Bone Mineral Density. Am Coll Radiol (ACR); Revised 2022.
4. Deshpande N, Hadi MS, Lillard JC, et al. Alternatives to DEXA for the assessment of bone density: a systematic review of the literature and future recommendations. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2023 Jan 6;38(4):436-445. doi: 10.3171/2022.11.SPINE22875.

General Pain (MS-32)

Guideline	Page
General Pain (MS-32.1).....	99
Evidence Discussion (MS-32).....	101
References (MS-32).....	102

General Pain (MS-32.1)

MS.PN.0032.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), initial images and physical exam are required prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning. See specific details throughout the section regarding any requirements for when conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging is required. The criteria in this section applies only to general musculoskeletal pain conditions not already specified in any other section of this guideline.

- Initial plain x-ray imaging of the suspected area must first be performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed and results are available to the requesting provider

Advanced imaging for further investigation of general musculoskeletal pain is medically necessary as follows:

- **Shoulder** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221) or CT with contrast (CPT® 73201) if MRI contraindicated
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Elbow** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Wrist** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221), MRI with contrast (CPT® 73222), CT without contrast (CPT® 73200) or CT with contrast (CPT® 73201)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Hand** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73218) or MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73220)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Pelvis** - MRI without contrast (CPT® 72195) or MRI right and/or left Hip without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required

- Imaging for Sacroiliac and Coccygeal pain is guided by the Spine Imaging Guidelines (Sacroiliac Joint Pain/Sacroiliitis (SP-10.1) and Coccydynia without Neurological Features (SP-5.2))
- **Hip** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Knee** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - For patellofemoral pain or tracking issues where surgery is being considered - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Ankle** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started
- **Foot** - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - For investigation of sesamoid disorders:
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700) of the foot
 - For investigation of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) (Type 1) at the foot:
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
 - MRI Foot without contrast (CPT® 73718)
 - Criteria regarding nuclear medicine imaging of CRPS is found in: **Nuclear Medicine (MS-28)**

Evidence Discussion (MS-32)

MS.PN.0032.0

v2.0.2026

General, unspecific, musculoskeletal pain and trauma may not always present in a definitive manner. An individual may present with symptoms similar to multiple differential diagnoses. Imaging, as part of a comprehensive examination and assessment, can be useful in these situations to help rule-in or -out a certain diagnosis so as to develop a clinical course of action. The American College of Radiology (ACR) has recommended x-ray as the initial evaluation image for the vast majority of non-specific acute¹⁻⁷ and chronic⁸⁻¹⁴ musculoskeletal pain. Initial x-rays may provide sufficient information, along with the clinical evaluation, to form a diagnosis. These initial images can be helpful in determining if a course of conservative treatment is recommended. In some instances, the initial x-rays or US may help determine a diagnosis or that more advanced images or procedures are appropriate.¹⁵

Advanced imaging can often demonstrate abnormalities that have no relevance to the patient's symptoms. Studies have shown that advanced imaging may demonstrate musculoskeletal abnormalities that do not affect their quality of life.¹⁶ Focusing on incidental findings can lead to overtreatment through unnecessary referral to medical specialists or unneeded medical procedures. Advanced imaging can have additional risks to the individual beyond those of initial plain images. There are risks associated with additional exposure to radiation. There can also be complications due to implantable devices or metallic foreign bodies in the individual. Risk mitigation needs to be weighed when advanced imaging is being considered, especially in relation to repeat or serial advanced imaging.^{17,18} Musculoskeletal complaints may respond to care prior to needing advanced imaging. Current ACR guidance has recommended obtaining initial plain x-rays and having the individual follow a course of provider directed conservative care prior to seeking advanced imaging for many nonspecific complaints.⁸⁻¹⁴ The potential clinical benefit of starting conservative care and not needing advanced images or additional procedures may outweigh the potential harm of a brief delay in obtaining said imaging.

