CIGNA MEDICAL COVERAGE POLICIES- RADIOLOGY

Pediatric Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines

Effective Date: September 1, 2026

Instructions for use

The following coverage policy applies to health benefit plans administered by Cigna. Coverage policies are intended to provide guidance in interpreting certain standard Cigna benefit plans and are used by medical directors and other health care professionals in making medical necessity and other coverage determinations. Please note the terms of a customer's particular benefit plan document may differ significantly from the standard benefit plans upon which these coverage policies are based. For example, a customer's benefit plan document may contain a specific exclusion related to a topic addressed in a coverage policy.

In the event of a conflict, a customer's benefit plan document always supersedes the information in the coverage policy. In the absence of federal or state coverage mandates, benefits are ultimately determined by the terms of the applicable benefit plan document. Coverage determinations in each specific instance require consideration of:

1. The terms of the applicable benefit plan document in effect on the date of service
2. Any applicable laws and regulations
3. Any relevant collateral source materials including coverage policies
4. The specific facts of the particular situation

Coverage policies relate exclusively to the administration of health benefit plans. Coverage policies are not recommendations for treatment and should never be used as treatment guidelines.

This evidence-based medical coverage policy has been developed by EviCore, Inc. Some information in this coverage policy may not apply to all benefit plans administered by Cigna.

These guidelines include procedures EviCore does not review for Cigna. Please refer to the **Cigna CPT code list** for the current list of high-tech imaging procedures that EviCore reviews for Cigna.

Table of Contents

General Guidelines (PEDMS-1.0)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Procedure Codes Associated with Musculoskeletal Imaging (PEDMS)

MSP.GG.ProcedureCodes.C
v2.0.2026

MRI	CPT®
MRI Upper Extremity non-joint without contrast	73218
MRI Upper Extremity non-joint with contrast (rarely used)	73219
MRI Upper Extremity non-joint without and with contrast	73220
MRI Upper Extremity joint without contrast	73221
MRI Upper Extremity joint with contrast (rarely used)	73222
MRI Upper Extremity joint without and with contrast	73223
MRI Lower Extremity non-joint without contrast	73718
MRI Lower Extremity non-joint with contrast (rarely used)	73719
MRI Lower Extremity non-joint without and with contrast	73720
MRI Lower Extremity joint without contrast	73721
MRI Lower Extremity joint with contrast (rarely used)	73722
MRI Lower Extremity joint without and with contrast	73723
Unlisted MRI procedure (for radiation planning or surgical software)	76498

MRA	CPT®
MRA Upper Extremity	73225
MRA Lower Extremity	73725

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

CT	CPT®
CT Upper Extremity without contrast	73200
CT Upper Extremity with contrast	73201
CT Upper Extremity without and with contrast	73202
CT Lower Extremity without contrast	73700
CT Lower Extremity with contrast	73701
CT Lower Extremity without and with contrast	73702
CT Chest without contrast	71250
CT Chest with contrast	71260
CT Abdomen with contrast	74160
CT Pelvis with contrast	72193
CT Abdomen and Pelvis with contrast	74177
Bone Mineral Density CT, on or more sites, axial skeleton	77078
CT Guidance for Placement of Radiation Therapy Fields	77014
Unlisted CT procedure (for radiation planning or surgical software)	76497

CTA	CPT®
CTA Upper Extremity	73206
CTA Lower Extremity	73706

Ultrasound	CPT®
Ultrasound, extremity, nonvascular; complete joint	76881
Ultrasound, extremity, nonvascular; limited, anatomic specific for focal abnormality	76882

Ultrasound	CPT®
Ultrasound, infant hips; dynamic (requiring physician manipulation)	76885
Ultrasound, infant hips; limited, static (not requiring physician manipulation)	76886
Ultrasound, axilla	76882
Ultrasound, upper back	76604
Ultrasound, lower back	76705
Ultrasound, other soft tissue areas not otherwise specified	76999
Limited bilateral noninvasive physiologic studies of upper or lower extremity arteries	93922
Complete bilateral noninvasive physiologic studies of upper or lower extremity arteries	93923
Duplex scan of upper extremity arteries or arterial bypass grafts; complete bilateral	93930
Duplex scan of upper extremity arteries or arterial bypass grafts; unilateral or limited	93931
Duplex scan of extremity veins including responses to compression and other maneuvers; complete bilateral study	93970
Duplex scan of extremity veins including responses to compression and other maneuvers; unilateral or limited study	93971
Duplex scan of hemodialysis access (including arterial inflow, body of access and venous outflow)	93990

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

General Guidelines (PEDMS-1.0)

MSP.GG.0001.0.A

v2.0.2026

- A pertinent clinical evaluation including a detailed history, physical examination, appropriate laboratory studies and basic imaging such as plain x-ray or ultrasound should be performed prior to considering advanced imaging (CT, MR, Nuclear Medicine), unless the individual is undergoing guideline-supported scheduled imaging evaluation. A meaningful technological contact (telehealth visit, telephone call, electronic mail or messaging) can serve as a pertinent clinical evaluation.
- Plain x-ray should be done prior to advanced imaging. The results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider of the advanced imaging study. X-ray can rule out those situations that do not require advanced imaging, such as acute/healing fracture, osteomyelitis, and tumors of bone amenable to biopsy or radiation therapy (in known metastatic disease), etc.
  - Even in soft tissue masses, plain x-rays are helpful in evaluating for calcium/bony deposits, e.g. myositis ossificans and invasion of bone.
- Unless otherwise stated in a specific guideline section, repeat imaging studies of the same body area are not necessary unless there is evidence for progression of disease, new onset of disease, and/or documentation of how repeat imaging will affect individual management or treatment decisions.
- Provider-directed conservative care may include any or all of the following: R.I.C.E (rest, ice, compression, and elevation), NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), narcotic and non-narcotic analgesic medications, oral or injectable corticosteroids, viscosupplementation injections, a provider-directed home exercise program, cross-training, physical medicine, or immobilization by splinting/casting/bracing.
- These guidelines are based upon using advanced imaging to answer specific clinical questions that will affect patient management. Imaging is not indicated if the results will not affect individual management decisions. Standard medical practice would dictate continuing conservative therapy prior to advanced imaging in individuals who are improving on current treatment programs.

Health Equity Consideration

Health equity is the highest level of health for all individuals; health inequity is the avoidable difference in health status or distribution of health resources due to the social conditions in which individuals are born, grow, live, work, and age. Social determinants of health are the conditions in the environment that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality of life outcomes and risks. Examples include the following: safe housing, transportation, and neighborhoods; racism, discrimination, and violence;

education, job opportunities, and income; access to nutritious foods and physical activity opportunities; access to clean air and water; and language and literacy skills.

Age Considerations (PEDMS-1.1)

MSP.GG.0001.1.A

v2.0.2026

- Many conditions affecting the musculoskeletal system in the pediatric population have different diagnoses than those occurring in the adult population. For those diseases which occur in both pediatric and adult populations, differences may exist in management due to individual age, comorbidities, and differences in disease natural history between children and adults.
- Individuals who are ≤18 years old should be imaged according to the Pediatric Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines if discussed. Any conditions not specifically discussed in the Pediatric Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines should be imaged according to the General Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines. Individuals who are >18 years old should be imaged according to the General Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines except where directed otherwise by a specific guideline section.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Appropriate Clinical Evaluation and Conservative Treatment (PEDMS-1.2)

MSP.GG.0001.2.A
v2.0.2026

- See: **General Guidelines (PEDMS-1.0)**

Modality General Considerations (PEDMS-1.3)

MSP.GG.0001.3.C
v2.0.2026

- MRI
  - MRI without contrast is the preferred modality for pediatric musculoskeletal imaging unless otherwise stated in a specific guideline section, as it is superior in imaging the soft tissues and can also define physiological processes in some instances, e.g. edema, loss of circulation (AVN), and increased vascularity (tumors).
  - MRI without and with contrast is frequently recommended for evaluation of tumors, infection, post-operative evaluation, arthrography, and juvenile idiopathic arthritis, as described in the disease-specific guideline sections.
  - Due to the length of time required for MRI acquisition and the need to minimize movement, anesthesia is usually required for almost all infants (except neonates) and young children (age <7 years), as well as older children with delays in development or maturity. This anesthesia may be administered via oral or intravenous route. In this individual population, MRI sessions should be planned with a goal of minimizing anesthesia exposure by adhering to the following considerations:
    - MRI procedures can be performed without and/or with contrast as supported by these condition-based guidelines. If intravenous access will already be present for anesthesia administration and there is no contraindication for using contrast, imaging without and with contrast may be appropriate if requested. By doing so, the requesting provider may avoid repetitive anesthesia administration to perform an MRI with contrast if the initial study without contrast is inconclusive.
      - Evidence-based literature demonstrates the potential for gadolinium deposition in various organs including the brain, after the use of MRI contrast.
      - The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has noted that there is currently no evidence to suggest that gadolinium retention in the brain is harmful and restricting gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) use is not warranted at this time. It has been recommended that GBCA use should be limited to circumstances in which additional information provided by the contrast agent is necessary and the necessity of repetitive MRIs with GBCAs should be assessed.
    - If multiple body areas are supported by these guidelines for the clinical condition being evaluated, MRI of all necessary body areas should be obtained concurrently in the same imaging session.