MRI is commonly recommended as the next image technology of choice.¹⁻¹⁴ The use of contrast media during imaging will depend on the clinical presentation and the particular tissues suspected to be injured. When x-rays are negative and there is suspicion of soft tissue involvement MRI can be helpful to visualize tissue damage and effusion. CT may also be helpful in situations where MRI is contraindicated, or x-rays were unclear and bony involvement continues to be suspect.¹⁻¹⁴

References (MS-32)

MS.PN.0032.0

v2.0.2026

1. Laur O, Ha A, Bartolotta R et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Shoulder Pain Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69433/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
2. Chen KC, Ha AS, Bartolotta RJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Elbow and Forearm Pain. Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3195154/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
3. Torabi M, Lenchik L, Beaman F et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hand and Wrist Trauma. Revised 2018. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69418/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
4. Bartolotta R, Ha A, Bateni C et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Hip Pain. Revised 2024. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3082587/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
5. Taljanovic M, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the knee. Revised 2019. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
6. Smith S, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the Ankle. Revised 2020. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69436/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
7. Gorbachova T, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Acute Trauma to the Foot. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70546/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2019.
8. Nancy N, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Shoulder Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101482/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revision 2022.
9. Thomas J, Chang E, Ha A et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Elbow Pain. Revised 2022. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69423/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
10. Stensby J, Fox M, Nacey N et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Hand and Wrist Pain. Revised 2023. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69427/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
11. Jawetz S, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Hip Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69425/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
12. Fox M, Chang E, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Knee Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69432/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
13. Chang E, Tadros A, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Ankle Pain. Revised 2017. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69422/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
14. Tafur M, Bencardino J, Roberts C et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Foot Pain, Revised 2020. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69424/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
15. Cuff A, Parton S, Tyer R et al. Guidelines for the use of diagnostic imaging in musculoskeletal pain conditions affecting the lower back, knee, and shoulder: A scoping review. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2020;18(4):546-554. Doi: 10.1002/msc.1497.
16. Schwartzberg R, Reuss BL, Burkhart BG, et al. High prevalence of superior labral tears diagnosed by MRI in middle-aged patients with asymptomatic shoulders. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2016 Jan 5;4(1):2325967115623212. doi: 10.1177/2325967115623212.
17. Watson RE, Yu L. Safety Considerations in MRI and CT. *Continuum (Minneapolis)*. 2023 Feb 1;29(1):27-53.
18. Pompan DC. Appropriate use of MRI for evaluating common musculoskeletal conditions. *Am Fam Physician*. 2011 Apr 15;83(8):883-4 t

Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16)

Guideline	Page
Joint Replacement Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16.1).....	104
General Musculoskeletal Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16.2).....	107
Evidence Discussion (MS-16).....	109
References (MS-16).....	110

Joint Replacement Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16.1)

MS.PS.0016.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), the following must be met prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning of joint replacement post-op symptoms (e.g., aseptic loosening, infection, fracture, pseudotumor, implant performance):

- Plain x-ray imaging of the suspected area must first be performed after the current post-operative episode of symptoms started with results available to the requesting provider
- See specific details throughout the section regarding any requirements for when conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging is required
- For suspected periprosthetic infection - Joint aspiration culture is the initial evaluation after plain x-ray for a painful joint replacement when periprosthetic infection is suspected
- Criteria regarding any **nuclear medicine imaging** of suspected post-operative joint replacement complications (e.g., aseptic loosening, peri-prosthetic fracture, suspected infection) is found in: **Nuclear Medicine (MS-28)**

Advanced imaging for further investigation of joint replacement post-operative follow-up is medically necessary as follows:

- **Shoulder:**
 - Suspected aseptic loosening or fracture – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221), OR CT without contrast (CPT® 73200)
 - Suspected infection with negative or inconclusive aspiration culture – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221), MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73223) OR CT with contrast (CPT® 73201)
 - Possible rotator cuff tear – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221) OR CT with contrast (CPT® 73201)
 - Possible nerve injury – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) OR MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
- **Elbow/Wrist:**
 - Suspected aseptic loosening or fracture – CT without contrast (CPT® 73200)
 - Suspected infection with negative or inconclusive aspiration culture – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221), MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73223) OR CT with contrast (CPT® 73201)

- **Hip:**

- Suspected aseptic loosening or fracture – CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Suspected infection with negative or inconclusive aspiration culture – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73723), CT with contrast (CPT® 73701), OR CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Suspected particle disease (aggressive granulomatosis) after infection has been excluded – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), OR CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Suspected periprosthetic fracture after non-diagnostic x-ray – CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Suspected component malposition or heterotopic bone – CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Suspected metal-on-metal implant with high-risk of performance issues from cup-neck impingement and adverse local tissue reaction (ALTR, with Co and Cr ion levels greater than 10 ppb) – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) OR MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
- Suspected Aseptic lymphocytic-dominated vasculitis lesion (ALVAL) pseudotumor surrounding metal-on-metal prosthesis – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) OR MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
- Suspected tendinitis/bursitis, abductor injury or other soft tissue abnormality – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) OR MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- Possible nerve injury – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)

- **Knee:**

- Suspected aseptic loosening or fracture – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) OR CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Suspected infection with negative or inconclusive aspiration culture – US (CPT® 76881 or 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73723) OR CT with contrast (CPT® 73701)
- Suspected periprosthetic fracture – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), OR CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Suspected osteolysis or component instability, rotation, or wear – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), OR CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Suspected periprosthetic soft tissue abnormality unrelated to infection (e.g. tendinopathy, arthrofibrosis, patellar clunk, impingement of nerves or soft tissue) - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), OR MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)

- Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started is required
- **Ankle:**
 - Suspected aseptic loosening or fracture – CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Suspected infection with negative or inconclusive aspiration culture – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73723) OR CT with contrast (CPT® 73701)

General Musculoskeletal Post-Operative Follow-Up (MS-16.2)

MS.PS.0016.2

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), the following must be met prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning of general musculoskeletal post-operative (post-op) symptoms (e.g., fracture fixation, tendon/ligament repair, labral repair):

- Plain x-ray imaging of the suspected area must first be obtained after the current post-operative episode of symptoms started and results are available to the provider
- Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current post-op episode of symptoms started

When all of the above criteria have been met, further investigation in symptomatic individuals is medically necessary for post-operative follow-up as follows:

- **Shoulder:**
 - Post rotator cuff repair – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221), MRI with contrast (CPT® 73222) OR CT with contrast if MRI contraindicated (CPT® 73201)
 - Post impingement or labral repair - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221), MRI with contrast (CPT® 73222) OR CT with contrast if MRI contraindicated (CPT® 73201)
- **Elbow:**
 - Post complex fracture surgery – CT without contrast (CPT® 73200)
 - Post soft-tissue surgery – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73221)
- **Wrist:**
 - Post complex fracture surgery – CT without contrast (CPT® 73200)
 - Post instability and/or ligament surgery (e.g., TFCC, DRUJ instability) surgery – MRI with contrast (CPT® 73222)
- **Hand:**
 - Post soft-tissue or complex fracture surgery – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73218) OR CT without contrast (CPT® 73200)
- **Pelvis:**
 - Post complex pelvic ring/acetabular fracture surgery – CT without contrast (CPT® 72192)
- **Hip:**

- Fracture surgery or post-op for avascular necrosis – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
- Post Femoroacetabular Impingement or labral repairs – MRI with contrast (CPT® 73722)
- **Knee:**
 - Post fracture or dislocation surgery – CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Post ligament reconstruction or meniscus tear surgery – MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) OR MRI with contrast (CPT® 73722)
- **Ankle:**
 - Post complex fracture surgery – CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Post ligament or tendon injury surgery – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) OR MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721)
- **Foot:**
 - Post complex fracture surgery – CT without contrast (CPT® 73700)
 - Post ligament, tendon or plantar plate surgery – US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) OR MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718)