  - The presence of surgical hardware or implanted devices may preclude MRI, as magnetic field distortion may limit detail in adjacent structures. CT may be the procedure of choice in these cases.
  - The selection of best examination may require coordination between the provider and the imaging service.
- CT
  - CT without contrast is generally superior to MRI for imaging bone and joint anatomy; thus it is useful for studying complex fractures (particularly of the joints, dislocations, and assessing delayed union or non-union of fractures, integration of bone graft material, if plain x-rays are equivocal.
    - CT should not be used to replace MRI in an attempt to avoid sedation unless listed as a recommended study in a specific guideline section.
  - CT beam attenuation can result in streak artifact which can obscure adjacent details. This can occur with radiopaque material such as metal objects or dense bones.
  - The selection of best examination may require coordination between the requesting provider and the rendering imaging facility.
- Ultrasound
  - Ultrasound is frequently used to evaluate infants for hip dysplasia, to detect and/or aspirate joint effusion, and as an initial evaluation of extremity soft tissue masses.
  - CPT® codes vary by body area and the use of Doppler imaging. These CPT® codes are included in the table at the beginning of this guideline.
- 3D Rendering
  - 3D Rendering indications in pediatric musculoskeletal imaging are identical to those in the general imaging guidelines. See: *3D Rendering (MS-3)* for imaging guidelines.

The guidelines listed in this section for certain specific indications are not intended to be all-inclusive; clinical judgment remains paramount and variance from these guidelines may be appropriate and warranted for specific clinical situations.

References (PEDMS-1)

v2.0.2026

1. ACR–ASER–SCBT-MR–SPR Practice Parameter for the performance of pediatric computed tomography (CT). Revised 2019 (Resolution 6). https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CT-Ped.pdf?la=en
2. ACR–SPR–SSR Practice Parameter for the performance of radiography of the extremities. Revised 2018 (Resolution 6). https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Rad-Extremity.pdf?la=en
3. ACR Practice Parameter for performing and interpreting magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Revised 2022 (Resolution 8). https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Perf-Interpret.pdf.
4. Biassoni L, Easty M. Paediatric nuclear medicine imaging. *Br Med Bull*. 2017;123(1):127-148. doi:10.1093/bmb/ldx025.
5. Hindorf C, Glatting G, Chiesa C, et al. EANM Dosimetry committee guidelines for bone marrow and whole body dosimetry. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2010;37(6):1238-1250. doi:10.1007/s00259-010-1422-4.
6. Hryhorczuk AL, Restropo R. Pediatric musculoskeletal ultrasound: practical imaging approach. *AJR.* 2016;206:W62-W72. doi:10.2214/AJR.15.15858.
7. Fraum TJ, Ludwig DR, Bashir MR, et al. Gadolinium-based contrast agents: a comprehensive risk assessment. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging*. 2017;46(2):338–353. doi:10.1002/jmri.25625.
8. FDA Medical Imaging Drug Advisory Committee meeting 9/8/17 Minutes available at: https://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/MedicalImagingDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/UCM574746.pdf.
9. Eutsler EP, Siegel MJ. Musculoskeletal system and vascular imaging. In: Siegel MJ eds. *Pediatric sonography.* 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA:. Wolters Kluwer; 2018:601-642.
10. Fotenos, A. *Update on FDA approach to safety issue of gadolinium retention after administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents*. FDA. https://www.fda.gov/media/116492/download. Accessed April 22, 2020.
11. Blumfield E, Swenson DW, Iyer RS, Stanescu AL. Gadolinium-based contrast agents – review of recent literature on magnetic resonance imaging signal intensity changes and tissue deposits, with emphasis on pediatric patients. *Pediatric Radiology.* 2019;49(4):448-457. doi:10.1007/s00247-018-4304-8.
12. Implementation Guide: Medicaid State Plan Eligibility Eligibility Groups Mandatory Coverage Infants and Children under Age 19 Guidance Portal. https://www.hhs.gov/guidance/document/implementation-guide-medicaid-state-plan-eligibility-eligibility-groups-aeu-mandatory-2.
13. Soares BP, Lequin MH, Huisman TAGM. Safety of Contrast Material Use in Children. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am*. 2017;25(4):779-785. doi:10.1016/j.mric.2017.06.009
14. Graham MR. Clinical update regarding general anesthesia-associated neurotoxicity in infants and children. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2017;30(6):682-687. doi:10.1097/ACO.0000000000000520

Fracture and Dislocation (PEDMS-2)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Fracture and Dislocation (PEDMS-2)

MSP.FX.0002.0.A

v2.0.2026

- A pertinent clinical evaluation including a detailed history, physical examination, and plain x-ray should be performed prior to considering advanced imaging.

Acute Fracture (PEDMS-2.1)

MSP.FX.0002.1.C

v2.0.2026

- Plain x-rays should be performed initially in any obvious or suspected acute fracture or dislocation.
  - If plain x-rays are positive, no further imaging is medically necessary except in complex (comminuted or displaced) joint fractures where MRI or CT without contrast is medically necessary for preoperative planning.
  - 3D Rendering may sometimes be medically necessary for complex fracture repairs. See: **3D Rendering (MS-3)** in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.
- Ultrasound (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) is medically necessary for evaluation of fracture, but is not required to allow for other advanced imaging, especially in infants. Ultrasound is typically a secondary method of fracture detection when used, though some centers use it as the sole imaging modality for skull and clavicle fractures.
- CT or MRI without contrast is medically necessary if plain x-rays are negative or equivocal for fracture, and fracture or bone marrow edema is still clinically suspected, and if the results will determine immediate treatment decisions as documented by the treating physician.

Joint-Adjacent Fracture (PEDMS-2.2)

MSP.FX.0002.2.A

v2.0.2026

- CT without contrast is medically necessary in complex (comminuted or displaced) fractures seen on plain x-ray involving a joint for preoperative planning.
- CT without contrast is medically necessary when there is clinical concern for delayed union or non-union of fracture or joint fusions on follow-up plain x-ray.

Growth Plate Injuries (Salter-Harris Fractures) (PEDMS-2.3)

MSP.FX.0002.3.C

v2.0.2026

- These fractures can generally be diagnosed and managed adequately with plain x-ray.
- If there is concern for delayed union or non-union of the bone seen on plain x-ray, CT without contrast is medically necessary.
- MRI without contrast is medically necessary for the evaluation of a suspected physeal bar in a healing fracture or other complication of a fracture involving the growth plate seen on plain x-ray or CT which may result in abnormal growth. While physeal bars may be seen on CT, some fibrous physeal bars can be missed on CT. As such, MRI is the preferred imaging modality.
- Compressive injuries of the growth plate (Salter-Harris V) injuries may be difficult to identify on plain films, and MRI without contrast is medically necessary for confirmation.

Osteochondral or Chondral Fractures, Including Osteochondritis Dissecans (PEDMS-2.4)

MSP.FX.0002.4.C

v2.0.2026

- If x-rays are negative and an osteochondral fracture is still suspected, or if x-ray or clinical exam suggests an unstable osteochondral injury, either MRI without contrast, MR arthrogram, or CT arthrogram of the involved joint is medically necessary.
- If plain x-rays show a non-displaced osteochondral fragment, follow up imaging should be with plain x-rays. Advanced imaging is not medically necessary.
- MRI without contrast or CT without contrast is medically necessary when healing cannot be adequately assessed on follow up plain x-rays.

Background and Supporting Information

An osteochondral fracture is a tear of the cartilage which covers the end of a bone, within a joint. It is also known as Osteochondritis Dissecans. In both disorders, the osteochondral fragment may separate from the articular surface and form loose bone fragments in a joint.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Stress/Occult Fracture (PEDMS-2.5)

MSP.FX.0002.5.C

v2.0.2026

- These fractures can usually be adequately evaluated by history, physical exam, and x-ray. Advanced imaging may be medically necessary as discussed below if the initial evaluation of history, physical exam, and plain x-ray fails to establish a definitive diagnosis.
- Plain x-rays should be performed before advanced imaging. Plain x-rays are often negative initially, but may become positive after 14 days.
- If stress or occult fracture is suspected involving the pelvis, sacrum, hip, femur, tibia, tarsal navicular, proximal 5th metatarsal, or scaphoid, and initial plain x-ray fails to establish a definitive diagnosis:
  - MRI or CT without contrast is medically necessary, without conservative care or follow-up plain x-rays
- For all other suspected stress or occult fractures, if follow-up plain x-rays are negative after 10 days of conservative care, or initial non-diagnostic x-ray is obtained a minimum of 14 days after the onset of symptoms:
  - MRI or CT without contrast is medically necessary
- Periodic follow-up plain x-rays will usually show progressive healing.
  - CT without contrast is medically necessary when there is clinical concern for non-union.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Compartment Syndrome (PEDMS-2.6)

MSP.FX.0002.6.A

v2.0.2026

- Acute compartment syndrome is a clinical diagnosis made by direct measurement of compartment pressure and is a surgical emergency. Advanced imaging is not medically necessary.
- See: Compartment syndrome within the **Muscle and Tendon Injuries (MS-11.0)** section of the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Physical Child Abuse (PEDMS-2.7)

MSP.FX.0002.7.A

v2.0.2026

- See: **Suspected Physical Child Abuse (PEDMS-7)** for imaging guidelines.