Evidence Discussion (MS-16)

MS.PS.0016.0

v2.0.2026

The American College of Radiology (ACR) has recommended plain x-rays as the initial study for routine follow up of asymptomatic patients and symptomatic individuals who have undergone surgery for musculoskeletal injury.¹⁻⁴ Plain x-rays can identify fractures and show signs of hardware loosening, wear, osteolysis or infection. Blood tests and joint aspiration with synovial fluid laboratory analysis may be sufficient to diagnosis post-surgical infection. Joint aspiration with synovial fluid analysis remains the most useful test for confirming the presence or absence of infection and identifying the causative organism.²⁻⁷ When plain x-rays, and laboratory tests as applicable, are negative or inconclusive and there is a suspicion of post-surgical complications, advanced imaging can help to identify what may be the cause of the individual's symptoms.

When there is suspicion of a post-surgical soft tissue abnormality (e.g., tendinitis, tendinopathy, bursitis, arthrofibrosis), a course of conservative care prior to advanced imaging can allow many individuals to improve^{8,9}, thus eliminating the need for advanced imaging. This may avoid unnecessary exposure to radiation from imaging and additional costs of imaging. If there is failure to improve, advanced imaging would be appropriate. Suspicion of more significant complications following joint surgery may benefit from advanced imaging without a trial of conservative care.

The ACR further recommended MRI, CT, and US as advanced imaging options when further assessing post-surgical complications. Their recommendations vary based on the clinical scenario.²⁻⁴ Complications with implanted hardware (e.g., loosening, component rotation, hardware fracture) may greatly impair an individual's function and may lead to additional negative sequelae if not addressed in a timely manner. MRI has been identified as accurate diagnostic tools in evaluating aseptic loosening following knee replacement.¹⁰ Suspected torn rotator cuff tendons in individuals that have undergone total shoulder arthroplasty may also be difficult to diagnose without advanced imaging due to the various techniques used to release the subscapularis tendon during surgery.³ MRI has been demonstrated to have good intra- and inter-rater reliability in determining the structural integrity of tendons following surgery.^{11,12} Individuals with metal-on-metal hip replacements are at risk for adverse local tissue reactions including metallosis, pseudotumor and generalized synovitis that could result in tissue damage. Prompt evaluation, including advanced imaging, would be appropriate to best mitigate these risks.¹³

References (MS-16)

MS.PS.0016.0

v2.0.2026

1. Nancy N, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Shoulder Pain. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3101482/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revision 2022.
2. Walker E, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Imaging After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Revised 2023. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69430/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
3. Roberts C, Metter D, Fox M et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Imaging After Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. Revised 2021. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3097049/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
4. Weissman B, Palestro C, Fox M et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Imaging After Total Hip Arthroplasty. Revised 2023. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094200/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology.
5. Zahar A, Sarungi M. Diagnosis and management of the infected total knee replacement: a practical surgical guide. *J Exp Orthop*. 2021 Feb 22;8(1):14.
6. Schinsky MF, Della Valle CJ, Sporer SM, Paprosky WG. Perioperative testing for joint infection in patients undergoing revision total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2008 Sep;90(9):1869-75. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.G.01255. Erratum in: *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2010 Mar;92(3):707.
7. Toms AD, Davidson D, Masri BA, et al. Management of peri-prosthetic infection in total joint arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2006;88(2):149-155. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.88B2.17058.
8. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Management of Glenohumeral Joint Osteoarthritis Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. www.aaos.org/gjocpg. Published March 23, 2020.
9. Nawabi DH, Gold S, Lyman SL, et al. MRI predicts ALVAL and tissue damage in metal-on-metal hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2014;472(2):471-481. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-2788-y.
10. Jette DU, Hunter SJ, Burkett L et al. Physical Therapist Management of Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Phys Ther*. 2020; 100: 1603-1631.
11. Buijs GS, Kooijenga AC, Rikken QGH, Schafoth MU, Kievit AJ, Blankevoort L. MRI and SPECT/CT demonstrate, with low certainty of evidence, the highest diagnostic accuracy for aseptic knee arthroplasty loosening: A systematic comparative diagnostic test review and meta-analysis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2024 Aug;32(8):2061-2074. doi: 10.1002/ksa.12206.
12. Saccomanno MF, Cazzato G, Fodale M, Sircana G, Milano G. Magnetic resonance imaging criteria for the assessment of the rotator cuff after repair: a systematic review. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2015 Feb;23(2):423-42. doi: 10.1007/s00167-014-3486-3.
13. Gyftopoulos S, Cardoso MDS, Rodrigues TC, Qian K, Chang CY. Postoperative Imaging of the Rotator Cuff: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2022 Nov;219(5):717-723. doi: 10.2214/AJR.22.27847.
14. Hall DJ, Pourzal R, Jacobs JJ. What Surgeons Need to Know About Adverse Local Tissue Reaction in Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2020 Jun;35(6S):S55-S59.

Tissue Growths, Masses and Cysts (MS-10)

Guideline	Page
Soft Tissue Mass and Morton's Neuroma (MS-10.1).....	112
Bone Lesion (MS-10.2).....	114
Cysts (MS-10.3).....	115
Evidence Discussion (MS-10).....	116
References (MS-10).....	118

Soft Tissue Mass and Morton's Neuroma (MS-10.1)

MS.ST.0010.1

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), the following must be met prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning of soft tissue masses and Morton's neuroma:

- Initial plain x-ray imaging of the suspected area must first be performed after the current episode of symptoms started. Results of these plain x-rays need to be available to the requesting provider
- Additional documentation of clinical features if specifically stated below
- See specific details throughout the section regarding any requirements for when conservative care prior to requesting advanced imaging is required

Soft Tissue Mass

Plain x-ray is indicated as the initial imaging study, with the exception of individuals with cancer predisposition syndrome (If there is a known or suspected soft tissue mass in an individual with a cancer predisposition syndrome, see: Screening Imaging in **Cancer Predisposition Syndromes (PEDONC-2)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines). History and physical exam of any palpable soft tissue mass should include documentation of any one or more of the following clinical features:

- Increase in volume/size
- More than 5cm in diameter
- Painful
- Deep or subfascial location

After the initial history, exam and plain imaging have been performed and further investigation is needed the following advanced imaging is medically necessary for evaluation of soft tissue masses:

- MRI without and with contrast, or MRI without contrast, or US of the area of interest (CPT® 76881 or 76882) is appropriate when ANY of the following are met after plain x-ray:
 - Soft tissue mass(es) which are increasing in volume/size, more than 5 cm in diameter, painful or deep or in a subfascial location
 - Surgical planning

- CT with contrast or CT without and with contrast is appropriate when MRI is contraindicated or after a metal limiting MRI evaluation

Advanced imaging is not medically necessary for subcutaneous lipoma with no surgery planned

Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome soft tissue mass entrapment (Baxter's Neuropathy)

After the x-rays have been performed and results are available to the provider, and there has been failure of at least 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started, the following advanced imaging is medically necessary for preoperative planning if mass is suspected as etiology of entrapment:

- US Foot (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) or MRI Foot without contrast (CPT® 73718)

Morton's Neuroma

After the x-rays have been performed and results are available to the provider, and there has been failure of at least 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment, including clinical re-evaluation, occurring after the current episode of symptoms started, the following advanced imaging is medically necessary for further investigation of Morton's Neuroma for preoperative planning:

- US Foot (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI Foot without contrast (CPT® 73718) or MRI Foot without and with contrast (CPT® 73720)

Bone Lesion (MS-10.2)

MS.ST.0010.2

v2.0.2026

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), the following must be met prior to requesting advanced imaging for further investigation and/or treatment planning of suspected abnormal bone growth or lesion:

- Initial plain x-ray imaging of the entire bone containing the lesion must first be performed after the current episode of symptoms started. Results need to be available to the requesting provider.