Evidence Discussion (PEDMS-2)

v2.0.2026

Diagnostic imaging plays a critical role in the evaluation and management of pediatric fractures. Initial imaging with plain radiographs remains the standard for suspected fractures and stress reactions in children, as recommended by the American College of Radiology (ACR).[1] When radiographs are inconclusive or negative but clinical suspicion persists, advanced imaging modalities such as MRI and CT are considered appropriate next steps. MRI is highly sensitive and specific for detecting stress fractures and physeal injuries, especially when radiographs are inconclusive.[2-4] CT can be useful in assessing cortical bone detail but it involves exposing an individual to ionizing radiation, which may not be recommended in pediatric populations.[5,6] Ultrasound has been shown to be effective in detecting fractures in infants and young children, making it an option that avoids exposure to radiation and/or sedation.[7,8]

The risks of potential harm or adverse effects on pediatric individuals during imaging should be considered. MRI, while highly sensitive and specific, may require sedation in younger children which introduces anesthesia-related risks.[3,9] CT and bones scans additionally expose children to ionizing radiation, which could carry long term consequences.[5,10] Ultrasound is generally safe, non-invasive and radiation free; however, it is operator-dependent and diagnostic accuracy can be variable.[7,8]

It has been recommended that special consideration be given to imaging of growth plate and overuse injuries in children. Growth plate injuries require careful imaging assessment due to the potential for long-term growth disturbances. MRI is preferred for detailed evaluation of the growth plate and surrounding structure.[9,11] Physeal injuries, often resulting from sports or trauma, are best evaluated initially with radiographs. MRI is the preferred modality for assessing cartilage integrity and subchondral bone involvement, aiding in treatment planning and prognosis.[11,12]

References (PEDMS-2)

v2.0.2026

1. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®. Available at: https://acsearch.acr.org/list.
2. Morrison WB, Deely D, Fox MG, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Stress (Fatigue-Insufficiency) Fracture including Sacrum Excluding Other Vertebrae. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024. Available at: https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69435/Narrative/.
3. Jawetz S, Fox M, Blankenbaker D et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Hip Pain. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69425/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
4. Chang E, Tadros A, Amini B et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Ankle Pain. Revised 2017. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69422/Narrative/. American College of Radiology.
5. Kraus R, Dresing K. Rational usage of fracture imaging in children and adolescents. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. 2023;13(3):538. doi:10.3390/diagnostics13030538.
6. Taljanovic MS, Chang EY, Ha AS, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®. Acute Trauma to the Knee. Last review date: 2019. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69419/Narrative/.
7. Moritz JD. Sonographic fracture diagnosis in children and adolescents. *Rofo*. 2023;195(9):790-796. doi:10.1055/a-2042-2161.
8. Chauvin NA, Khwaja A. Musculoskeletal imaging in neonates: use of ultrasound. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2022;52(4):765-776. doi:10.1007/s00247-021-05152-2.
9. Nguyen JC, Markhardt BK, Merrow AC, Dwek JR. Imaging of pediatric growth plate disturbances. *RadioGraphics*. 2017;37(6):1791-812.
10. NICE. Fractures (non-complex): assessment and management. NICE guideline NG38. Available at: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng38/chapter/recommendations. .
11. Wang DC, Deeney V, Roach JW, et al. Imaging of physeal bars in children. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2015;45:1403–1412. doi:10.1007/s00247-015-3280-5.
12. Jawetz ST, Shah PH, Potter HG. Imaging of physeal injury: overuse. *Sports Health*. 2015;7(2):142-53. doi:10.1177/1941738114559380.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924 www.EviCore.com

Soft Tissue and Bone Masses (PEDMS-3)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924 www.EviCore.com

Soft Tissue and Bone Masses – General Considerations (PEDMS-3.1)

MSP.ST.0003.1.A
v2.0.2026

- A pertinent clinical evaluation including a detailed history and physical examination should be performed prior to considering advanced imaging.
  - History and physical exam of any palpable soft tissue mass should include documentation of any of the following pertinent clinical features: location, size, and any association with pain.
- Plain x-rays should be performed as initial imaging. This is true even for soft tissue masses that are clearly not directly associated with osseous structures. Details such as soft tissue calcification, presence or absence of phleboliths, radiographic density, and any effect on adjacent bone are all potentially significant plain film findings that may help better identify the etiology of the mass and determine the optimal modality and contrast level when advanced imaging is indicated.
- Evaluation by a surgical specialist or oncologist is strongly recommended to help determine the most helpful advanced imaging studies for an individual.
- Ultrasound (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) is medically necessary if initial plain x-ray is negative to evaluate:
  - ill-defined masses or areas of swelling
  - hematomas
  - subcutaneous lipomas with inconclusive clinical examination
  - lipomas in other locations
  - masses that have been present and stable for up to, or more than, 1 year
  - vascular malformations (see: **Vascular Anomalies (PEDPVD-2)** in the Pediatric Peripheral Vascular Disease Imaging Guidelines)
- MRI without and with contrast or without contrast is medically necessary for any of the following:
  - soft tissue mass greater than 5 cm in diameter
  - soft tissue mass increasing in size
  - painful soft tissue mass
  - deep soft tissue mass or subfascial location
- Advanced imaging is not medically necessary for the following entities:
  - ganglion cysts
  - sebaceous cysts
  - hematomas
  - subcutaneous lipomas
    - MRI without or without and with contrast can be performed if surgery is planned.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924 www.EviCore.com

- MRI without and with contrast, or ultrasound (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) is medically necessary for lipomas in other locations (not subcutaneous).

Soft Tissue Mass with Negative X-ray and Abnormal Ultrasound (PEDMS-3.2)

MSP.ST.0003.2.A

v2.0.2026

- MRI without and with contrast is medically necessary when plain x-ray is negative and ultrasound is abnormal.
  - CT without or with contrast is medically necessary if MRI is contraindicated.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Soft Tissue Mass with Calcification/ Ossification on X-ray (PEDMS-3.3)

MSP.ST.0003.3.A

v2.0.2026

- MRI without and with contrast is medically necessary when calcification/ossification is noted on plain x-ray.
  - CT without or with contrast is medically necessary if MRI is contraindicated.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Mass Involving Bone (Including Suspected Lytic and Blastic Metastatic Disease) (PEDMS-3.4)

MSP.ST.0003.4.A

v2.0.2026

- Plain x-rays of the entire bone containing the lesion are required prior to consideration of advanced imaging. Many benign bone tumors have a characteristic appearance on plain x-ray and advanced imaging is not medically necessary unless one of the following applies:
  - MRI without and with contrast and/or CT without is medically necessary for preoperative planning.
  - MRI without and with contrast is medically necessary when the diagnosis is uncertain based on plain x-ray appearance.
    - CT without or with contrast is medically necessary if MRI is contraindicated.
- Surveillance of benign bony lesions is with plain x-ray
  - MRI without and with contrast is medically necessary for new findings on x-ray, or new or worsening clinical symptoms not explained by recent x-ray.
- Osteochondroma, osteoid osteoma, osteogenic sarcoma, and Ewing sarcoma family of tumors should be imaged according to **Bone Tumors (PEDONC-9)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines.
- If there is concern for metastatic disease in an individual with a known malignancy, refer to the appropriate Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guideline.

Evidence Discussion (PEDMS-3)

v2.0.2026

Diagnostic imaging plays a critical role in evaluating soft and bony tissue masses in pediatric patients. The American College of Radiology (ACR) recommends radiography as the initial imaging modality for suspected soft tissue and bone masses in children, as it provides essential information with minimal radiation exposure.[1,2] Radiographs can often identify benign lesions and guide further imaging decisions, reducing the need for advanced modalities when not clinically indicated.

For superficial soft tissue masses, ultrasound (US) is highly recommended as an imaging tool. It is non-invasive, free of ionizing radiation, and has demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity in characterizing superficial lesions in pediatric populations.[3-5] US can effectively differentiate cystic from solid masses and guide clinical management, including decisions regarding biopsy or surgical intervention. However, MRI may be necessary for preoperative planning or when deeper anatomical involvement is suspected.[1,6]

When radiographs are inconclusive or when malignancy is suspected, (MRI) without and with intravenous contrast is considered an appropriate next step. MRI offers superior soft tissue contrast and multiplanar capabilities, which are particularly valuable in pediatric patients due to their smaller anatomical structures and the need to avoid ionizing radiation.[1,2,5,7] However, MRI may require the use of sedation which could introduce anesthesia-related risks to consider.[1] CT may be used when MRI is contraindicated, but its use should be judicious given the increased sensitivity of children to radiation.

Health equity considerations are essential in pediatric imaging. Children from underserved communities may face barriers to accessing advanced imaging modalities.[9] Evidence supports the use of US and radiography as cost-effective and accessible options that maintain diagnostic accuracy while minimizing risk. Ensuring equitable access to appropriate imaging is critical for timely diagnosis and treatment across diverse pediatric populations.

References (PEDMS-3)

v2.0.2026

1. Garner H, Wessell DF, Lenchik L, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Soft Tissue Masses. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022 https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69434/Narrative/
2. Ahlawat S, Lenchik L, Baker JC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Primary Bone Tumors. American College of Radiology. Revised 2024. Available at: https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69421/Narrative/
3. Jacobson JA, Middleton WD, Allison SJ, et al. Ultrasonography of Superficial Soft-Tissue Masses: Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound Consensus Conference Statement. *Radiology*. 2022;304(1):18-30. doi:10.1148/radiol.211101.
4. Hung EHY, Griffith JF, Yip SWY, et al. Accuracy of ultrasound in the characterization of superficial soft tissue tumors: a prospective study. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2020;49(6):883-892. doi:10.1007/s00256-019-03365-z.
5. Sheybani EF, Eutsler EP, Navarro OM. Fat-containing soft-tissue masses in children. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2016;46(13):1760-73. doi:10.1007/s00247-016-3690-z
6. Arndt CAS. Soft Tissue Sarcomas. In: Kliegman RM, St. Geme JW III, Blum NJ, et. al., eds. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics.* 21st edition. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2020:2685-2688.
7. Sargar KM, Sheybani EF, Shenoy A, et al. Pediatric fibroblastic and myofibroblastic tumors: a pictorial review. *RadioGraphics*. 2016;36:1195-1214.
8. Radiation Risks and Pediatric Computed Tomography (CT): A Guide for Health Care Providers. National Cancer Institute. Available at: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/pediatric-ct-scans
9. Rochwerger A, Mattei JC. Management of soft tissue tumors of the musculoskeletal system. *Orthop Trauamtol Surg Res*. 2018;104(1S):S9-S17. doi:10.1016/j.ostr.2017.05.031.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924 www.EviCore.com

Limping Child (PEDMS-4)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

General Evaluation of the Limping Child (PEDMS-4.1)

MSP.LC.0004.1.A

v2.0.2026

- This guideline primarily applies to children under the age of 6 years. It may also be applied to older children with pre-existing conditions who may not be able to communicate, such as a child with severe intellectual disability. Many of these cases will be urgent, because of the risk of adverse outcomes in delay of diagnosis.
- A pertinent clinical evaluation, including a detailed history and physical examination, should be performed, which will help determine any indication for advanced imaging. Based on this clinical evaluation, the most likely etiology should be determined, usually trauma, infection, or neither trauma nor infection.
- X-ray should be obtained if there are no localized findings on physical examination.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Limping Child with Suspected Trauma (PEDMS-4.2)

MSP.LC.0004.2.C

v2.0.2026

- Plain x-rays are indicated. For children under age 4 this may require x-rays of the entire leg from hip to foot. If clinical suspicion is high for “toddler fracture” imaging may start with tibia/fibula x-rays, and if a fracture is demonstrated, additional imaging may not be required.
- If initial x-rays are negative, but limping symptoms or avoidance of weight-bearing persist, follow-up x-rays in 7 to 10 days are indicated.
  - If plain films are negative and suspicion remains high for stress fractures or soft tissue injury, the following is medically necessary:
    - MRI without contrast of the affected body area
- CT use is limited in the evaluation of the limping child with suspected trauma.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Limping Child with Suspected Infection (PEDMS-4.3)

MSP.LC.0004.3.C
v2.0.2026

- Pain localized to hip:
  - It is essential to exclude septic arthritis. Ultrasound of the hip (CPT® 76881 or 76882) is used to exclude hip joint effusion.
    - Hip joint fluid aspiration to distinguish infection from non-infectious etiologies If hip joint effusion is demonstrated.
    - Plain x-rays should be obtained if no hip joint effusion is demonstrated.
    - MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or without and with contrast (CPT® 73723) is medically necessary if plain films are not diagnostic.
- Pain localized distal to hip:
  - MRI without contrast or without and with contrast of the affected body part is medically necessary if plain x-rays are not diagnostic.
- Non-localized pain:
  - Plain x-rays of the spine, pelvis, and lower extremities may be necessary to localize the abnormality.
  - MRI without contrast or without and with contrast of the affected body area is medically necessary if plain x-ray is not diagnostic and suspicion for infection remains high.

Limping Child with No Evidence of Trauma or Infection (PEDMS-4.4)

MSP.LC.0004.4.A
v2.0.2026

- This differential diagnosis is quite broad.
  - Transient (or toxic) synovitis of the hip:
    - Ultrasound of the hip (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) is the medically necessary initial exam.
      - Plain x-rays if no hip effusion is demonstrated.
      - Hip joint fluid aspiration is indicated if a hip joint effusion is demonstrated. This is usually performed with US guidance, though fluoroscopic guidance or blind aspiration may be required.
  - Avascular Necrosis, see: **Avascular Necrosis (AVN)/ Legg-Calvé-Perthes Disease (PEDMS-6)**
  - Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis, see: **Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (PEDMS-10.1)**
  - Histiocytic Disorders, see: **Histiocytic Disorders (PEDONC-18)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines
  - Neoplasm, see: **General Guidelines (PEDONC-1)**, **Pediatric Leukemias (PEDONC-3)**, **Neuroblastoma (PEDONC-6)**, **Pediatric Soft Tissue Sarcomas (PEDONC-8)**, or **Bone Tumors (PEDONC-9)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines
  - Child abuse, see: **Suspected Physical Child Abuse (PEDMS-7)**

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

References (PEDMS-4)

v2.0.2026

1. Sadfar NM, Rigsby CK, Iyer RS, et al. *ACR Appropriateness Criteria®*. Limping child—Ages 0-5 Years. Date of origin: 1995. Last review date: 2018. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69361/Narrative/
2. Herman MJ, Martinek M. The limping child. *Pediatr Rev.* 2015;36(5):184-197. doi:10.1542/pir.36-5-184.
3. Chaturvedi A, Rupasov A. The acutely limping preschool and school-age child: an imaging perspective. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol.* 2018;22(1):46-56. doi:10.1055/s-0037-1608001.
4. Karout L, Naffaa L. Pediatric hip disorders: imaging guidelines and recommendations. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2022;60(1):149-163. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2021.08.007.
5. Hryhorczuk AL, Restrepo R, Lee EY. Pediatric musculoskeletal ultrasound: Practical imaging approach. *The American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2015;206(5):W62-W72. doi.org/10.2214/AJR.15.15858.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip (PEDMS-5)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip (PEDMS-5)

MSP.DZ.0005.C
v2.0.2026

Screening studies

- The routine use of ultrasound in screening neonates and infants without risk factors for DDH is not recommended by the American Academy of Pediatrics and the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons.
- Screening ultrasound (CPT® 76885 or CPT® 76886) is medically necessary for infants between 4 weeks of age and 4 months of age with one or more of the following risk factors:
  - Breech presentation
  - Family history of DDH
  - Abnormal hip exam (e.g. positive Ortolani or Barlow maneuvers, asymmetric thigh folds, shortening of the thigh observed on the dislocated side, limitation of hip abduction)
- For children between 4 and 6 months of age plain x-ray is the preferred imaging modality as femoral head ossification is often seen on x-ray in normal individuals
  - If x-ray is inconclusive, ultrasound (CPT® 76885 or CPT® 76886) is medically necessary.

Follow-Up Studies

- Ultrasound is medically necessary earlier than 4 weeks of age in individuals with unstable/dislocated hip(s) undergoing abduction brace treatment.
- Follow-up hip ultrasound (CPT® 76885 or CPT® 76886) is medically necessary for the following:
  - Graf type IIA hip with an alpha angle (bony angle) between 50 to 59 degrees in a child less than 3 months of age and follow up hip ultrasound is requested to confirm normal development
  - Subluxation or dislocation was diagnosed on previous hip ultrasound using the dynamic Harke imaging method
  - Prior ultrasound demonstrates abnormal hip and treatment has been applied (such as a Pavlik harness or other device), to document effectiveness of treatment, to ensure the femoral head remains located in the acetabulum, or to identify treatment failure.
    - The usual interval for follow-up sonography is monthly, but earlier imaging is medically necessary for clinical suspicion of treatment failure, subluxation or dislocation of the hip.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

- MRI without and with contrast (CPT® 73723), MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721), or CT without contrast (CPT® 73700) is medically necessary to evaluate alignment following reduction. Children in casts or following surgery may require repeated advanced imaging to ensure the reduction remains satisfactory, or to assess incorporation of bone graft material.
- Hip ultrasound is NOT medically necessary for the following:
  - Infants older than 6 months of age as plain x-ray of the hips become more reliable due to femoral head ossification and should be used in infants over 6 months of age.
  - Type I, IIB, IIC, IID, and III hips diagnosed on a previous hip ultrasound using the Graf method. Type I hip is normal, and Type IIB, IIC, IID, and III will have imaging as directed by treating provider.
  - Plain x-ray of the hips should be performed rather than ultrasound if there is a clinical suspicion for teratogenic dysplasia.

Background and Supporting Information

- Developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) was formerly known as congenital dislocation of the hip. DDH includes a spectrum of abnormalities including abnormal acetabular shape (dysplasia) and malposition of the femoral head ranging from reducible subluxation to irreducible subluxation or dislocation of the femoral head. 60 to 80% of abnormalities are identified by physical exam, and more than 90% are identified by ultrasound. Treatment may involve placement in a Pavlik harness, casting, or surgery in extreme or refractory cases.
- Hip laxity is normal after birth and usually resolves spontaneously.
- There are two sonographic methods of evaluating the hip: the dynamic stress (Harcke) technique and the static (Graf) technique
- The overwhelming majority of Graf type IIA hips mature spontaneously but follow up may be required to ensure that maturation has occured.