After the x-rays have been performed and further investigation is needed advanced imaging is medically necessary for the following scenarios:

- Diagnosis uncertain based on plain x-ray appearance - MRI without contrast, MRI without and with contrast, or CT without contrast
- Imaging requested for preoperative planning – MRI without contrast, MRI without and with contrast, or CT without contrast
- Plain x-ray reveals an osteochondroma with clinical concern of malignant transformation - MRI without contrast or MRI with and without contrast
- For Paget's Disease - MRI (contrast as requested) can be considered if the diagnosis (based on plain x-rays and laboratory studies) is in doubt or malignant degeneration is suspected
 - Criteria regarding **nuclear medicine imaging** is found in: **Nuclear Medicine (MS-28)**

Cysts (MS-10.3)

MS.ST.0010.3**v2.0.2026**

As outlined in General Guidelines (MS-1.0), the following must be met prior to requesting advanced imaging for treatment planning of soft tissue masses and cysts:

- Initial plain x-rays must be performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed. Results need to be available to the requesting provider.

After the initial imaging has been performed and further investigation is needed for surgical planning to address ganglion or other musculoskeletal related cysts, (e.g., Popliteal) the following advanced imaging is medically necessary:

- **Ganglion Cyst** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI without contrast or MRI without and with contrast
- **Popliteal (Baker's) Cyst** - US (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882), MRI Knee without contrast (CPT® 73721)

Advanced imaging is not medically necessary for:

- Ganglia that can be diagnosed by history and physical examination
- Sebaceous cyst, as these cysts are benign and additional imaging typically will not change the treatment plan

Evidence Discussion (MS-10)

MS.ST.0010.0

v2.0.2026

The American College of Radiology (ACR) has recommended the use of x-rays as the initial image when evaluating suspected bone tumor. They recommended that a complete x-ray of the entire lesion-containing bone be evaluated prior to considering any advanced imaging.¹ Many benign bone tumors have a characteristic appearance on plain x-ray. Along with a relevant history and physical examination, x-rays may be sufficient for diagnosis and would avoid additional cost and risks associated with advanced images. If plain x-rays are equivocal, they may still direct further imaging with the correct modality. Furthermore, plain x-ray may provide complementary information to advanced imaging, allowing for a better overall interpretation. Clearly benign findings on exam (lipoma, ganglion, sebaceous cyst) do not need additional imaging prior to treatment unless the imaging was necessary for surgical management or for a possible malignancy.

When diagnostic uncertainty remains and concerns for malignant degeneration still exist, or imaging is requested for surgical planning, advanced imaging would be medically necessary. MRI is a superior modality for evaluation of many bone tumors. The ACR recommended MRI as the primary modality for advanced imaging of primary bone tumors. MRI can be useful in narrowing down differential diagnoses and in determining the need for biopsy.¹ CT may be appropriate when an individual presents with contraindications to MRI.

Current ACR recommendations have supported plain x-ray as an initial imaging option when evaluating individuals with suspicion of superficial soft tissue masses.² X-ray can be helpful in visualizing soft tissue masses and aid in further management planning. It is often the modality of choice for initial imaging. US is being recommended as an excellent triage tool more frequently for soft tissue masses that are more superficial, further supporting the recommendation for its use by the ACR.^{3,4} US has been found to have high accuracy in defining superficial (superior to investing fascia) soft tissues masses with a sensitivity of 93% and specificity of 98%.⁵ A recent study found that US was more predictive of the histology of lipomatous tumors than the use of predictive clinical indicators.⁶