Evidence Discussion (PEDMS-5)

v2.0.2026

Imaging plays an important role in the evaluation and assessment of developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH). In the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria, American Academy of Pediatrics recommendations and the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons Guideline, it is recommended that ultrasound (US) is appropriate for initial screening, diagnostic and follow-up of infants between 4 week and 4 months of age with risk factors for DDH (e.g., breech presentation, family history, abnormal exam) or earlier than 4 weeks of age in individuals undergoing abduction brace treatment.[1-3] US has been demonstrated to have sensitivity and specificity above 90% for detecting hip instability in early infancy and does not introduce any radiation exposure.[1,2,4] Radiographs are recommended as initial imaging after 4-6 months of age, when femoral head ossification is able to be seen, providing improved diagnostic accuracy.[1,5]

MRI has been recommended for use to evaluate hip alignment following reduction. Post-reduction evaluation may require assessment of adjacent soft tissues and MRI would be the best modality for complete visualization.[1,4,6] The use of MRI avoids ionization radiation, but it may require sedation and/or contrast media which could introduce additional risks such as respiratory depression or allergic reaction.[6] In situations where MRI is contraindicated or not available, CT has been recommended as an alternative. Its use is often limited to special circumstances, however, due to radiation exposure.[1,5]

Access to advanced imaging may be limited in areas with reduced resources, such as rural and underserved communities. Delays in accessing advanced imaging can disproportionately affect those in these socioeconomic situations. This may lead to a greater reliance on radiographs and ultrasound in those with limited access to avoid delays in diagnosis and appropriate [2,5]

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

References (PEDMS-5)

v2.0.2026

1. Nguyen JC, Dorfman SR, Rigsby CK, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria: Developmental dysplasia of the hip—child. 2018, American College of Radiology. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69437/Narrative/
2. Shaw BA, Segal LS. Evaluation and referral for developmental dysplasia of the hip in infants. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(6):e20163107.
3. Mulpuri K, Song KM, Gross RH, et al. The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Evidence-Based Guideline on detection and nonoperative management of pediatric developmental dysplasia of the hip in infants up to six months of age. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2015;97(20):1717-1718. doi:10.2106/JBJS.O.00500.
4. Barrera CA, Cohen SA, Sankar WN, Ho-Fung VM, Sze RW, Nguyen JC. Imaging of developmental dysplasia of the hip: ultrasound, radiography and magnetic resonance imaging. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2019;49(12):1652-1668.
5. Karout L, Naffaa L. Pediatric hip disorders: imaging guidelines and recommendations. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2022;60(1):149-163. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2021.08.007.
6. Rosenbaum DG, Servaes S, Bogner EA, Jaramillo D, Mintz DN. MR Imaging in postreduction assessment of developmental dysplasia of the hip: goals and obstacles. *RadioGraphics*. 2016;36(3):840-854.

Avascular Necrosis (AVN) / Legg-Calvé-Perthes Disease / Idiopathic Osteonecrosis (PEDMS-6)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Avascular Necrosis and Legg-Calvé-Perthes Disease (PEDMS-6.1)

MSP.AN.0006.1.C

v2.0.2026

- Plain x-ray is the initial imaging study and may be all that is necessary for follow-up.
- MRI Hip without contrast (CPT® 73721) or MRI Hip without and with contrast (CPT® 73723) is medically necessary if the diagnosis is uncertain on plain x-ray, or for preoperative planning.
  - If MRI is contraindicated or unavailable, the following study is medically necessary in lieu of MRI:
    - CT scan without contrast

Osteonecrosis (PEDMS-6.2)

MSP.AN.0006.2.A

v2.0.2026

- Osteonecrosis can occur in a number of conditions, including during treatment for developmental dysplasia of the hip.
- Individuals with acute lymphoblastic leukemia, lymphoblastic lymphoma, or other conditions with recurrent exposure to high dose corticosteroids and known or suspected osteonecrosis should be imaged according to guidelines in: **Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) (PEDONC-3.2)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines.
- Known or suspected osteonecrosis in long-term cancer survivors should be imaged according to guidelines in: **Osteonecrosis in Long Term Cancer Survivors (PEDONC-19.4)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines.
- X-ray is indicated as initial imaging study
- MRI either without contrast or without and with contrast is medically necessary in other individuals with concern for osteonecrosis and negative or inconclusive recent x-ray, if imaging results will change current individual management. Early phase of osteonecrosis may be seen on MR with normal x-ray findings.
  - CT scan without contrast is medically necessary for surgical planning.

Evidence Discussion (PEDMS-6)

v2.0.2026

Plain radiography remains the typical first-line imaging modality in children with hip or extremity pain and suspected avascular necrosis because of its broad availability and low cost. Radiographs can help exclude other causes of pain (e.g., fracture, slipped capital femoral epiphysis, tumor). When additional diagnostic investigation is needed, MRI is recommended for early detection of osteonecrosis and similar conditions in children due to superior sensitivity and lack of ionizing radiation.[1-3]

Computed tomography (CT) and nuclear medicine modalities (bone scintigraphy, SPECT) may have a role in diagnosing children when MRI is inconclusive or contraindicated, or when detailed assessment of subchondral bone, articular surface integrity, or collapse is needed. CT provides excellent visualization of the bony architecture and degree of structural compromise but involves ionizing radiation and is less preferred in a pediatric context unless structural detail will change management.[1,4,5] Ultrasound can be useful adjunctively (e.g., effusion detection, hip containment in Legg-Calve-Perthes Disease) but has limited sensitivity and specificity for early ON/AVN.[6]

MRI is recommended to evaluate suspicion of Legg-Calve-Perthes Disease. Especially during the early stages of clinical evaluation and diagnosis. Early on, radiographs alone may be insufficient to display structural changes around the femoral head and could lead to a delay in diagnosis.[6] MRI has been shown to be most sensitive in visualizing early ischemic changes before radiographic findings are visible.[2,3]

MRI screening in pediatric oncology populations has demonstrated detection of subclinical lesions, enabling earlier surveillance and management.[5,7] The lack of ionizing radiation is particularly relevant in children who require repeated imaging during growth and long term follow up.[7-9] In children treated for risk factors such as high dose corticosteroids (e.g., in acute lymphoblastic leukemia), malignancy, bone marrow transplantation, or other bone/vascular insults, the literature supports that advanced imaging—particularly MRI—is more sensitive and specific for early ON/AVN prior to collapse of the epiphysis or femoral head.[7,8]

The risks of exposing pediatric individuals to harm should be a consideration during diagnostic imaging. MRI avoids exposure to ionizing radiation and is recommended over CT and bone scan when at all possible.[1,4,9,10] MRI may also allow for earlier detection of osteonecrosis/avascular necrosis before collapse when compared to CT or bone scan. Earlier detection could allow for improved outcomes including long-term joint preservation and reducing the need for surgical intervention.[6,10] It has also been recommended to weigh the benefits versus the risks in the use of sedation and contrast media when selecting an appropriate imaging modality. Complications such as respiratory compromise or allergic reaction could occur.[4] There may also be inequities in access to appropriate imaging which may require specific consideration. Pediatric ON/

AVN disproportionately affects adolescents, children treated in lower-socioeconomic settings, and survivors of childhood cancer; ensuring access to advanced imaging may mitigate disparities in joint salvage outcomes.[1,10,11]

References (PEDMS-6)

v2.0.2026

1. Ha AS, Chang EY, Bartolotta RJ, et. al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Osteonecrosis: 2022 Update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022;19(11S):S409-S416. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.09.009.
2. Laine J, Martin BD, Novotny SA, et al. Role of advanced imaging in the diagnosis and management of active Legg-Calvé-Perthes Disease. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2018;26:526-36. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-16-00856.
3. Dillman JR, Zebala LP, Steel HM, et al. MRI of Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease.*AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009;193(6):1636-1644. doi:10.2214/AJR.09.2444.
4. Marin JR, Lyons TW, Claudius I, et al. Optimizing Advanced Imaging of the Pediatric Patient in the Emergency Department: Policy Statement. *Pediatrics*. 2024;154(1):e2024066854. doi:10.1542/peds.2024-066854.
5. Cariello V, Lombardo P, Baldari D, et al. Imaging of body complications in pediatric oncology: a review of the literature and our experience.*Cancer Imaging*. 2024;24(1):12. doi:10.1186/s40644-024-00490-3.
6. Ng T, Liu R, Kulkarni VA. Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease: Diagnosis, decision making, and outcome. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*. 2024;23(2):45-52.
7. Kaste SC, Hudson MM, Shankar SM, et al. Osteonecrosis in children after therapy for malignancy: imaging and detection. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011;196(5):W626-W632. doi:10.2214/AJR.10.6073.
8. Kuhlen M, Rössig C, Batra A, et al. Osteonecrosis in children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and lymphoma.*Blood Adv*. 2017;1(14):981-992. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2017005615.
9. Goo HW, Pallez A, Hernandez A, et al. Whole-Body MRI in Children: Current Imaging Techniques and Applications.*Pediatr Radiol*. 2015;45(8):1073-1088. doi:10.1007/s00247-015-3306-3.
10. Rao SS, El Abiad JM, Puvanesarajah V, Levin AS, Jones LC, Morris CD. Osteonecrosis in pediatric cancer survivors: Epidemiology, risk factors, and treatment. *Surg Oncol*. 2019;28:214-221. doi:10.1016/j.suronc.2019.02.001.
11. Basit S, Khoshhal KI. Clinical and genetic characteristics of Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease.*J Musculoskelet Surg Res*. 2022;6(1):1-8. doi:10.25259/JMSR_123_2021.

Suspected Physical Child Abuse (PEDMS-7)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Suspected Physical Child Abuse (PEDMS-7)

MSP.AB.0007.C
v2.0.2026

The suspicion of physical abuse of a child often requires imaging, both for clinical management and for forensic purposes. Every effort should be made to support reasonable requests for imaging in these children.

Skeletal Injury

- The x-ray skeletal survey is the primary imaging procedure for detecting fractures, especially in children age 24 months or younger. In older children, skeletal survey may be indicated, but more tailored x-ray evaluation based on history and physical examination may be preferable to skeletal survey.
- Suspected injury to the spine should usually first be evaluated with plain x-rays. CT without contrast and/or MRI without contrast or without and with contrast may be required for complete evaluation of osseous and soft tissue spine injuries. If requested for suspected or known physical abuse, both CT without contrast and/or MRI without contrast or without and with contrast of suspected sites are medically necessary.
- CT Chest without contrast (CPT® 71250) is medically necessary in individuals with a negative skeletal survey and a high clinical suspicion for rib fracture associated with child abuse.
- A repeat skeletal survey performed approximately 2 weeks after the initial examination can provide additional information on the presence and age of child abuse fractures and should be performed when abnormal or equivocal findings are found on the initial study and when abuse is suspected on clinical grounds

Head Injury

- CT Head without contrast (CPT® 70450) is medically necessary when there is clinical evidence of head injury or when skull fracture of any age is detected on survey skull x-ray.
  - CT Head without contrast (CPT® 70450) is also medically necessary when known or suspected cervical trauma is present in a pediatric individual.
  - CT Head without contrast (CPT® 70450) is medically necessary in individuals less than 1 year of age, even if no neurologic symptoms are detected due to the great potential morbidity of abusive head trauma. MRI Brain without contrast (CPT® 70551) is also medically necessary.
  - CT Cervical Spine without contrast (CPT® 72125) and/or MRI Cervical Spine without contrast (CPT® 72141) or without and with contrast (CPT® 72156) is

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

medically necessary when there is clinical evidence of head injury or when skull fracture of any age is detected on survey skull x-ray. CT Spine (CPT® 72125, CPT® 72128, CPT® 72131) is medically necessary if MRI is not readily available.