Common clinical features of soft tissue sarcoma can be helpful in the differentiation of soft tissue masses and decisions for additional biopsy. In many cases, a thorough exam, history and initial imaging may be sufficient to determine a diagnosis and management plan.⁷ When clinical findings and initial imaging is insufficient to diagnose suspicious soft tissue masses MRI without and with IV contrast is primarily recommended as the next advanced image of choice.²⁻⁴ MRI capabilities present many advantages to other imaging techniques for the use of advanced diagnostics of soft tissue masses. Improved

contrast, multiplanar views, visualization of involved vascular structures and better demarcation between tissue types/levels (with the aid of contrast agents) are among the most prominent advantages to MRI.^{2,3} When MRI is contraindicated, CT imaging without and with IV contrast can provide similarly diagnostic data as MRI and is considered an important adjunct option to MRI.²

ACR imaging recommendations supports both plain xray and ultrasound as initial imaging options when evaluating individuals with suspicion of soft tissue cysts.² While x-ray findings may not fully demonstrate a small ganglionic mass they can still be beneficial in visualizing the surrounding anatomy and in determining the next steps for care. In a recent systematic review of 941 ganglion cyst cases MRI and US were the most commonly reported imaging study. It was recommended that US can be a beneficial option for triage. However, it was found that MRI was much better at showing the underlying pathoanatomy of masses and their surrounding area than US when evaluating intraneural ganglion cysts.⁸ The utility of MRI for preoperative visibility of dorsal wrist ganglion and any associated pathoanatomy has also been demonstrated. MRI would be more useful for preoperative planning.⁹

References (MS-10)

MS.ST.0010.0

v2.0.2026

1. Ahlawat S, Lenchik L, Baker JC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Primary Bone Tumors. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69421/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024.
2. Garner HW, Wessell DE, Lenchik L, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Soft Tissue Masses. Available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69434/Narrative/>. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
3. Hayes AJ, Nixon IF, Strauss DC, Seddon BM, Desai A, Benson C, Judson IR, Dangoor A. UK guidelines for the management of soft tissue sarcomas. *Br J Cancer*. 2025 Jan;132(1):11-31. doi: 10.1038/s41416-024-02674-y.
4. Jacobson JA, Middleton WD, Allison SJ, Dahiya N, Lee KS, Levine BD, Lucas DR, Murphey MD, Nazarian LN, Siegel GW, Wagner JM. Ultrasonography of Superficial Soft-Tissue Masses: Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound Consensus Conference Statement. *Radiology*. 2022 Jul;304(1):18-30. doi: 10.1148/radiol.211101.
5. Hung EHY, Griffith JF, Yip SWY, Ivory M, Lee JCH, Ng AWH, Tong CSL. Accuracy of ultrasound in the characterization of superficial soft tissue tumors: a prospective study. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2020 Jun;49(6):883-892. doi: 10.1007/s00256-019-03365-z.
6. Khan K, Azzopardi E, Camilleri L, Azzopardi EA, Bragg TH. Value of clinical, ultrasonographic and MRI signs as diagnostic differentiators of non-benign lipomatous tumours. *Sci Rep*. 2020 Nov 27;10(1):20756. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-77244-2.
7. Rochwerger A, Mattei JC. Management of soft tissue tumors of the musculoskeletal system. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2018;104(1S):S9-S17. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2017.05.031
8. Lenartowicz KA, Wolf AS, Desy NM, Strakowski JA, Amrami KK, Spinner RJ. Preoperative Imaging of Intraneural Ganglion Cysts: A Critical Systematic Analysis of the World Literature. *World Neurosurg*. 2022 Oct;166:e968-e979. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2022.08.005.
9. Ferreira Branco D, Botti P, Bouvet C, Abs B, Buzzi M, Beaulieu JY, Poletti PA, Bouredoucen H, Boudabbous S. Dorsal wrist ganglion: clinical and imaging correlation in symptomatic population based on high-field MRI. *Eur Radiol*. 2024 Dec;34(12):7856-7863. doi: 10.1007/s00330-024-10831-3.