- MRI Brain without contrast (CPT® 70551) or without and with contrast (CPT® 70553) is medically necessary to evaluate brain parenchymal injury, or in a child where the clinical signs of brain injury are not sufficiently explained by CT findings.

Other Body Area Injuries

- CT should be performed with contrast unless an absolute contraindication exists.
- ANY of the following imaging studies are medically necessary for suspected injury to the abdomen or pelvis:
  - Abdominal ultrasound (CPT® 76700)
  - Pelvic ultrasound (CPT® 76856)
  - CT Abdomen with contrast (CPT® 74160)
  - CT Pelvis with contrast (CPT® 72193)
  - CT Abdomen and Pelvis with contrast (CPT® 74177)
- ANY of the following imaging studies are medically necessary for suspected injury to the chest:
  - CT Chest without contrast (CPT® 71250)
  - CT Chest with contrast (CPT® 71260)

Screening of other children

- Contacts are defined as the asymptomatic siblings, cohabiting children, or children under the same care as an index child with suspected child physical abuse. All contact children should undergo a thorough physical examination and a history elicited prior to imaging. Contact children younger than 12 months should have neuroimaging, and skeletal survey. CT Head without contrast (CPT® 70450) or MRI Brain without contrast (CPT® 70551) is medically necessary. Contact children aged 12 to 24 months should undergo skeletal survey. No routine imaging is indicated in asymptomatic children older than 24 months.

Background and Supporting Information

Child abuse injuries may affect any organ or system. Fractures are common, but injuries may also involve solid and hollow visceral organs, and/or superficial and deep soft tissue injuries. Some fracture patterns are highly correlated with non-accidental mechanisms, such as the classic metaphyseal lesion, also known as a corner fracture or bucket handle fracture, but fractures may occur in any bone. Unsuspected fractures, multiple fractures at various stages of healing, or fractures of a configuration or distribution inconsistent with the history provided, may raise the suspicion for physical abuse.

Evidence Discussion (PEDMS-7)

v2.0.2026

Imaging for the evaluation of suspected physical abuse of a child is critical to both the success of clinical management and for forensic investigation. In children under 2 years of age, radiographic skeletal surveys are typically recommended as the cornerstone for detecting fractures in suspected abuse.[1-3] Skeletal surveys may still be helpful in older children, but when a more thorough history can be obtained, a tailored radiographic examination may be appropriate. When radiographic examinations are negative but clinical suspicion remains bone scan is recommended as it is useful in detecting subtle fractures.[1,2]

Radiographs may not always be sufficient in the examination of suspected physical abuse. MRI has been recommended, especially in suspected spine and head injury, and is preferred over CT in its ability to detect ligamentous and cord injury.[4-6] CT can be a helpful option to provide improved bony details in assessing head and spine injury or when MRI is contraindicated or unavailable.[1,7] When acute intracranial injury is suspected or skull fracture is detected on radiograph, CT of the head is recommended as a first-line imaging due to its speed and sensitivity.[1,5,7] Additionally, MRI of the spine is also recommended in cases of clinical evidence of head injury or detection of skull fracture to add diagnostic value beyond head imaging.[4,5] CT of the abdomen and pelvis, with contrast, is recommended when there is concern for visceral injury.[1,7,8] CT of the chest may also be of use as it can help detect rib fractures and other injury not evident on radiographs.[1,7]

When appropriate, MRI is recommended over CT or bone scan to eliminate risks associated with exposure to radiation. However, MRI may require sedation which presents other risks such as respiratory depression.[1,4,7,8] Acute traumatic cases, or individuals with limited access to imaging types, may be better served by the type of image that can provide a diagnosis in a timely manner to avoid harm due to delays in diagnosis.[3,5,6]

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

References (PEDMS-7)

v2.0.2026

1. Mirsky DM, Bardo DME, Iyer RS, et al. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Physical Abuse-Child. Updated 2025.
2. Bennett CE, Christian CW. Clinical evaluation and management of children with suspected physical abuse. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2021;51(6):853-860.
3. Mankad K, Sidpra J, Mirsky DM, et al. International consensus statement on the radiological screening of contact children in the context of suspected child physical abuse. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2023;177(5):526-533
4. Karmazyn B, Reher TA, Supakul N, et. al. Whole-spine MRI in children with suspected abusive head trauma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2022;218(6):1074-1087. doi:10.2214/AJR.21.26674.
5. Henry MK, Wood JN. Advanced cervical spine imaging in abusive head trauma: an update on recent literature and future directions. *Acad Pediatr.* 2018;18(7):733-735. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2018/05.008.
6. Society and College of Radiographers and The Royal College of Radiologists. *The radiological investigation of suspected physical abuse in children.* Revised 1st edition. London: The Royal College of Radiologists; 2018. https://www.rcr.ac.uk/system/files/publication/field_publication_files/bfcr174_suspected_physical_abuse.pdf.
7. Karmazyn B, Marine MB, Wanner MR, et. al. Chest CT in the evaluation of child abuse - when is it useful? *Child Abuse Negl*. 2022;133:105823. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2022.105823.
8. Henry MK, Bennett CE, Wood JN, Servaes S. Evaluation of the abdomen in the setting of suspected child abuse. *Pediatric Radiology*. 2021;23:1-7.

Infection/Osteomyelitis (PEDMS-8)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Infection/Osteomyelitis (PEDMS-8)

MSP.OI.0008.C
v2.0.2026

- Infection and osteomyelitis imaging indications in pediatric individuals are similar to those for adult individuals other than the limping child.
  - See: **Infection/Osteomyelitis (MS-9)** in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines other than in the limping child.
  - See: **Limping Child with Suspected Infection (PEDMS-4.3)** for imaging guidelines when limping is present.
  - See: **Inflammatory Musculoskeletal Disease (PEDMS-10)** for imaging guidelines for chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO, which is an autoimmune disease).
- Ultrasound of the involved extremity (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) is medically necessary to evaluate for effusion or soft tissue fluid collection[6]
  - Ultrasound is not a prerequisite for other advanced imaging studies

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

References (PEDMS-8)

v2.0.2026

1. Robinette E, Shah SS. Osteomyelitis. In: Kliegman RM, St. Geme JW III, Blum NJ, et. al., eds. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*, Chapter 684. eds Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, St. Geme JW III, et al. 21st edition. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2020:3670-3676.
2. Funk SS, Copley LA. Acute hematogenous osteomyelitis in children: pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *Orthopedic Clinics*. 2017;48(2):199-208. doi:10.1016/j.ocl.2016.12.007.
3. Palestro CJ. Radionuclide imaging of osteomyelitis. *Semin Nucl Med*. 2015;45(1):32-46. doi:10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2014.07.005.
4. Shet NS, Iyer RS, et. al. *ACR Appropriateness Criteria®*. Osteomyelitis or Septic Arthritis-Child (Excluding Axial Skeleton). Date of origin: 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/ 3158175/Narrative/
5. Jaramillo D, Dormans, JP Delgado J, Laor T, St Geme III JW. Hematogenous osteomyelitis in infants and children: Imaging of a changing disease. *Radiology*. 2017;283(3):629-643.
6. Lee YJ, Sadigh S, Mankad K, Kapse N, Rajeswaran G. The imaging of osteomyelitis. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. 2016;6(2):184-198. doi:10.21037/qims.2016.04.01.

Foreign Body (PEDMS-9)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Foreign Body (PEDMS-9)

MSP.FB.0009.C

v2.0.2026

- Ultrasound (CPT® 76881 or CPT® 76882) is medically necessary to identify foreign body
- See:**Foreign Body – General (MS-6.1)** in the musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines for additional imaging guidelines.

Background and Supporting Information

The common soft tissue foreign bodies in children are wood, glass, and metal slivers. The latter two elements are radiopaque and visible to some degree on plain x-rays, whereas wood is usually radiolucent and nearly always imperceptible on x-rays.

Reference (PEDMS-9)

v2.0.2026

1. Nung RCH, Lee AWH. Ultrasonographic findings of suspected retained foreign body in soft tissue following penetrating injury. *Hong Kong J Radiol.* 2017;20:76-83. doi:10.12809/hkjr1715382.

Inflammatory Musculoskeletal Disease (PEDMS-10)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Inflammatory Musculoskeletal Disease (PEDMS-10.0)

MSP.MD.0010.0.A

v2.0.2026

- A pertinent clinical evaluation including a detailed history, physical examination, and plain x-rays should be performed prior to considering advanced imaging.
- Inflammatory arthritis imaging indications in pediatric individuals are very similar to those for adult individuals. See: **Inflammatory Arthritis (MS-12.2)** in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines. Specific pediatric considerations are included below.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (PEDMS-10.1)

MSP.MD.0010.1.C

v2.0.2026

- Ultrasound (CPT® 76881 or 76882) is medically necessary for assessment of: size and characteristics of joint effusions, extent of synovial hypertrophy (which is the hallmark of juvenile idiopathic arthritis), and involvement of tendinous structures.
  - Repeat imaging is medically necessary for monitoring treatment or with planned treatment change
  - MRI of the most symptomatic joint without contrast or without and with contrast is medically necessary if ultrasound is inconclusive and MRI findings would alter individual management
- MRI TMJ (CPT® 70336) is medically necessary annually for detecting silent TMJ arthritis in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA).
- MRI without or without and with of the most involved joint is medically necessary to evaluate involved or symptomatic joints in the following situations:
  - When diagnosis is uncertain prior to initiation of drug therapy
  - To study the effects of treatment with disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy
  - To determine a change in treatment
- MRI (with the exception of the annual screening MRI of the TMJ discussed above) is not medically necessary for routine follow-up of treatment.

Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis (PEDMS-10.2)

MSP.MD.0010.2.C
v2.0.2026

- The following imaging is medically necessary for individuals with CRMO for evaluation of new or worsening pain, or response to treatment in individuals without complete clinical resolution of pain symptoms, when plain x-rays are non-diagnostic:
  - MRI without contrast of specific painful body areas when plain x-ray and bone scan are insufficient to direct acute individual care decisions.
- Whole body MRI (CPT® 76498) is medically necessary for CRMO in the following situations:
  - Individuals suspected of having CRMO if characteristic MR findings of CRMO would preclude the need for a biopsy.
    - Characteristic finding include multiple lesions most commonly involving the juxtaphyseal/peri-physeal portions of the tibia and femur, the clavicle and thoracolumbar spine.
  - Every 6-12 months in individuals with an established diagnosis of CRMO to monitor treatment or to evaluate for clinically occult, but radiographically active lesions.
  - See: **Whole Body MR Imaging (Preface-5.2)** for additional details.

Background and Supporting Information

- Chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO) is a rare autoimmune disease affecting multiple bones, arising most commonly during the second decade of life. Treatment consists of anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory therapies, and is directed predominantly by status of clinical symptoms (most commonly pain).
- Literature suggests MRI may have greater sensitivity for clinically occult lesions than bone scan.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924 www.EviCore.com

Inflammatory Muscle Diseases (PEDMS-10.3)

MSP.MD.0010.3.C
v2.0.2026

- A pertinent clinical evaluation including a detailed history, physical examination, and plain x-rays should be performed prior to considering advanced imaging.

Inflammatory Muscle Diseases:

These include but are not limited to dermatomyositis, polymyositis, and sporadic inclusion body myositis. MRI without contrast of a single site is medically necessary in these disorders for the following purposes:

- Selection of biopsy site
- Clinical concern for progression
- Treatment monitoring
- Detection of occult malignancy

Juvenile Dermatomyositis:

Contrary to adult dermatomyositis, juvenile dermatomyositis is very rarely paraneoplastic in nature, and routine screening for occult neoplasm is not medically necessary.

The following are medically necessary for juvenile dermatomyositis:

- MRI without contrast to confirm the diagnosis and thus avoid a biopsy.
- CT without contrast (CPT® 73700) or MRI (CPT® 73718) to follow progressive calcification in muscles
  - Both CT and MRI are rarely indicated concurrently.
- CT Chest (CPT® 71260) and Abdomen and Pelvis (CPT® 74177) with contrast for individuals with palpable lymphadenopathy or hepatosplenomegaly.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Evidence Discussion (PEDMS-10)

v2.0.2026

Imaging plays a critical role in the evaluation and management of pediatric inflammatory musculoskeletal diseases. Initial radiographs, along with a detailed history and physical examination, can help determine what advanced imaging may be needed. Guidelines recommend that imaging strategies be tailored to the clinical scenario to optimize diagnostic accuracy and safety.[1,2]

MRI and ultrasound (US) are recommended as first-line advanced imaging for juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). US has been shown to be instrumental in detecting joint effusion and is recommended for the early detection synovial inflammation.[1,3,4] MRI, with sensitivity exceeding 90% in JIA, is recommended when US is inconclusive as it can provide comprehensive assessment of joint inflammation, bone marrow edema, and cartilage damage.[5,6] CT and scintigraphy are rarely recommended due to radiation exposure, but may be necessary in limited or atypical cases.[1]

Both bone scintigraphy and MRI are recommended for the diagnostic imaging of chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO). Scintigraphy can be helpful in the assessment of new or worsening pain and evaluating the response to treatments.[7] However, it has been shown to have lower sensitivity and specificity compared to MRI.[7] With sensitivity over 90% for lesion detection, whole-body MRI is recommended as the superior modality for detecting multifocal bone lesions and monitoring disease activity.[2,7-10] Additionally, MRI avoids ionizing radiation, making it safer for repeated imaging compared to CT or bone scans.[9]

MRI is also the recommended imaging modality to confirm diagnosis for juvenile muscle disease (e.g., dermatomyositis, polymyositis, inclusion body myositis). MRI is more sensitive to deep muscle edema and changes than US and may help to avoid unnecessary biopsy.[3,6] CT is not typically recommended for general diagnosis as it has limited use in soft tissue visualization and to avoid radiation exposure.[1] However, CT may be helpful in the assessment of muscle calcification or enlargement of abdominopelvic organs and/or lymph nodes.

Special consideration of potential risks to the individual from imaging must be made, especially in cases where monitoring through repeat images over time will be needed.[9] CT and bone scans involve ionizing radiation which can be harmful and should be avoided when possible.[1,9] While MRI does not expose an individual to radiation, younger individuals may require sedation for clear images to be obtained which can pose risks such respiratory depression.[5,11] There are also risks of allergic reactions to IV contrast. Additional consideration should be given to those with limited access to advanced imaging. Rural and limited resource areas may not provide easy access to the most appropriate imaging modality.[12] Alternatives may be recommended to avoid delays in appropriate care.

References (PEDMS-10)

v2.0.2026

1. Chauvin NA, Doria AS. Ultrasound imaging of synovial inflammation in juvenile idiopathic arthritis *Pediatr Radiol.* 2017;47(9):1160-1170. doi:10.1007/s00247-017-3934-6.
2. Roderick MR, Shah R, Rogers V, Finn A, Ramanan AV. Chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO)–advancing the diagnosis. *Pediatr Rheumatol*. 2016;14(1):1-5.
3. Quesada-Masachs E, López-Corbeto M, Moreno-Ruzafa E, et al. Ultrasound in pediatric rheumatology: highlighting the differences with adults. *Eur J Rheumatol*. 2024;11(Suppl 3):S348-S357.
4. Chauvin NA, Doria AS. Ultrasound imaging of synovial inflammation in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2017;47(9):1160-1170.
5. Malattia C, Tolend M, Mazzoni M, et al. Current status of MR imaging of juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2020;34(3):101629.
6. Sudoł-Szopińska I, Herregods N, Doria AS, et. al. Advances in musculoskeletal imaging in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Biomedicines*. 2022;10(10):2417. doi:10.3390/biomedicines10102417.
7. Villani M, de Horatio LT, Garganese M, et al. Whole-body MRI versus bone scintigraphy: which is the best diagnostic tool in patients with chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO)? *Pediatr Rheumatol*. 2015;13:P58.
8. Nico MAC, Araújo FF, Guimarães JB, et al. Chronic nonbacterial osteomyelitis: the role of whole-body MRI. *Insights Imaging*. 2022;13(1):149.
9. Andronikou S, Kraft JK, Offiah AC, et al. Whole-body MRI in the diagnosis of paediatric CNO/CRMO. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2020;59(10):2671-2680.
10. Arnoldi AP, Schlett CL, Douis H, et. al. Whole-body MRI in patients with non-bacterial osteitis: radiological findings and correlation with clinical data. *Eur Radiol*. 2017;27(6):2391-9. doi:10.1007/s00330-016-4586-x.
11. Voit AM, Arnoldi AP, Douis H, et al. Whole-body magnetic resonance imaging in chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis: clinical longterm assessment may underestimate activity. *J Rheumatol*. 2015;42:1357-1537.
12. Rosendahl K, Maas M. Update on imaging in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Pediatr radiol.* 2018;48(6):783-784. doi:10.1007/s00247-017-4039-y.

Muscle/Tendon Unit Injuries (PEDMS-11)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Muscle/Tendon Unit Injuries (PEDMS-11)

MSP.MI.0011.A

v2.0.2026

- Muscle and tendon unit injury imaging indications in pediatric individuals are identical to those in the general imaging guidelines. See: **Muscle and Tendon Injuries (MS-11.0)** in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Osgood-Schlatter Disease (PEDMS-12)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Osgood-Schlatter Disease (PEDMS-12)

MSP.OD.0012.A

v2.0.2026

- Osgood-Schlatter Disease is defined as traction apophysitis of the tibial tubercle in skeletally immature individuals. Diagnosis is by clinical examination and x-ray, and treatment is conservative.
- Advanced imaging is not indicated in this disorder.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Evidence Discussion (PEDMS-12)

v2.0.2026

Osgood-Schlatter disease is a self-limiting condition that is secondary to repetitive stress of the knee extensor mechanism. It is recommended that clinical exam, history and X-rays are sufficient for diagnosis with high accuracy. Advanced imaging adds little diagnostic benefit, unnecessarily increases healthcare costs, and is generally not recommended.[1-4]

References (PEDMS-12)

v2.0.2026

1. Sarkissian EJ, Lawrence JTR. Osgood-Schlatter Disease and Sinding-Larsen-Johansson Syndrome. In: Kliegman RM, St. Geme JW III, Blum NJ, et. al., eds. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics.* 21st edition. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2020:3620-3621.
2. Kaneshiro NK. Osgood-Schlatter disease. *Medline Plus*. 10/11/2018. http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/001258.htm.
3. Fox M, Chang E, Amini B, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria Chronic Knee Pain. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018. Available at: https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69432/Narrative/.
4. Hart E, Meehan WP, Bae DS, d'Hemecourt P, Stracciolini A. The young injured gymnast: A literature review and discussion. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2018;17(11):366-375.

Popliteal (Baker) Cyst (PEDMS-13)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Popliteal (Baker) Cyst (PEDMS-13)

MSP.PC.0013.C

v2.0.2026

- Ultrasound (CPT® 76881 or 76882) is the medically necessary initial imaging study.
- MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) is medically necessary for preoperative planning or if ultrasound is non-diagnostic.

Background and Supporting Information

Popliteal or Baker cyst in children is a different clinical entity than in adults and is almost never due to intra-articular pathology. These lesions are usually treated conservatively and rarely require surgery.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Evidence Discussion (PEDMS-13)

v2.0.2026

Ultrasound is recommended as a first-line imaging modality for Baker's cyst detection. High accuracy has been reported with sensitivity ranging from 94% to 97% and specificity approaching 100% compared with MRI in pediatric and adult populations. Ultrasound offers practical advantages including portability, low cost, rapid acquisition, and absence of ionizing radiation, making it particularly suitable for children. Ultrasound is also well suited for follow-up of known Baker's cysts and for screening in clinical or population settings due to its accessibility and strong diagnostic performance.[1,2]

For children aged 5 years and older presenting with chronic knee pain, the American College of Radiology (ACR) recommends that when initial imaging is non-diagnostic, MRI without contrast is usually appropriate for comprehensive evaluation of intra-articular structures. MRI and ultrasound generally provide concordant information on cyst presence, but MRI adds detail on associated meniscal or cartilage pathology when clinically relevant. Additional details from MRI may be necessary for preoperative planning. Sedation may be necessary for MRI in younger children due to longer acquisition times and the need for stillness, which may involve risk of complications such as respiratory depression.[1,2]

References (PEDMS-13)

v2.0.2026

1. Liu K, Li X, Weng Q, et al Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound for the assessment of Baker's cysts: a meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2022;17:535. doi:10.1186/s13018-022-03430-9.
2. Fox M, Chang E, Amini B, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Chronic Knee Pain. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018. Accessed May 14, 2025. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69432/Narrative/

Slipped Capital Femoral Epiphysis (SCFE) (PEDMS-14)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Slipped Capital Femoral Epiphysis (SCFE) (PEDMS-14)

MSP.FE.0014.C

v2.0.2026

- Anteroposterior and lateral x-rays (frog leg or cross table lateral) of both hips will confirm or exclude the diagnosis.
  - If clinical suspicion remains after negative plain films, MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) or without and with contrast (CPT® 73723) is medically necessary to detect widening of the physis before the femoral head is displaced (pre-slip).
- Because a significant percentage of SCFE is bilateral at presentation, it is medically necessary to evaluate the contralateral hip if requested, as some surgeons advocate surgical treatment of pre-slip.
- MRI without contrast (CPT® 73721) is medically necessary for preoperative planning if MRI was not completed for diagnosis.

Background and Supporting Information

Slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE) should be considered in young adolescents or preadolescents with groin, anterior thigh, or atraumatic knee pain. Symptoms often include a history of intermittent limp and pain for several weeks or months that are often poorly localized to the thigh, groin, or knee. Any obese adolescent or preadolescent presenting with a history of a limp and thigh, knee, or groin pain for several weeks to one month should be presumed to have a slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE).

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

References (PEDMS-14)

v2.0.2026

1. Sankar WN, Winell JJ, Horn BD, Wells L. Slipped capital femoral epiphysis. In: Kliegman RM, St. Geme JW III, Blum NJ, et. al., eds. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics.* 21st edition. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2020:3631-3632.
2. Hesper T, Zilkens C, Bittersohl B, Krauspe R. Imaging modalities in patients with slipped capital femoral epiphysis. *J Child Orthop.* 2017;11:99-106. doi:10.1302/1863-2548-11-160276.
3. Peck D. Slipped capital femoral epiphysis: diagnosis and management. *Am Fam Physician*. 2017;95(12):779-84.
4. Sucato DJ. Approach to the Hip for SCFE: the North American perspective. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2018;38:S5-12. doi:10.1097/BPO.0000000000001183.
5. Karout L, Naffaa L. Pediatric hip disorders: imaging guidelines and recommendations. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2022;60(1):149-163. doi:10.1016/j.rcl.2021.08.007.
6. De Angelis R, Aparisi Gomez MP, Negro G, et al. Novelties in slipped capital femoral epiphysis imaging: A narrative review. *Heliyon*. 2024;10(7):e28734. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e28734.

Limb Length Discrepancy (PEDMS-15)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Limb Length Discrepancy (PEDMS-15)

MSP.LL.0015.A

v2.0.2026

- Limb length discrepancy imaging indications in pediatric individuals are identical to those in the general imaging guidelines. See: **Limb Length Discrepancy (MS-17.0)** in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Congenital Anomalies of the Foot and Lower Extremity (PEDMS-16)

Guideline Page

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Tarsal Coalition (Calcaneonavicular Bar/ Rigid Flat Foot) (PEDMS-16.1)

MPS.CD.0016.1.A

v2.0.2026

- Plain x-rays should be performed initially since the calcaneonavicular bar is readily visible in older children and adults.
  - Talocalcaneal coalition is more difficult to evaluate on plain x-rays.
- CT without contrast (CPT® 73700) or MRI without contrast (CPT® 73718) is medically necessary if tarsal coalition is suspected (because of restricted hindfoot motion on physical exam), and plain x-rays are inconclusive.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Club Foot (PEDMS-16.2)

MSP.CD.0016.2.C
v2.0.2026

- Plain x-rays should be performed initially since the anomaly is readily visible in older children and adults.
- Ultrasound (CPT® 76881 or 76882) is medically necessary to characterize the cartilaginous tarsal bones and demonstrate tarsal bone alignment in infants with non-ossified tarsal bones.
- MRI (CPT® 73718) or CT (CPT® 73700) is medically necessary to determine residual deficits following repair.
  - Ultrasound is not required prior to MRI or CT if those studies are appropriate.

Background and Supporting Information

Club Foot is a congenital foot contracture with foot in equinus (plantar flexion) and heel and forefoot in varus/adduction (turned in). Immediate diagnosis and specialty evaluation in the first week of life provide the best chance for successful correction.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Vertical Talus (PEDMS-16.3)

MSP.CD.0016.3.C

v2.0.2026

- Plain x-rays should be performed initially since the anomaly is readily visible in older children and adults.
- MRI (CPT® 73718) or CT (CPT® 73700) are medically necessary to determine residual deficits following repair.

730 Cool Springs Blvd, Franklin, TN 37067 (800) 918-8924

Femoral Anteversion and Tibial Torsion (PEDMS-16.4)

MSP.CD.0016.4.C
v2.0.2026

- Femoral anteversion is a rotational deformity of the femur, which may lead to an in-toeing gait.
- Tibial torsion is a rotational deformity of the tibia that may lead to in-toeing or out-toeing gait, and can be associated with the foot deformities already discussed in **Tarsal Coalition (Calcaneonavicular Bar/Rigid Flat Foot) (PEDMS-16.1)**,**Club Foot (PEDMS-16.2)**, and **Vertical Talus (PEDMS-16.3)**.
- Both deformities are typically diagnosed on clinical examination, but CT Lower Extremity without contrast (CPT® 73700) OR MRI Lower Extremity without contrast (CPT® 73718) is medically necessary for preoperative evaluation.

Background and Supporting Information

Congenital vertical talus (also known as congenital rocker-bottom foot) is a fixed foot deformity characterized by irreducible talonavicular dislocation. The talus is plantar flexed and does not articulate with the navicular bone.

References (PEDMS-16)

v2.0.2026

1. Miron M-C, Grimard G. Ultrasound evaluation of foot deformities in infants. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2016;46:193-209. doi:10.1007/s00247-015-3460-3.
2. Wise JN, Weissman BN, Appel M, et al. *ACR Appropriateness Criteria*®. Chronic foot pain. Date of origin: 1998. Last review date: 2020. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69424/Narrative.
3. Winell JJ, Davidson RS. Tarsal Coalition. In: Kliegman RM, St. Geme JW III, Blum NJ, et. al., eds. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics.* 21st edition. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2020:3601-3602,
4. Denning JR. Tarsal coalition in children. *Pediatric annals.* 2016;45(4):e139-43.
5. Winell JJ, Davidson RS. Talipes equinovarus (clubfoot). In: Kliegman RM, St. Geme JW III, Blum NJ, et. al., eds. Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. 21st edition. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2020:3598-3599.
6. Machida J, Inaba Y, Nakamura N. Management of foot deformity in children. *J Orthop Sci.* 2017;22(2):175-83. doi:10.1016/j.jos.2016.12.009.
7. Hammer MR, Kanaan Y, Strouse PJ. Alignment disorders. In: Coley B, ed. *Caffey's Pediatric Diagnostic Imaging*. 13th edition. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders; 2019:1296-1308.
8. Waelti S, Fischer T, Griessinger J, et. al. Ultra-low-dose computed tomography for torsion measurements of the lower extremities in children and adolescents. *Insights Imaging*. 2022;13(1):118. doi:10.1186/s13244-022-01257-w.
9. Hesham K, Carry PM, Freese K, et al. Measurement of femoral version by MRI is as reliable and reproducible as CT in children and adolescents with hip disorders. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2017;37(8):557-562. doi:10.1097/bpo.0000000000000712.